Which psychosocial interventions improve sex worker wellbeing? A systematic review of evidence from resource rich countries.

Kevin Turner, Jane Meyrick, Danny Miller & Laura Stopgate

Corresponding Author:

Kevin Turner,

e-mail: kevin.turner@live.uwe.ac.uk

Co-Authors:

Dr Jane Meyrick
Department of Health and Social Sciences
University of the West of England
Frenchay Campus
Coldharbour lane
Bristol
United Kingdom
BS16 1QY

Danny Miller
Department of Health and Social Sciences
University of the West of England
Frenchay Campus
Coldharbour lane
Bristol
United Kingdom
BS16 1QY

e-mail: <u>jane.meyrick@uwe.ac.uk</u> e-mail: <u>danny2.miller@live.uwe.ac.uk</u>

Laura Stopgate
Department of Health and Social Sciences
University of the West of England
Frenchay Campus
Coldharbour lane
Bristol
United Kingdom
BS16 1QY

e-mail: laura2.eddins@live.uwe.ac.uk

Word count: 3,286

(Excluding title page, abstract, references, figures and tables)

Which psychosocial interventions improve sex worker wellbeing? A systematic review of evidence from resource rich countries.

Kevin Turner, Jane Meyrick, Danny Miller & Laura Stopgate

ABSTRACT

Objective: To establish the state of the evidence base around psychosocial interventions that support wellbeing in sex workers in order to inform policy and practice within a resource rich geographical context.

Method: Published and unpublished studies were identified through electronic databases (PsychINFO, CINHAL PLUS, MEDLINE, EMBASE, The Cochrane Library and Open Grey), hand searching and contacting relevant organisations and experts in the field. Studies were included if they were conducted in high income settings with sex workers or people engaging in exchange or transactional sex; evaluated the effect of a psychosocial intervention with validated psychological or wellbeing measures or through qualitative evaluation.

Results: 19,202 studies were identified of which 10 studies met the eligibility criteria. The heterogeneity found dictated a narrative synthesis across studies. Overall, there was very little evidence of good quality to make clear evidence-based recommendations. Despite methodological limitations, evidence as it stands suggests that peer health initiatives improve wellbeing in female street-based sex workers. Use of Ecological Momentary Assessment (EMA), a diary-based method of collecting real life behavioural data through the use of twice-daily questionnaires via a smart phone, increased self-esteem and behaviour change intentions.

Conclusion: Work with sex workers should be based on an evidence-based approach, limitations to the existing evidence and the constraints of this work with vulnerable groups is recognised and discussed.

KEY WORDS: sex worker, transactional sex, wellbeing, psychosocial intervention, systematic review

Key messages:

- ► There is a gap in the evidence around the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions aiming to improve sex worker wellbeing.
- ► Weak evidence exists to support the benefits of Ecological Momentary Assessment (EMA) in reducing anxiety and depression and improving opportunities for behaviour change.
- ▶ Research on sex working communities focuses on female street-based sex workers and underrepresents the experiences of male sex workers.
- ▶ Participatory methodologies are recommended to ensure that future research is grounded in the actual rather than perceived needs of sex working communities.

INTRODUCTION:

Work on the wellbeing of sex workers[1] has traditionally either focused on the ways in which legal and human rights issues affect sex worker vulnerability[2], or on access to sexual health screening opportunities, in attempts to reduce the acquisition and transmission of Sexually Transmitted Infections (STI's)[3].

These criminal justice or public health approaches present a limited narrative of sex work as something that is criminal or virologically dangerous. Further compounded by a focus from sexual health services on biomedical interventions such as; condom use[4], HIV Pre and Post-Exposure Prophylaxis (PrEP/ PEP)[5] and Hepatitis B vaccinations[6] to support the perceived health needs of sex workers.

The stigma[7], labour and complex routes within sex work places unique demands on the coping resources of sex workers[8] highlighting additional wellbeing needs. Previous studies based on street-based female sex workers evidences some of these psychosocial issues, which include; substance misuse, mental health problems, violence, and homelessness[9].

This systematic review aims to gather and marshal evidence on the range and effectiveness of psychosocial interventions aimed at improving the wellbeing of sex workers within resource rich countries with the aim of producing recommendations to inform policy and practice within the UK.

METHODS

Search strategy

PsychINFO, CINHAL PLUS, MEDLINE, EMBASE, Open Grey and The Cochrane Library databases were searched throughout January 2020 for peer-reviewed articles published in English between January 2000-January 2020. Truncated keywords and relevant medical subject headings (MeSH) related to the study's PICO; 'sex workers' (Population), 'psychosocial interventions' (Intervention), 'wellbeing' (Outcome) were used and linked using Boolean Operators [Appendix 1]. The reference list of included articles were also searched in addition to contacting experts in the field and sex worker organisations to further identify any additional eligible articles[10].

Study selection process

The titles of all articles identified from the search were screened by one reviewer (KT). Two reviewers screened the abstracts of the remaining articles (KT & DM). Articles were included if they; evaluated a psychosocial intervention using either validated wellbeing measures or qualitative methodologies, included sex workers or people engaging in exchange or transactional sex[11] and were conducted in high resource countries [Appendix 2]. Discrepancies were resolved through further discussion with a third reviewer (LS). A protocol for this review was peer reviewed and is registered with the International Prospective Register of Systematic Reviews (PROSPERO) CRD42020204592.

Data Extraction

Extracted data inclusive of sample characteristics, intervention type, study methods, outcome measures and findings were extracted by two reviewers (KT & DM) using a standardised form developed by the review team [Appendix 3].

Quality Assessment

A quality assessment was carried out by two reviewers (KT &DM) using the Effective Public Health Practice Project (EPHPP) Quality Assessment Tool for Quantitative data[12] [Appendix 4] and the National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) Quality Appraisal Checklist[13] for qualitative data [Appendix 5]. A third reviewer (LS) reviewed any discrepancies.

Analysis

The heterogeneity of the interventions aims, study design, outcome measures and sample populations precluded a meta-analysis of their results. A narrative synthesis across qualitative and quantitative data is presented by intervention type. Scientific quality is used to frame the validity of study effect findings, common methodological flaws, risk of bias and how well studies were conceptualised.

