

1 **Preharvest application of hydrogen sulfide and nitric oxide**
2 **improves floral traits and postharvest performance of cut gladiolus**
3 **inflorescences**

4 Faisal Zulfikar^{1*}, Anam Moosa², Anastasios Darras³, Muhammad Nafees¹, Muhammad
5 Ashraf⁴, Ibrahim Al-Ashkar⁵, Ayman El Sabagh⁶, John T Hancock⁷

6 *¹Department of Horticultural Sciences, Faculty of Agriculture and Environment, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur,*
7 *Bahawalpur-63100, Pakistan*

8 *²Department of Plant Pathology, Faculty of Agriculture and Environment, The Islamia University of Bahawalpur,*
9 *Bahawalpur-63100, Pakistan*

10 *³Floriculture and Landscape Architecture Laboratory, Department of Agriculture, University of the Peloponnese,*
11 *24100 Kalamata, Greece*

12 *⁴Institute of Molecular Biology and Biotechnology, The University of Lahore, Lahore 54000, Pakistan*

13 *⁵Department of plant production, College of Food and Agriculture, King Saud University, Riyadh 11451, Saudi [Arabia](#);*
14 *ialashkar@ksu.edu.sa*

15 *⁶Department of Agronomy, Faculty of Agriculture, Kafrelsheikh University, Kafr al-Sheik First, 33511,Egypt;*
16 *ayman.elsabagh@agr.kfs.edu.eg*

17 *⁷Department of Applied Sciences, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK*

18
19 *Correspondence: ch.faisal.zulfikar@gmail.com

20
21
22 **Abstract**

23 Gladiolus is a well-known bulbous plant producing impressive cut spikes. Hydrogen
24 sulfide and nitric oxide are vital signaling molecules for the proper functioning of plant
25 metabolism. Preharvest applications of these molecules to crops have gained attention in recent
26 years due to their positive role in tackling abiotic stresses, although, their role in geophytes is
27 comparatively less studied. We assessed the effects of preharvest H₂S and NO treatments on
28 development, flowering, harvest and postharvest performance of gladiolus inflorescences. NO and
29 H₂S+NO treatments increased preharvest performance of plants associated with corm production,
30 inflorescences length and harvest time. Individual and combined treatments improved postharvest
31 vase life (VL) up to 3.4 d. Total soluble proteins (TSP) were increased in response to H₂S, NO
32 and H₂S+NO treatments by 39%, 43%, and 55%, respectively compared to the controls. Soluble
33 sugars (SS) were increased after NO and H₂S+NO treatments by up to 25% and 42%, respectively.
34 Postharvest catalase (CAT) activity was higher by 65%, 68%, and 76% after H₂S, NO and
35 H₂S+NO treatments, respectively. Malondialdehyde (MDA) was decreased by all preharvest
36 treatments by up to 88%, although, only the combined H₂S+NO treatment reduced H₂O₂ and
37 superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity. The results confirm that preharvest treatments with H₂S, NO
38 and H₂S+NO may positively affect growth, floral traits and postharvest performance of cut
39 gladiolus inflorescences.

40

41 Keywords: Soluble sugars; soluble proteins; antioxidants; hydrogen peroxide, malondialdehyde;
42 vase life; leaf gas exchange

43

44 **1. Introduction**

45 *Gladiolus grandiflorus* L. (Iridaceae), a perennial herbaceous, monocotyledonous,
46 geophyte is an economically important ornamental plant. It is commonly grown to produce cut
47 flowers, and for the beautification purpose in the landscape garden as potted or landscape
48 ornamental plant. Cut flowering spikes of gladiolus are highly ranked commercially in the
49 international cut flower markets, with constant demand all year round (Zulfiqar et al., 2023).
50 According to recent sales statistics, there exists continuous demand for cut gladiolus inflorescence
51 for local markets and for exports to specific countries. A successful business of cut flower
52 production demands short and predictable production time, minimum production cost, and the
53 postharvest vase life (VL) for sale in distant markets.

54 VL is one of the vital quality factors that influence the marketability and customers'
55 satisfaction in buying cut gladiolus flowers. Senescence is the major factor for reduced VL and
56 quality loss after harvest (Shabanian et al., 2018). Senescence is associated with ultrastructural
57 changes, increases in membrane leakage and lipid peroxidation, increased hydrolytic enzyme
58 activities, enhanced respiration rates, macromolecule degradation and modifications in different
59 cell organelles (Mansouri, 2012; Rani and Singh, 2014). Furthermore, various other factors
60 stimulate the initiation of the senescence process (Reid and Jiang, 2012), such as preharvest
61 considerations such as the genotype, the cultivation process, and the environmental factors (e.g.
62 humidity, light, water relations, temperature, and nutritional status) (Fanourakis et al., 2013). At
63 the postharvest phase, factors such as ethylene sensitivity, vase solution microbial activities, and
64 oxidative stress may also affect quality and senescence (Rani and Singh, 2014). Hence, the delay
65 of senescence is vital to attain high commercial values (Gong et al., 2018). In view to maximise
66 VL, researchers continuously search for new and effective postharvest strategies that delay the
67 senescence process.

68 Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S) may act as a signaling molecule to alleviate abiotic stress, delay
69 senescence and improve postharvest quality of horticultural crops (Zulfiqar and Hancock, 2020).
70 Exogenous H₂S treatments improved growth and postharvest performance of horticultural produce
71 by increasing the endogenous accumulation of H₂S, the intracellular ATP and NADPH and the
72 activities of reactive oxygen species (ROS) scavenging enzymes. H₂S treatments maintained
73 energy status, inhibited the chlorophyll degradation and respiration rate, and enhanced antioxidant
74 capacity during postharvest (Hu et al., 2015). Liu et al. (2017) evaluated the involvement of H₂S
75 during the postharvest performance of day lily and observed that H₂S contribute in enhancing VL
76 and reducing senescence of postharvest daylilies by increasing antioxidant capacity and sustained
77 energy status.

