

1 **Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in leptin (*LEP*) and leptin**
2 **receptor (*LEPR*) genes with backfat thickness and daily weight gain in**
3 **Ukrainian Large White pigs**

4

5 V. Balatsky^a, Y. Oliinychenko^a, N. Sarantseva^a, A. Getya^b, A. Saienko^a, V. Vovk^a,
6 O. Doran^{c*}

7 *^aInstitute of Pig Breeding and Agro-Industrial Production, Laboratory of*
8 *Genetics, National Academy of Agricultural Sciences of Ukraine, Shvedska Mogila*
9 *1, 36013, Poltava, Ukraine*

10

11 *^bNational University of Life and Environmental Sciences of Ukraine, Heroyiv*
12 *Oborony street 15, 03041, Kyiv, Ukraine*

13

14 *^cFaculty of Health and Applied Sciences, University of the West of England,*
15 *Frenchay Campus, Coldharbour Lane, BS16 1QY, Bristol, UK*

16

17 *Corresponding author. E-mail address: Olena.doran@uwe.ac.uk (O. Doran)

18

19

20

21 **ABSTRACT**

22 Leptin (*LEP*) and leptin receptor (*LEPR*) genes play an important role in the regulation of fat
23 deposition and other commercially important traits in pigs and this regulation is known to be
24 breed-specific. The aim of the present study was to investigate the presence and frequency of
25 *LEP* polymorphisms g.2845A>T, g.3996T>C, and *LEPR* polymorphisms c.232A>T, c.915C>T
26 and c.2856C>T in Ukrainian Large White pigs, and to determine whether these polymorphisms
27 are associated with the following traits: backfat thickness at the 10th rib, backfat thickness at the
28 6-7th rib, backfat thickness at sacrum and average daily weight gain. The study was conducted
29 on 108 Ukrainian Large White purebred female pigs. Genotyping of *LEP* and *LEPR*
30 polymorphisms was performed using RCR-RELF technique. The study demonstrated that the
31 *LEP* SNP g.2845A>T was segregating in the population of Ukrainian Large White pigs studied
32 with almost equal frequencies of the alternative alleles being observed. The *LEP* SNP g.3996
33 T>C was absent in Ukrainian Large White pigs with all the animals having g.3996CC genotype.
34 The *LEPR* SNP c.915C>T was segregating in the pig population studied with c.915C allele
35 frequency dominating. Segregation was also observed for the *LEPR* SNP c.2856 C>T with an
36 almost equal frequency of the alternative alleles. The *LEPR* SNP c.232A>T was polymorphic
37 with the frequency of the alternative alleles c.232A and c.232T being 0.25 and 0.75 respectively.
38 No association was established between any of the traits investigated and the *LEP* SNP g.2845
39 A>T, *LEPR* SNP c.232A>T, and *LEPR* SNP c.915C>T. The *LEPR* SNP c.2856C>T was
40 associated with backfat thickness at the level of 6-7th and 10th ribs with c.2856TT genotype
41 having lower backfat thickness compared to c.2856CC and c.2856CT. The *LEPR* SNP
42 c.2856C>T was also associated with average daily weight gain which was lower in animals with
43 c.2856TT genotype. Results of the study suggest that *LEPR* SNP c.2856C>T can be considered
44 as a genetic marker for subcutaneous fat deposition and average daily weight gain in Ukrainian
45 Large White pigs. This marker can be of particular importance in breeding programmes aiming
46 to modify the carcass structure and pigs growth rate.

47 *Key words:* pig, leptin, leptin receptor, polymorphism, fat deposition, genetic marker

48

49 **1. Introduction**

50 One of the main aims of international pig industry is the production of animals with high
51 growth rate and high meat content. Fat content and distribution in pig carcasses are important
52 meat quality characteristics (Tyra et al., 2013; Pena et al., 2016) **which can be regulated by**
53 **marker-assisted selections** based on associations between DNA polymorphisms and the traits of
54 interest.

55 **Leptin (*LEP*) and leptin receptor (*LEPR*) genes play an important role in the regulation of fat**
56 **deposition (Sook-Ha et al., 2014). Over 400 *LEP* polymorphisms have been reported**
57 **in pigs (Bidwell et al., 1997; Perez-Montarelo et al., 2012) with some of them being associated**
58 **with carcass weight, daily weight gain, subcutaneous fat content and composition (Kennes et al.,**
59 **2001; Baurer et al., 2006; de Oliveira Peixoto et al., 2006). However, data of the literature on**
60 **associations between *LEP* polymorphisms and meat quality and production traits are**
61 **controversial and differ between pig breeds.**

62 Leptin receptor gene (*LEPR*) is located on a chromosome 6 in the region associated with
63 intramuscular fat content, back fat thickness, animal growth rate, and carcass conformation
64 (Ovilo et al., 2000; Varona et al., 2002; Ovilo et al., 2005; Galve et al., 2012). Over twenty five
65 *LEPR* SNPs have been identified, some of which have been shown to be associated with meat
66 quality traits in a breed-specific manner (Li et al., 2010; Uemoto et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2014).