RESULTS

19,202 articles were identified from the literature search [Figure 1]. 70 included studies on psychosocial interventions aimed at improving sex worker wellbeing. 10 articles were selected after full text review. Reasons for exclusion are documented using a PRISMA flow chart[14] [Figure 1]. An overview of study characteristics and interventions for qualitative, quantitative and mixed method studies are presented [Table 1].

Study Characteristics

Studies from all resource rich countries were eligible for inclusion but only studies from America (n=6)[17, 23,15,21,22], followed by Canada (n=2)[18,19] and the UK (n=2)[1,16] were identified. 5 studies exclusively focused on street-based sex workers[1,17,19,23,16]. 3 studies included sex workers from a variety of contexts including exotic dancing, erotic massage and escorting[18,21,22], whilst 2 studies investigated participants engaging in transactional sex[20,15].

Intervention characteristics:

Interventions included Ecologic Momentary Assessment (EMA) (n=2)[20,15], drug treatment services (n=2) [1,16], exiting and diversion programmes (n=2)[21,22], trauma-informed interventions (n=2)[19,23], peer health initiatives (n=1)[18] and Case Management Programmes (CMP's) (n=1)[17].

Evaluation design:

Wellbeing outcomes were measured in quantitative studies by assessing quality of life (QoL), anxiety, depression and Post Traumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) using validated measures. One study used the Christo Inventory[16], a QoL measure validated for the use in alcohol rehabilitation settings. Two studies measured depression using either the Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9)[15] or CESD Depression Scale[23]. Anxiety was measured in one study using the B-AI[15]. One study measured PTSD symptom severity using the PTSD checklist[23]. All quantitative studies used pre-and post-intervention measures[23,15,16]. The timeframe between measures ranged between 4 weeks[20,15] and 1 year[16].

Semi-structured interviews, observations, journal entries and field notes were used across qualitative studies[1,17, 18,19,23,20,21,22] to evaluate the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions.

Table 1: Summary of study characteristics, data extraction table and quality appraisal outcomes

Study & aim	Geographical location,	Intervention	Study Design	Outcomes	Data	Data analysis	Findings	Quality
•	sample size,			measures	collection			score
	characteristics &							
	recruitment method							
Gunn, et al.	USA	Ecologic	Quasi-	Self-esteem:	(1)12 hourly	Paired t-tests	Self-esteem	WEAK
(2016) [15]		Momentary	experimental,	Rosenberg	digital diary	to compare	improved	(EPHPP)
	n=24. Female. Median	Assessment	single group pre-	Self-Esteem	entries via a	self-esteem,	significantly	
To describe the	age 42.5. Ethnicity:	(EMA)	test post-test	scale (RSE)	smartphone	anxiety and	increasing 4.08	
psychological	Black (75%), non-				provided by	depression at	points from	
benefits	Latina (87%). 58%	Twice daily cell		Depression:	the study.	baseline and	baseline to exit	
associated	engaged in	phone diaries		Patient	Assessing	exit	(p<.001).	
with	transactional sex at	capturing		Health	mood,			
participation in	least one a week 90	information on		Questionnaire	alcohol/drug		Mean scores for	
a daily diary	days prior to	alcohol craving		(PHQ-9)	craving and		depression and	
and interview	enrolment.25%	and use;			use.		anxiety decreased	
study.	consumed 4 or more	partnered		Anxiety: (BSI-	Partnered		from baseline to	
	alcoholic drinks per	sexual		A)	sexual		exit but were	
	day, 25% used	behaviour and			behaviours-		overall not	
	marijuana daily, 42%	condom use,		Taken at	including		statistically	
	used cocaine weekly.	partner		baseline and	condom use,		significant.	
	All participants had	characteristics		follow up (4	partner			
	been arrested at least	and locations		weeks later)	characteristics		Effect	
	once.58% experienced	of sexual			and locations		modification was	
	CSA before the age of	events.			of sexual		observed for self-	
	13. 42% initiated sex				events.		esteem,	
	work as minors. 37%						depression and	
	reported receiving				(2) weekly		anxiety.	
	little social support				face-to- face			
	from family or friends				interviews			
	at baseline. Baseline				with study			

Study & aim	Geographical location, sample size, characteristics & recruitment method	Intervention	Study design	Outcome measures	Data collection	Data analysis	Findings	Quality score
Qualitative data		· · ·				· · · · ·		
psychosocial support							at follow up	
(PMT) and							compared to 72%	
Treatment							at baseline	
Maintenance							positive to heroin	
Prescribed	,			in sex work			samples tested	
heroin, using	provision	support		involvement			87% of urine	
who use	evaluated service	psychosocial		Self-reported			involvement.	
intervention for sex workers	Incoming referrals, clinical attendance to	intensive health and		entry and at one year.			sex work involvement.	
treatment		with undefined		the point of			67% reduction in	
drugs	addiction to heroin.	conjunction		screening at				
primary care	with a physiological	heroin use in		opiate			1 year (p<.001).	
Outcomes of a	based sex workers	(PMT) for	test post-test	samples for	inventory	Christo scores.	at entry to 8.97 at	
(====)[==]	n=34. Female. Street-	treatment	single group pre-	(QoL). Urine	Christo	and post-test	score from 12.05	(=: : : ,
al. (2010)[16]	OK	maintenance	experimental,	inventory	samples,	comparing pre	mean Christo	(EPHPP)
Litchfield, et	referral UK	Prescribed	Quasi-	Christo	Urine	Paired t-tests	Reduction in	WEAK
	incentivised peer							
	recruitment and							
	venue-based				-			
	Targeted outreach,				diary entries.			
	reported high anxiety				supported by			
	depression 9/27. 33% reported high anxiety				context of sexual lives			
	score 27/40,				focusing on			
	mean self-esteem				personnel			