78 Similarly, nitric oxide (NO) is a highly reactive, small and diffusible endogenous gaseous
79 signaling molecule which play vital role in plant growth and development. Leshem and Wills
80 (1998) reported that NO acted as natural senescence-delaying agent that primarily, but not solely,
81 down-regulated ethylene emission. Several investigations revealed that NO application can delay
82 senescence of cut flowers (Chang-li and Guo Quan, 2011; Liao et al., 2013; Mittal et al., 2021).
83 Dwivedi et al. (2016) stated that application of NO improved the VL of gladiolus by 2.6 d through
84 regulation of enzymatic activity. In cut carnation flowers, NO application improved VL by
85 decreasing ethylene production and down-regulation of ethylene biosynthesis. NO also decreased
86 the expression of petal senescence-associated genes, and enhanced the scavenging of ROS through
87 efficient anti-oxidation.

88 To date, few studies have evaluated the effects of postharvest treatments of NO to cut
89 flowering stems. However, no studies were found on the effects of preharvest applications of H₂S
90 and NO on plant and flower development, corm production and postharvest characteristics of

91 gladiolus. This study for the first time examined the regulatory effect of separate and combined
92 H₂S+NO foliar treatments on the performance of gladiolus plants, as well as the association of
93 photosynthetic traits with postharvest longevity and defense related enzyme activities that confer
94 protection against postharvest oxidative stress.

95

96 **2. Materials and Methods**

97 *2.1. Plant material and experimental treatments*

98 Gladiolus corms (18-20 cm in circumference) of cv. “White Prosperity” were acquired
99 from Sunny seeds Lahore, Pakistan which is an importer of ornamental seeds and bulbs from the
100 Netherlands, and were grown under open field conditions during 2021 at the Floriculture research
101 area, at IUB Pakistan. Corms treated with Topsin-M (0.02 kg L⁻¹) were planted in 2 L having top
102 diameter 17.0 cm and pot base diameter 12.0 as well as the pot height of 13.0 cm. The trial was
103 set up in a Completely Randomized Design, with treatments serving as the only variable. Each
104 treatment had 15 replications, and the experiment was conducted twice underneath the same
105 conditions.

106 Gladiolus plants were treated with donors of NO (0.10 mM sodium nitroprusside, SNP) or
107 H₂S (0.20 mM sodium hydrosulfide, NaHS). The doses of both elicitors were established after
108 preliminary tests on the field and international literature (e.g., Mittal et al., 2021). During gaseous
109 treatments with NO and H₂S, the control plants were covered with a polyvinyl film to avoid
110 interference with the chemical elicitors.

111 *2.2. Vegetative and reproductive characteristics*

112 Total leaves and average leaf area (mm^2) were evaluated using a Portable Area Meter. Total
113 days taken to harvest were recorded. Inflorescence length (cm) and number of florets per
114 inflorescence, were measured. On harvesting of cut gladiolus inflorescences, two base leaves were
115 not cut with the inflorescence for assisting the underground corm development process. On
116 maturity (yellowing and drying of leaves), corms were manually uprooted with a spade and
117 immediately were washed under running tap water near the production site and corm mass (gr)
118 and corm diameter (cm) were recorded.

119 2.3. *Leaf gas exchange*

120 At bud emergence stage of flowering, chlorophyll and leaf gas exchange (LGE) were
121 measured. The measured parameters were performed between 8.15 am to 10.00 am, on four
122 mature, and healthy leaves from fifteen plants of a treatment using an infrared gas analyzer set at
123 $400 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1} \text{CO}_2$ and a flow rate of $300 \mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$. Chlorophyll levels were recorded using
124 a SPAD meter on six expanded, healthy leaves.

125 2.4. *Harvesting cut flowers and vase life evaluation*

126 Floral spikes were manually cut at the stage of 2-3 open florets per inflorescences, using a
127 sharp-edged knife during early morning hours. The cut inflorescences were taken to the floriculture
128 laboratory within 20 min. While in the laboratory, the inflorescences were re-cut under water at
129 85 cm length to avoid blockages of the vascular system. Inflorescences were put into 200 mL glass
130 vases (1 in each) filled with DW. All vases with inflorescences were placed at $20 \pm 3 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, relative
131 humidity $60 \pm 5 \%$, under 12 h light /12 h dark periods. Total fifteen cut inflorescences per
132 treatment were used. The VL was measured as the total number of days from harvest till the day

133 when 60 % of the floret petals wilted or lost turgidity and/or color. Data were recorded every 2 d
134 till the end of the VL.

135 2.5. *Soluble sugar (SS) and total soluble protein (TSP) contents in leaves*

136 SS content in leaves was assessed 4 d before cutting the inflorescences following the
137 methodology narrated by Frohlich and Kutscherah (1995). First, samples (0.0005 kg fresh leaves)
138 were added to the test tubes comprising distilled water (10 mL). The sealed tubes were then
139 incubated at 80 °C for 60 min. These tubes were then set to the volume at 25 mL. Of the collected
140 supernatant, 0.5 mL was mixed with 0.5 mL anthrone, 1.5 mL distilled water, and 5 mL sulfuric
141 acid. These solutions were assessed for SS (g kg^{-1}) in a spectrophotometer at 620 nm. TSP (g kg^{-1})
142 were assessed on a fresh weight basis in leaves following the methodology narrated by Bradford
143 (1976).

144

145 2.6. *Malondialdehyde and hydrogen peroxide contents*

146 For measuring MDA and H_2O_2 , fresh samples of 0.0005 kg of the fifth floret on the
147 inflorescence (from base upwards) were collected on day-5 after cutting from plants. MDA and
148 H_2O_2 contents were evaluated following Hodges et al. (1999) and Patterson et al. (1984),
149 respectively.

150

151 2.7. *Defense enzyme activities*

152 Fresh flower samples of 0.0005 kg were taken from the fifth floret on the spike (from base
153 upwards) on day-4 after cutting from plants. The sample was homogenized in a chilled mortar and
154 pestle containing 5 mL of ice-cold 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer, pH 7.8, containing 2 % (w/v)
155 polyvinylpyrrolidone and 1.0 mM EDTA. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 10,000 g at 4

156 °C for 20 min. SOD and CAT activities were determined using supernatant stored at 20 °C
157 following the methodologies by van Rossum et al. (1997) and Chance and Maehly (1955),
158 respectively.

