

RISK FACTORS FOR VISUAL LOSS IN GIANT CELL ARTERITIS

Max Yates¹, Alex J MacGregor¹, Joanna Robson², Anthea Craven³, Peter A. Merkel⁴, Raashid A. Luqmani³, Richard A. Watts¹.

¹Norwich Medical School, University of East Anglia, Norwich, UNITED KINGDOM, ²University of the West of England, Bristol, UNITED KINGDOM, ³Nuffield Department of Orthopaedics, University of Oxford, Oxford, UNITED KINGDOM, ⁴ Division of Rheumatology and Department of Biostatistics and Epidemiology, University of Pennsylvania, Boston, MA, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Corresponding author: Dr Max Yates, Norwich Medical School, Floor 2, Bob Champion Research and Education Building, Norwich Research Park, University of East Anglia, NR4 7UQ. Email m.yates@uea.ac.uk Telephone ++44 1603 59 3570

Key words: Giant cell arteritis, blindness, DCVAS, VDI

Competing interests: none declared.

Word Count: 1505

Contributions: MY carried out the analysis and wrote the draft of the manuscript. AJM commented on the analysis and carried out re-drafting of the manuscript with MY. JR commented on drafts of the manuscript. AC is the main administrator for the DCVAS study and carried out database searches and produced the dataset. PAM, RAL and RAW and the main investigators for the DCVAS study and have been involved in the design, set-up, ethical approval, recruitment of the DCVAS study (and are custodians of the data) they have all commented on the manuscript drafts.

Acknowledgements: We acknowledge Ms Karly Graham for her contribution in terms of proof reading.

Funding information: EULAR grant number 15855, ACR grant number: ACREULAR001

Ethical approval information: Oxford REC: reference number: 10/H0505/19

ABSTRACT

Background

Blindness is a recognised complication of giant cell arteritis (GCA); however the frequency and risk factors for this complication of disease have not been firmly established. This study examined the incidence and determinants of blindness in GCA using a large international cohort.

Methods

The analysis was conducted among subjects recruited into the Diagnosis and Classification Criteria in Vasculitis Study (DCAVS). The study captures consecutive patients presenting to clinic-based rheumatologists. Blindness was assessed six months after diagnosis by completion of the Vasculitis Damage Index (VDI). Logistic regression analysis was used to assess the association between blindness and clinical variables.

Results

Of 433 patients with GCA from 26 countries, 7.9% presented with blindness in at least one eye at six months. Risk factors identified at baseline for blindness at six months were identified and included prevalent stroke (OR = 4.47, 95% CI: 1.30 to 15.41), and peripheral vascular disease (OR = 10.44, 95% CI: 2.94 to 37.03).

Conclusions

This is the largest study to date of subjects with incident GCA and confirms that blindness remains a common complication of disease and is associated with established vascular disease.

INTRODUCTION

Giant cell arteritis (GCA) is the commonest form of large-vessel vasculitis, with an estimated annual incidence ranging from 12.7 to 36.7 per 100,000 individuals > 50 years old (1, 2). Blindness is a well-recognised complication of GCA; however, information to date on the occurrence of visual loss in GCA is inconsistent and difficult to interpret. Previous studies have been conducted in small, selected, hospital-based patient series using different definitions of disease and clinical outcomes and have produced a broad and imprecise range of estimates of risk, varying between 2.9% and 66.2% (3, 4). Registry-based surveys have involved larger samples sizes; however, the absence of accurate clinical detail generates uncertainty over the validity of these estimates.

Prompt treatment of patients with GCA with glucocorticoids may prevent visual loss but rarely reverses established pathological change in the eye (5) and there is need to better understand the factors which place subjects at particular risk at the time they first present. Studies have implicated pre-existing vascular disease as a potential risk factor for subsequent visual loss in GCA (6-10). However considerable uncertainty remains as no single vascular risk factor has been reported consistently.

The recently-established international collaborative Diagnostic and Classification Criteria in Vasculitis Study (DCVAS) provides an opportunity to assess the rate of visual loss and the underlying risk factors in a large cohort of well characterised patients with GCA.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Setting

DCVAS was set-up in 2010 and recruits patients from 129 sites worldwide. Physicians recruit patients with diagnoses of vasculitis or comparator conditions with an onset within the previous two years. Information collected includes clinical, serological, pathological and radiological data.

