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Abstract: Outdoor advertising is an old industry and the only reliably growing advertising sector other than online advertising. However, for it to sustain this growth, media providers must supply a comparable means of tracking an advertisement’s effectiveness to online advertising. The problem is a continual and emerging area of research for large outdoor advertising corporations, and as a result of this, smaller companies looking to join the market miss out on providing clients with valuable metrics due to a lack of resources. In this paper, we discuss the processes undertaken to develop software to be used as a means of better understanding the potential effectiveness of a fleet of private car, taxi or bus advertisements. Each of the steps present unique challenges including big data visualisation, performance data aggregation and the inherent inconsistencies and unreliabilities produced by tracking fleets using GPS. We cover how we increased the metric aggregation algorithm performance by roughly 20x, built an algorithm and process to render data heat maps on the server side and how we built an algorithm to clean unwanted GPS ‘jitter’.

1 INTRODUCTION

Advertising has evolved hugely over the past decade due to the amount of people that have moved online. It has become easy to try different forms of digital advertising and find a best fit. As a result of this, advertising has become reliant upon metrics. Through platforms such as Facebook Adverts and Google Adwords, advertisers can track the performance and return on investment (ROI) of their advertisements. This is not to say that advertisers do not see the value in outdoor advertising, however their intuition will lead them to question its accountability.

Through online advertising, advertisers can monitor their potential gain through metrics such as impressions (the number of browsers the advert has been loaded into) and the number of clicks.

As highlighted in a survey carried out on 3000 business executives by MIT Sloan Management Review and IBM Institute for Business Value it was discovered that over half said that “improvement of information and analytics was a top priority in their organizations” (LaValle et al, 2010). Furthermore, “more than one in five said they were under intense or significant pressure to adopt advanced information and analytics approaches” (LaValle et al, 2010).

Vehicular advertising is a popular and effective form of outdoor advertising. Throughout this paper, we discuss how we built a platform and system that enables advertisers to gain similar metrics as online advertising, as well as other relevant information about their fleet of adverts. We combine connected car (the act of connecting cars to the Internet of Things) and scalable web application technologies to produce a web app for use in vehicular advertising.

1.1 Objectives

Advertisers have little means of calculating any form of ROI when using outdoor advertising, in this case, car, taxi or bus advertising. We aim to solve this by building a platform for advertisers to track and manage their campaigns.

This paper aims to tackle three core areas; firstly big data visualisation – due to the fact that vehicular advertising requires geographic analysis we focus on representing the data as a heatmap. Secondly we cover how we leveraging MongoDB’s aggregation functionality to return useful metrics without dramatically reducing the application’s performance.
The challenge is in allowing the client to generate reports ‘on-the-fly’ for millions of geographic data points. Finally we discuss a simple yet effective way of reducing GPS ‘jitter’ from large datasets.

1.2 Contributions

The resultant contributions of this paper are as follows:

Fleet Tracking System: The core of all of the system’s features is the ability to track a fleet of drivers concurrently using GPS and various web technologies.

Big Data Metrics Aggregation: We needed a way of gaining metrics on a large data set of GPS points and so we built a scalable algorithm using a native MongoDB method which allows advertisers to gain metrics on-the-fly.

Geographic Targeting: In speaking to advertisers they specified that gaining metrics of specified geographic areas is highly important. As a result of this we built a way for advertisers to draw areas on a geographic map and gain metrics in a negligible time.

Heat Map Rendering Algorithm and Server: Having run into performance issues whilst rendering heat maps on the client-side using the Google Maps API, we realised that we would need to deploy a service that would take a set of GPS points and save rendered heat map tiles. We built and designed the algorithm that generates the ‘heat’ effect, renders the data visualisation as images and leverages Base 64 encoding to save the images as strings in a database.

GPS ‘Jitter’ Cleaning: We were unaware prior to the project of the amount of ‘jitter’ that average GPS devices produce - as a result we implemented a service that systematically cleans the GPS dataset. We leveraged the Google’s ‘Snap-to-Roads’ API, some simple business logic and linear interpolation to counteract the problem.

GPS Data Storage Algorithm: The GPS solution we implemented meant that the system was receiving GPS points every 10 seconds from each tracker - we needed a way of reducing the quantity of points saved to the database without neglecting precision. We ended up with a simple algorithm that deciphered whether the car was on a trip or parked, and also counted for periods of time when it had no signal.