67 **Investigation of associations between *LEP* and *LEPR* polymorphisms and economically**
68 **important traits are of particular interest in Large White breed which has been extensively used**
69 **in cross-breeding programmes across the world. In our previous work we demonstrated that**
70 **traditional Ukrainian pig breeds have breed-specific polymorphisms in cathepsin genes which**
71 **play an important role in controlling meat quality (Balatsky et al., 2015). However, the presence**

72 and frequency of *LEP* and *LEPR* polymorphisms in Ukrainian Large White pigs and their
73 association with meat quality traits and growth rate remain unclear.

74 A number of *LEP* polymorphisms have been identified in a regulatory region of the gene.
75 This includes (i) the SNP 2845A>T on the second intron in the area with the regulatory sites for
76 mRNA expression (Kennes et al., 2001; Chorev et al., 2012;) and (ii) the SNP 3996T>C in the
77 area controlling mRNA stability (Conne et al., 2012; Matoulkova et al., 2012). However it
78 remains unknown whether *LEP* SNPs g.2845 A>T and g.3996 T>C are associated with meat
79 quality and pig productivity traits in Ukrainian Large White pigs.

80 A number of SNPs have also been reported in the *LEPR* gene including SNPs c.232A>T
81 c.915C>T and c.2856C>T which are situated in the areas linked to the regulation of the protein
82 structure and functions. Associations between *LEPR* SNPs and meat quality traits have been
83 reported for Duroc, Polish Landrace, Yorkshire x Landrace crosses and Landrace pigs
84 (Mackowski, 2005; Amills et al., 2008; Kuehn et al., 2009; Uemoto, 2012). However, there is no
85 information on associations between the *LEPR* SNP c232C>T and economically important traits
86 in Large White breed.

87 The *LEPR* SNP c.2856C>T has been reported to be associated with intramuscular fat and
88 moisture content, taste, cholesterol level, flavour, overall liking and the shear force in Korean x
89 Yorkshire cross-breed (Liu et al., 2010) and commercial Canadian cross-breeds (Zhang et al.,
90 2014). No information is available on associations between the *LEPR* SNP c.2856C>T, *LEPR*
91 SNP c.915C>T and productivity traits in Large White pigs.

92 The aims of this study were: (i) to investigate the presence and frequency of the *LEP*
93 polymorphisms g.2845A>T, g.3996T>C, and *LEPR* polymorphisms c.232A>T, c.915C>T and
94 c.2856C>T in Ukrainian Large White pigs, and (ii) to determine whether there is association
95 between the above polymorphisms and backfat thickness and average daily weight gain as
96 indicators of pigs growth rate.

97

99 2. Materials and methods

100 2.1. *Animals and experimental design*

101 The study was conducted on 108 Ukrainian Large White purebred female pigs reared under the
102 same conditions on the farms of the Ukrainian Academy of Agricultural Sciences. **Ukrainian**
103 **Large White breed was developed by genetic selection on the basis of British Large White in**
104 **order to improve meat quality and carcass composition whilst retaining a high growth rate.**
105 **(Balatsky et al., 2016).**

106 We recognize that a number of animals used in this study was lower when compared to
107 an average number of animals used in other association studies reported in the literature. This
108 was due to a relatively modest scale of production of Ukrainian Large White breed and
109 associated difficulties with collecting a larger number of samples. All the procedures related to
110 animal handling complied with the European Convention for the Protection of Vertebrate
111 Animals used for Experimental and Others Scientific Purposes. The experimental protocol was
112 approved by the Scientific Committee of the Institute of Pig Breeding and Agro-Industrial
113 Production, National Academy of Agricultural Sciences, Ukraine.

114 The protocol for association studies was designed following the approach described by
115 Fontanesi et al. (2011). During the growth phase (the live weight between 40 and 60 kg), the pigs
116 were fed the diet containing per dry matter: 12.9 MJ/kg of net energy, 19.1% of crude protein
117 and 1.14 % of lysine. The diet was modified when the animals reached the live weight of 60 kg
118 (the finishing diet) and it contained per dry matter: 12.8 MJ/kg of net energy, 18.0% of crude
119 protein and 1.0% of lysine. The finishing diet was fed until the animals reached 100 kg of live
120 weight. The feed was manufactured by Poltava Feed Mill (Poltava, Ukraine).

121 All the pigs used in the association studies were tested for the 843 CT mutation in the
122 ryanodine receptor I gene which is associated with pig meat quality defects (Fujii et al., 1991). It

123 was demonstrated that all the animals used in the present study had a CC genotype, e.g. the
124 mutant allele variant was absent.

125

126 2.2. *Analyzes of backfat thickness and average daily weight gain*

127 Backfat thickness was measured by a portable digital Renco Lean-Meter device (Renco
128 Corporation, USA) in the following three locations: (i) at the 10th rib; (ii) at the 6th-7th rib and
129 (iii) at sacrum (Getya et al., 2006).

130 An average daily weight gain was calculated based on the data obtained from the birth and
131 over the whole period of animal life. The age of animals at 100 kg of live weight was recorded.

