

1

2 ***Lemurs in cacao: presence and abundance within the shade plantations of***  
3 ***northern Madagascar***

4

5 Amanda D. Webber<sup>1a</sup>, James S. Solofondranohatra<sup>1</sup>, Simon Razafindramoana<sup>1</sup>, David  
6 Fernández<sup>2</sup>, Charlotte A.Parker<sup>2</sup>, Mark Steer<sup>2</sup>, Mark Abrahams<sup>1</sup>, Joel Allainguillaume<sup>2</sup>

7

8 <sup>1</sup>*Bristol Zoological Society, Bristol, UK*

9 <sup>2</sup>*University of West of England, Bristol, UK*

10

11 **Short title:** Lemurs in cacao plantations

12

13 **<sup>a</sup> Corresponding Author:**

14 Dr Amanda D Webber

15 Bristol Zoological Society

16 Clifton BS8 3HA, UK

17 Tel: 0117 428 5472

18 [awebber@bristolzoo.org.uk](mailto:awebber@bristolzoo.org.uk)

19 **Key words:** agroecosystem, agroforestry, anthropogenic impacts, cacao, nocturnal lemurs,  
20 plantations

21

22

23 **Abstract:**

24 The recognition that much biodiversity exists outside protected areas is driving research to  
25 understand how animals survive in anthropogenic landscapes. In Madagascar, cacao (*Theobroma*  
26 *cacao*) is grown under a mix of native and exotic shade trees and this study sought to understand if  
27 lemurs were present in these agroecosystems. Between November 2016 and March 2017,  
28 discussions with farmers, nocturnal reconnaissance surveys and camera traps were used to confirm  
29 the presence of lemurs in the Cokafa and Mangabe plantations near Ambanja, northwest  
30 Madagascar. Four species of lemur were encountered in nocturnal surveys; *Mirza zaza*, *Phaner*  
31 *parienti*, *Microcebus sambiranensis* and *Cheirogaleus* sp. with encounter rates of 1.2, 0.4, 0.4 and 0.3  
32 individuals/ km respectively. The presence of *Lepilemur dorsalis* was confirmed by camera trap. This  
33 is the first time lemurs have been studied in cacao plantations, and understanding how these  
34 threatened animals use anthropogenic landscapes is vital for their conservation.

35

## 36 Introduction

37 A major threat to wildlife is forest fragmentation and loss of habitat due to the conversion of natural  
38 ecosystems to agriculture. However, whilst not replicating tropical forest, agroecosystems have  
39 been found to play an important role in species conservation while providing farmers with economic  
40 alternatives to more intensive farming methods (Estrada and Coates-Estrada 1997, Estrada et al.  
41 2012, Martin et al. 2012, Guzmán et al. 2016, Hending et al. 2018). These ‘melting pot’ landscapes  
42 of exotic and native species have the potential to benefit wildlife and people, and have been  
43 described as the “missing link” between conservation and sustainable development (Kull et al.  
44 2013). With many threatened species living outside of protected areas, simply separating agriculture  
45 from conservation is no longer a viable solution for all species or all landscapes (Perfecto and  
46 Vandemeer 2008, Scherr and McNeely 2008).

47 In Madagascar, the conversion of forest to other land uses such as agriculture has been rapid and  
48 significant; 44% of forest was lost between 1953 and 2014 and 46% of that remaining is now less  
49 than 100m from the forest edge (Vieilledent et al. 2018). As a consequence, 95% of lemurs are  
50 threatened with extinction (C. Schwitzer, pers. comm.). It is vital to understand the role of  
51 agroecosystems on lemur survival in these highly fragmented and anthropogenic landscapes (Irwin  
52 et al. 2010; Schwitzer et al. 2011). Indeed, it has been observed that lemur population densities can  
53 be higher in areas with slight disturbance, especially those with a higher variety of tree species  
54 (Ganzhorn et al. 1997). An area that has received little attention is that of cacao (*Theobroma cacao*)  
55 which, whilst currently with limited production in Madagascar, is recognised as having significant  
56 income potential for local people (World Bank 2017).

57 Planting of cacao takes place under shade trees in Madagascar. These trees not only provide the  
58 cacao plants with shelter, they are also associated with protection against soil erosion, carbon  
59 storage and nutrient cycling (Rice and Greenberg 2000, Donald 2004, Tschardt et al. 2011).  
60 Research has shown that these traditional cacao plantations can provide habitat for many species,  
61 including primates, bats and birds (see Reitsma et al. 2001, Merker et al. 2005, Faria & Baumgarten  
62 2007, Bisseleua et al. 2009) and have higher levels of biodiversity than other crop types (Estrada and  
63 Coates-Estrada 1997, Rice and Greenberg 2000, Perfecto and Vendermeer 2008, Kull et al. 2013).  
64 Primates have been recorded using the shaded cacao to feed and move between forest fragments  
65 (Merker et al. 2005, Estrada et al. 2012, Raboy et al. 2014, Hockings et al. 2016) and mantled howler  
66 monkeys (*Alouatta palliata*) have been observed living in plantations for decades (Muñoz et al.  
67 2006). These animals may also be beneficial for the plantations as they act as seed dispersers, their  
68 faeces may improve the soil and they can provide useful pest control by eating insects (Estrada et al.

