

1 **Commentary on Complex regional pain syndrome: observations on**
2 **diagnosis, treatment and definition of a new subgroup. Zyluk and**
3 **Puchalski.**

4 The clinician's perspective of a condition is commonly informed via a
5 combination of factors that may include published literature, information from
6 colleagues, and the clinician's personal clinical experience, both current and
7 previous. However, all of these factors are likely to be heavily influenced and
8 filtered by the profession specific context within which the clinician sits. This
9 'filtered perspective' will arise from the time point at which the clinician usually
10 encounters a patient along the condition trajectory and the type of profession
11 specific intervention the patient has been referred for. When a condition such as
12 Complex Regional Pain Syndrome (CRPS) is encountered by many different
13 professions along a sometimes lengthy trajectory then the risk of profession-
14 specific biases occurring is potentially increased. The article by Zyluk and
15 Puchalski on CRPS needs to be viewed with this in mind.

16 It is well documented that the resolution rates of CRPS in the first year are
17 approximately 70-85% (Geertzen et. al., 1998; Field et. al., 1992; Sandroni et. al.,
18 2003) with a reduction to 36% within six years (de Mos et.al. 2007). This leaves
19 a significant minority of 15-20% who will continue to demonstrate active
20 features of CRPS at one year and many will demonstrate some permanent
21 disability at 10 years after injury (Geertzen et. al., 1998; Shasfoort et. al., 2004).
22 Clinical data collected by Zyluk and Puchalski over the past 20 years mimic these
23 incidence recovery rates well with 77% of their cohort having early (acute) CRPS
24 and 17% the more persistent form (chronic). It is this latter group that forms the

25 predominate focus of their article and which they propose is the “rarest and
26 most severe form” of CRPS which should be considered a “separate form” or sub-
27 group.

28

29 It is the nature of Hand Surgery that surgeons will see patients who require, or
30 are requesting surgery and they will follow the patient’s progress through that
31 surgical procedure to a reasonably time-limited post-operative period. For
32 patients with persistent problems where further surgery is not
33 required/advisable they are likely to be referred on to other medical providers.

34 In the case of those with non-resolving CRPS this is usually the specialties of Pain
35 Medicine and Rehabilitation. In these fields, due to the typically later referral to
36 such care, refractory CRPS is the norm with resolving CRPS the rare ‘sub-group’.

37 For example, in the UK national referral centre for CRPS, a rehabilitation centre
38 of excellence for those with persistent pain, approximately 120 new referrals are
39 received per year with only a handful of patients having a diagnosis of less than
40 one year and the vast majority three years plus. These patients are
41 predominantly female by a 3:1 ratio and middle aged with no obvious right/left
42 dominance of the affected limb but a slightly higher incidence of upper, versus
43 lower limb CRPS. They are not “exclusively 18-40 years old and female” as in
44 Zyluk’s and Puchalski’s cohort but reflective of the normal epidemiological
45 spread of CRPS as cited in published literature (de Mos 2007). Treatment for this
46 challenging group is informed by published national guidelines (Goebel et. al.,
47 2012) and includes a combination of physical and psychological rehabilitation
48 that is facilitated by analgesia and education. A cure in these late stage patients is
49 highly unlikely so optimising function and developing self-management skills

50 through multi-disciplinary rehabilitation is where treatment is focused (McCabe
51 2013).

52

53 Persistent CRPS carries a heavy personal and societal burden and identifying
54 those at risk of progressing to this state, in amongst the considerably more
55 common resolving form of CRPS, remains a challenge. This publication by Zyluk
56 and Puchalski reiterates this point but also highlights the requirement for multi-
57 speciality research groups to collaborate across the trajectory of this condition in
58 order to see the full spectrum and impact of CRPS in significant sized cohorts.
59 Having internationally recognised diagnostic criteria are also essential and it is
60 helpful to know that the Modified IASP Diagnostic criteria (or 'Budapest criteria"
61 (Harden et. al., 2010)) as cited in Zyluk's and Puchalski's paper, have been
62 formally accepted by their IASP Committee for Classification of Chronic Pain and
63 are available on their website (www.iasp-pain.org).

64

65 **References**

66 de Mos M, de Bruijn AGJ, Huygen FJPM et al. The incidence of complex regional
67 pain syndrome: a population based study. Pain 2007; 129:12-20

68

69 Field J, Warwick D, Bannister GC. Features of algodystrophy ten years after
70 Colles' fracture. Journal of Hand Surgery - British Volume 1992; 17 B(3):318-320.

71

72 Geertzen JHB, Dijkstra PU, van-Sonderon EL et al. Relationship between
73 impairment, disability and handicap in reflex sympathetic dystrophy patients; a

74 long-term follow-up study. Clin. Rehabil 1998;12:402-412.

75

76 Goebel A, Barker CH, Turner-Stokes L et al. Complex Regional Pain Syndrome in
77 Adults: UK Guidelines for Diagnosis, Referral and Management in Primary and
78 Secondary Care. Royal College of Physicians, London, UK (2012).

79

80 Harden RN, Bruehl S, Perez RSGM, et al. Validation of proposed diagnostic
81 criteria (the “Budapest criteria”) for complex regional pain syndrome. Pain 2010;
82 150: 268-74.

83

84 International Association for the Study of Pain Classification of Chronic Pain
85 [http://www.iasp-](http://www.iasp-pain.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Classification_of_Chronic_Pain&Template=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentID=16275)
86 [pain.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Classification of Chronic Pain&Template=/](http://www.iasp-pain.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Classification_of_Chronic_Pain&Template=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentID=16275)
87 [CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentID=16275](http://www.iasp-pain.org/AM/Template.cfm?Section=Classification_of_Chronic_Pain&Template=/CM/ContentDisplay.cfm&ContentID=16275)

88

89 McCabe CS. Rehabilitation of Complex Regional Pain Syndrome: evidence based
90 or trial and error? Pain Management 2013, in press

91

92 Sandroni P, Benrud-Larson LM, McClelland RL, Low PA. Complex regional pain
93 syndrome type I: incidence and prevalence in Olmsted County, a population-
94 based study. Pain 2003;103(1-2):199-207.

95

96 Schasfoort FC, Bussmann JB, Stam HJ. Impairments and activity limitations in
97 subjects with chronic upper-limb complex regional pain syndrome type I.
98 Archives of Physical Medicine & Rehabilitation 2004; 85(4):557-566.

99
100 Candy S McCabe
101 Royal National Hospital for Rheumatic Diseases
102 Upper Borough Walls
103 Bath BA2 6XD
104 Candy.mccabe@uwe.ac.uk
105