# 1 Cellular Redox Environment and its Influence on Redox Signalling

## 2 Molecules

3 4	John T. Hancock <sup>1,*</sup> and Matthew Whiteman <sup>2</sup>
5	
6	1. Department of Applied Sciences, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK.
7	2. University of Exeter Medical School, University of Exeter, Exeter, UK
8	*Correspondence:
9 10	Conespondence. Prof. John T. Hancock
10	Figure of Health and Applied Sciences
11	Liniversity of the West of England, Bristol, LIK
12	iohn hancock@uwo ac uk
13	JOHIT.Hancock@uwe.ac.uk
14 15	Short title: Influence of rodey on signalling
15	
10	Abstract
10	ADSILACI
10	The reday potential of a cell's internal environment is well recognised as important for
19	The redux potential of a cell's internal environment is well recognised as important for
20	controlling cellular activities. Both animal and plant cells generate and are exposed to a
21	range of reactive molecules involved in cell signalling, including reactive oxygen
22	species and reactive nitrogen species, such as hydrogen peroxide and nitric oxide.
23	Redox active molecules exist in different oxidation states, with the ratio of the states
24	being able to be determined using the Nernst equation. Therefore influence of redox
25	environments of cells on the likelihood of the persistence of a particular redox state of a
26	molecule can be estimated, and this might have a profound effect on whether
27	molecules can act as signals. Although the cellular redox may have little influence on
28	some molecules, for others there may be a significant impact from the redox
29	environment. Furthermore, cellular redox environments fluctuate and as they become
30	more oxidising some signalling molecules may become more persistent while the
31	moderating effect of others may be lessened. Such influence of redox environment
32	needs to be taken into account if the role of such molecules in cell signalling is to be
33	understood.

#### 35 Introduction

The redox environment inside cells has been the subject of considerable 36 discussion over many years [1-3]. It is important to understand as it is used for the 37 maintenance of reduced compounds and for cell signalling. The intracellular reduction 38 potential has been estimated to be relatively reducing [2] (normally lower than -200mV 39 relative to a standard hydrogen electrode), therefore giving an ideal environment for the 40 41 production and maintenance of reduced co-factors such as NADH and NADPH. However, the actual concentrations of such co-factors in cells will also be influenced by 42 43 their binding to other cellular components [1, 6]. It is important to also understand that the redox environment of cells is not fixed, but has a dynamic nature. Schafer and 44 Buettner [2] estimated that the redox environment may become significantly more 45 oxidising, changing by as much as 70mV as cells move from a proliferative state to one 46 of apoptosis. Such changes can have profound effects on cellular components such as 47 proteins, and therefore redox signalling is now recognised as a major influence in the 48 control of cellular function [7]. 49

One of the most significant influences on the redox environment is both the 50 amount and reduction state of the tri-peptide glutathione [2]. Intracellular concentrations 51 may be greater than ten millimolar. Its influence on the redox is determined by its mid-52 point potential [8], but also by its overall concentration because the reaction relates to a 53 squared ratio in the Nernst Equation [2]. Cells can therefore manipulate their 54 intracellular redox by the generation [9] or loss of glutathione [10] as well as the ratio of 55 the oxidised to reduced states [2]. Therefore, glutathione can be measured as an 56 estimate of the intracellular redox state [11] and its influence has been linked to health 57 and disease [12] especially as it can also alter protein function through glutathionylation 58 [13]. 59

The presence, or accumulation, of other redox molecules also influences intracellular redox states, including reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS). ROS encompasses superoxide anions, hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>) and the hydroxyl radical while RNS includes nitric oxide and peroxynitrite. Both ROS and RNS are known to be major signalling molecules in both plants and animals [4-5] and can cause post-translational modifications of proteins and so control cellular function: oxidation and *S*-nitrosylation respectively [14].