Case Ascertainment - GCA Definition

As part of the DCVAS protocol, the examining physician was required to submit an assessment of their level of diagnostic certainty (very certain, $\geq 75\%$; moderately certain, 50-74%; uncertain, 25-49%; very uncertain, $< 25\%$) for each participant. Patients were included in the present analysis if they had a baseline diagnosis of GCA by a submitting physician with a confidence level of $\geq 75\%$. Information was also available on the results of temporal artery biopsy and to allow patients to be classified using the 1990 ACR criteria set for GCA (11). A positive temporal artery biopsy was defined as the presence of inflammatory cell infiltrate and / or presence of giant cells.

Definition of Blindness

Baseline data were extracted on ophthalmic features (amaurosis fugax, sudden ongoing visual loss, blurred vision in either eye, or diplopia) and the reasons for referral to secondary care. The occurrence of visual impairment at six months was assessed using the Vasculitis Damage Index (VDI) (12). Blindness was defined as complete loss of sight in the affected eye.

Statistical Approach

Descriptive statistics were used to assess patient characteristics with standard nonparametric tests used to assess difference between groups. Logistic regression analysis was applied to examine the strength of the association between clinical variables with blindness at six months, recorded as odds ratios (OR) with 95% confidence intervals (CI). In a sensitivity analysis, the models were recalculated using positive temporal artery biopsy and 1990 ACR criteria to define participant diagnoses. Statistical analysis was carried out using STATA version 12 (StataCorp LP, Texas).

RESULTS

Of the 715 patients recruited into DCVAS by December 30, 2014, 433 were considered to have GCA with $\geq 75\%$ diagnostic certainty at six months; 404 fulfilled the 1990 ACR criteria for GCA; and 235 had had a positive temporal artery biopsy. Baseline characteristics are shown in Table 1. Six months after diagnosis, 34 (7.9%) patients had monocular blindness, 3 (0.7%) of whom had binocular blindness. There were no statistically significant differences in the rate of blindness between men and women. Thirty-one of the patients that had blindness (22 women and 12 men) recorded at six months had presented with symptoms of sudden visual loss. The visual manifestations of disease for all patients with GCA at presentation included: blurred vision in 98 (22.6%), sudden visual loss in 70 (16.2%), diplopia in 51 (11.8%), amaurosis fugax in 33 (7.6%), and red eyes in 9 (2.1%). Of those with sudden visual loss at presentation 44.3% (31/70) were blind at six months as assessed on the VDI; of those with no recorded visual loss at presentation 0.8% (3/363) were recorded as being blind at the six month review.

Table 2 shows the results of logistic regression analysis examining potential associations with blindness as recorded at 6 months using the VDI. The data were adjusted for age and sex. Factors positively associated with blindness at six months included i) a prior history of cerebrovascular accident (CVA) (OR = 4.47, 95% CI: 1.30 to 15.41), and ii) peripheral vascular disease (PVD) (OR = 10.44, CI: 2.94 to 37.03). ~~There was suggestion that prevalent diabetes was also associated with blindness at six months; however the results failed to reach statistical significance.~~ There was no association between baseline laboratory findings and blindness at six months.

In the sensitivity analysis the findings were largely unchanged. The rates of blindness in those meeting the 1990 ACR criteria and those with a positive temporal artery biopsy were 7.4% and 9.8%, respectively. The associations between PVD and CVA remained statistically significant with positive associations for blindness at 6 months. The association between prevalent diabetes and blindness reached statistical significance for those cases defined by positive temporal artery biopsy (4.28, CI: 1.42 to 12.92) but not the cases defined by 1990 ACR criteria (2.24, CI: 0.84 to 5.96).