132

133 2.3. *DNA isolation, amplification and genotyping*

134

135 Blood samples (1 ml) were obtained from the jugular vein in the morning before feeding. The
136 blood samples were mixed with 0.05 M EDTA as an anticoagulant and stored up to seven days at
137 +4 °C until used for DNA isolation. Genomic DNA was isolated by a sorbent method using
138 DiatomTM DNA Prep100 kit (Isogen, Moscow, Russia) following the manufacturer instructions
139 with guanidine thiocyanate as a lysis reagent.

140 Genotyping of the *LEP* and *LEPR* polymorphisms was carried out using the polymerase chain
141 reaction-restriction fragment length polymorphism (PCR–RFLF) assay with primer pairs
142 described in Table 1. PCR reactions were performed in 25 µl (final volume) of the mixture
143 containing genomic DNA, 200 nM of forward and reverse primers, 2.5 mM MgCl₂, 0.25 mM of
144 each of the dNTPs and one unit of the recombinant Taq DNA Polymerase (Fermentas, Vilnius,
145 Lithuania). *LEP* was genotyped on the SNPs g.2845A>T (intron 2, rs344615147) and
146 g.3996T>C (3'UTR, rs337366389); *LEPR* was genotyped on the SNPs c.232A>T (exon 4,

147 AF092422), c.915C>T (exon 8, NM_001024587), and c.2856 C>T (exon 20, AF092422). The
 148 PCR amplification conditions are given in Table 1.

149

150 **Table 1**

151 Primer sequences, PCR conditions, and PCR-RFLF patterns of different *LEP* and *LEPR* alleles
 152 in Ukrainian Large White pig breed.

Genes and SNPs	Primer sequence	PCR conditions		
		Product length (bp)	Annealing temp (°C)	Restriction enzymes and PCR-RFLP patterns of different alleles
<i>LEP</i> g. 2845 A>T	Forward TTGGCGAGCCTGGAGCAGT Reverse GCAGCCTCCATCCCTAAGTGGG	242	55	(XbaI): allele g.2845A, 242 bp allele g.2845T,170+72 bp (Kennes et al., 2001)
<i>LEP</i> g. 3996 T>C	Forward GCAGCCTCCATCCCTAAGTGGG Reverse ACCCTGCTTGATGGTCGAAAGGCT	192	55	(BglII): allele g.3996T, 192 bp allele g.3996C,107+85 bp (Kennes et al., 2001)
<i>LEPR</i> c.232A>T	Forward TGCCTGCTGGAATCTCAAAG Reverse TTCCCTGCAATGTTGTCTGC	184	56	(TasI): allele c.232A, 71+13 bp allele c.232T, 184 bp (Mackowski et al., 2005)
<i>LEPR</i> c. 915C>T	Forward GCTGATGAGATCGTCTCAG Reverse CTTGTGTGGTAAAAGTAAAGG	145	55	(MspI): allele c.915T, 145 bp allele c.915C, 50+95 bp (Li et al., 2010)
<i>LEPR</i> c. 2856C>T	Forward CCCTCTTCTTTGGAGCCTGA Reverse GAGAAGCTTCTGGAATGAACTTA GACG	886	64	(AvaII): allele c.2856T, 796 bp allele c.2856C,502+293bp (Li et al., 2010)

153

154

155 2.4. Statistical analysis

156 The allele frequencies, genotype frequencies, polymorphic information content (PIC),

157 and levels of heterozygosity (observed heterozygosity H_o , and expected heterozygosity H_e) were

158 calculated using GenAEx 6.0 software (Peakall, 2006). Analysis of associations between
159 genotypes and backfat thickness and average daily weight gain were conducted by One Way
160 ANOVA.

161 Significance of differences between the mean values was determined by two-tailed *t*-test
162 using JMP12 (SAS Inst. Inc., Cary, NC). A *P value* ≤ 0.05 was considered significant.
163 Calculations of the additive (A) and dominance (D) components were carried out using the
164 following equations:

$$165 \quad A = \bar{X}_{22} - \bar{X}_{11}; \quad D = \bar{X}_{12} - \frac{\bar{X}_{11} + \bar{X}_{22}}{2}$$

166 where $\bar{X}_{11}, \bar{X}_{12}, \bar{X}_{22}$ are arithmetic mean values of productivity traits for the genotypes “11”
167 (homozygote for the first allele), “12” (heterozygote) and “22” (homozygote for the second
168 allele).

169

170 Effects of the alleles 1 and 2 (a_1 and a_2 respectively) were determined using the following

171 equations $\alpha_1 = m_1 - \bar{X}$ where:
 $\alpha_2 = m_2 - \bar{X}$

$$172 \quad m_1 = p \cdot \bar{X}_{11} + q \cdot \bar{X}_{12}$$

$$m_2 = p \cdot \bar{X}_{12} + q \cdot \bar{X}_{22}$$

173 p and q are the frequencies of the alleles 1 and 2 respectively; \bar{X} is the arithmetic mean value for
174 each trait.