Other signalling molecules here include hydrogen sulfide (H<sub>2</sub>S) [15] and hydrogen gas (H<sub>2</sub>) [16]. H<sub>2</sub>S can lead to *S*-sulfhydration [17], altering protein function, perhaps in competition with other redox active molecules [15], while H<sub>2</sub> can influence cellular redox by manipulating antioxidant levels [18].

The present dogma is that ROS and other redox molecules influence the redox environment and that this leads to the process of oxidative stress, leading to cellular damage [19]. To some extent this is probably true, with considerations of compartmentalization being taken into account. However, it is argued here that the opposite is also true, that the redox environment of the cell will be a major influence on whether redox signalling molecules persist in the cell and whether they are able to have effects often assigned to them.

78

#### 79 Maintenance and influence of redox environments

The redox environment will be dictated by the major redox-capable components of the cellular location; the cytoplasm is commonly studied. It is considered that intracellular glutathione is a good indicator of redox poise [2], with values being derived using the Nernst equation (Equation 1: bearing in mind the squared ratio needed for the GSSG/2GSH couple).

86 87	Equation 1: The Nernst Equation (redox equation) assuming an intracellular pH of 7.4.
87 88 89	$E_{h} = E_{m(pH7.4)} + \frac{RT}{nF} \times 2.303Log [oxidised]$ [reduced]
90 91 92 93 94	where: $E_h$ is the redox potential; $E_{m(pH7.4)}$ is the midpoint potential of redox couple at pH7.4; R is the Gas Constant; T is the temperature in Kelvin; F is the Faraday Constant; n is the number of electrons used in oxidation/reduction.
95	However, the redox environment will also be determined by the presence of other
96	abundant low-molecular weight (LMW) thiols (Table 1) [20], including cysteine (Cys),
97	cysteinyl-glycine (Cys-Gly) and $\gamma$ -glutamyl-cysteine ( $\gamma$ -Glu-Cys). It was found that in
98	non-aged seeds non-GSH thiols contributed to approximately 15% of the redox which
99	involved thiol-disulfide reactions ( $E_{thiol-disulphide}$ ), while this increased to approximately
100	25% in 10 week old seeds. A shift in this redox couple was correlated to the loss of
101	seed viability, showing that there was a real biological effect [20]. Methods for
102	measuring the couples for glutathione (GSSG/2GSH), cysteine/cystine (cys/cySS),
103	thioredoxins (TRX(red)/TRX(ox) and the oxidation states of proteins have been
104	described [3] while Schafer and Buettner [2] suggested that the equation to calculate
105	the redox environment should include all redox influencing species (Equation 2).
106	
107 108	Equation 2:
109 110 111	Redox environment = $\sum_{i=1}^{n} E_i x$ [reduced species] <sub>i</sub>
112 113 114	Where $E_i$ is the half-cell reduction potential of the redox couple of interest [2].
115	Given that the GSSG/2GSH couple alone could be millimolar [2, 21] these thiol couples
116	(Table 1) will be the overriding factors keeping the intracellular redox environment
117	stable. Given also that 25% of the environment could be influenced by other LMW thiols
118	[20] the total thiol concentration maintaining redox poise in cells is significant. To

influence this the concentrations of ROS and RNS added to make an appreciable 119 difference would have to be considerable. 120