69 2012, Zárate et al. 2014, Hockings et al. 2016). Potential disadvantages to animals through increased  
70 interactions with people can include a greater vulnerability to predators, disease, a poorer quality of  
71 diet, and hunting by human populations (Muñoz et al. 2006, Irwin et al. 2010, Raboy et al. 2014).  
72 Furthermore, local people may bear the economic costs of crop damage by primates (Estrada et al.  
73 2012, Hockings et al. 2016). Understanding the complex interface between people and lemurs in  
74 increasingly fragmented landscapes is important for the future development of sustainable farming  
75 and the conservation of biodiversity in Madagascar.

76 This study examined the use of cacao plantations by lemur species in Ambanja, northern  
77 Madagascar, where farmers had reported the presence of “small lemurs” in their plantations (N.  
78 Engle pers. comm.). We aimed to confirm (i) if lemurs were indeed present, (ii) identify the species,  
79 (iii) calculate their abundance and (iv) characterise the shade trees in the cacao plantations.

80

## 81 **Methods**

### 82 ***Study Site***

83 Research was conducted in the Cokafa and Mangabe cacao plantations close to Ambanja in northern  
84 Madagascar (Figure 1). Cokafa is a co-operative of 32 members and 29 participated in this study. As  
85 some farmers hold multiple parcels of land, this resulted in a sample of 43 plantations for this area  
86 These plantations are found in two clusters separated by a road and are therefore known here as  
87 Cokafa North and Cokafa South (13°43'31.97"S, 48°22'31.56"E). They form part of a complex mosaic  
88 of other cacao estates, forest fragments and agricultural areas owned/ managed by local farmers  
89 that are not part of the co-operative. Mangabe (13°43'2.91"S, 48°25'46.00"E), in contrast, is a single  
90 plantation owned by one farmer but divided into eighteen parcels. It is surrounded by rice/ crop  
91 cultivation with natural vegetation to its south-eastern borders. Degraded forest fragments  
92 continue to the south of cultivated areas. The small holder plantations across the three sites (total  
93 size 598,965m<sup>2</sup>, mean 12,743m<sup>2</sup>) range in size from a plantation in Cokafa North of 869m<sup>2</sup> to  
94 Mangabe which has the largest area at 381,761m<sup>2</sup>.

95

### 96 ***Shade tree characteristics***

97 Each shade tree within the Cokafa and Mangabe plantation boundaries was identified to at least  
98 genus level by experienced Malagasy field researchers. Its location was recorded in a GPS along with  
99 DBH, tree height, crown diameter and height of the first branch. The presence or absence of lianas  
100 on each tree was also recorded.

101

## 102 ***Discussions with farmers***

103 During November - December 2016, informal discussions were conducted in Malagasy with farmers  
104 to assess the reliability of previous reports given to representatives of Madecasse Chocolate &  
105 Vanilla of lemur presence. Farmers were asked if they had seen or heard lemurs within or beyond  
106 their plantations and the behaviour they observed, in addition to cacao production practices.

107

## 108 ***Nocturnal Surveys***

109 Throughout February 2017, nocturnal lemur reconnaissance surveys were conducted in the  
110 plantations at the three research sites. Two researchers walked slowly (1km/hour) taking a route as  
111 close to the centre of each plantation/ parcel as possible between 18:00 and 22:00 (Cokafa North  
112 2.76km, Cokafa South 1.44km and Mangabe 3.78km). Every lemur seen, GPS location, tree species  
113 and basic behavioural data were recorded; feeding, moving, grooming, resting. A total of eleven  
114 night walks took place and each plantation was surveyed at least three times (Cokafa North N= 5,  
115 Cokafa South N =3, Mangabe N=3).

116

## 117 ***Camera Traps***

118 Six Bushnell Trophy Cam (Essential E2) camera traps were deployed across the study areas. Due to  
119 the small plantation sizes, they were placed at regular intervals (200-400m) across the three sites  
120 rather than in each plantation. The camera traps were left in situ at each site (Cokafa North, Cokafa  
121 South or Mangabe) for 30 days before being moved to the next site. Specific placement was  
122 predominately on jackfruit (*Artocarpus heterophyllus*) and silk trees (*Albizia* spp.), the most common  
123 trees in the plantations, but was also directed by discussions with farmers who identified areas  
124 where lemur vocalisations had previously been heard, in addition to knowledge of optimum lemur  
125 habitat. Camera traps were set up at a height of approximately 9m pointed at a trunk or branch, and  
126 surrounding vegetation was cleared to reduce the number of false triggers (Gregory et al. 2014).