175 Allelic substitution effects $\frac{\alpha}{2}(1 \rightarrow 2)$ were calculated using the equation

$$176 \quad \frac{\alpha}{2}(1 \rightarrow 2) = \frac{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1}{2}$$

177

178

179 **3. Results and discussion**

180 *3.1. LEP and LEPR allele frequencies and heterozygosity*

181 *LEP gene encodes for a hormone leptin that is produced in adipose tissue and circulates in*
182 *blood either in a free or bound forms (Mantzoros et al., 2001; Margetic et al., 2002; Park et al.,*

183 2014). Activity of leptin is regulated by Leptin Receptors which belong to the superfamily of
184 class I cytokine receptors (Clément et al., 1998).

185 Results presented in Table 2 show segregation of the *LEP* SNP g.2845A>T with almost equal
186 frequencies of the alternative alleles in Ukrainian Large White breed. These results are consistent
187 with data of the literature reporting the presence of the *LEP* SNP g.2845A>T in Duroc and
188 Landrace breeds although the g.2845T allele frequency in these breeds was low (Kennes et al.,
189 2001). At the same time, Kennes et al. (2001) did not observe the g.2845T allele in Yorkshire
190 pigs which suggest a breed-specific character of the allele distribution.

191 Using the Hardy-Weinberg equation, we established a statistically significant deviation ($\chi^2 =$
192 20.8) of the genotype distribution from an equilibrium with an increased proportion of
193 heterozygote animals. The H_o value was significantly higher than the H_e value (0.70 and 0.489
194 respectively). We have also observed a high PIC value (0.37) which was close to the maximum
195 value for diallelic genetic systems. According to Hao et al. (2011), this level of informativity is
196 the most favourable for undertaking associative studies.

197 In our study the *LEP* polymorphism g.3996T>C which has been reported for some breeds
198 was absent and all the Ukrainian Large White pigs had g.3996 CC genotype. These results are
199 consistent with the data for Yorkshire pig breed (Kennes et al., 2001).

200 In the present study we observed segregation of *LEPR* SNP c.2856 C>T and an almost equal
201 frequency of the alternative alleles in Ukrainian Large White pigs. The H_o and the PIC values
202 were 0.509 and 0.370 respectively which provided justification for undertaking associative
203 studies. According to Hardy-Weinberg distribution, there was a balanced representation of all the
204 mentioned above *LEPR* genotypes. This is in contrast to the genotype distribution for the *LEP*
205 SNP g.2845A>T when one of the homozygote variants was present only in eight animals.
206 According to the literature, the *LEP* SNP c.2856C>T was found to be polymorphic in Yorkshire
207 and Korean local pig breed (Liu et al., 2010), as well as in some commercial cross-breeds (Zang
208 et al., 2014).

209 The present study demonstrated segregation of the *LEPR* SNP c.915C>T in Ukrainian Large
 210 White pigs with c.915C allele frequency being dominant. At the same time, according to Liu et
 211 al. (2010) this SNP was monomorphic in a population of Yorkshire and local Korean breeds with
 212 only c.915C *LEPR* allele present. In our study, the level of *LEPR* heterozygosity and the PIC
 213 value were low. However, since all the above *LEPR* genotypes were present in the Ukrainian
 214 Large White pigs, we viewed this as a sufficient justification for undertaking association studies.

215 **Table 2**

216 *LEP* and *LEPR* genotypes, allele frequencies and heterozygosity in Ukrainian Large White pig
 217 breed.

Gene	Genotype	n ^a	Genotype frequency	Allele frequency		H _o ^b	H _e ^c	PIC ^d
				g.2845A	g.2845T			
<i>LEP</i>	g.2845AA	24	0.22	0.57	0.43	0.704	0.489	0.370
	g.2845AT	76	0.70					
	g.2845TT	8	0.08					
<i>LEP</i>	g.3996CC	108	1.00	1	0.00	0.000	0.000	0.000
	g.3996CT	-	0.00					
	g.3996TT	-	0.00					
<i>LEPR</i>	c.2856CC	21	0.19	0.45	0.55	0.509	0.495	0.370
	c.2856CT	55	0.51					
	c.2856TT	32	0.30					
<i>LEPR</i>	c.915CC	81	0.75	0.83	0.17	0.157	0.284	0.240
	c.915CT	17	0.16					
	c.915TT	8	0.09					
<i>LEPR</i>	c.232AA	17	0.16	0.25	0.75	0.185	0.375	0.300
	c.232AT	21	0.19					
	c.232TT	71	0.66					

218

219 ^a Number of animals.

220 ^b Observed heterozygosity.

221 ^c Expected heterozygosity.

222 ^d Polymorphic Information Content.

