







Inspiration

Billie Coxhead, Judy Willcocks, UAL

Further reading and discussions

Materials library group and network

go.uwe.ac.uk/arcMAT





Activity 1: active noticing

describe the object - list all material qualities of the object

what can you **deduce** from a close examination of the object (as Prown says, the 'what's it all about?' questions

grand **hypothesising** or storytelling around the object (what can this tell me about the outside world?)

Modelled on Prown, Barton and Willcocks.



Activities 2 + 3: deeper analysis, discussion

Review the post-its

- Can you expand on the description of your own object?
- Are any descriptive statements actually deductive? Or vice versa?
- Do you agree with each category?
- Are there differences in your partner's analysis with your own? If so, what are they?
 Why might there be differences?

Activity 4: reflection on this activity

- What did you learn?
- What did you consider?
- When did lines of enquiry close down?
- How were these lines of enquiry negotiated with peers?
- Did you stop at the same time or did their different disciplinary lens allow their questioning to progress further?
- Could your own line of enquiry have been expanded by adopting (or mimicking) a different disciplinary lens?