The most studied ROS is H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, with effects reported at low levels, such as 10 121 µM in work on C. elegans [21], and 1-20 µM in a study of synaptic plasticity [22]. 122 Although some organisms such as *Streptococcus* and *Enterococcus* bacteria can 123 produce H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> to higher levels, such as 2mM [23], very high levels in human tissues 124 125 would be considered to be 600 µM, as in eye aqueous humour [21]. The influence on redox environment through Equation 2 must be limited if H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is considerably lower 126 127 than the 10mM of glutathione. It is hypothesised here that the influence will be the other way around, that is, the redox environment will have a major impact on the 128 [oxidised]/[reduced] ratio of the signalling molecule. There is a caveat. Intracellular 129 redox environment studies usually measure the overall redox state, but as with other 130 signals, redox components will be compartmentalized [24] and actual levels of LMW 131 thiols, ROS and RNS may be different to those measured. Having said that, there have 132 been reports of intracellular redox values (Table 2) with an average value of 133 approximately -242 mV. Taking these data, using published data for the mid-point 134 potentials for redox couples which could be important for cell signalling and using the 135 Nernst Equation (Equation 1) estimates of the [oxidised]/[reduced] value for a range of 136 redox couples can be obtained (Table 3). Furthermore, as a cell moves from a 137 proliferative state to one of apoptosis [2] how a change of redox environment may 138 influence the [oxidised]/[reduced] of signalling couples can be calculated (Table 3). 139 For many redox couples there is no tangible influence of the redox environment 140 on the likely biological activity of those signalling molecules. At -242 mV the O<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> 141 couple will vastly favour the presence of  $H_2O_2$ , enabling  $H_2O_2$  to act as a cellular signal. 142 A change of intracellular redox of approximately 70mV will make little difference to this. 143 Many of the redox couples listed (Table 3) have mid-point potentials significantly more

positive than the redox environment, so changes of ~70 mV makes no difference; there
is little effect on important couples such as RO<sup>-</sup>/ROH and RS<sup>-</sup>/RSH for example,
although local peptide environments may influence here. There will be little influence on
some non-protein couples, such as NO<sup>+</sup>/NO<sup>-</sup>, favouring NO<sup>-</sup> at all cellular redox
potentials. As NO<sup>+</sup> and NO<sup>-</sup> will react in different ways [25], and NO<sup>-</sup> being the species
associated with signalling, this is important.

151 Cellular redox does influence redox ratios however. For the  $O_2^{-}/H_2O_2$  couple H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> is favoured, which would aid signalling where a molecule has to persist and move 152 153 to have influence. However, for the O2<sup>-/</sup>/H2O and H2O2/H2O couples cellular redox would favour the conversion to H<sub>2</sub>O: not good for signalling. The presence of the signalling 154 species is also not favoured for the  $2H^+/H_2$  couple: the proton to gas ratio being ~1000; 155 the gas being important for signalling [16]. For the ONOO<sup>-</sup>/NO<sub>2</sub> couple peroxynitrite may 156 not be persistent in cells, although peroxynitrite is relatively stable and known to have 157 biological effects [26]. 158

The reduction of cytochrome *c* is favoured. The oxidation of cytochrome *c*, as affected by ROS, may have a role in the activation of cell death programmes [27]. It may be expected, therefore, that the oxidation of cytochrome *c* and its protein interactions would have to be compartmentalised to avoid immediate re-reduction.

Along with the influence of average cellular redox it can be determined if 163 changes in redox have an influence, that is, oxidation by approximately 70 mV [2]. The 164  $O_2/O_2$  couple sees a significant lowering of  $O_2$  concentrations, so diminishing the bio-165 availability of  $O_2^{-}$  and lowering the possible  $H_2O_2$  concentrations resulting from 166 dismutation. For the H<sup>+</sup>/H<sub>2</sub> couple the preference for the gaseous (signalling) form 167 would be lowered, whereas for the NO<sup>-</sup>/NO<sup>-</sup> couple the preference moves to the NO<sup>-</sup> 168 (signalling) form. The RSNO/RSH couple will favour the RSNO form, helping to drive, or 169 prolong, RSNO signalling. The S/H<sub>2</sub>S couple will lower the H<sub>2</sub>S concentration: H<sub>2</sub>S may 170

keep other redox signalling under control [15] so the influence of H<sub>2</sub>S goes down, the
 influence of RSNO goes up, so allowing redox signalling to continue, or even increase.