223

224 In the present study, the *LEPR* SNP c.232A>T in Ukrainian Large White breed was
225 polymorphic with a frequency of the alternative alleles c.232A and c.232T being 0.25 and 0.75
226 respectively. The H_o value (0.185) was substantially lower than the H_e value (0.375) (Table 2).
227 There was a statistically significant deviation from an equilibrium in the distribution of the
228 genotypes towards an increased proportion of c.232 TT ($\chi^2=27.7$). Undertaking associative
229 studies was justified by the specifics of the *LEPR* c.232A>T genotype distribution and a
230 relatively high, as for diallelic polymorphisms, PIC value (0.300). Our results are consistent with
231 the data reported for Landrace, Duroc, Berkshire, and Large White breeds where the *LEPR* c.232
232 allele was also shown to be predominant (Mackowsky et al., 2005; Uemoto, 2012).

233 Taken together, results of our study demonstrated that Ukrainian Large White pigs are
234 genetically different from other breeds reported in the literature in terms of the *LEP* SNP g.2845
235 A>T and *LEPR* SNP c.915C>T. The study also established that the *LEP* SNP g.3996 T>C in
236 Ukrainian Large White pigs is monomorphic. These results informed the selection of the
237 following SNPs for associative studies: *LEP* g.2845A>T, *LEPR* c.232A>T, *LEPR* c.915C>T and
238 *LEPR* c.2856C>T.

239

240 3.2. *Analysis of associations between LEP and LEPR SNPs, backfat thickness and daily* 241 *weight gain*

242

243 Our study showed that *LEP* SNP g.2845 A>T was not associated with any of the traits
244 analysed in Ukrainian Large White pigs (Table 3). This is in contrast to findings by Kennes et al.
245 (2001) who demonstrated association of *LEP* g.2845 A>T polymorphism with food intake and
246 growth rate in Landrace pigs. This discrepancy can be related to breed-specific presence and
247 frequency of this SNP (Kennes et al., 2001).

248

249 Similar situation was observed for the *LEPR* SNPs c.232A>T and c. 915C>T. No
relationship was found between these polymorphisms and backfat thickness and daily weight

250 gain in Ukrainian Large White pigs. This is not in agreement with the data obtained on Polish
 251 Landrace whether significant relationship was found between *LEPR* SNP c.232A>T and backfat
 252 thickness (Mackowski et al., 2005).

253 Our study established association between the *LEPR* SNP c. 2856C>T and backfat
 254 thickness in two areas: at the level of 6-7th ribs and at the 10th rib (Table 3). A lower backfat
 255 thickness in these areas was observed in animals with c.2856TT genotype when compared to
 256 c.2856CC and c.2856CT genotypes.

257 In the present study we found association between *LEPR* c.2856C>T and average
 258 daily weight gain with this parameter being lower in pigs with c.2856TT genotype when
 259 compared to c.2856CC and c.2856CT (by 13.1 and 24.8 g respectively).

260 The association between *LEPR* SNP c. 2856C>T and expected selection index
 261 highlighting an importance of this SNP in control of main productivity traits in Ukrainian Large
 262 White pigs.

263 **Table 3**

264 Effect of *LEP* and *LEPR* polymorphisms on productivity traits in Ukrainian Large White pigs.

265 Traits	266 Genotypes			267 <i>P</i>
268	269 <i>LEP</i> g.2845 A>T			
270	271 AA (n ^a = 24)	272 AT (n = 76)	273 TT (n = 8)	
274 Age (days) of gaining of				
275 100 kg of weight	194.55 ± 3.70	198.37 ± 2.15	198.75 ± 4.97	0.66
276 Backfat at 10 th rib (mm)	18.12 ± 0.66	19.44 ± 0.49	18.99 ± 0.68	0.36
277 Backfat at 6 th -7 th rib (mm)	23.38 ± 0.83	24.31 ± 0.57	23.07 ± 1.19	0.59
278 Backfat at sacrum (mm)	19.69 ± 0.64	19.98 ± 0.52	19.31 ± 1.17	0.89
279 Average daily weight gain (g)	518.20 ± 9.63	508.51 ± 5.45	505.44 ± 13.14	0.65
280	281 <i>LEPR</i> c.232A>T			
282	283 AA (n = 17)	284 AT (n = 21)	285 TT (n = 71)	
286 Age (days) of gaining 100 kg				
of weight	199.91 ± 3.73	194.99 ± 4.15	197.71 ± 2.24	0.71