159

160 2.8. *Proline content*

161 Ninhydrin-oriented method was followed to quantify leaf free-proline concentration (Bates et
162 al. 1973). Briefly, 0.0005 kg fresh sample was extracted in 10 mL of 3 % (w/v) sulfo-salicylic
163 acid. Then, 2.0 mL of the filtered solution was put into 2.0 mL of acid ninhydrin (1.26 g ninhydrin
164 + 20 mL 6 M ortho-phosphoric acid + 30 mL glacial acetic acid) and 2.0 mL of glacial acetic acid.
165 After incubation for 60 min at 80 °C, samples were immediately transferred to an ice bath for
166 ending the reaction. Afterwards, Toluene (4.0 mL) was put into the solution and mixed vigorously
167 by vortexing for 30 s. The chromophore comprising toluene was separated from the aqueous phase.
168 Absorbance was recorded at 520 nm.

169

170 2.9. *Statistical analysis*

171 Data was analysed by one-way ANOVA (SPSS ver. 22; Chicago, USA) and Duncan's
172 multiple range test ($P \leq 0.05$). Pearson correlations (2-tailed) were executed to demonstrate the
173 relations between variables as affected by NO and H₂S treatments.

174

175 3. Results

176 3.1. *Plant growth and corm production*

177 Leaf numbers in NO- and H₂S+NO-treated plants increased by up to 12% and 17%,
178 respectively compared to the controls (Fig. 1A). Leaf area of the H₂S, NO and H₂S+NO-treated
179 plants increased by up to 6%, 5% and 9%, respectively (Fig. 1A). Harvest time was reduced
180 decreased for H₂S, NO and H₂S+NO-treated plants by 4.2, 6.6 and 11.5 d, respectively compared
181 to controls (Fig 1B). Inflorescence length increased in response to the NO and H₂S+NO treatments
182 by up to 8% and 13%, respectively (Fig 1C). Number of florets increased by 20% on the H₂S+NO-
183 treated plants (Fig 1C).

184 Corm mass increased by all treatments (Fig.2). H₂S, NO and H₂S+NO treatment increase corm
185 mass by 3, 2.5 and 6.5 gr, respectively compared to the controls (Fig 2). However, H₂S, NO and
186 H₂S+NO treatments did not affect corm diameters (Fig. 2).

187

188 *3.2. Leaf gas exchange and chlorophyll content*

189 Plants treated with H₂S and H₂S+NO showed increase in *As* by 29% and by up to 35%,
190 respectively (Fig. 3A). NO treated plants did not show any increase in *As* compared to the control.
191 H₂S+NO helped plants maintain lower transpiration, although, stomatal conductance (*g_s*) was not
192 affected by any of the treatments (Fig. 3B, C). The chlorophyll content (SPAD values) increased
193 under H₂S, NO and H₂S+NO treatments by up to 16%, 19%, and 18%, respectively, (Fig. 3D).

194

195 *3.3. Vase life*

196 VL was increased by all preharvest treatments. The combined H₂S + NO treatment had the
197 lengthiest VL of 13.64 d (+ 33%), followed by H₂S treatment having 12.54 d (+ 19%) and NO
198 with 11.90 d (+ 14%) as compared to the control inflorescences (10.22 d) (Fig. 4).

199

200 *3.4. Soluble sugars and total soluble protein contents*

201 SS under NO and H₂S+NO treatments were enhanced by up to 25% and 42%, respectively
202 (Fig 5A). Although, TSP were increased in response to H₂S, NO and H₂S+NO treatments by 39%,
203 43%, and 55% compared to the controls (Fig 5B).

204

205 *3.5. Malondialdehyde (MDA) and hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) contents*

206 In our experiment, MDA was declined by all preharvest treatments (Fig 6A). H₂S, NO and
207 H₂S+NO reduced MDA by up to 16%, 18% and 88%, respectively. The H₂O₂ content was reduced
208 by up to 55%, only by the combined H₂S+NO treatments (Fig 6B). NO and H₂S did not
209 significantly affect H₂O₂ content.

210

211 *3.6. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT) enzyme activities*

212 An increase in antioxidants can induce tolerance against oxidative stress. Superoxide
213 dismutase and CAT enzyme activities were improved in plants that received most of signaling
214 **molecules treatment**. In detail, SOD activity was increased by 45% in the H₂S+NO-supplemented
215 inflorescences (Fig 7A). Catalase activities were enhanced by 65%, 68%, and 76% against H₂S,
216 NO and H₂S+NO applications, respectively (Fig 7B).

217

218 *3.7. Proline concentration*

219 In rapidly senescent plant cells, proline increases significantly. In the present study, proline
220 levels were reduced by up to 47% in the H₂S+NO-treated inflorescences (Fig 7C).

221

222 *3.8. Correlation analysis*

223 Strong correlations were found between preharvest physiological responses and growth
224 performance (Table 1). SPAD values were significantly correlated with SS, TSP, corm mass (CM),
225 corm diameter (CD), number of leaves (NL), leaf area (LEA), days to harvest (DtH), inflorescence
226 length (IL) and number of florets (NFlo). SPAD values were significantly increased by H₂S and
227 NO treatments indicating an elicitor response that induced chlorophyll production, photosynthesis,
228 growth and flowering. Higher SS and TSP accumulated by the primary metabolism SS were
229 correlated with higher CM, CD IL and NFlo (Table 1).

230 Strong correlations were found between primary metabolism products (e.g. SS and TSP) and
231 secondary metabolites leading to anti-oxidation responses (e.g. SOD, CAT and proline) (Table 2).
232 Also, SS and TSP were negatively correlated with MDA and H₂O₂ suggesting that primary
233 metabolites helped in reduction of lipid peroxidation and overall oxidative stress (Table 2). SOD
234 and CAT productions were negatively correlated with oxidative stress indicators of MDA and
235 H₂O₂ (Table 2).

236

237 **4. Discussion**

238 The demand for cut flowers is on steady rise in the international cut flower markets. Flower
239 growers and sellers are continuously searching for new strategies to improve quality, production
240 volumes, VL, and corm yield. Senescence is a fundamental phenomenon of the developmental
241 progress ongoing on the cell tissue (Woo et al., 2018). Recent studies have demonstrated that
242 postharvest treatment with signaling molecules were able to ameliorate the oxidative stress and
243 improve VL of cut flowers. In the current experiments, gladiolus was treated with H₂S and NO to
244 enhance preharvest physiological traits that would increase the VL and improve overall quality.
245 Exogenous H₂S and NO application improved growth and corm production of gladiolus under

246 normal growing conditions. Growth improvements, as a result of H₂S and NO treatments, could
247 be related to significant increases in chlorophyll and leaf protein concentration, to accumulation
248 of soluble sugars and to significant enhancement of antioxidant potential. In this study, foliar
249 applications of H₂S and NO increased SPAD values and leaf gas exchange. These increases could
250 be associated with the increases in increased corm yield and VL performance. The results relates
251 with those presented by Ozfidan-Konakci et al. (2022) in which leaf gas exchange in Arabidopsis
252 was improved by H₂S application. Batista et al. (2018) reported the improvement of leaf gas
253 exchange and chlorophyll concentration under water deficit in response to NO application. Such
254 improvements were associated with higher protein biosynthesis that positively influenced growth,
255 flower development and corm production. Increases in chlorophyll content under H₂S and NO
256 application was found to be a physiological marker justifying the stimulation of the primary
257 metabolism.