#### 174 Conclusions and perspectives

The redox environment of the cell is extremely important and is maintained at a 175 relatively reducing potential by a range of small thiol compounds. This reduction 176 177 potential will have little influence on many biological-relevant redox couples but for some it may be important. The presence of  $H_2O_2$  and NO<sup>-</sup> may be favoured, both which 178 179 are important for signalling, while the presence of H<sub>2</sub> may be low. However, the redox of the cell is not static and as it becomes oxidising this may have an influence on redox 180 couples:  $O_2^{-}$  presence may be lowered, as may that of  $H_2S$  while NO<sup>-</sup> may be favoured. 181 Therefore, the influence of intracellular redox on redox-sensitive signalling molecules 182 needs to be considered. 183

Future work needs to fully understand the redox environment at a local level to get a complete understanding of the effect on redox couples in cells. As with many signalling processes compartmentalisation is important to consider and will give a better understanding of the prevalence of the oxidation state of important signalling molecules in cells.

189

#### 190 **References**

Bücher, T., Brauser, B., Conze, A., Klein, F., Langghuth Q., Sies, H. State of
 oxidation-reduction and state of binding in the cytosolic NADH-system as disclosed
 by equilibration with extracellular lactate/pyruvate in hemoglobin-free perfused rat
 liver. *Eur. J. Biochem.* 27, 301-317 (1972).

- 2. Schafer, F.Q., Buettner, G.R. Redox environment of the cell as viewed through the 195 redox state of the glutathione disulfide/glutathione couple. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 30, 196 1191-1212 (2001). 197
- 3. Harris, C., Hansen, J.M. Oxidative stress, thiols, and redox profiles. *Methods Mol.* 198 Biol. 889, 325-346 (2012). 199
- 4. Heinrich, T.A., da Silva, R.S., Miranda, K.M., Switzer, C.H., Wink, D.A., Fukuto, J.M. 200 Biological nitric oxide signalling: chemistry and terminology. Brit. J. Pharmacol. 169, 201 1417-1429 (2013). 202
- 203 5. Noctor, G., Foyer, C.H. Intracellular redox compartmentation and ROS-related
- communication in regulation and signaling. Plant Physiol. 171, 1581-1592 (2016). 204
- 6. Bücher, T., Sies, H., Metabolic interaction of mitochondrial and cytosolic systems in 205
- 206 rat liver. In Nover, L., Lynen, F., Mothes, K. eds. Cell Compartmentation and Metabolic Channeling. Jena, Germany, Fischer Verlag (1980). 207
- 7. Forman, H.J., Ursini, F., Maiorino, M. An overview of mechanisms of redox 208 signalling. Journal of Molecular and Cellular Cardiology 73, 2-9 (2014). 209
- 8. Rost, J., Rapoport, S. Reduction-potential of glutathione. Nature 201, 185 (1964). 210
- 9. Forman, H.J. Glutathione From antioxidant to post-translational modifier. Archives 211 of Biochemistry and Biophysics 595, 64-67 (2016).
- 10. Ghibelli, L., Coppola, S., Rotlilio, G., Lafavia, E., Maresca, V., Ciriolo, M.R. Non-213
- oxidative loss of glutathione in apoptosis via GSH extrusion. Biochem. Biophys. 214
- Res. Commun. 216, 313-320 (1995). 215

- 11. Hwang, C., Lodish, H.F., Sinskey, A.J. Measurement of glutathione redox state in 216
- cytosol and secretory pathway of cultured cells. Methods Enzymol. 251, 212-221 217 (1995). 218
- 12. Wu, G., Fang, Y.-Z., Yang, S., Lupton, J.R., Turner, N.D. Glutathione metabolism 219
- and its implications for health. J. Nutr. 134, 489-492 (2004). 220