287					
288	Backfat at 10 th rib (mm)	18.89 ± 0.57	18.75 ± 0.76	19.26 ± 0.52	0.85
289					
290	Backfat at 6 th -7 th rib (mm)	24.69 ± 0.86	23.60 ± 0.85	23.96 ± 0.62	0.78
291					
292	Backfat at sacrum (mm)	20.15 ± 0.83	19.84 ± 0.88	19.81 ± 0.52	0.95
293					
294	Average daily weight gain (g)	503.01 ± 9.35	517.21 ± 10.82	510.31 ± 5.71	0.66
295					
296					
297		<i>LEPR</i> c. 915C>T			
298		CC (n = 81)	CT (n = 17)	TT (n = 8)	
299					
300	Age (days) of gaining	195.94 ± 1.91	201.64 ± 4.79	203.68 ± 7.34	0.27
301	100 kg of weight				
302					
303	Backfat at 10 th rib (mm)	19.37 ± 0.87	18.73 ± 1.02	17.62 ± 1.19	0.38
304					
305	Backfat at 6 th -7 th rib (mm)	24.13 ± 0.51	24.38 ± 1.22	22.38 ± 0.74	0.51
306					
307	Backfat at sacrum (mm)	20.03 ± 0.44	20.01 ± 1.14	18.28 ± 0.46	0.45
308					
309	Average daily weight gain (g)	514.21 ± 4.95	500.45 ± 11.99	496.84 ± 18.17	0.34
310					
311		<i>LEPR</i> c. 2856C>T			
312		CC (n = 21)	CT (n = 55)	TT (n = 32)	
313					
314	Age (days) of gaining				
315	100 kg of weight	198.36 ± 4.05	193.96 ± 2.51	203.20 ± 2.91	0.07
316					
317	Backfat at 10 th rib (mm)	19.40 ± 0.79	19.85 ± 0.51	17.65 ± 0.73	0.04
318					
319	Backfat at 6 th -7 th rib (mm)	24.86 ± 1.05	24.89 ± 0.61	21.95 ± 0.77	0.01
320					
321	Backfat at sacrum (mm)	20.84 ± 0.97	20.21 ± 0.56	18.63 ± 0.67	0.11
322					
323	Average daily weight gain (g)	508.39 ± 10.48	520.04 ± 6.43	495.28 ± 7.16	0.05
324					

^a Number of animals.

The data are presented as Least Square Means ± SEM.

Parameters of additive-dominant model for an impact of the *LEPR* 2856C> T on backfat thickness and average daily weight gain were calculated. The study established the prevalence of the dominant component with respect to the backfat thickness at 10th rib and average daily weight gain (Table 4). In both cases, the c.2856C allele was dominant and associated with a

334 greater backfat thickness and a higher average daily weight gain. In contrast to the results for the
 335 10th rib, the backfat thickness at the 6-7th ribs was determined by an interaction between the
 336 additive and dominant components. These results suggest that (i) the fat deposition in different
 337 carcass areas of Ukrainian Large White pigs might be controlled by different sets of genes and
 338 (ii) effect of *LEPR* SNPs on fat deposition depends on the genetic environment.

339 **Table 4**

340 Effect of the *LEPR* c.2856C>T polymorphisms on backfat thickness and average daily weight
 341 gain calculated using the Additive Dominant Model.

Traits	Additive Dominant Model				
	A^a	D^b	ac^c	a_T^d	$\frac{\alpha}{2}(L \rightarrow V)^e$
Backfat at 10 th rib (mm)	-0.874	1.326	0.537	-0.472	-0.505
Backfat at 6 th -7 th rib (mm)	-1.454	1.483	0.863	-0.742	-0.802
Average daily gain (g)	-6.559	18.204	4,372	-4.041	-4.207

342

343 ^a Additive component.

344

345 ^b Dominant component.

346

347 ^c Effect of allele C.

348

349 ^d Effect of allele D.

350

351 ^e Allelic substitution effect.

352

353 Previously, Li et al. (2010) and Zhang et al. (2014) demonstrated association between

354 *LEPR* c. 2856C>T and meat quality traits in **cross-breed** pigs. The traits investigated included

355 intramuscular fat content, cholesterol level, taste, moisture content, and tenderness. These data,

356 taken together with the results of our study, suggest that *LEPR* c. 2856C>T polymorphism plays

357 a significant role in regulation of productivity and meat quality traits in pigs.

358 It is known that the *LEPR* c.2856C>T mutation is synonymous e.g. it does not lead to a

359 change in the encoded amino acid (Asp) (Li et al., 2010). Therefore, the effect of this

360 polymorphism could be mediated via the two possible mechanisms: (i) a replacement of the third

361 nucleotide in the codon for Asp (C>T) might affect the rate of mRNA translation which, in turn,
362 can influence the strength of the codon-anticodon interaction between tRNA and the codons for
363 the same amino acid; (ii) the c. 2856C>T mutation might be located near a causative mutation
364 and therefore could genetically mark the causative as the results of the linkage disequilibrium. In
365 the latter case, the c. 2856C>T SNP could be considered as a linkage disequilibrium genetic
366 marker.

367

368 **4. Conclusions**

369 Results of the present study suggest that the *LEPR* SNP c.2856C>T can be considered as a
370 genetic marker for subcutaneous fat deposition and average daily gain in Ukrainian Large White
371 pigs. Therefore results of this study will have an impact on genetic markers-assisted selection
372 aiming to improve meat quality and carcass composition in the breed which is widely used for
373 commercial crossbreeding. Furthermore, result of association studies between *LEP* and *LEPR*
374 polymorphisms and fat distribution could be used for optimization of carcass-processing
375 technologies in meat plants.

376 We recognize that a relatively low number of animals is a limitation of this study and further
377 evaluation of the *LEPR* SNPc.2856C>T polymorphism on a larger scale and in different breeds
378 is needed.