Arnold, et al.	USA	Case	Phenomenological	Observation	Not reported	(1) Substance	(-)
(2000) [17]		Management		of a support		misuse treatment	(NICE)
	n=23. Programme staff	Programmes.		group for		programs should	
To explore the	(n=4), Community			program		be specific to	
psychosocial	professionals (n=9),	In case		participants		prostitution. (2)	
treatment	street walking female	management		and face to		Challenges	
needs of	sex workers (n=10).	programmes a		face (n=7) or		experienced by	
female street-	Sex worker average	named case		telephone		staff helping	
walking	age =32 years (range:	manager acts		(n=2)		clients to achieve	
prostitutes by	23- 38 years).	as a fixed point		interviews		stability with	
drawing upon	Ethnicity: African	of contact for a		with clients,		mental health. (3)	
a recent	American (n=2), White	patient during		staff and		Child custody	
program	(n=8). Drug/alcohol	the co-		community		expressed as a	
evaluation of a	use: crack cocaine	ordination of		professionals.		realistic short-	
Case	users (n=8), alcohol	their care				term goal by sex	
Management	(n=2), and heroin	including				workers and were	
Program (CMP)	(n=1). Referred whilst	referrals to				anxious to access	
that targets	in jail (n=9). 8	relevant				relevant support.	
female	diagnosed with mental	support				(4) access to child	
prostitutes	health disorder:	agencies.				custody support	
who have	bipolar disorder (n=3),					was felt to be	
involvement in	depression (n=3),					outside of the	
the criminal	ADHD (n=1), Anxiety					scope of CMP by	
justice system.	(n=1).					support staff –	
						who promoted	
	Average (mean) time					the need for	
	in the program at the					respect and	
	point of interview 5.9					support in client's	
	months.					rights to self -	
						determine. (5)	
						women do not	
						view themselves	
						as victims.	

Benoit, et al.	Canada	An 8 week	Phenomenological	(1) Qualitative	Thematic	(1) Reduced	(++)
(2017) [18]		Sexually		semi-	Analysis	internalised	(NICE)
	n=5. Sex workers	Transmitted		structured		stigma and	
Evaluation of a	(independent	and Blood		interviews	NVivo	increased self	
pilot study	indoor/webcam/escort	Borne Infection		with		esteem in	
facilitating	agency/independent	(STBBI) Peer		participants		participants. (2)	
peer-based	outdoor), varied in	health		prior to the		Heightened	
community	age, gender, sexual	education		training, after		critical	
leadership by	orientation,	program.		the training		consciousness	
designing and	indigenous	Developed in		and at the end		relating to	
evaluating a	background,	consultation		of an 8-week		diversity within	
small Sexually	socioeconomic status	with sex		intervention		the sex industry.	
Transmitted	and sex work history-	workers		phase. (2)		(3) Improved	
and Blood	no details provided	delivering		Journals kept		knowledge of	
Borne Infection		sessions on		by the		local services. (4)	
(STBBI)	Advertisement	empowerment,		participants		Increased	
prevention	Cash Honorarium \$40	health &		and project		confidence in	
strategy led by	for weekly	safety, harm		co-ordinator		challenging	
sex workers as	interventions and \$40	reduction,		after each		stigma from front	
peer	for participating in	diversity		training and		line services.	
educators.	debriefing sessions	awareness and		debrief			
		overdose		session			
		prevention.					
Bodkin, et al.	Canada	Persons at Risk	Phenomenological	(1) 15-60	Qualitative	(1) PAR	(-)
(2015) [19]		Program (PAR).		minute 1-2-1	Descriptive	programme	(NICE)
	n=14. Street level	,		semi-	Analytical	highlighted as	,
To highlight	female sex workers.	Outreach		structured	Approach	essential in	
the	Age range: 23-49	program		interviews	is is a second	improved assess	
effectiveness	years. Time in sex	provided by a		with female		and continued	
of a	work: 2 months-34	female police		sex workers		engagement with	
collaboration	years. Ethnicity:	officer and		enrolled in the		health care / law	
between a	Caucasian (n=11),	general		PAR		enforcement	

		T	T	T				1
health care	Native (n=3). Sex Work	practitioner to			programme		services. (2)	
physician and	Status: active (n=5),	improve access			(n=14). (2)		treatment needs	
police officer in	semi-retired (n=1),	to law			Semi-		for mental health	
accessing	exited (n=8)	enforcement			Structured		and addiction	
street level sex		and health care			interviews		prioritised. (3)	
worker	Snowball sampling	services.			with health &		location and	
populations in					law		flexible opening	
London,	Travel reimbursement,				enforcement		hours in addition	
Ontario,	coffee shop voucher				professionals		to female gender	
Canada.							of service workers	
							favoured.	
Felsher, et al.	USA	Ecologic	Phenomenological		Interviews	Thematic	Participants	(+)
(2018) [20]		Momentary			were	analysis	reported that	(NICE)
	n=25. Female. Median	Assessment			conducted in		EMA through	
How	age:42.5 years.				a private		twice daily	
participation in	Ethnicity: 75% Black.	1) 12 hourly			room at		electronic diaries	
Ecologic	58% engaged in	digital diary			community-		increased self-	
Momentary	transactional sex at	entries via a			based		reflection, which	
Assessment	least once per week.	smartphone			organisations.		heightened self-	
(EMA) – a self-	25% consumed 4/+	provided by					awareness. For	
report diary	alcoholic drinks per	the study					some, self-	
intervention	day, 25% used	assessed;					awareness led to	
improved	marijuana daily, 42%	mood,					intention to	
the mental	used cocaine weekly.	alcohol/drug					change behaviour	
health	42% initiated sex work	craving and					and, for others,	
of women	before the age of 18.	use. Partnered					increased self-	
engaging	37% reported	sexual					awareness led to	
in transactional	receiving little social	behaviours-					actual behaviour	
sex	support from friends	including					change including	
	or family.	condom use,					engagement in	
		partner					more health-	
	Targeted outreach,	characteristics					promoting	
	venue-based	and locations					behaviours.	

	recruitment,	of sexual						
	incentivised peer	events, over a						
	referral	period of 4						
	TETETTAL	•						
		weeks (2)						
		weekly face-to- face interviews						
		with study						
		personnel						
		focusing on						
		context of						
		sexual lives						
		supported by						
		diary entries.						
Jeal, et al.	UK	Drug treatment	Phenomenological	•	20-90-minute	Framework	(1) Inability to	(+)
(2017) [1]		groups			In-depth	analysis	discuss sex work	(NICE)
	n=24. Female street-				interviews		within drug	
To explore	based sex workers.				undertaken at		treatment groups	
street sex	Age: 26-54 years. 24				the site of		undermined	
workers views	disclosed experience				recruitment,		engagement in	
and	of street-based sex				university		treatment	
experiences of	workers and				setting or in		processes. (2)	
drug	dependency on				the		Disclosure of sex	
treatment.	crack/heroin in the				participants		work often	
	previous 5 years, 14				own home.		resulted in stigma	
	had injected drugs at						or unwanted	
	most recent use, 9				Topic guide		attention (3)	
	reported illicit daily				used for		recommendations	
	drug use				consistency		made for 1-2-1	
							therapy with	
	Flyers displayed in						female therapists	
	venues of sex worker						and sex worker	
	support organisations						only treatment	
							groups.	

