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**Background:** Individuals with visible differences can experience social anxiety in relation

to their appearance. Social skills-based psychosocial interventions have to date shown only

limited effectiveness at addressing their concerns. **Aims:** To incorporate user perspectives

in the development of an online psychosocial intervention, known as Face IT. **Method and**

**Results:** Study one consisted of a needs assessment with 12 individuals with a visible

difference and six health professionals in order to identify the difficulties experienced by

those with visible difference and obtain feedback on the proposed content of Face IT. The

findings demonstrated support for the social skills model and the use of an online intervention.

Study two consisted of an empirical usability evaluation of Face IT with 14 potential users

and 14 health professionals. Based on feedback from the participants, changes were made

to the graphics and navigation of the programme. The clinical content has been made more

acceptable. **Conclusions:** The findings indicate support for the importance of social skillsbased

psychosocial interventions for addressing the needs of those with a visible difference,

and have allowed modifications to be made to Face IT ahead of a randomized controlled trial

of effectiveness.
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**Introduction**

Studies of the impact of visible difference (disfigurement) have identified that some

individuals experience difficulties with unwanted feedback from the public in the form of

staring, name-calling and unsolicited questioning (Rumsey, Clarke,White,Wyn-Williams and

Garlick, 2004). Such interactions can leave some individuals feeling isolated and experiencing

social anxiety (Rumsey, Robinson and Partridge, 1993). Some individuals also experience

poor negative self-evaluation and low self-esteem (Kent, 2000).

However, many individuals do cope well with the challenges that having a visible difference

presents to them (Rumsey et al., 2004), with factors such as general social support (Brewin,

MacCarthy and Furnham, 1989), specific support from health professionals (Kleve and

Robinson, 1999), coping styles (Moss, 1997), self-concept (Moss and Carr, 2004) and

perceived severity of the injury (Kleve, Rumsey, Wyn-Williams and White, 2002; Moss,

2005), contributing to effective coping. Factors shown to have little or no influence on

adjustment include time since injury (Kleve and Robinson, 1999) and objective measures

of the severity of the visible difference (Moss, 2005).

Over the last three decades efforts have been made to address the difficulties experienced

by some of those who have a visible difference; for example in the UK, both the charitable

organization Changing Faces and the UK National Health Service (NHS) provide services

offering psychosocial support. These services often involve helping individuals develop

social skills to deal more effectively with interactions with others, reduce social anxiety

and increase self-esteem (Rumsey et al., 1993). However, the provision of services offering

such psychosocial interventions remains limited in both overall capacity and geographical

availability.

Much research has been conducted into the importance of addressing the psychosocial

needs of individuals with visible differences (for example, Rumsey et al., 1993), but relatively

few interventions have been designed specifically to meet these needs or have been evaluated

with sound methodology (for an example see Robinson, Rumsey and Partridge, 1996).

A recent systematic review of these psychosocial interventions identified limited evidence

to support their effectiveness (Bessell and Moss, 2007). Whilst the interventions showed

promise, their methodological flaws (e.g. small sample sizes, inadequate random allocation,

and the absence of a no-intervention control group) made it difficult to rigorously assess

effectiveness. Although the academic literature surrounding both the difficulties faced by

individuals with visible differences, and the types of support that may help people to overcome

these difficulties is considerable, in the absence of substantial evidence of effectiveness the

current study set out to ascertain whether current psychosocial interventions, adopting social

skills/cognitive behavioural approaches, met potential users’ needs. The following studies

outline the importance of user involvement in the intervention design process in order to

increase both the acceptability and accessibility of such support services.

*Needs assessment*

One way of trying to ensure that the content of any psychosocial intervention is both useful

and acceptable is to conduct a needs analysis looking at the felt needs of potential serviceusers

(Bradshaw, 1972). Another way is to talk to health professionals who are experienced in

working with the intended population and to design an intervention around the issues they feel

need to be addressed. Therefore, a needs assessment with individuals with visible differences

and health professionals working in the field was conducted within this first study. These

findings then facilitated the development of a new psychosocial intervention – namely an

online computer-based programme aiming to increase the availability of psychosocial support

to those who have previously found it difficult to access such services. People who have

a visible difference and might benefit from online support include those who are socially

isolated (possibly as a result of social anxiety), those in remote geographical locations, and

those with physical disabilities making it difficult to travel to clinics (Proudfoot, 2004). Online

interventions have been found to be effective in treating mild depression and anxiety and

have proven useful as the first stage in a stepped-care approach to psychosocial intervention

(NICE, 2005). The second study aimed to evaluate this newly developed computer-based

psychosocial intervention using a social skills/cognitive behavioural approach (known as Face

IT), by asking experts and potential users to provide feedback on its presentation and clinical

content, as preparation for a subsequent randomized controlled trial (RCT) of its effectiveness

being carried out.