1.3 Paper Organisation

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Background and related work in advertising are presented in Section 2 including a look into the technology platforms used in the implementation. Section 3 covers the implementation of the system and is split into 4 main sub-sections; firstly we discuss how we managed to reduce the overall dataset by producing a simple GPS grouping algorithm. Secondly we cover how we benchmarked and built an optimized algorithm for GPS metric aggregation. The following subsection covers how we implemented a system to render heatmaps using Node.js on the server. Finally section 3.4 covers how we systematically clean the GPS data set of anomalies.

2 BACKGROUND LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Advertising

2.1.1 Why do Advertisers Need to Track Their Advertisement’s Effectiveness?

Kotler defines advertising as “any paid form of non-personal presentation and promotion of ideas, goods and services through mass media such as newspapers, magazines, television or radio by an identified sponsor” (Kotler, 1984). Advertising involves targeting the appropriate message to the relevant end consumer. For example, an advertiser will place an advert for a computer in a computing magazine, rather than in a health and beauty publication. This process is simple enough in digital or print advertising, as the profile of the consumer is often known to the advertiser or advertising platform. However, in outdoor advertising, advertisers have little means of planning based on a demographic. This is why the scientific analysis lies in the tracking process and less in the planning process. “When the economic environment becomes difficult, marketers demand proof of advertising’s effectiveness, preferably in numerical form.” (Wright-Isak et al, 1997). They wish to compare and contrast different formats to optimise a campaign, where a campaign might be a wide spread range of advertising instances, geographically and/or across different platforms or mediums. According to Wright-Isak “To understand effectiveness in a real-world context we need to have some systematic collection of the facts that tell us the probability that the intended audience saw the campaign, what intervening phenomena affected the campaign’s impact, and the net impact of those phenomena on purchase behaviour. Combining this collection of facts with data about specific ad effects may help us understand the
performance of the campaigns, as well as contribute to theory development.” (Wright-Isak et al., 1997). Within the context of vehicular advertising, by knowing the neighbourhood in which an advertisement has spent the majority of its time or a road it’s traveled frequently, will allow the advertiser to better understand how the effectiveness of the campaign may have been supplemented by their fleet of drivers.

2.1.2 How is an Advertisement’s Effectiveness Quantified?

Bill Dean highlights that “understanding and quantifying the benefits of advertising is a problem as old as advertising itself. The problem stems from the many purposes advertising serves: building awareness of products, creating brand equity and generating sales. Each of these objectives is not easily measured or related to the advertising that may have affected it.” (Dean, 2006). It seems that directly quantifying an outdoor advert’s number of views or impressions is less of the problem, and more that gaining an understanding of the demographic that may have seen the branding. Thus a qualitative understanding is often perceived as more important than a quantitative understanding. In the context of our system, providing metrics and visual representations of the data not directly applied to the context of advertising and rather the context of the world, towns or neighbourhoods, i.e providing driving data visualised on a heat map rather than a prediction of total impressions.

2.1.3 CPM – Advertising’s Benchmark

Cost per mille (CPM) is the common metric used to benchmark advertising campaigns in a quantitative manner. It equates to the financial cost per thousand impressions of an advert, where an impression is a potential sighting of the advert. Impressions can often be confused with views, a good way of differentiating is in digital outdoor advertising where impressions can be tracked by using infra-red to determine the presence of a person and potential viewer. However eye-ball tracking can be used to determine whether or not that person has looked at the given advert (view). Without the use of infra-red, calculating impressions in outdoor advertising is an approximate projected calculation using a formula that has been developed by several outdoor advertising research bodies. Although outside the scope of this paper we will use the formula in Listing 1 for providing a planning tool for advertisers.

\[
\begin{align*}
1: \text{dailyCirculation} &= 0.46 \times \text{averageAnnualDailyFlow} \\
2: \text{totalDailyCirculation} &= \text{dailyCirculation} \times \text{mediaSpace} \\
3: \text{Impressions} &= \text{totalDailyCirculation} \times \text{campaignDays} \\
4: \text{CPM} &= \frac{\text{Price}}{(\text{Impressions} / 1000)}
\end{align*}
\]

Listing 1: Outdoor advertising media math (OAAA, 2006)

The formula broken down into its separate components is as follows:

**Average Annual Daily Flow (AADF)** - AADF is the average amount of cars that travel down a road (or set of roads) each day. The government makes this data freely available via their website – we take the average for each city and town rather than down to road or street level.