	£20 shopping voucher						
Preble, et al.	USA	Faith based	Phenomenological	1.5-hour semi-	Thematic	Financial	(++)
(2016) [21]		'Fresh Start		structured,	analysis	assistance for	(NICE)
	n= 13. Female.	Program'		face-to-face		basic needs was	
Describe the	prostitution (n=5),	providing legal		interviews.		powerful for	
experiences of	exotic dancer (n=5),	and medical		Conducted in		beginners.	
female sex	exotic	assistance		private rooms		Opportunities for	
workers	dancing/prostitution	referrals,		chosen by		peer support	
receiving	(n=2),	employment		participants		promoted	
services to	prostitution/erotic	services,		located within		community	
support	massage (n=1).	counselling,		the church		cohesion and re-	
sustained exit	Industry years ranged	educational				investiture.	
from the sex	between 3.5-20+	opportunities,		Focus groups		Support with	
industry	years.9 reported a	financial		to sense check		budgeting was	
	history of substance	literacy, life		initial data		experienced as	
	misuse. Participant	skills training		analysis.		patriarchal and	
	divided into 3 groups	and computer				disempowering.	
	depending upon	literacy.				More support	
	length and level of					could be offered	
	participation within					around housing	
	the program:					assistance. Case	
	Beginners 3 months-12					Management	
	months (n=7), Middle					Programs (CMP's)	
	participants had been					were beneficial;	
	with the agency for					however, these	
	more than 1 year but					were criticised for	
	had not graduated					being grounded in	
	(n=16) and graduates					assumption	
	who had complete all					rather than the	
	requirements of the					actual needs of	
	agency's programming					service users.	
	(n=7). Four						
	participants from each						

			T	T		Γ	I	Ι
	group were randomly							
	selected to make up							
	the final sample.							
	Participants selected							
	by program staff							
	Pre-requisite for							
	participants to leave							
	sex work prior to being							
	enrolled on the							
	program							
Wahab	USA	PDP was	Phenomenological		1-2-hour	Open coding	Sex workers: felt	(+)
(2006) [22]		designed and			semi-		supported by	(NICE)
	n=31. Female. Current	operationalised			structured		counsellors and	
Evaluate the	or ex sex workers n=12	as a three-			qualitative		felt they were	
usefulness of a	& non-sex workers-	phase program			interviews,		able to teach PDP	
Prostitution	service providers	lasting for 40			extended on-		stakeholders	
Diversion	n=19. Age range: 22-	weeks. Each			site		about sex work,	
Project (PDP)	43 years. Age when	phase required			observations,		reported	
	starting sex work 15-	attendance to			field notes		engaging in harm	
	22 years. Industry	weekly group			and copies of		reduction	
	years range: 1 month -	sessions of			written		behaviours and	
	27 years. Ethnicity:	facilitated			program		consequently	
	Hispanic (n=3), African	Harm			materials		believed they	
	American (n=1),	Reduction					were protecting	
	White/Caucasian	workshops,					themselves from	
	(n=7), Bi-racial (n=1).	individual					HIV. Personal	
	Education: High	counselling and					benefits include	
	school-college	engagement					individual	
	diploma.	with outside					therapy, resource	
	'	treatment					referrals & help	
		providers					with sobriety.	

Mixed methods	Sex workers referred to the PDP at the time of the study received a generic recruitment letter. Honorarium at \$20 per hour							
Study & aim	Geographical location, sample size, characteristics & recruitment method	Intervention	Study design	Outcome measures	Data collection	Data analysis	Findings	Quality score
Decker, et al.	USA	INSPIRE	Quasi-	Revised	Pre-test self-	Descriptive	Increase in Safety	Weak
(2018) [23]		(Integrating	experimental,	Conflict	administered	analysis was	Behaviour Scores	(EPHPP)
	n=60. Female.73 %	safety	single group pre-	Tactics Scale	survey. Post-	calculated for	(51.2 vs. 58.1	
Evaluate a	street-based sex	promotion	test post-test	(CTS) adapted	intervention	baseline	p<.0001) and	(+)
brief trauma-	workers, 27% venue	with HIV Risk		for sex work,	exit survey.	characteristics.	knowledge of	(NICE)
informed	based. Average age:	Reduction)			10-12 week	Attrition	sexual violence	
intervention to	35.3 years. Ethnicity:			6 item	follow up	analysis	support programs	
improve safety	72% White, 16% Black.	Intervention		Perceptions	survey and	compared	increased from	
and reduce HIV	41% relied on sex work	consists of a		of Abuse	participation	baseline	28.9% to 76.3%	
risk among	as their sole income,	brief semi-		Likert Scale,	in a 25-45 min	characteristics	(p<.0001).	
female sex	86% current IVDU.	structured			in depth	to those		
workers.		dialogue,		Sex Work-	interview.	retained using	Avoidance of	
	Participants were	reinforced with		specific Rape		t-tests and chi	condom	
	recruited from a	a safety card.		Myths Scale	Interventions	square	negotiation	
	street-based needle			adapted from	and data	analysis.	decreased	
	exchange outreach	Content		general	collection	Baseline and	between baseline	
	van in a location with	includes		population	were	follow up data	and follow up (2.0	
	high levels of sex	trauma-		instruments,	conducted in	were	vs 1.4 p=.04).	
	trading activity	informed			private	evaluated	Average	
		support,			locations in	using paired t-	frequency of sex	

validation,	Sex Work	the outreach	tests. Iterative	with clients while	
safety	Safety	van or	thematic	under the	
promotion and	Behaviour	adjacent	analysis was	frequency of sex	
links to	Scale adapted	vehicles.	undertaken on	with clients while	
services.	from the		qualitative	under the	
	Safety		data from	influence of drugs	
	Promoting		interviews	or alcohol	
	behaviour			decreased	
	checklist,			significantly	
				(men=4.4 vs. 4.0;	
	Condom			p=.04)	
	Confidence				
	Scale,			PTSD and	
				depression	
	Depression:			symptomology	
	CESD,			were high at	
				baseline (PTSD	
	PTSD 17 item			mean 51.4), no	
	PTSD			changes were	
	checklist,			observed from	
				baseline to follow	
	Intervention			up	
	acceptability				
	Likert Scale				