258 Yamada et al. (2003) noted that, sugars play a vital role in conquering the incidence of
259 programmed cell death in gladiolus. Senescence is a type of developmental programmed cell death
260 (van Doorn and Woltering, 2008). H₂S and NO application regulated cut gladiolus floret
261 senescence by sugar and protein accumulation and by up-regulation of antioxidant enzymes that
262 reduced lipid peroxidation and H₂O₂. Wei et al. (2021) noted that application of H₂S to cut
263 chrysanthemum and rose plants extended VL via improving sugar and protein content, water
264 relations and antioxidant activities. Similarly, Mittal et al. (2021) reported that application of NO
265 to gladiolus spikes after harvest improved VL via improving antioxidants, proteins and sugars.
266 H₂S, NO and H₂S+NO treatments reduced ROS, or ROS markers such as lipid peroxidation and
267 helped the maintenance of the membrane stability (Bailly et al., 1996). Present results correlate
268 with Li et al. (2021), Haq et al. (2021) and Hajhashemi and Jahantigh (2022), where they indicated

269 that H₂S or NO had major roles in lowering lipid peroxidation and H₂O₂ in cut inflorescences of
270 *Lilium hybrids*, *Consolida ajacis* and *Narcissus tazetta*, respectively.

271 Soluble sugars and proteins play vital roles in osmoregulation during plant growth and
272 development. Osmoregulators aid plant growth under stressful environments (Ozturk et al., 2021).
273 The water-retentive ability of cut flowers is purely linked with the level of SS and TSP. In the
274 current study, application of H₂S and NO significantly increased SS and TSP compared to the
275 controls providing clear evidence that they helped in maintaining osmotic balance. These results
276 are in accordance with other studies. For instance, Wei et al. (2021) reported extension of VL of
277 cut chrysanthemums and roses as a result of SS and TSP increase after H₂S treatment. Mittal et al.
278 (2021) noted significant improvements in VL of gladiolus inflorescences treated with NO in
279 relation to SS and TSP increases.

280 The antioxidant enzyme-based defense systems are part of the vital strategy of plants
281 against senescence and cellular damage in response to oxidative stress (Zulfiqar and Ashraf, 2022).
282 In plant cells, protection by oxidative stress occurs via the adaptive responses of the catalytic
283 enzymes (Zhou et al. 2014). In the current experiments, SOD and CAT activities were increased
284 in response to foliar H₂S and NO treatments. CAT activity enhancement was recorded after
285 postharvest NO and H₂S application to cut gladiolus, roses and chrysanthemums (Dwivedi et al.,
286 2016; Wei et al., 2021). SOD was found to increase in response to NO and H₂S treatments and
287 may scavenge excessive ROS during senescence of cut flowers (Dwivedi et al., 2016; Wei et al.,
288 2021). Elimination of excessive ROS by H₂S-mediated antioxidant enzyme activities was
289 enhanced at storage in cut daylily inflorescences (Liu et al., 2017). Although, these results provide
290 evidence that H₂S and NO mediate antioxidant boost, studies related to preharvest treatment of
291 H₂S or NO were not available in the literature. In the current experiments preharvest H₂S and NO

292 treatments induced the postharvest performance and the antioxidant response of the gladiolus
293 inflorescences. Hence, H₂S and NO can be a useful strategy for mitigation of ROS damage during
294 senescence of cut gladiolus inflorescences by stimulating antioxidant enzyme activities. Proline is
295 considered a non-enzymatic antioxidant molecule having a crucial role in osmotic regulation in
296 plants (Zulfiqar et al. 2020). Lowered proline levels in the H₂S or NO-treated cut gladiolus
297 provided additional evidence that H₂S and NO decreased water deficit stress. Nitric oxide
298 treatments lowered proline levels in cut gerbera (*Gerbera jamesonii*) (Shabaniyan et al., 2018). It
299 was noted that under heavy metal stress conditions, H₂S and proline were coordinated to increase
300 stress tolerance significantly (Tian et al., 2016). Both H₂S and NO have effects through thiol
301 modifications (S-nitrosylation and S-persulfidation), and in some manner may compete for the
302 thiol groups of proteins. Certainly, both H₂S and NO are likely to be generated spatially and
303 temporally together, and they therefore accumulate under stress conditions in plants such as in cut
304 flowers. Therefore, they may act at the same time and have common targets (Hancock and
305 Whiteman, 2014; 2016). The research evidences implies that S-nitrosylation is important in NO-
306 mediated biological activity such as improvement in vase life of cut flowers (Fig 10) (Fernando et
307 al., 2019). Hydrogen sulfide (H₂S)-dependent protein persulfidation is also responsible in plants
308 regarding biological activities such as postharvest improvement (Corpas et al., 2021). Common
309 targets of both these signalling molecules are also reported in the plant cell functions (Palma et al.,
310 2020). Collectively, preharvest treatments with H₂S and NO can be a convenient preservation
311 strategy to maintained water status in gladiolus cut inflorescences and extend VL.

312

313 **Conclusions**

314 Combined applications of H₂S+NO greatly improved growth, physiology, and biochemical
315 aspects in leaves and prolonged the postharvest life of gladiolus spikes. Exogenous application of
316 H₂S and NO improved defense enzyme activities and ameliorated the oxidative stress-encouraged
317 senescence phenomenon. The application of H₂S and NO improved corm mass and diameter by
318 aiding the enhancement of photosynthesis. Future studies should evaluate the higher rates to see if
319 these signaling molecules can provide even better results in postharvest performance of cut
320 gladiolus. Moreover, H₂S and NO can react together to make a nitrosothiol and this can then act
321 in a signalling role in its own right. Therefore, the roles of H₂S and NO are quite complex, and
322 worth more exploration, such as done in this study.