**Constant (0.46)** - This constant is an industry standard ‘illumination factor’. It is used to take into consideration whether or not the advertisement is illuminated (lit up, and so visible at night) and for how long, 0.46 represents advertisements that are un-illuminated and thus assumed visible from 6am — 6pm.

**Media Space** - Media space is the quantity of advertisements within the campaign. The common unit of measurement is ‘sheets’, the most common sizing is a six sheet (1800 mm x 1200 mm). One car/taxi advertisement roughly equates to two six sheets.

**Campaign Days** - This figure is simply the amount of days the campaign runs for.

**CPM (Cost Per Mille)** - Cost (in currency) per thousand impressions.

2.1.4 Route Research

With tracking campaign effectiveness being a well established challenge in outdoor advertising; it has become an attractive area of research for large advertising corporations, notably a London based company called Route Research. Taken from their home page - ‘Route is an entirely independent research organisation, providing audience estimates to the out-of-home industry in Britain’ (Route Research, no date). They manage independency by selling their data to the few large outdoor advertising corporations for an annual fee. Each of the subscribers have independent implementations of the data, however all with the goal of allowing media planners to effectively calculate the potential performance of any given outdoor billboard site; as
opposed to track the effectiveness of their own existing campaigns.

2.2 Technology

2.2.1 System Technology

We chose the MEAN stack for the implementation of the proposed system and in this section, we discuss the how it is mostly a perfect fit for handling performance data-driven web services. The MEAN stack is heavily focused around JavaScript and well suited for data driven, responsive web applications. Node.js brings JavaScript to server-side applications and away from just being a client-side browser language. Due to JavaScript operating with non-blocking I/O it results in generally faster applications that are easily scalable. MEAN is a relatively new stack but is the go-to platform for most modern web applications due to its seamlessly integrated layers, passing data in JSON format from one to the other. It keeps business logic and large computations to the back-end server-side code and the Model-view-controller (MVC) architecture on the front-end. It comprises of the following layers:

MongoDB - is a NoSQL database that stores its data in ‘collections’ of JSON formatted ‘documents’ as opposed to ‘tables’ of ‘rows’. This often means that the structure is in a more logical format and is less restrictive.

Express - is a framework for Node.js with a wealth of Hypertext Transfer Protocol (HTTP) functionality making it perfect for building Representational State Transfer (RESTful) applications. It is useful for processing requests from the front-end client, and data sent from the GPS tracking solution.

AngularJS - is a front-end MVC framework, great for building powerful data driven Single Page Applications - ideal for our data dashboard.

Node.js - is a platform that enables network applications to be built with JavaScript on Google’s V8 runtime engine. Node.js can be used for a variety of different purposes, from background processes, networking, all the way to building APIs.

The MEAN stack has its weaknesses and so in the following section we cover where exactly the technology may strike performance issues.

2.2.2 JavaScript

There are a number of distinguishing points that make JavaScript a perfect fit. The features include non-blocking IO, one single thread and its primary data structure is JSON; each of which we define within this section.

2.2.3 MongoDB

MongoDB is a noSQL (i.e. doesn’t use the common query language - SQL), schema-less database that stores its data in a binary representation of JavaScript Object Notation (JSON), known as BSON. This is great as it is the primary data structure used in JavaScript (i.e. Node.js and Angular.js), and so there is no need to parse any data as it’s returned from database queries; thus speeding up the development process and system performance. Being a document and schema-less based database, it is structurally a lot more flexible than table based databases such as Mysql. ‘Documents’ are stored in ‘collections’, whereas in table based databases, ‘rows’ are stored in ‘tables’. As a result of this each document in the database can take a different form than the next, meaning that the system can conditionally add or remove fields throughout its lifecycle. Each document can comprise of nested documents, meaning that there is no risk of ending up with a database with multiple tables to cater for one-to-one relationships.