127

## 128 **Results**

### 129 ***Shade tree characteristics***

130 All 3,263 shade trees were identified in the plantations (N=947 in Cokafa North, N=626 in Cokafa  
131 South and N=1690 in Mangabe) – Table 1. The majority of shade trees were planted by people,  
132 therefore, the plantations are not natural forest, rather a mixture of native and exotic tree species  
133 that have utility for humans; e.g., food, timber or medicine. Thirty nine different shade tree species  
134 were present but were lumped into eight categories as it was not always possible to identify to  
135 species level. The three most common types of tree across the three sites were silk trees, jackfruit  
136 and ylang ylang (*Cananga odorata*). There are approximately 30 species of silk tree in Madagascar;  
137 one, *Albizia lebbbeck*, is believed to have been introduced from Asia due to its religious importance  
138 (Morat 1972 in Binggeli 2003) but they are also used by local people for building materials, fuel,  
139 dugout canoes, firewood, medicinal purposes and as shade trees for agriculture. Jackfruit is a  
140 common fruit tree in Madagascar and ylang ylang is well known for its essential oil and medicinal  
141 properties.

142 Banana plants (*Musa spp.*) are also present in all plantations but not recorded as a shade tree as  
143 they do not serve that function. Eight percent of shade trees have lianas but there is a difference in  
144 distribution across sites; 13% of trees in Cokafa North have lianas compared to 4% in Cokafa South  
145 and 8% in Mangabe. Generally, trees were taller in the Cokafa plantations than in Mangabe.

146

#### 147 ***Discussions with farmers***

148 Informal interviews with farmers confirmed that ‘akomba’ (the local name for some of the diurnal  
149 lemurs in the area) were no longer present in Cokafa or Mangabe. Some reported having seen ‘black  
150 and red lemurs’ moving together in the plantations and using pictures they identified them as black  
151 lemur (*Eulemur macaco*). They were last seen in 2010/11 and there is no evidence that they are still  
152 present. This was supported by observations during this study although bamboo lemur (*Hapalemur*  
153 *sp.*) were seen outside of the plantations by SS and one individual was trapped by local people close  
154 to Mangabe and reported to SS during the study period. Farmers stated that they believed ‘valivihy’  
155 (nocturnal lemurs) were present due to hearing their calls in the evening. They were unable to  
156 identify the specific lemur species from images.

157

#### 158 ***Nocturnal Surveys***

159 There were 67 encounters with four species of nocturnal lemur in the cacao plantations; northern  
160 giant mouse lemur (*Mirza zaza*), Sambirano fork-marked lemur (*Phaner parienti*), Sambirano mouse

161 lemur (*Microcebus sambiranensis* and dwarf lemur (*Cheirogaleus sp*<sup>1</sup>). – Figure 2, Table 2. The  
162 highest encounter rates for northern giant mouse lemur and Sambirano fork marked lemur were  
163 recorded in Cokafa South (1.8 and 0.9 individuals/km respectively) but no dwarf lemur were seen at  
164 this site. Sambirano mouse lemurs were most often encountered in Cokafa South and Mangabe  
165 plantations (0.7 individuals/km). Animals were usually solitary but northern giant mouse lemurs,  
166 dwarf lemurs, and Sambirano fork-marked lemurs were seen in pairs on several occasions and there  
167 was one sighting of four Sambirano fork-marked lemurs together in Cokafa North.

168 Lemurs were observed on thirteen different tree species during the reconnaissance walks; 31% of  
169 encounters were on silk trees, 21% on cacao, 10% on mango (*Mangifera indica*) and 10% on marula  
170 (*Sclerocarya birrea*). Northern giant mouse lemurs were observed on nine different shade tree  
171 species, as well as on banana and cacao, but other lemur species were recorded across a smaller  
172 range of tree type. For example, dwarf lemurs were seen on four species of shade tree and cacao,  
173 but 50% of observations were on 'bonara gasy' (a type of silk tree). Sambirano fork-marked lemurs  
174 were also recorded on four species of shade tree (jackfruit, silk trees, mango and marula). This was  
175 in contrast with Sambirano mouse lemurs which were only observed on one species of shade tree  
176 (orange, *Citrus* spp.) and banana, and 75% of encounters were on cacao.

177 For the majority of observations, the lemurs were moving (67%), only resting during 21% of the  
178 encounters. Northern giant mouse lemurs and Sambirano fork-marked lemurs were also observed  
179 feeding on marula, banana, jackfruit and silk trees.