323 **Declaration of interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

324 **Contribution:** FZ conceived the idea, performed the experiment and wrote first draft. All
325 authors improved and revised the manuscript. All authors approved the submission of final
326 manuscript.

327 **Acknowledgments**

328 The authors extend their appreciation to the researchers supporting project number
329 (RSP2023R298), King Saud University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

330

331 **Funding**

332 This research was funded by researchers supporting project number (RSP2023R298), King Saud
333 University, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia.

334

335 **References**

336 Bailly, C., Benamar, A., Corbineau, F., Côme, D., 1996. Changes in malondialdehyde content and
337 in superoxide dismutase, catalase and glutathione reductase activities in sunflower seeds
338 as related to deterioration during accelerated aging. *Physiol. Plant.* 97(1), 104-110. DOI:
339 10.1111/j.1399-3054.1996.tb00485.x

340 Bates, L.S., Waldren, R.P., Teare, I.D., 1973. Rapid determination of free proline for water-stress
341 studies. *Plant Soil*. 39, 205–207. DOI: 10.1007/BF00018060

342 Batista, P.F., Costa, A.C., Müller, C., de Oliveira Silva-Filho, R., da Silva, F.B., Merchant, A.,
343 Medes, G.C., Nascimento, K.J.T., 2018. Nitric oxide mitigates the effect of water deficit
344 in *Crambe abyssinica*. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 129, 310-322. DOI:
345 10.1016/j.plaphy.2018.06.012

346 Palma, J.M., Mateos, R.M., López-Jaramillo, J., Rodríguez-Ruiz, M., González-Gordo, S.,
347 Lechuga-Sancho, A.M. and Corpas, F.J., 2020. Plant catalases as NO and H₂S targets.
348 *Redox Biology*, 34, p.101525.

349 Corpas, F.J., González-Gordo, S., Muñoz-Vargas, M.A., Rodríguez-Ruiz, M. and Palma, J.M.,
350 2021. The modus operandi of hydrogen sulfide (H₂S)-dependent protein persulfidation in
351 higher plants. *Antioxidants*, 10(11), p.1686.

352 Bradford, M.M., 1976. A rapid and sensitive method for the quantitation of microgram quantities
353 of protein utilizing the principle of protein-dye binding. *Anal. Biochem.* 72, 248–254. DOI:
354 10.1016/0003-2697(76)90527-3

355 Dwivedi, S.K., Arora, A., Singh, V.P., Sairam, R., Bhattacharya, R.C., 2016. Effect of sodium
356 nitroprusside on differential activity of antioxidants and expression of SAGs in relation to
357 vase life of gladiolus cut flowers. *Sci. Hortic.* 210, 158-165. DOI:
358 10.1016/j.scienta.2016.07.024

359 Fernando, V., Zheng, X., Walia, Y., Sharma, V., Letson, J., Furuta, S., 2019. S-nitrosylation: an
360 emerging paradigm of redox signaling. *Antioxidants*, 8(9), 404.

361 Dwivedi, S.K., Arora, A., Singh, V.P., Sairam, R., Bhattacharya, R.C., 2016. Effect of sodium
362 nitroprusside on differential activity of antioxidants and expression of SAGs in relation to

363 vase life of gladiolus cut flowers. *Sci Hortic.* 210, 158-165. DOI:
364 10.1016/j.scienta.2016.07.024

365 Fanourakis, D., Pieruschka, R., Savvides, A., Macnish, A. J., Sarlikioti, V., Woltering, E. J., 2013.
366 Sources of vase life variation in cut roses: a review. *Postharvest Biol. Technol*, 78, 1-15.
367 DOI: doi.org/10.1016/j.postharvbio.2012.12.001

368 Frohlich, M., Kutscherah, U., 1995. Changes in soluble sugars and proteins during development
369 of rye coleoptiles. *J. Plant Physiol.* 146, 121–125. DOI: 10.1016/S0176-1617(11)81977-2

370 Gong, T., Li, C., Bian, B., Wu, Y., Dawuda, M.M., Liao, W., 2018. Advances in application of
371 small molecule compounds for extending the shelf life of perishable horticultural products:
372 a review. *Sci. Hortic.* 230, 25-34. DOI: 10.1016/j.scienta.2017.11.013

373 Hancock, J.T., Whiteman, M., 2016. Hydrogen sulfide signaling: interactions with nitric oxide and
374 reactive oxygen species. *Ann. New York Acad. Sci.* 1365(1), 5-14.

375 Hancock, J.T., Whiteman, M., 2014. Hydrogen sulfide and cell signaling: team player or referee?.
376 *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 78, 37-42.

377 Hajihashemi, S., Jahantigh, O., 2022. Nitric Oxide Effect on Growth, Physiological and
378 Biochemical Processes, Flowering, and Postharvest Performance of *Narcissus tazze*. *J*
379 *Plant Growth Regul.* DOI: 10.1007/s00344-022-10596-3

380 Haq, A.U., Lone, M.L., Farooq, S., Parveen, S., Altaf, F., Tahir, I., Hefft, D.I., Ahmad, A., Parvaiz,
381 A., 2021. Nitric oxide effectively orchestrates postharvest flower senescence: a case study
382 of *Consolida ajacis*. *Funct. Plant Biol.* <https://doi.org/10.1071/FP21241>

383 Hodges, D.M., DeLong, J.M., Forney, C.F., Prange, R.K., 1999. Improving the thiobarbituric acid-
384 reactive-substances assay for estimating lipid peroxidation in plant tissues containing

385 anthocyanin and other interfering compounds. *Planta*. 207, 604–611. DOI:
386 10.1007/s004250050524

387 Hu, H., Liu, D., Li, P., Shen, W., 2015. Hydrogen sulfide delays leaf yellowing of stored water
388 spinach (*Ipomoea aquatica*) during dark-induced senescence by delaying chlorophyll
389 breakdown, maintaining energy status and increasing antioxidative capacity. *Postharvest*
390 *Biol. Technol.* 108, 8-20. DOI: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2015.05.003

391 Huang, D., Huo, J., Liao, W., 2021. Hydrogen sulfide: Roles in plant abiotic stress response and
392 crosstalk with other signals. *Plant Sci.* 302, 110733. DOI: 10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110733