379 **Conflict of interest statement**

380 There are no conflict of interests.

381 **Acknowledgements**

382 This research was supported by Institute of Pig Breeding and Agro-Industrial Production,
383 National Academy of Agricultural Sciences of Ukraine, University of the West of England,
384 Bristol, UK and the EU COST Action IPEMA (CA 15215).

385

386 **References**

387 Amills, M., Villalba, D., Tor, M., Mercadé, A., Gallardo, D., Cabrera, B., Jiménez, N., Noguera,
388 J.L., Sánchez, A., Estany, J., 2008. Plasma leptin levels in pigs with different leptin and
389 leptin receptor genotypes. *J. Anim. Breed. Genet.* 125(4), 228-233.

390 Balatsky, V., Pochernyaev, K., Buslyk, T., Dykan, O., Korinnyi, S., Pena, R., Doran, O., 2015.
391 Sequence variation in the cathepsin B (CTSB), L (CTCL), S (CTSS) and K (CTSK) genes in
392 Ukrainian pig breeds. *Global J. Anim. Briid. Genet.* 3 (3), 117-124.

393 Balatsky, V., Bankovska, I., Pena, R.N., Saienko, A., Buslyk, T., Korinnyi, S., Doran, O., 2016.
394 Polymorphisms of the porcine cathepsins, growth hormone–releasing hormone and leptin
395 receptor genes and their association with meat quality traits in Ukrainian Large White breed.
396 *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 43(6), 517-526.

397 Bauer, M., Babelova, A., Omelka, R., Bauerova, M., 2006. Association of *HinfI* polymorphism
398 in the leptine gene with production traits in white improved pig breed. *Slovac. Anim. Sci.* 39
399 (3), 119-122.

400 Bidwell, C., Frank, G.R., Cornelius, S.G., Willis, G.M., Spurlock, M.E., 1997. Cloning and
401 expression of the porcine obese gene. *Anim. Biotech.* 2, 192-206.

402 Clément, K., Vaisse, C., Lahlou, N., Cabrol, S., Pelloux, V., Cassuto, D., Gormelen, M., Dina,
403 C., Chambaz, J., Lacorte, J.M., Basdevant, A., Bougnères, P., Lebouc, Y., Froguel, P., Guy–
404 Grand, B., 1998. A mutation in the human leptin receptor gene causes obesity and pituitary
405 dysfunction. *Nature.* 26, 392(6674), 398-401.

406 Conne B., Stutz A., Vassall J-D., 2012. The 3' untranslated region of messenger RNA: A
407 molecular ‘hotspot’ for pathology? *Nature Med.* 6, 637-641.

408 Chorev, M., Carmel L., 2012. The function of introns. *Frontiers in genetics. Front. Genet.* 13,
409 3-55.

410 De Oliveira Peixoto, J., Facioni Guimarães, S.E., Sávio Lopes, P., Menck Soares, M.A., Vieira
411 Pires, A., Gualberto Barbosa, M.V., de Almeida Torres, R., de Almeida E. Silva M., 2006.
412 Associations of leptin gene polymorphisms with production traits in pigs. *J. Anim. Breed.*

413 Genet. 123(6), 378-383.

414 Fontanesi, L., Scotti, E., Speroni, C., Buttazzoni, L., Russo, V., 2011. A selective genotyping
415 approach identifies single nucleotide polymorphisms in porcine chromosome 2 genes
416 associated with production and carcass traits in Italian heavy pigs. *Ital. J Anim Sci.* 10(2),15.

417 Fujii, J., Otsu, K., Zorzato, F., DeLeaon, S., Khanna, V.K., Weiler, J.E., O'Brien, P.J.,
418 MacLennan, D.H., 1991. Identification of a mutation in the porcine ryanodine receptor
419 associated with malignant hypothermia. *Science.* 253, 448.

420 Galve, A., Burgos, C., Silió, L., Varona, L., Rodríguez, C., Ovilo, C., 2012. The effects of leptin
421 receptor (LEPR) and melanocortin-4 receptor (MC4R) polymorphisms on fat content, fat
422 distribution and fat composition in a Duroc× Landrace/Large White cross. *Livest. Sci.*
423 145(1), 145-152.

424 Getya, A., Flegantov, L., Willeke, H., 2006. Usage of the pig breeds of German origin in
425 commercial pig breeding of Ukraine. In: *Proceedings of the 57th Annual Meeting of the*
426 *European Association for Animal Production.* 17-20 September, Antalya, Turkey.

427 Hao L.L, Yu H, Zhang Y, Sun S.C, Liu S.C, Zeng Y.Z, Ali Y.X, Jiang H.Z (2011) Single
428 nucleotide polymorphism analysis of exons 3 and 4 of IGF-1 gene in pigs. *Genet. Mol. Res.*
429 10, 1689-1695.

430 Kennes, Y.M., Murphy, B.D., Pothier, F., Palin, M.F., 2001. Characterization of swine leptin
431 (LEP) polymorphisms and their association with production traits. *Anim. Genet.* 32(4), 215-
432 218.

433 Kuehn, L.A., Nonneman, D.J., Klindt, J.M., Wise, T.H., 2009. Genetic relationships of body
434 composition, serum leptin, and age at puberty in gilts. *J. Anim. Sci.* 87, 477-483.