Summary of findings:

Ecologic Momentary Assessment (EMA)

Ecological Momentary Assessments (EMA) study people's thoughts and behaviour in their daily lives by repeatedly collecting questionnaire data in their normal environment, at or close to the time they carry out that behaviour. This is achieved through regular self-report diary entries covering key information around risks logged by mobile phone.

In a quantitative study investigating the benefits of EMA through smart phone enabled diary entries every 12 hours and weekly face to face interviews, levels of self-esteem increased from 4.08 points from baseline to exit (p<.001) over a period of 4 weeks amongst a sample of 25 women engaging in transactional sex. Whilst mean scores for anxiety and depression decreased from baseline, they were not statistically significant. Women who initiated sex work as minors reported decreased depression between base line and exit (4.1 points, p=.05). Anxiety also decreased in women who drank less than four alcoholic drinks per day (1.9 points, p=.03) or used marijuana daily (3.7 points p=.05)[15].

Statistical analysis through the use of t-tests failed to stratify which element of the intervention, EMA or weekly interviews had the greatest effect on the otherwise combined outcome measures reported. The short study time documented for participating in EMA impacts on the ability to assess sustainability of intervention success outside of the documented 4 weeks. The small sample size of this study further limits the power of the findings to detect differences across wider populations of sex workers.

In a separate study, qualitative evaluations of women participating in transactional sex who engaged in the same intervention experienced a heightened awareness of their emotions and behaviour. Resulting in either actual or intended changes in behaviour, including; decreased engagement in sex work, sobriety, procurement of condoms and addressing negative behavioural triggers[20]. Whilst the exploratory nature of the study, absence of theoretical framework and small sample (n=25) limits the generalisability of findings, EMA shows some utility in its ability to facilitate behaviour change to further support sex worker wellbeing.

Exiting programmes

Exiting programmes address the causes and consequences of sex work to encourage industry exit. Group counselling was experienced as being helpful to women participating in qualitative evaluations of a Prostitution Diversion Program, specifically in its utility to facilitate conversations around addiction, abuse, trauma, mental health and relationships[22]. These were considered beneficial to both participants and stakeholders who were able to learn directly from lived experiences to further inform and develop group sessions.

Programmes that offered financial assistance to women who were in the early stages of exiting sex work (between 3 months-1 year) were positively evaluated in a qualitative review of a faith-based exiting programme developed to support sustained exit from the sex industry. Peer support was encouraged by women participating in sex worker support services. Engaging with peers promoted a sense of community belonging and

cohesion in addition to presenting opportunities for re-investing help and support to other sex working women[21].

The lack of quantitative based research inclusive of validated measures to assess intervention success contributes to the weak evidence base for diversion and exiting programs. Available evidence is grounded in selection bias in account that programme enrolment is largely offered as an alternative to jail time or dependent on a prerequisite to have already exited from sex work.

Drug support

Prescribed Maintenance Therapy (PMT) in the form of a regulated and controlled prescription for heroin to support drug addiction, along with psychosocial support for female street sex workers from a specialist GP setting in the UK, reported significant improvements in quality of life between pre and post-test measures recorded 1 year apart (12.05 at entry to 8.97 after 1-year p<.001)[16].

The use of paired t-tests to look for changes in pre-post-test scores fails to distinguish between the separate effects of PMT and psychosocial interventions. Poor reporting fails to provide a definition as to what psychosocial interventions were offered and how these were accounted for in response to confounding factors. Despite being free from attrition bias these findings are vulnerable to bias, given that the setting was based in a GP practice, where over reporting of healthy behaviour is likely and reporting success could also be perceived by participants as a requisite to securing ongoing prescriptions.

Qualitative evaluations of female street sex workers's experiences of drug treatment services (n=24) highlight the importance of providing opportunities for sex working women to openly discuss their drug use free from the unwanted attention of male service users. Across interview data, participants described how feeling unable to discuss their sex work in drug treatment groups undermined their engagement in treatment processes. Non-disclosure meant that they could not discuss unresolved issues around trauma which emerged or increased when reducing their drug use[1].

Recommendations were made for sex worker only services delivered by female staff. The provision of one-to-one counselling was felt to provide the opportunities for people explore personal issues in more depth, not possible within group settings. However, these claims lack transferability to male or transgendered sex workers given that the findings reflect the voices and experiences of women[24].

Trauma-informed interventions:

The development of a safety card, developed in consultation with sex workers, providing harm reduction, safety information and support for accessing violence related services for sex workers attending an outreach needle exchange service reported an increase in; safety behaviour scores (51.2 to 58.1, p<.0001) and use of support programmes responding to intimate partner violence (10.5% to 28.9%, p<.01) between baseline and follow up (10-12 weeks)[23].

Whilst no changes were observed at follow up from high baseline levels of PTSD (mean=51.4) or depression (mean=19.2). Avoidance of condom negotiation (2.0 to 1.4, p=.04) and the average frequency of sex with clients while under the influence of drugs or alcohol (mean=4.4 to 4.0, p=.04) decreased. Generalisability of these findings is restricted due to rates of data attrition (39/60) and the population being limited to street-based female sex workers already engaging in relevant risk reduction interventions.

Within qualitative evaluations, new knowledge of support organisations included on the safety card prompted and enabled women to offer peer support to friends and colleagues. An enhanced confidence was experienced by women through open discussions, enabled through use of the card, around topics rarely discussed including coercive barriers to condom use and safety

The Persons at Risk Program (PAR), a harm reduction service which aimed to improve access to health care and essential services for street level sex workers through outreach work undertaken by a general practitioner and police officer was qualitatively evaluated in a second trauma informed intervention study[19].