Schema-less databases do however have their drawbacks, if you are able to simply store any JSON document in a collection it may end up with zero coherence and as the database scales maintainability will become a larger challenge. For this reason we implement a Node.js middleware package called Mongoose. Mongoose provides a foundation and all the necessary tools for creating schema/models for collections. It is a layer between managing data on the server and the database. Every time a collection is queried the Mongoose middleware will construct objects with each of the database records using the matched Schema. MongoDB also comes with a range of useful query methods. Each query is made using a JSON object and completes without blocking - thanks to Node.js. MongoDB provides a powerful Geo Query API that allows searching GeoSpatial indexes relative to a given point or polygon. Queries can be formed, for example, to search for all coordinates within five kilometres of a given point, or to find all points within a given polygon.

As a means of gathering data and querying based on a matching set of results, MongoDB provides its aggregation method. It allows you to query a collection and produce a report of metrics on the results of the query. As an example, if one wanted to calculate the average age of males in the user base stored in a database, an aggregation query can be
formed to:
- Find all males.
- Add all ages.
- Increment query total.
- Divide ageTotal by queryTotal.

As queries are non-blocking, these potentially expensive calculations can be offloaded to the database without blocking the callstack and thus other requests to the RESTful service. Furthermore, the queries in MongoDB are made in its native driver by searching the binary representation, so the speed of filtering results will likely be dramatically improved. Due to the demanding computational task of gathering metrics of driver activity it seems that this might be a perfect solution, due to the fact it is non-blocking and queries natively rather than in a blocking server side algorithm.

3 IMPLEMENTATION

3.1 GPS Data Grouping

GPS data will be transmitted by each device every ten seconds and so would result in a maximum of 8,640 data points per car per day. The collection would quickly grow to an excessive size and so the system will need to implement an algorithm to reduce the resultant data size. Arrays of coordinates are dispatched from the GPS gateway to the end point at the web app that stores them in the database. At this endpoint the controller will leverage the following algorithm, represented using a UML Activity Diagram:

This logic results in incrementing a 'weighting' integer property to a given coordinate. For every ten seconds spent within the same area (using an arbitrary radius), the weighting is incremented and thus assumes it is parked in the same spot. As a result the total amount of documents in the collection will be roughly the same as total seconds driving divided by ten, as opposed to the total seconds in the day divided by ten.

3.2 Implementing a Metrics Aggregation Algorithm

Providing statistics on large data sets is an area of concern in which may have to fallback onto a background process and saved periodically to the database, as opposed to being produced on-the-fly by the client. However our initial thought is that there are three potential solutions for aggregating the required metrics. We will compare them by benchmarking against each other. The required outcomes to the algorithm are as follows:

For each target/defined polygon/closed array of coordinates, including a target containing the entire UK:
- Driving time
  - Return as a total
  - Return as a total per day
- Total time parked
  - Return as a total
  - Return as a total per day
- Driving distance
- Average driving speed

The above metrics will be aggregated from the following input data.

For each coordinate (plotted at 10 second intervals):
- Time
- Speed
- Coordinate

3.2.1 Benchmarking

In order to test the three solutions we gather a months worth of test data from a GPS tracker and repeat insert the collection 60 times, resulting in the equivalent of running a campaign of 20 cars for three months. We then repeat the process four times, and thus test with 60 car months, 120 car months, 180 car months, 240 car months and 300 car months. This will hopefully result in evidence for whether or not each solution could potentially scale beyond these numbers, for example, 1000 car months (100 cars for ten months). For each increase in ‘car months’ we run the test ten times and take an average. We run the tests from the AWS instance to cater for the performance difference between our machine/internet connection and the hosted application.
Solution One – Simple Server Side Algorithm. Perhaps the most simple solution, and a good starting point, is to run the algorithm on results returned from the database in one go on the main cloud instance. It is likely that this solution will have poor performance when taking into account that it will also block all other requests to the service, but will allow us to benchmark solutions two and three. It is also worth considering that this solution could be used in a background task so that the main API instance can remain unblocked and simply add this algorithm to an asynchronous task queue.

Solution Two – Server Side Algorithm with Database Streaming. For this test we compare the difference in performance when streaming the data from the database and running the algorithm alongside the stream of results, rather than waiting to receive the data and only then running the algorithm. By running the algorithm in parallel to the streaming data, we hope for a dramatic performance increase.