**Study 1: Method**

*Participants*

Eighteen individuals (eight male, aged 21–57) took part in the study. Three groups of

participants were involved. Six (five female) were experts who had previous experience of

either designing or running psychosocial interventions for people with visible differences.

Five (three female) were individuals who had already taken part in some kind of psychosocial

intervention associated with their visible difference (a user group). The final seven (two

female) were individuals who had not received any kind of formal psychosocial support in

relation to their visible difference (a non-user group). The user group were included in order to

ascertain whether there was anything about existing interventions that were either particularly

useful or unacceptable for differing types of visible differences. Feedback from a non-user

group was important to ensure that any new intervention would be more suitable to them than

previous interventions they may have refused, and also to ascertain whether any particular

techniques had aided them in coping with their difference.

*Design*

Participants were asked to take part in a series of individual semi-structured interviews,

lasting from 20 to 75 minutes, which took place in a location convenient to the participants.

Interviewees were asked to talk about their experiences of either living with a visible

difference or working with people with differences, their reasons for seeking or refusing

psychosocial interventions and their experiences of taking part in such interventions. They

were also asked to comment on any strategies and factors that had proven more or less useful

to them.

Participants were then given information outlining the proposed content of the new online

intervention, which was designed to be used both within the clinical setting under supervision,

and by users in their own homes, and asked to discuss both positive and negative aspects.

The first author developed the basic format of the intervention on the basis of the systematic

review of the literature and in collaboration with a consultant clinical psychologist working in

the field of visible difference. The proposed content adopted a cognitive-behavioural/social

skills approach to psychosocial intervention. Users were not asked to be involved in the

design outline at this stage as the purpose of the initial draft was to incorporate significant

elements from existing intervention models. A qualitative approach was chosen in preference

to quantitative design in order to allow participants to express in detail their experiences

of living with a visible difference and their experiences of psychosocial intervention. The

interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim. Ethical approval was obtained from the

University of the West of England, Bristol.

*Analysis*

Prior to data collection a code manual was developed based upon the three main research

questions identified from previous research. The broad code categories were chosen to reflect

information gathered regarding the positive and negative aspects of existing interventions,

positive and negative aspects of the current intervention and information pertaining to the

kinds of difficulties faced by individuals with visible differences that may prove useful

to consider when designing interventions. However, due to the lack of evidence for the

effectiveness of existing interventions, it was also important to allow participants’ voices to

be heard in order to ensure issues that had not previously been identified were not missed

(Fereday and Muir-Cochrane, 2006). Therefore, an inductive process was also employed.

Interview responses were analyzed using thematic analysis from a realist theoretical

approach (Braun and Clarke, 2006) using both deductive and inductive methods (Fereday and

Muir-Cochrane, 2006). The spoken content of the interviews was analyzed to address the three

main research questions, and additional data were coded based upon salient issues that arose

in the data that either contradicted, or was not represented in, the existing visible difference

literature. The transcripts were first read thoroughly to identify meaningful categories within

the data, and were then coded by assigning them descriptive titles.

The coded data for each of the three different participant groups were analyzed separately so

that differences in the concepts could be identified. Similarities and differences were identified

and noted. All the data were then analyzed inclusively, and a thematic map was produced. The

transcripts were scrutinized again to ensure that the themes accurately reflected the content of

the original data set. The final analysis resulted in 31 categories of data, which were ultimately

grouped into two global themes, four organizing themes and six further basic themes using

a thematic network to represent how the different categories relate to one another (Figure 1).

**Results and discussion**

The results obtained from this study were extensive. Therefore, although all the themes

identified specific needs of the client population, this paper reports one theme in depth, namely

increasing access to service provision as this emerged as being of fundamental importance to

participants. A brief outline of the other themes will be provided.