- 13. Ghezzi, P., Philippe, C. Redox proteomics applied to the thiol secretome. *Antioxid. Redox Signal.* 26, 299-312 (2017).
- 14. Hancock, J.T. The role of redox mechanisms in cell signalling. *Mol. Biotech.* 43,
  162-166 (2009).
- 15. Hancock, J.T., Whiteman, M. Hydrogen sulfide and cell signaling: team player or
   referee? *Plant Physiol. Biochem.* **78**, 37-42 (2014).
- 16. Dai, C., Cui, W., Pan, J., Xie, Y., Wang, J., Shen, W. Proteomic analysis provides
   insights into the molecular bases of hydrogen gas-induced cadmium resistance in
   *Medicago sativa. J. Proteom.* **152**, 109-120 (2017).
- 230 17. Sen, N., Paul, B.D., Gadalla, M.M., Mustafa, A.K., Sen, T., Xu, R., Kim, S., Snyder,
- 231 S.H. Hydrogen sulfide-linked sulfhydration of NF-κB mediates its antiapoptotic
- actions. *Mol. Cell* **45**, 13-24 (2012).
- 18. Ohta, S. Chapter Fifteen Molecular hydrogen as a novel antioxidant: Overview of
- the advantages of hydrogen for medical applications. *Method Enzymol.* 555, 289317 (2015).
- 19. Schieber, M., Chandel, N.S. ROS function in redox signaling and oxidative stress.
   *Curr. Biol.* 24, R453-R462 (2014).
- 238 20. Birtic, S., Colville, L., Pritchard, H.W., Pearce, S.R., Kranner, I. Mathematically
- combined half-cell reduction potentials of low-molecular-weight thiols as markers of
  seed ageing. *Free Radic. Res.* 45, 1093-1102 (2011).
- 241 21. Bhatla, N., Horvitz, H.R. Light and hydrogen peroxide inhibit *C. elegans* feeding
- through gustatory receptor orthologs and pharyngeal neurons. *Neuron* 85, 804-818
  (2015).
- 244 22. Kamsler, A., Segal, M. Hydrogen peroxide modulation of synaptic plasticity. J.
- 245 *Neurosci.* **23**, 269-276 (2003).

- 23. Bolm, M., Jansen, W., Schnabel, R., Chhatwal, G. Hydrogen peroxide-mediated 246
- killing of Caenorhabditis elegans: a common feature of different Streptococcal 247 species. Infect. Immun. 72, 1192–1194 (2004). 248
- 24. Go, Y.-M., Jones, D.P. Redox compartmentalization in eukaryotic cells. Biochim. 249 Biophys. Acta 1780, 1273–1290 (2008). 250
- 25. Orenha, R.P., Galembeck, S.E. Molecular orbitals of NO, NO<sup>+</sup>, and NO<sup>-</sup>: A 251
- computational quantum chemistry experiment. J. Chem. Educ. 91, 1064–1069 252 (2014). 253
- 254 26. Hughes, M.N. Relationships between nitric oxide, nitroxyl ion, nitrosonium cation
- and peroxynitrite. Biochim, Biophys. Acta (BBA) Bioenergetics 1411, 263-272 255
- (1999). 256

- 27. Hancock, J.T., Desikan, R., Neill, S.J. Does the redox status of cytochrome c act as 257 a fail-safe mechanism in the regulation of programmed cell death? Free Radic. Biol. 258
- Med. 31, 697-703 (2001). 259
- 28. Jones, D.P., Carlson, J.L., Mody, V.C., Cai, J., Lynn, M.J., Sternberg, P. Redox 260
- state of glutathione in human plasma. Free Radic. Biol. Med. 28, 625-635 (2000). 261
- 29. Hutter, D.E., Till, B.G., Greene, J.J. Redox state changes in density-dependent 262 regulation of proliferation. Exper. Cell Res. 232, 435-438 (1997).
- 30. Kirlin, W.G., Cai, J., Thompson, S.A., Diaz, D., Kavanagh, T.J., Jones, D.P. 264
- Glutathione redox potential in response to differentiation and enzyme inducers. Free 265
- Radic. Biol. Med. 27, 1208-1218 (1999). 266
- 31. Jones, D.P., Maellaro, E., Slater, A.F.G., Orrenius, S. Effects of N-acetyl-L-cysteine 267
- in T-cell apoptosis are not mediated by increased cellular glutathione. Immunol. Lett. 268 **45**, 205-209 (1995). 269