180

### 181 **Camera traps**

182 The camera trap findings generally support the observations of lemur species in the plantations  
183 during the nocturnal surveys: northern giant mouse lemurs, dwarf lemurs. and Sambirano fork-  
184 marked lemurs were all captured by the cameras but not Sambirano mouse lemurs. Gray's sportive  
185 lemur were also recorded on two images for one night on the camera trap in Cokafa North but these  
186 animals were not observed during surveys in the plantations.

187

---

<sup>1</sup> Dwarf lemurs are currently undergoing taxonomic reclassification and it was not possible to identify the specific species present in the plantations. In 2013, Thiele et al. suggested that dwarf lemurs in the Ambanja area should be a new species (*C. sp. Ambanja*), however it was not formally defined (Thiele et al. 2013, Lei et al. 2014). Further research is planned to identify which dwarf lemur species is present in the cacao plantations.

188 **Discussion**

189 This was a small study so results need to be interpreted as such, however, it did confirm reports that  
190 nocturnal lemurs are living within the shaded cacao plantations close to Ambanja in northwest  
191 Madagascar. To our knowledge, this is the first time lemurs have been studied within this type of  
192 agricultural ecosystem; whilst there has been one previous anecdotal report of Sambirano fork-  
193 marked lemurs in the shade trees of a cacao plantation (Colquhoun 1998), most research has tended  
194 to focus on these animals in 'natural' environments. Of the five lemur species recorded here, three  
195 are classified as Endangered (northern giant mouse lemur, Sambirano fork-marked lemur,  
196 Sambirano mouse lemur) and one as Vulnerable (Gray's sportive lemur) on the IUCN redlist (Table  
197 2). These animals are, therefore, of international conservation concern.

198 Lemurs were generally encountered most often in Cokafa South and encounter rates for northern  
199 giant mouse lemurs were highest in these plantations. There has been little research on this species  
200 but it has been suggested that higher density may be associated with the presence of mango trees  
201 (Rasoloharijaona et al 2005, Markolf et al. 2008) and Cokafa South has more mango trees than the  
202 other two sites. Researchers have also suggested that the northern giant mouse lemurs prefer  
203 taller trees with lianas as they use them for nesting/ sleeping sites (Rode et al. 2013). Whilst trees  
204 are taller in the Cokafa plantations generally, Cokafa South has the lowest number of lianas when  
205 compared to the other sites (Table 1). However, this inconsistency has been observed in other  
206 studies; encounter rates were lowest in Sahamalaza Iles-Radama National Park despite liana  
207 presence (Markolf et al. 2008, Rode-Margono et al. 2016).

208  
209 Sambirano fork-marked lemurs were recorded at all sites, with the highest encounter rates in Cokafa  
210 South. There is little known about this species but, like northern giant mouse lemurs, this fork-  
211 marked lemur is believed to prefer the tall trees that are common in this area (Hending et al. 2018).  
212 Dwarf lemurs, however, were not seen at all sites and were also encountered less frequently than  
213 the other lemurs. This could be a feature of the season of study as these animals hibernate for six  
214 months or more during the austral winter (April to October) and it is possible they were becoming  
215 torpid at the time of study (Ganzhorn 1995, Fietz and Ganzhorn 1999, Olivieri et al. 2005).

216 Mouse lemurs have been previously recorded in anthropogenic environments including eucalyptus,  
217 vanilla, coffee, banana and cashew plantations incorporating a mix of native and exotic trees  
218 (Ganzhorn 1987, Deppe et al 2007, Hending et al. 2018). In this study they were most often  
219 observed on cacao but not feeding on the crop; this may reflect their preference for lower level  
220 vegetation rather than the taller shade trees. Mouse lemurs are considered adaptable and have

221 been observed in secondary and/ or edge habitats possibly due to an increase in insect prey and/ or  
222 additional protection from predators offered by denser secondary vegetation (Ganzhorn 1987,  
223 Ganzhorn 1995, Hending et al. 2017). They have also been recorded using sleeping sites in and  
224 feeding from the gum of silk trees which are the most widely grown shade tree in this study  
225 (Radespiel et al. 2006, Hending et al. 2017). Sambirano mouse lemurs were not seen on the camera  
226 traps however this is likely due to the location of the traps as they were placed high in the trees and  
227 mouse lemurs often utilise the lower canopy (Hending et al. 2017).

228 It is difficult to ascertain why Gray's sportive lemur was not seen during the nocturnal survey and  
229 only seen once on a camera trap in Cokafa North. There have been very few studies on this species  
230 and it is known to have a restricted range in the Sambirano region (Andriaholinirina et al. 2014).  
231 Whilst it has been observed in timber plantations (Andrews et al. 1998), further research is needed  
232 to understand habitat preferences for this species.