The positive elements included a need to focus on changing aspects of their social

behaviour that had negative consequences, addressing unhelpful self-perceptions and negative

assumptions people with visible differences may have about their appearance and the way

others perceive them. The negative elements included too much emphasis on the “event”

that had led to the disfigurement (as opposed to concentrating on the appearance-related

distress), and some aspects were criticized for not being practical enough (participants felt

there was insufficient focus on building better social skills). The existing intervention design

(for Face IT) was criticized for involving users too heavily in exposure therapy without

Figure 1 here

**Figure 1.** Thematic map of identified themes and related sub-themes from needs assessment

adequate support from a health professional if the Face IT programme was used in clients’

own homes. Participants also felt that the intervention needed to contain more practical tasks

to increase motivation to use it. Overall, the design specification was received positively, with

participants liking the overall structure, length and content of the proposed intervention.

*Individual differences*

Individual differences were evident in relation to the suitability of the programme content, the

participants’ self-perceived needs, and the impact of social stigma. Individual differences in

attitudes towards therapy affected participants’ accessing of support and information services,

with some participants actively seeking out support and information, and others not wanting

or needing to engage with psychosocial support services.

*“My umm experiences have been of people staring . . . I have people asking questions, which I*

*prefer ‘cause then you can explain what it is umm but I have had many reactions including people*

*assuming I have AIDS.”* User, Melanie

*“Socially, umm, in terms of my interactions . . . I’d say, generally over the past 30 years, umm, I’ve*

*had fairly positive experiences . . . and interactions with people”*. User, Miranda

*Self-awareness*

Self-awareness was expressed as being a key attribute in learning to cope with difference. Selfawareness

referred to an awareness of an individual’s own negative and unhelpful thoughts

and beliefs about their appearance and beliefs of others. Many of the experts and also the

individuals who had experienced the intervention process indicated that the opportunity to

identify the problems associated with one’s thinking and behaviour led to a change in the way

those affected were able to deal with their difference. For example, some individuals with

visible differences felt that members of the public were being deliberately cruel when they

stared or commented about their appearance. If people assumed that staring or name-calling

was caused by a lack of understanding of disfigurement, this often led them to behave more

aggressively or to feel more anxious than they would otherwise have done. The consequences

of these responses can be that individuals with visible differences find it more difficult to build

social support networks (Rumsey et al., 2003).

Participants implied that self-awareness came about through the process of having

their thought processes challenged through behavioural experimentation and through

psychoeducation.

*“It’s nice if you do get that moment of illumination of ‘my god, I do that and that’s making me feel*

*like this’”* User, Graham

*Self-perceptions*

Participants talked about the need to address issues relating to self-consciousness and

self-esteem, as well as addressing a lack of self-efficacy in relation to achieving certain

goals. Negative self-perceptions and a lack of self-confidence leave some individuals with

visible differences doubting their ability to succeed. There was optimism that the prototype

programme could address these negative perceptions, and more specifically address the issue

of self-efficacy. By providing individuals with an intervention that could be completed in their

own homes, participants felt they would be more able to help themselves, rather than having

to rely on others for support and guidance. This was viewed positively. Participants expressed

the view that the best way to bolster their self-perception was for the intervention to include

techniques that addressed negative thoughts and also taught effective practical social skills.

*“I didn’t like the way I looked . . . I felt my eyes were disgusting and assumed everyone else would*

*too.”* Non User, Terry

*Social stigma*

Social stigma was a strong theme running throughout the data. Most had experienced some

form of social stigma and these experiences demonstrated the need for an appropriate

intervention. The participants who had learnt to cope effectively with their difference indicated

that social stigma, although partly due to the ignorance of society about visible differences,

was also related to factors internal to those affected, such as self-confidence and social

support. Some expressed the view that these factors had been protective against more severe

forms of stigma.

*“I can recall my . . . first father in law . . . sort of stating to his daughter, you know, ‘You can’t go*

*marrying, or shouldn’t be marrying a one-eyed monster.’”* Non User, Terry

*“I do know people who have been thrown out of swimming pools, you know because people think*

*they are infectious, contagious and it can be difficult and people make you feel so small.”* User,

Melanie

*Programme content*

Participants spent a lot of time evaluating the content and structure of the prototype

programme. All participants expressed positive views and considered the length and content

to be appropriate, acceptable and useful. There were, however, some issues that participants

felt needed to be addressed. A majority felt that additional support should be available

if clients required it. For example, some felt that particular sections in the programme,

notably the exposure section, may prove challenging for some users if additional support

was not available. This theme was associated with two basic themes: validation (the need

to validate the use of the social skills approach by including quotes and experiences from

other individuals with visible differences) and programme chronology (participants expressed

a preference for targeting social skills before trying to address negative thoughts).