Solution Three – MongoDB Aggregation Method. The final solution will leverage MongoDB’s native aggregation method. This already has three major positives over solutions one and two; firstly that it remains asynchronous and won’t block any other requests made to the service, secondly that it runs the algorithm on the binary representation of JSON, and thus geo spatial queries will be much faster. Finally that the amount of code required is much less, at the same time as being much more readable/maintainable.

Results. Below are the results of the test, interestingly streaming the data from the database had by far the worst performance with roughly 20x the time of solution three - the aggregation method. It is also useful to know that each of the methods increase in time taken linearly, so we can expect around eight seconds for 1,000,000 points, which would roughly equate to 480 car months or for example 40 cars on a single campaign for one year.

Table 1: Results of three data aggregation methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL POINTS/COORDINATES</th>
<th>CAR MONTHS</th>
<th>ONE (TIME IN MILLISEC)</th>
<th>TWO (TIME IN MILLISEC)</th>
<th>THREE (TIME IN MILLISEC)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>125,872</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>3,425.8</td>
<td>21,701.9</td>
<td>919.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>251,744</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>6,817.8</td>
<td>44,468.5</td>
<td>2,036.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>377,616</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>11,232.7</td>
<td>67,446.8</td>
<td>3,233.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>503,488</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>14,480</td>
<td>89,690.4</td>
<td>4,072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>629,360</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>17,560.3</td>
<td>106,418.2</td>
<td>5,299.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.3 Heat Map Rendering and Big Data Visualisation

Google Maps provide an easy to use API for rendering heat map overlays to their maps for representing datasets, however having scaled the dataset size and run some tests we realised that the total time to return the dataset and render on the map was unfeasible at the scale in which the system will produce. Having run the system for four weeks on one car the app saved 8,782 data points and thus for example would produce 439,100 points for 50 cars per month. The following graph shows the results of our test, we measured both the time taken to return from the API and the time to render.

Figure 2: Graph showing time taken to return and render the heat map on the client side.

The results show that it is unfeasible to scale the process of rendering a heat map on the client side.

One way around this could be to aggregate the heat points and group coordinates if they are within a small distance of each other, and add weighting accordingly. The problem with this method however is that the layer would be more ‘blotchy’ when zoomed in than normal. Furthermore the results to the tests show that the major lag in performance is in returning the results from the server to the client and so to increase the overall performance a solution is to render the heat map on the server and return only the heat map images. Tiles can be rendered on a scheduled process and the images can be saved in the database. We predict two main reasons why this is a preferable solution:

- Since the data being returned from the database is standardised and uniform, the speed in which the tiles are returned is not increased with the amount of data points in the database. The background process performance will decrease, likely at the same rate as when rendering client side, however since they will be rendered on a background process in a separate environment, the task won’t block any web requests to the main web application.
Using this solution there is no other need for exposing an end point for the API that returns driving data, so security is dramatically improved. Although the API uses authorisation it is important that the data is as secure as possible and only managed and analysed within the trusted network. The worst that could happen is that an intruder gets hold of the heat map images, although they would still need to somehow get past the API’s authorisation.

3.3.1 Map Tiling and Projections

Mapping the world for use on the web follows three standards; projecting the spherical surface to what we know as a Mercator projection, splitting the resultant flat representation of the world into square ‘tiles’ of dimensions 256px by 256px and finally creating different tiles for each level of zoom. Since each of the tiles will be mapped onto a Google Map (which uses Mercator projection) we can build tiles as if they are segments of a spherical map. Each tile will have bounding box coordinates so that we can use the Google Maps Overlay API to accurately map the generated tiles onto the projection.

3.3.2 Rendering Images with Node.js and WebGL

Although Node.js is perhaps not the go-to language for server side image manipulation and rendering, for example most would use a language like Java or Python. There have been some useful open sourced projects that have resulted in a suitable and competitive solution for generating images. The two dependencies that the system will rely on are Cairo and Node Canvas. Node Canvas is an implementation of the HTML5 Canvas element for the server side which enables access to WebGL - a 3D rendering API for the web. Furthermore, not only does it meet the standards for image manipulation found in languages such as Java and Python but it is well documented and easy to use as it provides the exact same interface as when rendering images on the client side with the Canvas element. Each of the tiles will use this package to render the PNG images and encode as a base 64 string to be saved in the database along with meta data including bounding coordinates, zoom level and heat map identifier.