393 Leshem, Y.Y., Wills, R.B.H., 1998. Harnessing senescence delaying gases nitric oxide and nitrous
394 oxide: a novel approach to postharvest control of fresh horticultural produce. *Biol. Plant.*
395 41, 1–10. DOI: 10.1023/A:1001779227767

396 Li, C., Chen, G., Huang, D., Wang, N., Liao, W., 2021. The antioxidant defense system during
397 Lanzhou Lily scales storage is modulated by hydrogen sulfide. *Horticultrae*. 7(7), 183.
398 DOI: 10.3390/horticultrae7070183

399 Li, Z.G., Xiang, R.H., Wang, J.Q., 2021. Hydrogen sulfide–phytohormone interaction in plants
400 under physiological and stress conditions. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 40, 2476–2484. DOI:
401 10.1007/s00344-021-10350-1

402 Liao, W.B., Zhang, M.L., Yu, J.H., 2013. Role of nitric oxide in delaying senescence of cut rose
403 flowers and its interaction with ethylene. *Sci Hortic.* 155, 30-38. DOI:
404 10.1016/j.scienta.2013.03.005

405 Liu, D., Xu, S., Hu, H., Pan, J., Li, P., She, W., 2017. Endogenous hydrogen sulfide homeostasis
406 is responsible for the alleviation of senescence of postharvest daylily flower via increasing

407 antioxidant capacity and maintained energy status. *J. Agric Food Chem.* 65, 718–726. DOI:
408 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b04389

409 Liu, D., Xu, S., Hu, H., Pan, J., Li, P., Shen, W., 2017. Endogenous hydrogen sulfide homeostasis
410 is responsible for the alleviation of senescence of postharvest daylily flower via increasing
411 antioxidant capacity and maintained energy status. *J. Agric. Food Chem.* 65(4), 718-726.
412 DOI: 10.1021/acs.jafc.6b04389

413 Mansouri, H., 2012. Salicylic acid and sodium nitroprusside improve postharvest life of
414 chrysanthemums. *Sci. Hortic.* 145, 29–33. DOI: 10.1016/j.scienta.2012.07.016

415 Mittal, I., Jhanji, S., Dhatt, K.K., 2021. Efficacy of sodium nitroprusside, a nitric oxide donor, on
416 vase life and post-harvest attributes of gladiolus spikes. *Acta Physiol. Plant.* 43(7), 108.
417 DOI: 10.1007/s11738-021-03275-5

418 Naing, A.H., Lee, K., Arun, M., Lim, K.B., Kim, C.K., 2017. Characterization of the role of
419 sodium nitroprusside (SNP) involved in long vase life of different carnation cultivars. *BMC*
420 *Plant Biol.* 17, 149. DOI: 10.1186/s12870-017-1097-0

421 Ozfidan-Konakci, C., Yildiztugay, E., Arikan, B., Elbasan, F., Alp, F.N., Kucukoduk, M., 2022.
422 Hydrogen sulfide protects damage from methyl viologen-mediated oxidative stress by
423 improving gas exchange, fluorescence kinetics of photosystem II, and antioxidant system
424 in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *J Plant Growth Regul.* [https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-022-10612-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00344-022-10612-6)
425 6

426 Ozturk, M., Turkyilmaz Unal, B., García-Caparrós, P., Khursheed, A., Gul, A., Hasanuzzaman,
427 M., 2021. Osmoregulation and its actions during the drought stress in plants. *Physiol. Plant.*
428 172(2), 1321-1335. <https://doi.org/10.1111/ppl.13297>

429 Patterson, B.D., Mac Rae, E.A., Ferguson, I.B., 1984. Estimation of hydrogen peroxide in plant
430 extracts using titanium (IV). *Analytical Biochem.* 139, 487–492. DOI: 10.1016/0003-
431 2697(84)90039-3

432 Rani, P., Singh, N., 2014. Senescence and postharvest studies of cut flowers: a critical review.
433 *Pertanika J. Trop. Agric. Sci.* 32, 159–201.

434 Reid, M.S., Jiang, C.-Z., 2012. Postharvest biology and technology of cut flowers and potted
435 plants. In: Janick, J. (Ed.), *Horticultural Reviews*. John Wiley & Sons, Inc, Hoboken, NJ,
436 USA, pp. 1–54.

437 Shabanian, S., Esfahani, M.N., Karamian, R., Tran, L.S.P., 2018. Physiological and biochemical
438 modifications by postharvest treatment with sodium nitroprusside extend vase life of cut
439 flowers of two gerbera cultivars. *Postharvest Biol. Technol.* 137, 1-8. DOI:
440 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2017.11.009

441 Singhal, R.K., Jatav, H.S., Aftab, T., Pandey, S., Mishra, U. N., Chauhan, J., Chand, S., Saha, D.,
442 Dadarwal, B.K., Chandra, K., Khan, M.A., Rajput, V.D., Minkina, T., Narayana, E.S.,
443 Sharma, M.K., Ahmed, S., 2021. Roles of nitric oxide in conferring multiple abiotic stress
444 tolerance in plants and crosstalk with other plant growth regulators. *J. Plant Growth Regul.*
445 40(6), 2303-2328. DOI: 10.1007/s00344-021-10446-8

446 Tian, B., Qiao, Z., Zhang, L., Li, H., Pei, Y., 2016. Hydrogen sulfide and proline cooperate to
447 alleviate cadmium stress in foxtail millet seedlings. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 109, 293-299.
448 DOI: 10.1016/j.plaphy.2016.10.006

449 van Doorn, W.G., Woltering, E.J., 2008. Physiology and molecular biology of petal senescence.
450 *J. Exp. Bot.* 59, 453–480. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/erm356

451 van Rossum, M.W.P.C., Alberda, M., van der Plas, L.H.W., 1997. Role of oxidative damage in
452 tulip bulb scale micropropagation. *Plant Sci.* 130, 207–216. DOI: 10.1016/S0168-
453 9452(97)00215-X

454 Wei, L., Wang, C., Liao, W., 2021. Hydrogen sulfide improves the vase life and quality of cut
455 roses and chrysanthemums. *J. Plant Growth Regul.* 40, 2532–2547. DOI: 10.1007/s00344-
456 021-10312-7

457 Woo, H.R., Masclaux-Daubresse, C., Lim, P.O., 2018. Plant senescence: how plants know when
458 and how to die. *J. Exp. Bot.* 69(4), 715-718. DOI: 10.1093/jxb/ery011