*“I like the idea that if you feel confident with one thing you can miss it out or you can do it in*

*whatever order, umm, I think that’s appealing . . . that makes it just a bit more lifestyle friendly*

*really and less like therapy.”* Expert, Bridget

*“I also think that it’s about the right length, you know, umm, so it’s going to be about eight sessions,*

*any less than that I think you’ll not really find a lot . . . but any more than that I think you’ll lose*

*people.”* Expert, Amanda

*“Where it says, you know pick a scenario that’s going to be a difficult thing to do isn’t it, I mean*

*picking something frightening and it’s a little dangerous isn’t it . . . without there being any support*

*after they’ve done it.”* Expert, Hannah

*Increasing access to support and information*

The theme of increasing access to support and information represents the overwhelming need

for more readily available services for all kinds of visible differences, whether the individuals

are coping positively or not. This is associated with two basic themes: psychoeducation and

social support. These are factors that were highlighted as the kinds of information and services

to which people required further access. Participants revealed a general lack of information

for potential service users about the kinds of interventions in existence; many of the non-user

participants had not heard of any of the interventions available.

*“You don’t always realize the kind of support or resources there are available to you.”* Non-user

group.

One of the reasons given for this lack of awareness amongst potential users was the

limited knowledge health professionals have about appearance concerns and relevant services.

Whilst the health professionals included in this study were able to demonstrate a detailed

knowledge of interventions and the difficulties faced by individuals with visible differences,

all participants felt that the majority of health care professionals working outside the field of

visible differences are less well informed.

*“People with some kind of condition that involves visible difference, . . . go to see GPs who don’t*

*necessarily know what steroid creams are available let alone what psychological interventions*

*there might be out there.”* User group

Participants identified that the new computer-based intervention needed to be widely

advertised to ensure that it reached its target population. Suggestions included widespread

advertising within the NHS and also on support group and charity websites in order that

potential users could find out about this service without having to rely upon information or

guidance from health professionals.

Participants in the study were particularly interested in the remote access possibilities of

the proposed new intervention (i.e. being able to access a computer programme online from

their own homes). In terms of increasing service provision, it was felt that an intervention that

could be delivered outside of the clinical environment would help immensely.

*“You can do it in the privacy of your own home . . . it’s a more private thing, it’s a choice-based*

*thing . . . so there’s no pressure, no sort of self-consciousness about it.”* User group

*“If someone’s socially isolated in their bedroom . . . I wasn’t going out the house but I was going*

*on the Internet almost every day, and that became your sort of friend”.* User group

Participants felt that some health professionals, such as GPs or medical consultants, did

not take psychological difficulties associated with a visible difference sufficiently seriously.

Those who had received support in the past felt this was only provided once their difficulties

had become quite serious. They felt the new intervention could open service provision up to

a much wider audience, allowing those who were not yet “in crisis” to access the programme

and potentially prevent serious problems from arising.

*“It’s really important to offer therapy even to people who aren’t in desperate need . . . I like the*

*idea that the programme can be dipped into as and when you need it”.* Non-user group

*“I think it would be good to know about this sort of thing earlier, it should be available when*

*you’re diagnosed, because it helps*.” User group

*Basic theme: psychoeducation*

Participants identified two specific forms of support that were needed: psychoeducation and

social support. Psychoeducation in the context of this study relates to the need for information

regarding the impact that visible difference can have on members of the public who are

not visibly different; for example, it can be difficult for people with visible differences to

understand what it is like for members of the public to meet someone with an unusual

appearance for the first time (Partridge, 1994). Participants who had learnt to cope positively

with their difference reported that information of this kind was one of the key factors that

helped them to cope more effectively. Also highlighted was the need to offer techniques for

dealing with the reactions of others.