435 Li, X., Kim, S.W., Choi, J.S., Lee, Y.M., Lee, C.K., Choi, B.H., Kim, T.H., Choi, Y.I., Kim, J.J.,
436 Kim, K.S., 2010. Investigation of porcine FABP3 and LEPR gene polymorphisms and
437 mRNA expression for variation in intramuscular fat content. *Mol. Biol. Rep.* 37, 3931-3939.

438 Liu, J., Garza, J.C., Bronner, J., Kim, C.S., Zhang, W., Lu, X.Y., 2010. Acute administration of

439 leptin produces anxiolytic-like effects: a comparison with fluoxetine. *Psychopharmacology*
440 (Berl). 207, 535-545.

441 Mackowski, M., Szymoniak, K., Szydlowski, M., Kamyczek, M., Eckert R., Rozycki M.,
442 Switonski, M., 2005. Missense mutations in exon 4 of the porcine LEPR gene encoding
443 extracellular domain and their association with fatness traits. *Anim. Genet.* 36(2), 135-137.

444 Mantzoros C.S. 2001. The role of leptin and hypothalamic neuropeptides in energy homeostasis:
445 update on leptin in obesity. *Growth. Horm. IGF Res.* 11, 85–89.

446 Margetic, S., Gazzola, C., Pegg, G.G., Hill, R. A., 2002. Leptin: a review of its peripheral actions
447 and interactions. *Intern. J. Obesity.* 26, 1407-1433.

448 Matoulkova, E., Michalova, E., Vojtesek, B., Hrstka, R., 2012. The role of the 3' untranslated
449 region in post-transcriptional regulation of protein expression in mammalian cells. *RNA Biol.*
450 9(5), 563-576.

451 Ovilo, C., Fernandez, A., Noguera, J.L., Barragan, C., Leton, R., Rodriquez, C., Mercade, A.,
452 Alves, E., Folch J.M., Varona, L., 2005. Fine mapping of porcine chromosome 6 QTL and
453 LEPR effects on body composition in multiple generations of an Iberian by Landrace
454 intercross. *Genet. Res.* 85, 57-67.

455 Ovilo, C., Perez–Enciso, M., Barragan, C., Clop, A., Rodriquez, C., Oliver, M.A., Toro, M.A.,
456 Noguera, J.L., 2000. A QTL for intramuscular fat and backfat thickness is located on porcine
457 chromosome 6. *Mam. Gen.* 11, 344-346.

458 Park, H.K, Ahima, R.S., 2014. Leptin signalling. *Prime Rep.* 14(6), 73.

459 Peakall, R., 2006. GENALEX 6: genetic analysis in Excel. Population genetic software for
460 teaching and research. *Mol. Ecol. Notes.* 6, 288-295.

461 Pena, RN., Ros-Freixedes, R., Tor, M., Estany, J., 2016. Genetic marker discovery in complex
462 traits: a field example on fat content and composition in pigs. *Int. J. Mol. Sci.* 17(12), 2100.

463 Pérez–Montarelo, D., Fernández, A., Folch, J.M., Pena, R.N., Ovilo, C., Rodríguez, C., Silió, L.,
464 Fernández, A.I., 2012. Joint effects of porcine leptin and leptin receptor polymorphisms on

465 productivity and quality traits. *Anim. Genet.* 43(6), 805-809.

466 Sook–Ha Fan., Yee–How Say, 2014. Leptin and leptin receptor gene polymorphisms and their
467 association with plasma leptin levels and obesity in a multi–ethnic Malaysian suburban
468 population. *J Physiol. Anthropol.* 33(1), 15.

469 Tyra, M., Ropka–Molik, K., Terman, A., Piórkowska, K., Oczkiewicz, M., Bereta, A., 2013.
470 Association between subcutaneous and intramuscular fat content in porcine ham and loin
471 depending on age, breed and FABP3 and LEPR genes transcript abundance. *Mol. Biol. Rep.*
472 40(3), 2301-2308.

473 Uemoto, Y., Kikuchi, T., Nakano, H., Sato, S., 2012. Effects of porcine leptin receptor gene
474 polymorphisms on backfat thickness, fat area ratios by image analysis, and serum leptin
475 concentrations in a Duroc purebred population. *J. Anim. Sci.* 83, 375–385.

476 Varona, L., Ovilo C., Clop, A., Noguera, J.L., Perez-Enciso, M., Coll, A., Folch J.M., Barragan,
477 C., Toro, M.A., Babot, D., Sanchez, A. 2002. QTL mapping for growth and carcass traits in
478 an Iberian by Landrace pig intercross: additive, dominant and epistatic effects. *Gen. Res.* 80,
479 145-154.

480 Zhang, C.Y., Wang, Z., Bruce, H.L., Janz, J., Goddard, E., Moore, S., Plastow, G.S., 2014.
481 Associations between single nucleotide polymorphisms in 33 candidate genes and meat
482 quality traits in commercial pigs. *Anim. Genet.* 45(4), 508-516.