233

## 234 **Conclusion**

235 It is unlikely that agricultural plantations will ever provide optimum habitat for lemurs in Madagascar  
236 however they could provide a buffer against fragmentation and corridors between forest patches  
237 (Ganzhorn 1987, Rice and Greenberg 2000, Deppe et al. 2007, Estrada et al. 2012, Raboy et al. 2014,  
238 Gerard et al. 2015, Hending et al. 2018). Ideally cacao shade trees would be native species and  
239 planted to mimic a natural forest where possible (Scherr and McNeely 2008), however, the reality is  
240 that local people will select trees that have an important function to their livelihoods and/ or  
241 wellbeing. Native species are also often replaced by exotic trees when they die in the plantations  
242 (Donald 2004). Introduced species of plant can offer benefits, however, to lemurs and people  
243 (Deppe et al. 2007, Eppley et al. 2015, Gerard et al. 2015); indeed, the majority of food crops in  
244 Madagascar are introduced (Kull et al. 2013). Therefore, farmers should be supported to maintain  
245 shade trees that represent a mix of exotic and native species and encouraged to leave patches of  
246 natural habitat within their agricultural areas (Tscharntke et al. 2011, Kull et al. 2013). Silk trees may  
247 offer some potential here as they seem to be favoured by both people and lemurs (Radespiel et al.  
248 2006); it has been suggested that the pods provide an important source of protein for black lemurs  
249 (Simmen et al. 2007). Furthermore, a move from shade cacao to 'full sun' cacao should be  
250 discouraged; while offering some short term benefits for people it has been found to increase the  
251 risk of insects and disease in the plantations and is believed to have contributed to the collapse of  
252 the cacao industry in Malaysia (Donald 2004, Tscharntke et al. 2011). Furthermore, moderate shade

253 cover has a positive impact on yield (Bisseleua et al. 2009) and a decrease in the density and  
254 diversity of shade trees can lead to a decrease in biodiversity (Perfecto and Vandermeer 2008).  
255 Planting ‘full sun’ cacao without shade trees would also offer little to no benefit to lemur  
256 conservation and/ or biodiversity more generally (Rice and Greenberg 2000, Muñoz et al. 2006).

257 Agroforestry is important for wildlife and people, especially as protected areas represent so little of  
258 the landscape and are becoming increasingly disconnected (Scherr and McNeely 2008, Schwitzer et  
259 al. 2011, Tscharntke et al. 2011). With much of Madagascar’s natural forest degraded,  
260 agroecosystems such as shaded cacao could become important to the conservation of lemurs and  
261 primates more generally (Holloway 2003, Estrada et al. 2012, Zárata et al. 2014, Gerard et al. 2015,  
262 Hending et al. 2018). Over seventy percent of the world’s cacao is grown in smallholdings (Rice and  
263 Greenberg 2000, Donald 2004, Kull et al. 2013), and thus provides a valuable income to subsistence  
264 farmers. One concern with a reliance on any commodity, however, is that international markets can  
265 change or the crop can be affected by disease or adverse weather (Rice and Greenberg 2000, Muñoz  
266 et al. 2006, Estrada et al. 2012). However, agroforestry offers the potential for alternative income  
267 through the addition of other shade grown crops e.g., pink peppercorn (World Bank 2017).  
268 Furthermore, the presence of cacao cooperatives has been shown to have a positive impact on  
269 wealth and well-being through volatility at other sites (Calkins and Ngo 2010). This is encouraging  
270 for the continued development of sustainable plantations that benefit both wildlife and people in  
271 Madagascar.

272

273

274

275  
276  
277  
278  
279  
280  
281  
282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296  
297  
298  
299  
300  
301  
302  
303

**Acknowledgements**

This research was supported by Conservation International's Verde Ventures Investment Program. Many thanks to Madecasse Chocolate & Vanilla and the plantation owners and farmers in Cokafa cooperative and Mangabe for their ongoing support and enthusiasm for the project. Thanks also to Thea Cox, Adele Rowlands and Savannah Storm for their assistance, and Sam Cotton, Dan Hending and 3 anonymous reviewers for their comments.

**Statement of Ethics**

The study adhered to the Code of Best Practice for Field Primatology and ethics approval for the research with people was given by Bristol Zoological Society's Welfare and Research Advisory Board. As part of the consent process, all farmers were approached individually to ask for permission to conduct the research and information sheets were provided in the local language.

**Disclosure Statement**

Madecasse Chocolate and Vanilla assisted with access to the plantations but did not influence the study design or comment on this paper.

**Funding Sources**

This research was funded by Conservation International's Verde Ventures Investment Program.