*“I don’t mind people asking me questions about my face, I actually encourage it . . . I’d rather*

*that they approached me rather than that feeling of being isolated from the community.”* Non-user

group

*“If somebody couldn’t accept . . . the birth mark, . . . within whatever notional limit I set, then*

*I wouldn’t want to know them . . . I’ve learned over many years now that It’s completely natural*

*curiosity, . . . I try to notice that about myself . . . if I see someone with something I haven’t seen*

*before I’m going to react that way too.”* User group

*Basic theme: social support*

Another key factor that had led to positive coping in individuals with visible differences

was the presence of effective social support. In addition to social support, participants also

expressed a desire to meet other people with similar conditions so that they could share their

experiences.

*“I think people should err be in a group, it’s good because you are meeting other people with*

*similar problems and you talk.”* Non-user group

*“I have been so much better since I joined the forum, and met G, it has been great, they have given*

*me so much support and increased my confidence.”* User group

Although both users and non-users were in favour of the idea of social support networks,

the experts were more cautious. Although self-help support groups have been used for some

time, in recent years the Internet has led to the development of online forums that have allowed

individuals to converse more easily (Burrows, Loader, Pleace, Nettleton and Muncer, 2000).

This has helped to overcome issues of geographical diversity, but has led to concern in some

experts. Some felt that allowing individuals to set up virtual support networks may encourage

them to become even more insular and to avoid face-to-face interactions.

*“I think that the basis of the difficulty here is social anxiety, but if you set up a social support*

*network it’s almost like colluding with an agoraphobic and going shopping for them potentially.”*

Expert group

**Conclusion**

This study has supported previous research findings that some individuals with visible

differences do experience psychosocial difficulties, and illustrated clearly that increased

access to service provision and social support are perceived as potentially beneficial. The

study has lent some support to the content of existing intervention packages (Kleve et al.,

2002; Newell and Clarke, 2000; Papadopoulos, Bor and Legg, 1999; Robinson et al., 1996),

and has informed the further development of a new computer-based intervention for people

with visible differences, called Face IT.

**The development of Face IT**

*Introduction*

The development of any computer-based intervention involves extensive testing to ensure that

programme errors are removed (Williams, 2004), that the programme is acceptable, and that

it can be utilized by the target audience (Olson and Olson 2003). It is particularly important

to perform a usability analysis (an assessment of how easy it is to use a software tool) to

ensure that the needs of the target user-group have been met (Williams, 2004). Beta testing

(asking target users to test a programme) is also an important process and can ensure that no

small software errors appear in the final product (Williams, 2004). Techniques employed by

human factors specialists for conducting such usability analyses include usability checklists,

empirical testing, and cognitive walkthroughs, most of which require specialist training (Olsen

and Olsen, 2003). In addition to the development process reported in study one, Face IT

underwent a usability analysis utilizing an empirical testing approach, as well as the beta-test

with both potential users and health professionals working in the field of visible difference.

Empirical testing involves assessors using the programme in a similar way to users in the

real world and identifying issues and problems (Smilowitz, Darnell and Benson, 1994). This

method was chosen because it does not require specialist training to conduct and allows the

involvement of real world users in the assessment process.

The aims of this second study were to evaluate the usability of the Face IT computer-based

social skills/cognitive behavioural intervention and allow modifications to be made in line

with user requirements ahead of a full-scale outcome trial. Additionally, the study aimed to

further develop the clinical content of the programme on the basis of feedback from experts

and potential users.

**Study 2: Method**

*Participants*

Twenty-eight individuals (22 female, aged 20–69 years) took part in the study. Fourteen

were experts (12 female) with previous experience of designing or running psychosocial

interventions for people with visible differences. Fourteen were individuals with visible

differences (10 female), who responded to an advert placed on support group websites. Four

participants had previously taken part in study one and others were new participants.

*Materials*

Participants were given access to a prototype version of the Face IT programme to view.

Participants were asked to take part in a series of semi-structured interviews. Additionally,

they were asked to discuss the proposed content of the new intervention. A brief outline of the

Face IT programme can be seen in the Appendix.

*Procedure*

Prior to taking part in the focus group, all participants were asked to view at least one of

the eight sessions of the programme in the presence of a researcher who took notes and

observed how they used the Face IT programme. The interviews, lasting 30 minutes to 1.5

hours, consisted of a series of semi-structured questions designed by the researcher relating

to the acceptability of the programme’s clinical content and the usability of the programme

(e.g. how easy or difficult did you find the programme to use?). Interviews were conducted in

a location convenient to each participant. Initially, it was intended for all data to be collected

via focus groups, as this would allow participants a chance to discuss the programme with

others and exchange opinions, but logistical difficulties meant that some participants were

interviewed individually. All the non-expert participants were interviewed on a one-to-one

basis, as were two experts, whilst the remaining experts attended focus groups consisting of

three experts and the researcher. The interviews were recorded and transcribed verbatim by

the first author.