The PAR was valued by sex workers for the streamlined and focused nature of care provision in overcoming barriers to services otherwise avoided due to fear of stigma from front line service staff, including; drug abuse, infectious diseases and mental health assistance. However, findings are limited to a sample of women who choose not to access front line services, who had stopped using drugs and successfully exited sex work.

Peer health initiatives

Findings from qualitative interviews show that peer advocacy in the delivery of a Sexually Transmitted and Blood-Borne Infection (STBBI) prevention strategy, developed and delivered by sex workers as peer educators[18], led to reduced internalised stigma and increased self-esteem and confidence across participants (n=5). Improved critical consciousness and resource mobilisation was attributed to the inclusion of training materials that promoted diversity within sex working communities and awareness of local support agencies.

Small numbers of participants, limits the generalisability of findings in this study and inclusion of broader sex working experiences across wider demographics and geographical contexts. The short duration of the study also restricts our understanding of the long-term sustainability and ownership of peer health initiatives. Future studies incorporating quantitative measures of internalised stigma and self-esteem would help to strengthen the evidence base for peer health interventions. However, the study provides proof of concept that local sex working communities are receptive and willing to participate in peer-led health initiatives.

Case Management Programmes (CMP's)

In Case Management Programs (CMP's) a named case manager acts as a fixed point of contact for a patient during the co-ordination of care. One study qualitatively evaluated a community-based CMP for street-walking prostitutes in Florida[17]. Across a purposive

sample, access to sex worker specific treatment programmes for substance misuse and support with child custody were identified as important services amongst sex working women (n=10). Whilst support with engaging in mental services was highlighted by program staff (n=4) and community professionals (n=9).

The inability to compare outcomes from these services independently of CMP referrals weakens the evidence base for the effectiveness of CMPs. Bias exists in the sample, as the majority of participants had been referred in to the service whilst in jail. Attendance to the programme is likely to be linked to conditions of their parole and therefore not representative of those freely engaging in service provision.

Discussion:

Sex workers present with specific health and wellbeing needs[25] beyond the scope of sexual health screening. Despite this, an identifiable gap exists in the current evidence, around how to respond to the additional psychosocial needs experienced by sex workers or those engaging in transactional sex.

The results from this review highlight the utility of a range of interventions which aim to improve sex worker wellbeing including peer health initiatives, EMA (phone base diary intervention), drug support services and trauma-informed interventions. However, the limited information around study characteristics and small sample sizes reflects low levels of participation beyond street-based female sex workers. Limiting the power of studies to detect differences across more diverse and less researched populations including male, transgender and migrant sex workers and those using a range of platforms to engage in wider arenas of sex work.

The implications of these findings are firstly, that the field would benefit from broadening definitions of sex work by including wider and more contemporary outlets of sex work such as adult content creators operating on subscription only platforms. A broader definition of sex work will help to adequately acknowledge and represent the diversification of sex work, helping to challenge perpetuated stereotypes of sex worker identities and their needs.

Poor study design contributed to the weak evidence base for psychosocial interventions aiming to improve sex worker wellbeing. Across quantitative studies the opportunities for comparison of findings against control groups and an inability to discriminate between intervention effects in statistical analysis, impacts our ability to make clear evidence-based recommendations to inform policy and practice within geographical rich countries.

Whilst the evidence for EMA (phone prompted diary approach)[15] remains weak and unsubstantiated, participation in regular diary entries enabled by smart phone technology improved self-esteem whilst encouraging intention for, or actual behaviour change[20]. Further research grounded in behaviour change theory would inform the development of EMA and its ability to identify and support individual psychosocial wellbeing needs.

The small number of methodologically rigorous studies reflects the challenges of studying this population[26], including the ethical issues such as compensation of sex worker time or researcher standpoint on decriminalisation. Furthermore, barriers to sex worker identification and availability include exacerbation of minority stress, given that sex working practices are perceived to differ from the majority of surrounding society[27] and potential breaches in confidentiality which may expose sex workers to public disclosure of highly stigmatised and criminalised identities[28,29].

In addressing these issues, some studies included in the review recruited sex workers to Patient and Public Involvement (PPI) roles, to assist in the administration of surveys and questionnaires or facilitation of focus groups[30]. Very few authors discussed the pro and cons of this approach particularly in consideration to any impact on the data collected. Similarly, the use of incentives such as gift cards, travel coupons or money to enable participation remain largely undiscussed.

The use of incentives to recruit research participants remains a controversial issue[31], but was featured in several of the studies included in this review. Sanders (2006)[32] argues that paying sex workers for gaining access to information about their life experiences is similar to the situation of a client paying the sex worker for gaining access to their body and is highly exploitative. However, Maher (2000)[33] contends that providing modest renumeration is only fair practice and one that encourages participation. In previous research with socially and economically marginalised communities, uncompensated studies of sex workers often bias the sample towards more privileged and more political engaged individuals than studies which offer recompense. This is important as much sex work is driven by economic survival[34].

Alongside fear of exploitation, community participation in research can be constrained by scepticism that the research will not result in any direct benefit[35]. The under use of participatory methodologies, often means that available studies are often conceptualised without relevant engagement with sex working communities or organisations, resulting in the production of research which targets the perceived rather than actual needs of sex workers.

Participatory methodologies along with Patient Public Involvement (PPI) help to address some of these ethical issues in their ability to develop research that adequately addresses the needs of sex workers whilst also safeguarding participants from exploitation[35,36]. Attempts to engage sex workers in the design of research should not be tokenistic or used to legitimise research, but instead should focus on developing methodologies and equitable partnerships that meet the needs of sex working communities.

The evidence for peer health initiatives[18] further highlights the importance of community-based responses that prioritise the engagement of target populations in the development and delivery of support programmes[37]. Collective processes, initiated by this engagement, are experienced as helping to create a community voice capable of social and behavioural changes, including improved awareness and access to support services[18]. Findings presented in this review provides some proof of concept that peer support is effective in hidden and stigmatised populations[38], who do not otherwise engage well with health care providers. Peer education may provide the opportunity for sex workers to become authentic educators[39] not only in their community but across public service organisations.

