The United Kingdom government intends to implement a new criminal offence of reckless misconduct via the Financial Services (Banking Reform) Bill 2013-14.

One of the most fascinating questions regarding the most recent financial crisis is: ‘Why haven’t bankers through their reckless, immoral and unethical conduct been held accountable?’

Both the Prime Minister David Cameron and President Barak Obama have stated on numerous instances that they will seek to hold those bankers who contributed to the biggest financial crisis since the Great Depression accountable for their actions. But at the time of writing this article, not one single banker in the United States of America or the United Kingdom has been convicted for any criminal activity since the start of the financial crisis in 2007.

It has become abundantly clear since the start of the financial crisis that the current legislative measures that could have been used to hold bankers accountable for their actions are inadequate and must be reformed. Therefore, the Coalition government established the Banking Standards Commission, who in June 2013 published its final report. The chairman of the Banking Standards Commission, Andrew Tyrie, MP, stated:

‘A lack of personal responsibility has been commonplace throughout the industry. Senior figures have continued to shelter behind an accountability firewall. Risks and rewards in banking have been out of kilter. Given the misalignment of incentives, it should be no surprise that deep lapses in banking standards have been commonplace … where the standards of individuals, especially those in senior roles, have fallen short, clear lines of accountability and enforceable sanctions are needed’.

The Banking Standards Commission recommended thus:
Harassment: Protection from Harassment Act 1997 (as amended)
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Harassment is widespread. Harassment takes many forms: stalking in the street; stalking at work or leisure; surveillance; doorstep calling; telephoning by journalist or salesmen or researchers; nuisance calls; cyber harassment; cyber bullying, by way of Twitter and Face Book and email and askfm. The psychological effect upon the victim, V, can be very serious: anxiety; fear; loss of sleep; loss of employment; loss of home; loss of family and friends, serious illness, even suicide. The harasser is usually a coward, harassing at a distance often anonymously.

Animal protesters and environmental activists

Another social evil that has emerged in recent years has been the mass harassment by the so-called environmentalists or green protesters harassing a company lawfully engaged in lawful scientific research or in constructing a motorway or drilling for shale gas or erecting a wind turbine farm or solar farm or telecom mast; or engaged in lawful badger culling.

The harassment can take many forms: blocking access; disabling vehicles (eg by cutting the brakes); trespass and illegal entry; criminal damage; violence; assaulting and obstructing police officers; damaging police vehicles; arson of police property; intimidation of employees or resisting arrest.

In August 2013 a judge granted an injunction against named persons and persons unknown, badger cull protestors who had burnt down tractors and threatened families in the West Country. In Balcombe in Sussex, anti-fracking protesters blocked the access roads to a drilling site and threatened to enter and damage the site and to endanger the safety of the workers.
In Western countries the trial is a trial by jury and the jury system is a cornerstone of the law in many countries. Trials by jury were already being used for cases of property disputes but not criminal disputes. Trial by jury was used by the Grand Assize of Henry II (1133-89). (A jury is a body of persons all sworn to consider and give a verdict on a case).

It was John Rawls who set out many of the main ideas on justice in his treatise on justice, 'A theory of justice' (1972). Rawls articulated a set of principles of justice which, he argued, was due to society being based on a social contract, emphasising the liberty of the individual. Rawls argued that the primary subject of justice must be the basic structure of society. It was Aristotle (384 – 322 BC) who made the distinction between natural justice, common to all humanity as a state of goodness, and conventional justice, which varies from state to state according to the history of those particular human communities.

That is, the law decided by courts and other officials (known as case law).

The narrows; Coughlin, W Proof of intent; LeCarre, J Single and single.

The Bible contains numerous entries and warnings against bribery, many of which are specific, as in Book of Proverbs, 17:23, which states: 'a wicked man taketh a gift out of the bosom to pervert the ways of judgment'. There are the ten commandments.

Although this is a far way off. See Beck, U (2000), What is globalization? Cambridge: Polity Press.

For instance, in the UK, there is 'restorative justice'.
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A privacy breach may result in a loss of consumer trust and cause significant damage to the public perception of a firm. This can harm business relationships.

This means a disclosure which in the reasonable belief of the worker, tends to show a criminal offence, breach of any legal obligation, miscarriage of justice, danger to health and safety of any individual, damage to the environment or a deliberate cover-up of any of these.

For example, claims have been brought by employees seeking to rely on disclosures of alleged breaches of their own employment contracts.

Tribunals may have considerable sympathy with employees claiming that it was in the public interest to blow the whistle on alleged improper operation of the bonus system by a bank, even if it was their own interest affected.

In the draft Enterprise and Regulatory Reform Bill 2013.

Editor, ‘Man arrested over British family murder in French Alps’, Reuters, 24 July, 2013. See also, Peter Allen, ‘Was Alps murder victim involved in a shady work deal? French police probe father’s business deal. Tapes reveal the level of hatred between feuding brothers’, Daily Mail, 6 September 2013. Note that the Accrington prosecutor Eric Maillard is leading this inquiry.
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