The final stage of the study involved asking all participants to view the final version of

Face IT in their own homes (a beta-test) and complete a usability evaluation questionnaire

(adapted from Ravden and Johnson, 1989). The final version of Face IT resulted from

amendments following the initial interviews with participants and health professionals. This

data were analyzed using descriptive statistics to represent levels of satisfaction with varying

aspects of the Face IT programme. From the original 28 participants, seven experts and eight

users completed the feedback questionnaires (a response rate of 54%). Additionally, two

computing experts trained in usability assessment techniques were also asked to complete the

questionnaires in order to assess usability. These individuals did not have experience of visible

difference and were recruited purely on the grounds of their software assessment expertise.

*Research in action procedure*

The study adopted an approach similar to the “action research” method for analyzing the

responses from participants (Reason and Bradbury, 2001). The process allowed changes to

be made at each stage of the research process, rather than all feedback being addressed at

the end of the study. This led to any issues relating to programme errors or language being

addressed and changed immediately. The study was conducted in five stages, with a selection

of experts and users viewing the programme at each stage, and then all participants being

given the opportunity to view the completed programme again at the end of the study.

*Analysis*

Interview responses were analyzed using theoretical thematic analysis (Braun and Clark,

2006) using both inductive and deductive approaches (Fereday and Muir-Cochrane, 2006),

with the spoken content of the interviews being analyzed in a way that addressed two main

research issues; the appropriateness of the clinical content and language and the usability of

the programme.

The transcripts were read thoroughly. Any information relating to the programme layout,

navigation or content was coded, as were any issues in the programme that had not been

addressed, or were supporting or refuting existing literature. Data from the two different

participant groups were analyzed separately. Similarities and differences between the two

groups were identified. All the data were then analyzed together and a thematic map produced.

The transcripts were then revisited to ensure that the themes provided an accurate reflection

of the issues outlined by participants.

**Results and findings**

Seven organizing themes and six associated basic themes were identified within the data (see

Figure 2). These themes all provide information about the positive and negative aspects of

the proposed intervention programme. The data gathered from the research were extensive.

This report focuses upon the theme of motivation in some depth, as the issue of motivating

individuals to use computer-based interventions, particularly from their own homes, has been

highlighted as a potential problem associated with this medium (Yates, 1996). This section is

preceded by a brief description of the other themes.

*Programme layout*

Many participants, both experts and users, identified that changes needed to be made to the

visual aspects of the website, specifically the graphics and the navigation of the programme.

Overall, users found the programme simple and easy to use, although the graphics were

considered to be too “harsh”, and did not match the tone of the programme. Changes were

therefore made to Face IT to facilitate navigation and the graphics were enhanced.

*Programme structure*

All participants reported that the flow of the eight sessions of the programme provided a good

structure for allowing users to gain new skills (e.g. social skills such as body language and

verbal communication) and to remain engaged in the process. Many participants appreciated

the flexibility of the programme in that sessions did not have to be completed in a set order.

*Realism*

User participants felt that the programme provided information and support in a way that

accurately reflected the reality of living with a visible difference and that this was handled

in a sensitive manner. Users particularly liked the use of video exercises, examples and true
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**Figure 2.** Thematic map of identified themes and related sub-themes from programme evaluation

stories, since these normalized the concerns that some individuals with visible differences

experience.

*Appeal*

Most experts and users felt that the programme could be useful to any individual with a visible

difference. It was suggested that the programme may be especially relevant to those who have

a difference that cannot be concealed in public because the content focused predominantly

on ways of managing the reactions of others to visible difference. Participants also felt that it

would be useful for children and adolescents, even though the intention was to use it purely

with adults. Being able to use the programme at home, in private, and at a time to suit the

individual also added to its appeal. Some user participants suggested that the programme

would be useful for individuals who did not necessarily need intensive support, but would

nevertheless benefit from information contained in the programme.