Table 1. Clinical Features at baseline of patients with giant cell arteritis

Clinical Features	Physician Diagnosis of GCA at 6 months (>75% certainty) n=433		p value*
	Male n=145	Female n=288	
Age at diagnosis (median, years)	73.9	72.9	0.863
Amaurosis fugax (%)	12 (8.3)	21 (7.3)	0.716
Sudden visual loss ongoing (%)	27 (18.6)	43 (14.9)	0.325
Blurred vision either eye (%)	35 (24.1)	63 (21.9)	0.595
Diplopia (%)	23 (15.9)	28 (9.7)	0.061
Jaw claudication (%)	56 (38.6)	120 (41.7)	0.543
Tongue claudication (%)	9 (6.2)	10 (3.5)	0.190
Morning stiffness shoulders arms* (%)	23 (15.9)	71 (24.7)	0.036
Morning stiffness hips/thighs* (%)	15 (10.3)	59 (20.5)	0.008
Myalgia (%)	38 (26.2)	76 (26.4)	0.968
Fever (%)	29 (20.0)	42 (14.6)	0.151
Weight loss (%)	49 (33.8)	101 (35.1)	0.792
<i>Smoking Status*</i>			
Current (%)	25 (17.2)	35 (12.2)	0.148
Former (%)	70 (48.3)	63 (21.9)	<0.001
Never (%)	50 (34.5)	190 (66.0)	<0.001
<i>Comorbidities</i>			
Coronary heart disease* (%)	18 (12.4)	11 (3.8)	0.001
Heart failure* (%)	7 (4.8)	2 (0.7)	0.004
Peripheral vascular disease (%)	4 (2.8)	7 (2.4)	0.838
Hypertension requiring therapy (%)	60 (41.4)	119 (41.3)	0.990
Diabetes mellitus* (%)	19 (13.1)	21 (7.3)	0.049
Cerebrovascular accident (%)	4 (2.8)	10 (3.5)	0.692
Dyslipidaemia (%)	34 (23.5)	63 (21.9)	0.711
Chronic obstructiv Pulmonary disease*	15 (10.3)	9 (3.1)	0.002
<i>Laboratory test results at presentation (%)</i>			
ESR greater than 70mm/hr (%)	74 (51.0)	153 (53.1)	0.681
CRP greater than 50mm/hr (%)	93 (64.1)	173 (60.0)	0.412
Anaemia (Haemoglobin <10g/dL) (%)	16 (11.0)	50 (17.4)	0.170
Thrombocytosis (platelets > 500 x 10 ⁹ /L) (%)	26 (17.9)	52 (18.1)	0.776

*p-value of difference between men and women; all calculated using the chi squared test except for median age at diagnosis which was tested by the Mann-Whitney test.

GCA: giant cell arteritis; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: c-reactive protein.

Table 2. Unadjusted and adjusted logistic regression analysis for blindness at 6 months associated with vascular disease variables.

Presenting features	Physician Diagnosis of GCA at 6 months (>75% certainty) n=433	
	Unadjusted OR, 95% CI	Adjusted* OR, 95% CI
Age	1.04, (1.00 to 1.09)	1.04, (1.00 to 1.09)
BMI†	1.10, (1.01 to 1.19)	1.10, (1.02 to 1.20)
Smoking (ever vs never)	0.75, (0.37 to 1.55)	0.78, (0.36 to 1.68)
Cardiovascular co-morbidity at baseline	0.86, (0.20 to 3.79)	0.77, (0.17 to 3.45)
Diabetes co-morbidity at baseline	2.88, (1.16 to 7.10)	2.48, (0.98 to 6.25)
Stroke co-morbidity at baseline	5.19, (1.54 to 17.53)	4.47, (1.30 to 15.41)
Peripheral vascular disease co-morbidity at baseline	11.29, (3.25 to 39.23)	10.44, (2.94 to 37.03)
Hyperlipidaemia co-morbidity at baseline	1.49, (0.69 to 3.24)	1.45, (0.67 to 3.15)
Hypertension co-morbidity at baseline	1.13, (0.56 to 2.29)	0.99, (0.48 to 2.03)
ESR >70mm/hr	0.79, (0.39 to 1.60)	0.79, (0.39 to 1.60)
CRP >50mg/L	0.60, (0.30 to 1.22)	0.57, (0.28 to 1.16)
Anaemia	0.70, (0.25 to 1.99)	0.78, (0.27 to 2.21)
Thrombocytosis	0.42, (0.13 to 1.38)	0.44, (0.13 to 1.48)
Weight loss	1.03, (0.50 to 2.15)	1.07, (0.51 to 2.23)
Fever	0.47, (0.14 to 1.59)	0.52, (0.15 to 1.75)

*Adjusted for age and sex. †Missing data for BMI (n = 131).