**Author Contributions**

The paper was written by Amanda Webber with comments from David Fernandez and Joel Allainguillaume; these three authors collaborate on this research project. James Solofondranohatra and Simon Razafindramoana collected the field data and also contributed to the paper, along with Charlotte Parker who assisted with the literature review. Mark Steer and Mark Abrahams provided support with GIS.

304 **References**

- 305 Andriaholinirina N, Baden A, Blanco M, Chikhi L, Cooke A, Davies N, Dolch R, Donati G, Ganzhorn J,  
306 Golden C, Groeneveld LF, Hapke A, Irwin M, Johnson S, Kappeler P, King T, Lewis R, Louis EE, Markolf  
307 M, Mass V, Mittermeier RA, Nichols R, Patel E, Rabarivola CJ, Raharivololona B, Rajaobelina S,  
308 Rakotoarisoa G, Rakotomanga B, Rakotonanahary J, Rakotondrainibe H, Rakotondratsimba G,  
309 Rakotondratsimba M, Rakotonirina L, Ralainasolo FB, Ralison J, Ramahaleo T, Ranaivoarisoa JF,  
310 Randrianahaleo SI, Randrianambinina B, Randrianarimanana L, Randrianasolo H, Randriatahina G,  
311 Rasamimananana H, Rasolofoharivelo T, Rasoloharijaona S, Ratelolahy F, Ratsimbazafy J,  
312 Ratsimbazafy N, Razafindraibe H, Razafindramanana J, Rowe N, Salmona J, Seiler M, Volampeno S,  
313 Wright P, Youssouf J, Zaonarivelo J, Zaramody A (2014). *Lepilemur dorsalis*. *The IUCN List of*  
314 *Threatened Species 2014* eT11616A16122647.
- 315 Andrews J, Antilahimena PR, Birkinshaw C (1998). Use of a day resting box by a wild sportive lemur,  
316 *Lepilemur dorsalis*, on Nosy Be, north-western Madagascar. *Folia Primatologica* 69(suppl1): 18-21.
- 317 Binggeli P (2003). Introduced and invasive plants. In *The Natural history of Madagascar* (Goodman,  
318 SM. & Benstead, JP, eds), pp 257-268. London: University of Chicago Press.
- 319 Bisseleua DHB, Missouf AD, Vidal S (2009). Biodiversity conservation, ecosystem function and  
320 economic incentives under cocoa agroforestry intensification. *Conservation Biology* 25(5): 1176-  
321 1184.
- 322 Calkins P, Ngo A-T (2010). The impacts of farmer cooperatives on the well-being of cocoa producing  
323 villages in Côte d'Ivoire and Ghana. *Canadian Journal of Development Studies* 30: 3-4
- 324 Colquhoun I (1998). The lemur community of Ambato Massif: an example of the species richness of  
325 Madagascar's classified forests. *Lemur News* 3: 11-14.
- 326 Deppe AM, Randriamiarisoa M, Schutte K, Wright, PC (2007). A brief lemur survey of the  
327 Ranomafana Andringitra corridor region in Tolongoina, southeast Madagascar. *Lemur News*, 12: 43-  
328 46.
- 329 Donald PF. (2004). Biodiversity impacts of some agricultural commodity production systems.  
330 *Conservation Biology* 18(1): 17-37.
- 331 Eppley TM, Donati G, Ramanamanjato J-B, Randriatafika F, Andriamandimibiarisoa LN,  
332 Ravelomanantsoa R, Ganzhorn U (2015). The use of an invasive species habitat by a small folivorous  
333 primate: implications for lemur conservation in Madagascar. *PLoS ONE* 10(11): e0140981  
334 doi:10.1471/journal.pone.0140981
- 335 Estrada A, Coates-Estrada R (1997). Anthropogenic landscape changes and avian diversity at Los  
336 Tuxtlas, Mexico. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 6: 19-43.
- 337 Estrada A, Raboy BE, Oliviera LC (2012). Agroecosystems and primate conservation in the tropics: a  
338 review. *American Journal of Primatology* 74: 696-711
- 339 Faria D, Baumgarten J (2007). Shade cacao plantations (*Theobroma cacao*) and bat conservation in  
340 southern Bahia, Brazil. *Biodiversity and Conservation* 16: 291-312.