Potential biases of the review process:

The scope of this review focused on psychosocial interventions which were evaluated using only validated health and wellbeing outcome measures, which are considered to being at a reduced risk of bias compared to self-reported measures[40]. This may have led to the exclusion of some studies where interventions were less rigorously evaluated, but still experienced as beneficial to overall wellbeing by participants. Disaggregation of data will have also contributed to the exclusion of studies where sex work is reported within sample characteristics, but not presented separately within research findings.

Conclusion:

This systematic review identifies a gap in the evidence base around the effectiveness of psychosocial interventions to support the wellbeing of sex workers. Available studies are weak in their design and lack generalisability beyond female street-based sex workers. Phone based diarising such as EMA provides some evidence of promoting intentions for behaviour change but as with other approaches, would benefit to some focus around how such interventions create change. Finally, peer health initiatives developed in consultation with sex workers offer promise but warrant further investigation.

Additional educational resources

- ► The nature and prevalence of prostitution and sex work in England and Wales today.

 Professor Marianne Hester Prostitution and Sex Work Report.pdf

 (publishing.service.gov.uk)
- Sex Work and Mental Health: Reviewing the occupational risks of sex workers in comparison to other 'risky' professions. Professor Teela Sanders Microsoft Word - briefing paper final .docx (le.ac.uk)
- ► Guiding principles for best practice research with sex workers. Samuel Brookfield Barriers to Accessing Sexual Health Services for Transgender and Male Sex Workers: A Systematic Qualitative Meta-summary | SpringerLink

Acknowledgements: The authors would like to acknowledge the assistance of Hannah Poore, specialist subject librarian at the University of the West of England and Dr Lucy Platt from the London School of Hygiene and Tropical Medicine.

Contributors: KT and JM initiated the study and defined the research question. All authors contributed to developing the methodology. KT carried out all literature reviews. KT DM & LS acted as data reviewers and extractors. JM provided reconciliation in the event of any discrepancies. KT drafted the protocol and manuscript later edited by JM.

Funding: The authors have not declared a specific grant for this research from any funding agency in the public, commercial or not-for-profit sectors.

Disclaimer: The findings and conclusions of this review are those of the authors and do not represent the official position of the University of the West of England Bristol or other institutions with which the authors are affiliated.

Competing Interests: None declared.

Data Availability: No data are available.

Patient and Public Involvement: Patient and Public involvement was not undertaken for this systematic review.

Patient consent for publication: Not required.

Provenance and peer review: Not commissioned; externally peer reviewed.

Exclusive licence: I, the Submitting Author has the right to grant and does grant on behalf of all authors of the Work (as defined in the below author licence), an exclusive licence and/or a non-exclusive licence for contributions from authors who are: i) UK Crown employees; ii) where BMJ has agreed a CC-BY licence shall apply, and/or iii) in accordance with the terms applicable for US Federal Government officers or employees acting as part of their official duties; on a worldwide, perpetual,

irrevocable, royalty-free basis to BMJ Publishing Group Ltd ("BMJ") its licensees and where the relevant Journal is co-owned by BMJ to the co-owners of the Journal, to publish the Work in BMJ Sexual & Reproductive Health and any other BMJ products and to exploit all rights, as set out in our <u>licence</u>.

ORCID iDs:

Kevin Turner https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6657-1477
Jane Meyrick https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0707-4830
Danny Miller https://orchid.org/0000-0001-5615-4461
Laura Stopgate https://orchid.org/0000-0001-5615-4461

References:

- [1] Jeal N, Macleod J, Salisbury C, et al. Identifying possible reasons why female street sex workers have poor drug treatment outcomes; a qualitative study. *BMJ Open* 7;2017: e013018. doi: 10.1136/bmjopen-2016-013018.
- [2] Overs C, Hawkins K. Can rights stop the wrongs? Exploring the connections between framings of sex workers' rights and sexual and reproductive health. *BMC Int Health and Hum Rights* 2011;11(3):S3-S6. doi: 10.1186/1472-698x-11-S3-S6.
- [3] Nitschke H, Oliveira F. 10 years of experience: Comprehensive sexual health services for female sex workers (FSW) in Cologne. *Sex Transm Infect* 2013;89(1):A138. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2013-051184.0429.
- [4] Callender D, Bell S, Minichiello V, et al. (2019) P712 "you need a cash buffer": male sex work and condom use in the era of HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis. *Sex Transm Infect* 2019;95:A309-A310. doi: 10.1136/sextrans-2019-sti.776.
- [5] Mukandavire Z, Vickerman P. Comparing the utility of condom and HIV pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP) use among FSWs. *Sex Transm Infect* 2013;89(1):A274. doi:10.1136/sextrans-2013-051184.0853.
- [6] Evans S, Malek R, King G, et al. Hepatitis B vaccination in male sex workers. *HIV Med* 2014;15:148.
- [7] Benoit C, Jansson SM, Smith M, et al. Prostitution stigma and its effect on working conditions, personal lives and health of sex workers. *J Sex Research* 2018;55:457-471. doi: 10.1080/00224499.2017.1393652.
- [8] Koken JA. Independent female escort's strategies for coping with sex work related stigma. Sex Cult 2012;16:209-229. doi: 10.1007/s12119-011-9120-3.
- [9] Buttram ME, Surratt HL, Kurtz SP. Resilience and syndemic risk among African-American female sex workers. *Psychol Health Med* 2014;19(4):442-452. doi: 10.1080/13548506.2013.824595.
- [10] Chan AW. Out of sight but not out of mind: how to search for unpublished clinical trial evidence. *BMJ* 2012;344: D8013. doi: 10.1136/bmj.d8013.
- [11] Harcourt C, Donovan B. The many faces of sex work. Sex Transm Infect 2005;81: 201-206. doi: 10.1136/sti.2004.012468.
- [12] Thomas BH, Ciliska D, Dobbins M, et al. A process for systematically reviewing the literature: Providing the research evidence for public health nursing interventions. Worldviews Evid Based Nurs 2004;1:176–184. doi: 10.1111/j.1524-475X.2004.04006.x.
- [13] National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (2012) Methods for the development of NICE public health guidance. London: National Institute for Health and Clinical Excellence.
- [14] Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, et al. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses: The PRISMA Statement. *Journal Clin Epidemiol* 2009;62:1006-1012. doi: 10.1371/journal.pmed.1000097.