*Language*

The language was adjusted as the programme developed in response to participants’ feedback.

There were two main aspects associated with language: complexity and “pathologizing”.

Participants had differing opinions on the complexity of the language. Across all time points

this issue was revisited with changes being made throughout the process to address the

concerns of those who felt that the language was too complex. During the development of

the programme it was considered important not to patronize or stigmatize individuals with

visible differences, hence a team of health professionals monitored all content throughout

the development process. Changes were made and the acceptability of the language was

reassessed at each stage of development. User participants in the current study, who were

monitoring the language across all data time points, felt that the language used in the

programme was supportive and did not patronize people with visible differences.

*Clinical perspective*

The views offered by experts on the clinical aspects of Face IT varied. Although all favoured

a similar framework of cognitive-behavioural intervention in their clinical practice, there

were differing perspectives on how interventions should best be undertaken with clients.

Different views were expressed in relation to: ways to expose clients to social situations via

a computer programme, how to ensure clients manage their anxiety effectively from a remote

location, and the terminology used to discuss visible difference within the programme (e.g.

visible difference or disfigurement). In relation to exposure, some clinicians took the view

that although graded exposure was preferable, flooding would also lead to anxiety reduction,

whereas others felt that it was important to undertake graded exposure very cautiously to

ensure that no flooding occurred. Additionally, whilst some felt the methods in the programme

for anxiety management were sufficient, others felt that the programme needed much more

information on anxiety management. Finally, some clinicians found the term “disfigurement”

pathologizing, favouring visible difference instead, whereas others preferred disfigurement,

because their clients found the term visible difference patronizing.

*Motivation*

Face IT was designed for use both in clinical settings under the guidance of health

professionals and for users to access in their own homes. As such, the programme needs

users to remain engaged from a remote location and participants highlighted ways of keeping

users motivated throughout a long and potentially challenging course. Participants identified

that the language used in the programme and the style of the information was very engaging

and would help to keep users sufficiently enthused to log on week after week.

*“It’s highly engaging . . . I was on it the other day and found myself being very in to it and found*

*it easy to pass the time and engage.”* Expert group

*“The programme engages you . . . the belief systems bit about perceptions of yourself and . . .*

*others. This empowers you to believe you can change things yourself. It was handled sensitively*

*so it doesn’t feel like you’re putting all the responsibility on those with appearance issues.”* User

group

In addition to information, the programme also contained practical elements, including a

social skills DVD and relaxation exercises, aspects that were identified by participants as those

most likely to keep people motivated at all times.

*“What I found personally was engaging, was the things you ask people to do that they could*

*actually do then and there, like the deep breathing exercises . . . I think those sorts of things are*

*almost like an instant pay back and are very, very engaging.”* Expert group

*“I think it will be popular and I think having the video aspect will increase its popularity. People*

*love that and learning people skills is always important . . . people like to work with material like*

*that.”* User group

Although these aspects were identified as helping to increase motivation, participants still

expressed concerns about whether this would be sufficient to maintain users’ engagement with

the programme throughout the entire 8 weeks of potentially challenging sessions.

*“I do wonder maybe though about the momentum for them to carry on . . . you do have a very*

*reassuring tone . . . in a way that’s quite reinforcing, but there is a need for them to be quite*

*motivated, you know, right the way through.”* Expert group

*“If I think back to times in the past where I’ve felt more self-conscious about my skin and things,*

*umm, it’s something so personal . . . I can imagine it being quite a challenge really . . . for some*

*people to get through.”* User group

*Beta-test results*

Individuals were asked to rate their overall satisfaction with Face IT in relation to seven

distinct aspects. Overall, 94% of respondents were satisfied (either very or moderately

satisfied) with each of the following: the visual clarity, consistency, flexibility and

functionality of the website, and with the informative feedback and explicitness of the clinical

content (Table 1). Eighty-eight percent of respondents felt that the website was compatible

with user expectations.

**Insert table 1 here**

**Table 1.** Overall acceptability of individual aspects of the programme

Overall satisfaction rating

In summary, participants rated the usability of Face IT highly, with most respondents having

no, or only minor difficulties using the website. One respondent did however indicate having

major issues with the amount of colour on the screen.

Information gained from this study has identified programme errors, problems associated

with the visual design and layout, and problems associated with the language used within

the programme. The analysis has guided the development of both the clinical content and the

visual design of the new computer-based psychosocial intervention, Face IT.