- 341 Fietz J, Ganzhorn JU (1999). Hibernating *Cheirogaleus medius*: how does it get so fat? *Oecologica*  
342 121(2): 157-164.
- 343 Ganzhorn JU. (1987). A possible role of plantations for primate conservation in Madagascar.  
344 *American Journal of Primatology* 12: 205-215.
- 345 Ganzhorn JU. (1995). Low level forest disturbance effects on primary production, leaf chemistry and  
346 lemur populations. *Ecology* 76(7): 2084-2096.
- 347 Ganzhorn JU, Malcomber S, Andrianantoanina O, Goodman SM. (1997). Habitat characteristics and  
348 lemur species richness in Madagascar. *Biotropica* 29(3): 331-343.
- 349 Gérard A, Ganzhorn JU, Kull CA, Carrière SM (2015). Possible roles of introduced plants for native  
350 vertebrate conservation: the case of Madagascar. *Restoration Ecology* 23(6): 768-775
- 351 Gregory T, Carrasco Ruede F, Deichman J, Kolowski J, Alonso A. (2014). Arboreal camera trapping:  
352 taking a proven method to new heights. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 5: 443-451.
- 353 Guzmán A, Link A, Castillo JA, Botero JE 2016. Agroecosystems and primate conservation: shade  
354 coffee as potential habitat for the conservation of Andean night monkeys in the northern Andes.  
355 *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*, 215: 57–67.
- 356 Hending D, Andrianiana A, Rakotomalala Z, Cotton S (2018). The use of vanilla plantations by  
357 lemurs: encouraging findings for both lemur conservation and sustainable agroforestry in the Sava  
358 region, northeast Madagascar. *International Journal of Primatology* 39: 141-153.
- 359 Hending D, Holdereid M, McCabe G. (2017a). The use of vocalisations of the Sambirano mouse  
360 lemur (*Microcebus sambiranensis*) in an acoustic survey of habitat preference. *International Journal*  
361 *of Primatology* 38(4): 732-750.
- 362 Hending D, McCabe G, Holdereid M (2017b). Sleeping and ranging behaviour of the Sambirano  
363 mouse lemur, *Microcebus sambiranensis*. *International Journal of Primatology* 38(6): 1072-1089.
- 364 Hockings KJ, Yamakoshi G, Matsuzawa T. (2016). Dispersal of a human-cultivated crop by wild  
365 chimpanzees (*Pan troglodytes verus*) in a forest-farm matrix. *International Journal of Primatology*  
366 38(2): 172-193.
- 367 Holloway, L. (2003). Ecosystem restoration and rehabilitation in Madagascar. In: *The Natural*  
368 *History of Madagascar* (Goodman SM, Benstead J, eds), pp 1444-1451. Chicago: University of  
369 Chicago Press.
- 370 Irwin MT, Wright PC, Birkinshaw C, Fisher BL, Gardner CJ, Gos J, Goodman SM, Loiselle P, Rabeson P,  
371 Raharison J-L, Raherilalao MJ, Rakotondravony D, Raselimanana A, Ratsimbazafy J, Sparks JS, Wilme  
372 L, Ganzhorn J,U (2010). Patterns of species change in anthropogenically disturbed forests of  
373 Madagascar. *Biological Conservation* 143: 2351-2362.
- 374 Kull CA, Carrière SM, Moreau S, Ramiarantsoa HR, Blanc-Pamard C, Tassin J. (2013). Melting pots of  
375 biodiversity: tropical smallholder farm landscapes as guarantors of sustainability. *Environment:*  
376 *Science and Policy for Sustainable Development* 55 (2): 6-15.
- 377 Martin EA, Viano M, Ratsimisetra L, Laloë F, Carrière SM (2012). Maintenance of bird functional  
378 diversity in a traditional agroecosystem of Madagascar. *Agriculture, Ecosystems and Environment*  
379 149: 1-9.