459 Xie, Z., Yang, C., Li, M., Zhang, Z., Wu, Y., Gu, L., Peng, X., 2022. Nitric Oxide crosstalk with
460 phytohormone is involved in enhancing photosynthesis of *Tetrastigma hemsleyanum* for
461 photovoltaic adaptation. *Front Plant Sci.* 13, 852956-852956. DOI:
462 10.3389/fpls.2022.852956

463 Yamada, T., Takatsu, Y., Manabe, T., Kasumi, M., Marubashi, W., 2003. Suppressive effect of
464 trehalose on apoptotic cell death leading to petal senescence in ethylene-insensitive flowers
465 of gladiolus. *Plant Sci.* 164(2), 213-221. DOI: 10.1016/S0168-9452(02)00403-X

466 Zeng, C.L., Liu, L., Xu, G.Q., 2011. The physiological responses of carnation cut flowers to
467 exogenous nitric oxide. *Sci Hortic.* 127(3), 424-430. DOI: 10.1016/j.scienta.2010.10.024

468 Zhou, Q., Ma, C., Cheng, S., Wei, B., Liu, X., Ji, S., 2014. Changes in antioxidative metabolism
469 accompanying pitting development in stored blueberry fruit. *Postharvest Boil. Technol.* 88,
470 88-95. DOI: 10.1016/j.postharvbio.2013.10.003

471 Zulfiqar, F., Akram, N.A., Ashraf, M., 2020. Osmoprotection in plants under abiotic stresses: New
472 insights into a classical phenomenon. *Planta.* 251(1), 1-17. DOI: 10.1007/s00425-019-
473 03293-1

474 Zulfiqar, F., Ashraf, M., 2021. Antioxidants as modulators of arsenic-induced oxidative stress
475 tolerance in plants: An overview. *J. Hazard. Mat.* 427, 127891. DOI:
476 10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.127891

477 Zulfiqar, F., Hancock, J.T., 2020. Hydrogen sulfide in horticulture: emerging roles in the era of
478 climate change. *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* 155, 667-675. DOI:
479 10.1016/j.plaphy.2020.08.010

480 Zulfiqar, F., Moosa, A., Ferrante, A., Nafees, M., Darras, A., Nazir, M. M., Elsaid, F. G., 2023.
481 Melatonin and salicylic acid synergistically improve arsenic induced oxidative stress
482 tolerance in ornamental sword lily. *Sci. Hort.* 322, 112389. DOI:
483 10.1016/j.scienta.2023.112389

484 **Figure Legends**

485

486 **Figure 1.** Number of leaves (A), average leaf area (mm^2 ; A), days to harvest (B), inflorescence
487 length (cm; C) and number of florets (C) of gladiolus plants treated with distilled water (control),
488 hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), nitric oxide (NO), and combination of H_2S and NO ($\text{H}_2\text{S}+\text{NO}$). **Data**
489 **shown are means \pm SE (n = 15) from each treatment.** Different letters above the bars represent
490 significant differences according to Duncan's test at $p \leq 0.05$

491

492 **Figure 2.** Corm mass (gr; A), and corm diameter (cm; A) of gladiolus treated with distilled water
493 (control), hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), nitric oxide (NO), and combination of H_2S and NO ($\text{H}_2\text{S}+\text{NO}$).
494 **Data shown are means \pm SE (n = 15) from each treatment.** Different letters above the bars represent
495 significant differences according to Duncan's test at $p \leq 0.05$

496

497 **Figure 3.** Net CO_2 assimilation ($\mu\text{mol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$; A), stomatal conductance ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$; B),
498 transpiration ($\text{mmol m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$; C) and chlorophyll (SPAD; D) of gladiolus treated with distilled water
499 (control), hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), nitric oxide (NO), and combination of H_2S and NO ($\text{H}_2\text{S}+\text{NO}$).
500 **Data shown are means \pm SE (n = 15) from each treatment.** Different letters above the bars represent
501 significant differences according to Duncan's test at $p \leq 0.05$

502

503 **Figure 4.** Vase life (days) of cut spikes of gladiolus from plants treated with distilled water
504 (control), hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), nitric oxide (NO), and combination of H_2S and NO ($\text{H}_2\text{S}+\text{NO}$).
505 **Data shown are means \pm SE (n = 15) from each treatment.** Different letters above the bars represent
506 significant differences according to Duncan's test at $p \leq 0.05$

507

508 **Figure 5.** Soluble sugars (g kg^{-1} ; A), and total soluble proteins (g kg^{-1} ; B) of gladiolus treated with
509 distilled water (control), hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), nitric oxide (NO), and combination of H_2S and
510 NO ($\text{H}_2\text{S}+\text{NO}$). Data shown are means \pm SE ($n = 15$) from each treatment. Different letters above
511 the bars represent significant differences according to Duncan's test at $p \leq 0.05$

512

513 **Figure 6.** Malondialdehyde (MDA) (mmol kg^{-1} ; A) and hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) (mmol kg^{-1} ;
514 B) of gladiolus treated with distilled water (control), hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), nitric oxide (NO),
515 and combination of H_2S and NO ($\text{H}_2\text{S}+\text{NO}$). Data shown are means \pm SE ($n = 15$) from each
516 treatment. Different letters above the bars represent significant differences according to Duncan's
517 test at $p \leq 0.05$

518

519 **Figure 7.** Superoxide dismutase (SOD) (unit mg^{-1} protein; A), catalase (CAT) activities (unit mg^{-1}
520 protein; B) and proline ($\mu\text{mol g}^{-1}$ FW; C) in gladiolus treated with distilled water (control),
521 hydrogen sulfide (H_2S), nitric oxide (NO), and combination of H_2S and NO ($\text{H}_2\text{S}+\text{NO}$). Data
522 shown are means \pm SE ($n = 15$) from each treatment. Different letters above the bars represent
523 significant differences according to Duncan's test at $p \leq 0.05$

524

525 **Figure 8.** Principal component analysis (PCA) of (A) active individuals and (B) different active
526 variables (evaluated indexes) of gladiolus supplemented with distilled water as control (CK), H_2S ,
527 NO, and $\text{H}_2\text{S}+\text{NO}$. (A) The score plot indicates the distribution of treatments means of 15
528 replications from each treatment.