GCA: giant cell arteritis; OR: odds ration; BMI: body mass index; ESR: erythrocyte sedimentation rate; CRP: c-reactive protein.

DISCUSSION

Results of this large observational study, demonstrate that blindness remains a major problem in GCA. Around one in twelve patients are blind in one eye by six months after diagnosis. Most patients who develop blindness do so by the time of their first assessment. These results re-emphasise the need for urgent referral and rapid institution of glucocorticoid therapy in patients (13). Our analysis also shows an association between blindness and peripheral vascular disease.

The rate of blindness identified in the present study is lower than the majority of published estimates, possibly reflecting our narrower definition of blindness as complete visual loss in one or both eyes. The Mayo clinic published data on 204 cases of GCA from Rochester, Minnesota, USA over a 55-year period. Of these patients 47 (23.0%) had visual symptoms, with 7 (3.4%) suffering blindness in one eye (of whom 2 had bilateral blindness), which is higher than our estimate (14). Our estimate is also higher than the 2.9% reported in the register-based study conducted by Mollan *et al.*(3), interpretation of which is limited by the fact that the cases were identified through hospital episodes. Rigorous classification criteria were not applied, potentially leading to an underestimate of the rate of blindness in those with GCA.

We identified prior peripheral vascular disease as a risk factor for blindness in patients with GCA. Previous studies have implicated hypertension, a past history of ischaemic heart disease, thrombocytosis, constitutional symptoms, and low inflammatory response as potential risks for blindness (6, 7, 15). While reports have been inconsistent and many of these factors were not been confirmed in the present study, taken together these findings suggest a potential role of endothelial dysfunction in both the development of GCA and its ischaemic complications. The increased risk of CVD following a diagnosis of GCA is also consistent with this hypothesis (19, 20).

A strength of this study is its size: 433 new cases of GCA were included, each of which had a systematic structured assessment that included presenting features, comorbidities, and outcome at six months. Outcomes were assessed by the VDI, a validated means of recording permanent damage arising from vasculitis or its treatment and not for other reasons.

Limitations of the study include the fact that it was clinic- rather than population-based and is thus susceptible to referral bias. However, our sample was not selected from an individual specialty or specialist centre, providing potentially greater generalizability than prior single-centre studies. Data directly from a detailed ophthalmological assessment was not recorded. However, the VDI was designed to capture data on visual impairment, blindness, and cataract formation which occurred specifically as a result of vasculitis or its therapy. Visual loss which developed for other reasons would not have been attributed to GCA. Our analysis of obesity and blindness needs to be treated with caution due to the relatively high proportion of missing values for BMI in this dataset. We do not have information regarding the initial dose or route or timing of glucocorticoid therapy and are, therefore, unable to state whether this had a bearing on the overall percentage of patients suffering blindness.

This is the largest study to date of visual loss in cases of clinically-confirmed GCA and provides a robust estimate of blindness associated with a diagnoses of GCA. Blindness, both

monocular or binocular, remains a major problem in GCA and this study highlights the need for rapid referral and initiation of treatment.