3.3.3 High Level Tiling Algorithm

At the most abstract level, the process with follow theses steps for each campaign to build its heat map:

- Get all data points for the campaign from the database.
- For each zoom level produce a tile grid to cover the area of point’s Minimum Bounding Box. The closer the zoom the smaller the representation of the tile, i.e. there will be more tiles the closer the zoom.
- For each point and for each heat map/zoom level find which tile the point falls in.
- In order to optimise this, for each point, the algorithm checks with the tile in which the previous point fell within as well as the one just after and before it, due to the points being in order and will most likely fall close to one and other. Thus saving the algorithm from searching each tile (on the nearest zoom level that makes up 125,824 tiles) for each point, and more often than not just searching 3 tiles for each point.
- For each point represented in a tile; find its relative pixel position.
- Get distance in meters between south west coordinate of tile’s bounding box and the given data point.
- Get angle of given data point from bounding box’s south west coordinate.
- Use angle and distance to calculate percentage of tile north and east using Pythagoras’ theorem.
- Use percentage north and south to get pixel x and y position by getting percentages of 256 (width and height of tile in pixels).
- Render white radial gradient on tile, at the radius of the preset zoom levels radius constant.
- For each tile loop through each pixel
  - If the opacity is greater than the threshold constant.
    - Convert the opacity level to a hue/colour level on an ‘hsl’ (hue, saturation and lightness) colour wheel. 0 or 360 is red, 120 is green and 240 is blue, and thus mapping an opacity level from an RGBA colour wheel (‘A’ represents alpha/opacity) as created...
by the canvas pixels.

- Encode the PNG image as a base 64 string and save in the database along with meta data.

These three images show how a single tile will evolve through the algorithm. The reason why the ‘heat’ is applied in white to begin with is so that intensity is built up where lots of data points fall in the same place. Each white point has 50% opacity from the middle and so as they overlap, the level of opacity will increase, until it is completely white, and the whiter the more intense the red colour.

Figure 3: Example of the condition of an individual heat map tile at each stage of the algorithm.

Haversine Formula

An integral part of the algorithm is to be able to calculate the distance and angle between two points; for both of these calculations the system will implement the Haversine formula (Bell et al, 2011). The following is used to find the distance between two points on a sphere.

\[
\text{distance} = 2r \arcsin \left( \sqrt{ \sin^2 \left( \frac{\phi_2 - \phi_1}{2} \right) + \cos(\phi_1) \cos(\phi_2) \sin^2 \left( \frac{\lambda_2 - \lambda_1}{2} \right) } \right)
\] (1)

The algorithm and process result in a solution that matches the visual appearance of producing the heat map using the Google API, as seen below. Although the process is cumbersome, it has plenty of room to scale as it has no effect on the end user’s experience and the size of the image in bytes is not dramatically increased by the quantity of data points. The following show the resultant heat map at 6 different zoom levels.

Figure 4: Visual results of test data plotted using the server-side heat map algorithm at 6 zoom levels.

Having met the visual requirements we will run a test on its performance; we expect that the greatest factor in reducing the performance is the quantity of tiles.

Thus if the drivers travel all over the country there will likely be a decrease in performance due to the fact there is more tiles/images. In order to benchmark against the Google maps solution we simply increase the amount of data points and calculate the time taken to retrieve and overlay the images onto the map. As a means of optimising this solution we only load the tiles required for the map bounds and the immediate surrounding area, and use Google Map’s ‘drag’ and ‘zoom’ event for loading in the new map tiles as the map is zoomed and dragged. Below are the results of the test, each of the tests were run at zoom level 11 (half way).

Figure 5: Graph showing the time in seconds to return the heat map tiles from the server and overlay on a map.

The results show that there is no correlation between the total data points and the time to render the heat map and thus proving this is the best solution of the two, given the quantity of the data. It is also worth noting that the time taken to render the heat map on the server for all of the 22 zoom levels, save to the database and delete the previous map is on average around one minute, which for the test data results in around 400 base 64 encoded 256px by 256px images.