- [15] Gunn JKL, Roth AM, Center KA, et al. The unanticipated benefits of behavioural assessments and interviews on anxiety, self-esteem and depression among women engaging in transactional sex. *Community Ment Health J* 2016;52:1064-1069. doi: 10.1007/s10597-015-9844-x.
- [16] Litchfield J, Maronge A, Rigg T, et al. Can a targeted GP-led clinic improve outcomes for street sex workers who use heroin? *Br J of Gen Pract* 2010;60(576):514-516. doi: 10.3399/bjgp10X514774.
- [17] Arnold EA, Stewart JC & McNeece CA (2000) The Psychosocial treatment needs of street-walking prostitutes: Perspectives from a case management program. *J Offender Rehabil* 2000;30(3/4):117-132. doi: 10.1300/J076v30n03_06.
- [18] Benoit C, Belle-Isle L, Smith M, et al. (2017) Sex workers as peer health advocates: community empowerment and transformative learning through a Canadian pilot program. *Int J Equity Health* 2017;16:160. doi: 10.1186/s12939-017-0655-2.
- [19] Bodkin K, Delahunty-Pike A, O' Shea, T. Reducing stigma in healthcare and law enforcement; a novel approach to service provision for street level sex workers. *Int J Equity Health* 2015;14: 35. doi: 10.1186/s12939-015-0156-0.
- [20] Felsher M, Wiehe SE, Gunn JKL, et al. 'I got it off my chest': An examination of how research participation improved the mental health of women engaging in transactional sex. Community Ment Health J 2018;54:171-179. doi: 10.1007/s10597-017-0094-y.
- [21] Preble KM, Praetorius RT and Cimino A. Supportive exits; a best practice report for a sex worker intervention. *J Hum Behav Soc Environ* 2016;26(2): 162-178. doi: 10.1080/10911359.2015.1082852.
- [22] Wahab S. Evaluating the usefulness of a prostitution diversion project. *Qualitative social work* 2006;5(1):67-92. doi: 10.1177/1473325006061539.
- [23] Decker MR, Tomko C, Wingo E, et al. A brief, trauma-informed intervention increases safety behavior and reduces HIV risk for drug-involved women who trade sex. *BMC Public Health* 2018;18:75. doi: 10.1186/s12889-017-4624-x.
- [24] Meyrick J. What is good qualitative research? A first step towards a comprehensive approach to judging rigour/quality. *J Health Psychol* 2006;11(5):799-808. doi: 10.1177/1359105306066643.
- [25] Spice W. Management of sex workers and other high-risk groups. *Occup Med (Lond.)* 2007;57(5): 322-328. doi: 10.1093/occmed/kqm045.
- [26] Arnold EM, Stewart JC & McNeece CA. Perpetrators as victims; understanding violence by female street walking prostitutes. *Violence Vict* 2001;16(2): 145-159.
- [27] Meyer IH. Prejudice, social stress, and mental health in lesbian, gay and bisexual populations: Conceptual issues and research evidence. *Psychological Bulletin* 2003;129: 674-697. doi: 10.1037%2F0033-2909.129.5.674
- [28] Daly W. Law enforcement in Times Square, 1970's 1990's. In: McNamara R, ed. Sex, scams, and street life: The sociology of New York City's Times Square. Westport: Praeger 1995:97-106.
- [29] Davis N. Prostitution: An international handbook on trends, problems, and policies. Westport: Greenwood Press 1993. doi: 10.1093/sf/74.4.1463.
- [30] Kloek M, Dijkstra M, Jonker M, et al. (2018) THAD0103 Violence towards sex workers in the Netherlands. *Journal of the international AIDS society* 2018; 21 (Suppl 6):e252148. doi: 10.1002/jia2.25148.
- [31] Bauermeister JA, Pingel E, Zimmerman M, et al. Data quality in HIV/AIDS web-based surveys: handling invalid and suspicious data. *Field Methods* 2012;24(3):272–291. doi:10.1177/1525822X12443097.
- [32] Sanders T. Researching sex work: Dynamics, difficulties, and decisions. In: Hobbs D & Wright R ed. The Sage handbook of fieldwork. London: Sage 2006:202-221 doi: https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9655.2007.00423 27.x

- [33] Maher L. Sexed work: Gender, race and resistance in a Brooklyn drug market. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press 2000
- [34] Brents BG, Sanders T. Mainstreaming the Sex Industry: Economic Inclusion and Social Ambivalence. *Journal of Law and Society* 2010;37(1):40-60. doi: 10.1111/j.1467-6478.2010.00494.x
- [35] Jeffreys E. Sex worker-driven research: Best practice ethics. Challenging Politics: Critical Voices, 2010. Available: https://www.nswp.org/resource/sex-worker-drivenresearch-best-practice-ethics [accessed on 14 Mar 2021].
- [36] Shaver FM. Sex work research: methodological and ethical challenges. *J Interpers Violence*. 2005;20(3):296–319. doi: 10.1177/0886260504274340
- [37] Benoit C, Carroll D and Chaudry M. In search of a healing place: aboriginal women in Vancouver's downtown eastside. *Soc Sci Med* 2003;56(4):821-33. doi 10.1016/S0277-9536(02)00081-3.
- [38] Broadhead R, Heckathorn D, Weakliem D, et al. Harnessing peer networks as an instrument for AIDS prevention: results from a peer-driven intervention. *Public Health Rep* 1998;113(1):42-57.
- [39] Moore L, Chersich MF, Steen R, et al. Community empowerment and involvement of female sex workers in targeted sexual and reproductive health interventions in Africa: A systematic review. *Global Health* 2014;10: 47. doi: 10.1186/1744-8603-10-47.
- [40] Blank L, Baxter SK, Payne N, et al. Systematic review and narrative synthesis of the effectiveness of contraceptive service interventions for young people, delivered in health care settings. *Health Educ Res* 2012;27(6):1102-1119. doi: 10.1093/her/cycs056.