529

530 **Figure 9.** Pearsons correlation analysis between different studies indexes. Blue and brownish
531 colors indicate positive and negative correlations, respectively.

532

533 **Figure 10.** A schematic demonstration of the mechanism for H₂S and NO joint
534 reaction to make a nitrosothiol and this can then act in a signaling role in its own right.

535 Table 1: Pearson correlations (2-tailed) between As, SPAD, soluble sugars (SS) total soluble proteins (TSP), corm mass (CM), corm
 536 diameter (CD), number of leaves (NL), leaf area (LEA), days to harvest (DtH), inflorescence length (IL) and number of florets (NFlo)
 537 per inflorescence. Correlation analysis was performed in SPSS v. 21.

| | | As | SPAD | SS | TSP | CM | CD | NL | LEA | DtH | IL | NFlo |
|-------------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------|-----------|------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------|-------------|
| As | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 1 | 0.321* | 0.125 | 0.217 | 0.394* | 0.069 | 0.333* | -0.080 | -0.117 | 0.287 | 0.041 |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | - | 0.044 | 0.441 | 0.179 | 0.012 | 0.674 | 0.035 | 0.622 | 0.471 | 0.073 | 0.801 |
| SPAD | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.321* | 1 | 0.479** | 0.529** | 0.656** | 0.322* | 0.319* | 0.396* | -0.380* | 0.438** | 0.250 |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.044 | - | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.042 | 0.045 | 0.012 | 0.015 | 0.005 | 0.125 |
| SS | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.125 | 0.479** | 1 | 0.666** | 0.419** | 0.608** | 0.198 | 0.193 | -0.369* | 0.524** | 0.575* |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.441 | 0.002 | - | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.000 | 0.220 | 0.232 | 0.019 | 0.001 | 0.000* |
| TSP | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.217 | 0.529** | 0.666** | 1 | 0.387* | 0.509** | 0.193 | 0.183 | -0.249 | 0.522** | 0.322* |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.179 | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.014 | 0.001 | 0.233 | 0.259 | 0.141 | 0.001 | 0.043 |
| CM | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.394* | 0.656** | 0.419** | 0.387* | 1 | 0.362* | 0.056 | 0.270 | -0.0401* | 0.411** | 0.208 |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.012 | 0.000 | 0.007 | 0.014 | - | 0.022 | 0.733 | 0.092 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 0.199 |
| CD | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.069 | 0.322* | 0.608** | 0.509** | 0.362* | 1 | 0.076 | -0.010 | -0.269 | 0.378* | 0.326* |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.674 | 0.042 | 0.000 | 0.001 | 0.022 | - | 0.764 | 0.950 | 0.093 | 0.016 | 0.040 |
| NL | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.333* | 0.319* | 0.198 | 0.193 | 0.056 | 0.076 | 1 | 0.006 | -0.091 | 0.427** | 0.123 |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.035 | 0.045 | 0.220 | 0.233 | 0.733 | 0.764 | - | 0.973 | 0.578 | 0.006 | 0.449 |
| LEA | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | -0.080 | 0.396* | 0.193 | 0.183 | 0.270 | -0.010 | 0.006 | 1 | 0.235 | 0.253 | 0.223 |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.622 | 0.012 | 0.232 | 0.259 | 0.092 | 0.950 | 0.973 | - | 0.145 | 0.116 | 0.167 |
| DtH | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | -0.117 | -0.380* | -0.369* | -0.249 | -0.0401* | -0.269 | -0.091 | 0.235 | 1 | -0.247 | -0.107 |

| | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------|----------------------------|-------|---------|---------|---------|---------|--------|---------|-------|--------|--------|--------|
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.471 | 0.015 | 0.019 | 0.141 | 0.010 | 0.093 | 0.578 | 0.145 | - | 0.124 | 0.512 |
| IL | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.287 | 0.438** | 0.524** | 0.522** | 0.411** | 0.378* | 0.427** | 0.253 | -0.247 | 1 | 0.338* |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.073 | 0.005 | 0.001 | 0.001 | 0.008 | 0.016 | 0.006 | 0.116 | 0.124 | - | 0.033 |
| NFlo | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.041 | 0.250 | 0.575** | 0.322* | 0.208 | 0.326* | 0.123 | 0.223 | -0.107 | 0.338* | 1 |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.801 | 0.125 | 0.000 | 0.043 | 0.199 | 0.040 | 0.449 | 0.167 | 0.512 | 0.033 | - |

538 *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level

539 **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level

540

541 Table 2: Pearson correlations (2-tailed) between soluble sugars (SS), total soluble proteins (TSP), MDA, H₂O₂, SOD, CAT and
 542 proline. Correlation analysis was performed in SPSS v. 21.

| | | SS | TSP | MDA | H₂O₂ | SOD | CAT | Proline |
|-----------------------------------|----------------------------|-----------|------------|------------|-----------------------------------|------------|------------|----------------|
| SS | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 1 | 0.666** | -0.628** | -0.133 | 0.441** | 0.633** | 0.498** |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | - | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.413 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| TSP | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.666** | 1 | -0.660** | -0.196 | 0.427** | 0.557** | -0.360* |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.000 | - | 0.000 | 0.225 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.022 |
| MDA | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | -0.628** | -0.660** | 1 | 0.259 | -0.390* | -0.737** | 0.498** |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.000 | 0.000 | - | 0.106 | 0.013 | 0.000 | 0.001 |
| H₂O₂ | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | -0.133 | -0.196 | 0.259 | 1 | -0.148 | 0.251 | 0.204 |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.413 | 0.225 | 0.106 | - | 0.362 | 0.118 | 0.207 |
| SOD | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.441** | 0.427** | -0.390* | -0.148 | 1 | 0.251 | -0.321* |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.004 | 0.004 | 0.013 | 0.362 | - | 0.118 | 0.045 |
| CAT | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.633** | 0.557** | -0.737** | 0.251 | 0.251 | 1 | -0.321* |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.118 | 0.118 | - | 0.042 |
| Proline | <i>Pearson correlation</i> | 0.498** | -0.360* | 0.498** | 0.204 | -0.321* | -0.321* | 1 |
| | <i>Sig. (2-tailed)</i> | 0.001 | 0.022 | 0.001 | 0.207 | 0.045 | 0.042 | - |

543 *Correlation is significant at the 0.05 level

544 **Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level