3.4 GPS Data Cleaning

GPS ‘jitter’ is a very common problem with GPS trackers, it is where the data that is produced by the tracker contains anomalies and slight deviations from where the actual device has traveled. Stated in a paper written by R. Zito et al. “Field data collection under “ideal” GPS conditions indicated that accurate speed and position data were readily obtained from the GPS. Under less favourable conditions (e.g. in downtown networks), data accuracy decreased but useful information could still be obtained” (Zito et al., 1995). Having tested the off-the-shelf OBD GPS devices and mapped all the coordinates onto a map we noticed that the device produced a number of anomalies both extreme and small. The larger anomalies will need to be removed completely from the visualised data, however the smaller anomalies need to be refined. Below are examples of both large and small anomalies.
Extreme anomalies
You can see here that the GPS has had jitter causing GPS points to be displayed as far as the Netherlands, whilst the Car has traveled only as far east as West London.

Small anomalies
Shown here is a small amount of deviation from the road going from top to bottom of the image. Points are grouped around the road and when zoomed out, these imperfections are not noticeable.

Figure 6: Two classification of GPS 'jitter'.

The proposed system will need to implement an algorithm to clean these two classes of anomalies.

The main purpose of the heat map data is to represent an overall impression of where the fleet of cars has traveled and spent more of its time. Therefore maintaining accuracy as to exactly where individual cars have been remains a secondary requirement and rather displaying a well presented dataset that gives the advertiser an understanding of where their advert has spent most of it’s time is a key requirement.

The algorithm comprises the following three steps:

- Snap groups of points to their nearest road using Google’s ‘snap-to-road’ API.
- Loop all snapped points:
  - If the distance between the current and next is small or large (example: less than 10 meters or greater than 200) – simply add the point to the resultant dataset.
  - If it does not meet the above criteria linearly interpolate the points at an interval dictated by the calculated traveling speed.

The algorithm comprises of three arbitrary values that have come as a result of tweaking the algorithm to best represent the given data set. Notably it says that if the distance between the current point in the loop and the next is in between ten and 200 meters then interpolate at three meter intervals linearly. The hope is that by using the Google Roads API the larger anomalies will naturally be removed as it will see that there is no justifiable way that the journey could jump from a ‘clean’ point to an anomaly.

Linear interpolation can be achieved by running the following:

```java
newLatitude = startPoint.latitude * (1 - distance) + destinationPoint.latitude * distance;
newLongitude = startPoint.longitude * (1 - distance) + destinationPoint.longitude * distance;
```

Listing 3: Linear interpolation logic.

3.4.1 Results

The results to the algorithm are very positive and as a result produce a dramatically improved representation of the data set. The first image shows the raw data, the second is after the points have been returned from Google’s snap-to-road API and the third is after the points have been conditionally interpolated.

3.5 Implementation Summary

Within this section we’ve discussed the main challenges faced and overcome in the build phase of the system. We overcame an array performance based challenges and have ended with a system that meets the set out requirements of this project.

It is clear that introducing GPS based systems incur, in general, a vast amount of boundaries to building a system such as this. Most of these challenges however were most definitely not clear from the outset.

4 CONCLUSIONS

In this paper, we investigated how modern web technologies can be leveraged to assist in producing high performance big data analysis systems. Along with this establishing a foundation to what will be an ever growing area of research as outdoor advertising seeks to persist a firm foothold in the advertising industry. We have designed and implemented a system that provides a means analysing the potential...
effectiveness of an outdoor vehicular advertising campaign.

The system produces the expected and required results in a scalable way. Initially we expected that the data aggregation would be able to run from Node’s single thread however it was not feasible with the quantity of data that was needed to be processed. Thus we implemented MongoDB’s native aggregation method and increased performance by 300% meaning that the application can be scaled and return the metrics on-the-fly for the campaign. It’s clear that if data is structured well – MongoDB provides the fundamental building blocks to building big-data analytics systems.

On a similar theme, visualising the required quantity of data was not completed in a reasonable time if processed on the client side and thus we moved the processed to a scheduled worker that renders map tiles and saves to the database. Each of the tiles are then efficiently loaded into the client, based on the current map zoom level and bounds. The major benefit of this solution is that the performance on the client side is not effected by an increase in GPS data points.
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