**Discussion**

The initial needs assessment demonstrated an expressed need for the provision of

further psychosocial support within the field of visible differences. The basic theme of

psychoeducation hints at a need to include information from individuals in similar situations

who have learnt to cope well with visible difference, although there was some debate about

how acceptable it was to provide socially isolated individuals with virtual support networks.

This theme resulted in the inclusion of quotes from real people in the computer programme.

This addition was well received and was considered to add face validity to the programme and

the social skills approach.

Health professionals in this study felt that offering remote social networks to individuals

who are socially isolated may encourage them to remove themselves further from real-world

social interaction. The responses of participants identified a lack of support networks for

people with visible differences, and despite some of the reservations of health professionals,

the use of virtual support networks should be considered when planning future care and

support. This includes providing them with more opportunities to communicate with others

with similar conditions. To date, evidence for the effectiveness of support groups, both online

and face-to-face, for this population is limited (Bessell and Moss, 2007), but the technique

has been widely utilized, particularly within the field of skin conditions (for an example

see Cooper and Burnside, 1996). Although the technique requires further investigation it is

important to identify the need for choice and variety in psychosocial service provision to

ensure that more individuals can access the kind of support they need.

The usability interviews conducted in study two highlighted many aspects of the computer

programme that were both acceptable and less acceptable to users. Throughout the interviews

users provided feedback on the layout, language and structure of the programme. Overall,

users found the cognitive behavioural and social skills information used in the programme

acceptable, although minor changes were required. More information was added to support

users whilst they are exposing themselves to social situations within the programme and more

relaxation methods with detailed descriptions were added to help users manage their anxiety

more effectively in these situations. The main areas that required attention were the language,

which was addressed in a stepped change process throughout the usability assessment, and

the layout of the website. Changes were not made to the basic structure, but participants did

highlight a need for more practical tasks within the sessions. As a result, more tasks, such

as writing down thoughts and feelings, and relaxation exercises were incorporated to help

increase motivation and make the programme more interactive.

This particular usability assessment took the form of an empirical test, where participants

were asked to work through a specific session of the programme in a similar way to the end

users under supervision. Only users and health professionals took part in the initial usability

assessment. This had the disadvantage that no expert knowledge of computing was obtained

in relation to the specifics of making a software tool like the Face IT programme more usable

(e.g. in relation to whether the level of instruction about how to use the programme was

appropriate, or whether the required level of computer literacy was low enough to enable

inexperienced users to access the tool effectively). However, professional consultation with

web designers, software engineers and a specialist in software usability occurred prior to the

usability interviews. Additionally, two software usability experts were asked to take part in the

final beta-testing phase. Neither expert identified major problems with the usability aspects of

the programme, reiterating the view that all major principles of human factors design were

considered.

The beta-test method (in the form of a usability evaluation checklist) was used at

the end of the feedback process once all changes had been made. Although respondents

indicated high levels of satisfaction, it must be noted that asking users to fill out a checklist

whilst evaluating the website in their own homes has potential disadvantages. For example,

there is no way of ensuring that the users extensively tested the programme, and as such

there is the possibility that some programming errors may have been missed (Smilowitz

et al., 1994). Smilowitz et al. (1994) found that home beta-testing of software resulted in less

programming errors being detected than when programmes were tested under supervision.

Therefore, further extensive testing of the programme is required. This is planned as part of

an outcome trial to evaluate its effectiveness.

**Conclusions**

The initial needs assessment identified an expressed need for a support tool that provided

users with visible differences with information and techniques for addressing the difficulties

associated with negative thoughts and developing social skills techniques. Studies outlined

in this paper informed the development and testing of a social skills/cognitive behavioural

computer-based psychosocial intervention for people with visible differences, Face IT, in line

with user requirements. These studies demonstrate the importance of incorporating the views

of users into the design process of interventions to ensure that they are both useable and

acceptable to the target audience. The next stage of evaluation will be to test the programme

with a larger population and to compare its effectiveness with standard face-to-face support

in an RCT in the hope this will provide an effective means of providing psychosocial support

to those living with problems associated with a visible difference. The Face IT intervention

will be tested in a clinical setting under the supervision of a health professional, and will

be assessed against a face-to-face delivery of the programme content and a no-intervention

control group.
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