REFERENCES

1. Abdul-Rahman AM, Molteno AC, Bevin TH. The epidemiology of giant cell arteritis in Otago, New Zealand: a 9-year analysis. *N Z Med J.* 2011;124(1329):44-52.
2. Haugeberg G, Paulsen PQ, Bie RB. Temporal arteritis in Vest Agder County in southern Norway: incidence and clinical findings. *J Rheumatol.* 2000;27(11):2624-7.
3. Mollan SP, Begaj I, Mackie S, O'Sullivan EP, Denniston AK. Increase in admissions related to giant cell arteritis and polymyalgia rheumatica in the UK, 2002-13, without a decrease in associated sight loss: potential implications for service provision. *Rheumatology (Oxford).* 2015;54(2):375-7.
4. Hayreh SS, Zimmerman B. Management of giant cell arteritis. Our 27-year clinical study: new light on old controversies. *Ophthalmologica.* 2003;217(4):239-59.
5. Cornblath WT, Eggenberger ER. Progressive visual loss from giant cell arteritis despite high-dose intravenous methylprednisolone. *Ophthalmology.* 1997;104(5):854-8.
6. Cid MC, Font C, Oristrell J, de la Sierra A, Coll-Vinent B, Lopez-Soto A, et al. Association between strong inflammatory response and low risk of developing visual loss and other cranial ischemic complications in giant cell (temporal) arteritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism.* 1998;41(1):26-32.
7. Salvarani C, Della Bella C, Cimino L, Macchioni P, Formisano D, Bajocchi G, et al. Risk factors for severe cranial ischaemic events in an Italian population-based cohort of patients with giant cell arteritis. *Rheumatology.* 2009;48(3):250-3.
8. De Keyser J, De Klippel N, Ebinger G. Thrombocytosis and ischaemic complications in giant cell arteritis. *BMJ.* 1991;303(6806):825.
9. Kaiser M, Weyand CM, Bjornsson J, Goronzy JJ. Platelet-derived growth factor, intimal hyperplasia, and ischemic complications in giant cell arteritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism.* 1998;41(4):623-33.
10. Neshet G, Berkun Y, Mates M, Baras M, Neshet R, Rubinow A, et al. Risk factors for cranial ischemic complications in giant cell arteritis. *Medicine.* 2004;83(2):114-22.
11. Hunder GG, Bloch DA, Michel BA, Stevens MB, Arend WP, Calabrese LH, et al. The American College of Rheumatology 1990 criteria for the classification of giant cell arteritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism.* 1990;33(8):1122-8.
12. Exley AR, Bacon PA, Luqmani RA, Kitis GD, Gordon C, Savage CO, et al. Development and initial validation of the Vasculitis Damage Index for the standardized clinical assessment of damage in the systemic vasculitides. *Arthritis Rheum.* 1997;40(2):371-80.
13. Patil P, Williams M, Maw WW, Achilleos K, Elsideeg S, Dejacco C, et al. Fast track pathway reduces sight loss in giant cell arteritis: results of a longitudinal observational cohort study. *Clin Exp Rheumatol.* 2015;33(2 Suppl 89):S-103-6.
14. Singh AG, Kermani TA, Crowson CS, Weyand CM, Matteson EL, Warrington KJ. Visual Manifestations in Giant Cell Arteritis: Trend over 5 Decades in a Population-based Cohort. *J Rheumatol.* 2014.
15. Gonzalez-Gay MAMDP, Pineiro AMD, Gomez-Gigirey AMD, Garcia-Porrúa CMDP, Pego-Reigosa RMDP, Dierssen-Sotos TMDP, et al. Influence of Traditional Risk Factors of Atherosclerosis in the Development of Severe Ischemic Complications in Giant Cell Arteritis. *Medicine.* 2004;83(6):342-7.
16. Terrier B, Geri G, Choura W, Allenbach Y, Rosenzweig M, Costedoat-Chalumeau N, et al. IL-21 modulates Th1 and Th17 responses in giant cell arteritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism.* 2011;n/a-n/a.
17. Nishihara M, Ogura H, Ueda N, Tsuruoka M, Kitabayashi C, Tsuji F, et al. IL-6-gp130-STAT3 in T cells directs the development of IL-17+ Th with a minimum effect on that of Treg in the steady state. *International Immunology.* 2007;19(6):695-702.
18. Roche NE, Fulbright JW, Wagner AD, Hunder GG, Goronzy JJ, Weyand CM. Correlation of interleukin-6 production and disease activity in polymyalgia rheumatica and giant cell arteritis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism.* 1993;36(9):1286-94.

19. Hancock AT, Mallen CD, Muller S, Belcher J, Roddy E, Helliwell T, et al. Risk of vascular events in patients with polymyalgia rheumatica. *CMAJ Canadian Medical Association Journal*. 2014;186(13):E495-501.
20. Udayakumar PD, Chandran AK, Crowson CS, Warrington KJ, Matteson EL. Cardiovascular risk and acute coronary syndrome in giant cell arteritis: a population-based retrospective cohort study. *Arthritis care & research*. 2015;67(3):396-402.