- 380 Markolf M, Kappeler PM, Rasoloarison R (2008). Distribution and conservation status of *Mirza zaza*.  
381 *Lemur News* 13: 37-40.
- 382 Merker S, Yustain I, Mühlenberg M. (2005). Responding to forest degradation: altered habitat use by  
383 Dian's tarsier *Tarsius diana* in Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Oryx* 39: 189-195.
- 384 Munoz D, Estrada A, Naranjo E, Ochoa S. (2006). Foraging ecology of howler monkeys in a cacao  
385 (*Theobroma cacao*) plantation in Comalcalco, Mexico. *American Journal of Primatology* 68: 127-142.
- 386 Olivieri G, Graul M, Radespiel U (2005). Inventaire des lemuriens dans 15 fragments de forêt de la  
387 province de Mahajanga. *Lemur News* 10: 11-16.
- 388 Perfecto I, Vandermeer J. (2008). Biodiversity conservation in tropical agroecosystems. *Annals of*  
389 *the New York Academy of Sciences* 1134: 173-200.
- 390 Raboy BE, Christman MC, Dietz JM (2004). The use of degraded and shade cocoa forests by  
391 Endangered golden-headed lion tamarins *Leontopithecus chrysomelas*. *Oryx* 38(1): 75-83.
- 392 Radespiel U, Reimann W, Rahelinirina M, Zimmerman E (2006). Feeding ecology of sympatric mouse  
393 lemur species in northwestern Madagascar. *International Journal of Primatology* 27: 311.
- 394 Rasoloharijoana S, Randrianambinina B, Rakotosamimanana B, Zimmerman E (2005). Inventaires  
395 des lémurien dans La forêt d'Andranovelona/Madirovalo (nord ouest De Madagascar), les "savoka "  
396 déManehoko, La Réserve De Lokobe, La Réserve Spéciale De l'Ankarana, et le Réserve Spéciale  
397 d'Analamerana, au nord De Madagascar. *Lemur News* 10: 8-11.
- 398 Reitsma R, Parrish JD, McLarney W (2001). The role of cacao plantations in maintaining forest avian  
399 diversity in southeastern Costa Rica. *Agroforestry Systems* 53: 185–193.
- 400 Rice RA, Greenberg R. (2000). Cacao cultivation and the conservation of biological diversity. *Ambio*  
401 29(3): 167-173.
- 402 Rode EJ, Nekaris KAI, Markolf M, Schliehe-Diecks S, Seiler M, Radespiel U, Schwitzer C (2013). Social  
403 organisation of the northern giant mouse lemur *Mirza zaza* in Sahamalaza, north western  
404 Madagascar, inferred from nest group composition and genetic relatedness. *Contributions to*  
405 *Zoology* 82(2): 71-83.
- 406 Rode-Margono J, Schwitzer C, Nekaris KAI (2016). Morphology, behaviour, ranging patterns and  
407 habitat use of the northern giant mouse lemur *Mirza zaza* in Sahamalaza, northwestern Madagascar.  
408 In: *The Dwarf and Mouse Lemurs of Madagascar. Biology, Behavior and Conservation Biogeography*  
409 *of the Cheirogaleidae* (Lehman S, Radespiel U, Zimmerman E, eds.), pp 235-254. Cambridge:  
410 Cambridge University Press.
- 411 Schwitzer C, Glatt L, Nekaris KAI, Ganzhorn JU (2011). Responses of animals to habitat alteration: an  
412 overview focussing on primates. *Endangered Species Research* 14: 31-38.
- 413 Simmen B, Bayart F, Marez A, Hladik A (2007). Diet, nutritional ecology, and birth season of *Eulemur*  
414 *macaco* in an anthropogenic forest in Madagascar. *International Journal of Primatology*. 28(6):  
415 1253-1266.

416 Tschardtke T, Glough Y, Bhagwat SA, Buchori D, Faust H, Hertel D, Hölscher D, Juhbandt E, Kessler  
417 M, Perfecto I, Scherber C, Schroth G, Veldkamp E, Wanger TC (2011). Multifunctional shade-tree  
418 management in tropical agroforestry landscapes – a review. *Journal of Applied Ecology* 48:619-629.

419 Vieilledent G, Grinand C, Rakotomalala FA, Ranaivosoa R, Rakotoarijaona J-R, Allnutt TF, Achard F.  
420 (2018). Combining global tree cover loss data with historical national forest cover maps to look at six  
421 decades of deforestation and forest fragmentation in Madagascar. *Biological Conservation* 222: 189-  
422 197.

423 World Bank (2017). Excellence: a winning formula for Madagascan cocoa production.  
424 [http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2017/10/02/excellence-a-winning-formula-for-](http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2017/10/02/excellence-a-winning-formula-for-madagascan-cocoa-production)  
425 [madagascan-cocoa-production](http://www.worldbank.org/en/news/feature/2017/10/02/excellence-a-winning-formula-for-madagascan-cocoa-production) [Accessed 6th July 2018].

426 Zárata DA, Andresen E, Estrada A, Serio-Silva JC. (2014). Black howler monkey (*Alouatta pigra*)  
427 activity , foraging and seed dispersal patterns in shaded cocoa plantations versus rainforest in  
428 southern Mexico. *American Journal of Primatology* 76: 890–899.

429

430 **Figure 1. Map of Cokafa and Mangabe with smallholder plantations delineated and insert**  
431 **of the location of the study site in north western Madagascar. The site is a complex**  
432 **matrix of agriculture (including plantations and rice paddies) and forest fragments.**

433

434 **Figure 2. Photographs of the lemur species observed in Cokafa North, Cokafa South and**  
435 **Mangabe cacao plantations. A) Sambirano fork-marked lemur (*Phaner parienti*), B)**  
436 **Northern giant mouse lemur (*Mirza zaza*), C) Dwarf lemur (*Cheirogaleus sp.*), D)**  
437 **Sambirano mouse lemur (*Microcebus sambiranensis*), (E) Gray's sportive lemur (*Lepilemur***  
438 ***dorsalis*) - camera trap only.**

439

440

441

442

443