- 270 32. Cai, J.Y., Wallace, D.C., Zhivotovsky, B., Jones, D.P. Separation of cytochrome c-
- 271 dependent caspase activation from thiol-disulfide redox change in cells lacking
- 272 mitochondrial DNA. *Free Radic. Biol Med.* **29**, 334-42 (2000).
- 33. Hwang, C., Sinskey, A.J., Lodish, H.F. Oxidised redox state of glutathione in the
  endoplasmic reticulum. *Science* 257, 1496-1502 (1992).
- 34. Koppenol, W.H., Butler, J. Energetics of interconversion reactions of oxyradicals.
  Adv. Free Rad. Biol. Med. 1, 91–131 (1985).
- 35. Holme, D.J., Peck, H. Analytical Biochemistry. Longman (1983).
- 36. Wells, W.W., Xu, D.P. Dehydroascorbate reduction. *J. Bioenerg. Biomembr.* 26,
  369-77 (1994).
- 280 37. Nicholls, D.G., Ferguson, S.J. *Bioinformatics* 2. London, Academic Press (1992).
- 38. Goodwin, T.W., Mercer, E.I., 1983. *Introduction to Plant Biochemistry*. Oxford,
  Pergamon Press.
- 39. Koppenol, W.H. The chemical reactivity of radicals. K.B. Wallace (Ed.), *Free Radical Toxicology*, Taylor and Francis, London, 3–14 (1997).
- 40. Stanbury, D.M. Reduction potentials involving inorganic free radicals in aqueous
  solution. *Adv. Inorgan. Chem.* 33, 69-138 (1989).
- 41. Bartberger, M.D., Liu, W., Ford, E., Miranda, K.M., Switzer, C., Fukuto, J.M.,
- Farmer, P.J., Wink, D.A., Houk, K.N. The reduction potential of nitric oxide (NO) and
- its importance to NO biochemistry. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A.* **99**, 10958-10963
- 290 (2002).
- 42. Li, Q., Lancaster, J.R. Jr. Chemical foundations of hydrogen sulfide biology. *Nitric Oxide* **35**, 21-34 (2013).
- 43. Krebs, H.A., Veech, R.L. Pyridine-nucleotide interrelations. In: Papa, S., Tager, J.M.,
- Slater, S.C. eds. *The energy level and metabolic control in mitochondria.* Bari:
- Adriatica Editrice, 329-382 (1969).

296	44. Moyle, J., Michell, P., 1973. The proton-translocating nicotinamide-adenine
297	dinuclotide (phosphate) transhydrogenase of rat liver mitochondria. Biochem. J. 132,
298	571-585 (1973).
299	
300	Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the University of the West of England,
301	Bristol, who financed the authors' time and literature sourcing for the preparation of this

302 manuscript.

303

- 304 **Competing interests statement.** The authors declare that they have no competing
- 305 financial interests.

306

Redox couple	Notes	Mid-point potential	Reference(s)	
		(m)/)		
		(1117)		
GSH/GSSG	$E^{0'}$ (pH=7.0)	-240	[8, 20]	
			[=, ==]	
GSH/GSSG	$E_{pH=7.4}$	-264	[2]	
GSH/GSSG	E al les	-299	[2]	
0011/0000		200	[4]	
Cys-bis-Gly/2 Cys Gly	E	-226	[20, 28]	
Cysteine/2 Cys	F <sup>0'</sup>	-226	[20, 28]	
0,000110/2 0y0	-	220		

### **Table 1:**

## **Redox couples which are instrumental in controlling the redox environment.**

2	1	З
9	-	

Cell type (proliferating)	E <sub>h pH7.4</sub> (mV)	Reference(s)	
Normal fibroblasts	-247	[29]	
Fibrosarcoma	-238	[29]	
Murine hybridoma	-235	[30, 31]	
Human lymphocytes	-237	[32]	
Jurkat	-240	[32]	
Murine hybridoma	-257	[33]	
Average	-242		
Cells proliferating	-242	[2]	
Cells differentiating	-200	[2]	
Cells under apoptosis	-170	[2]	
Liver cytosol	-390	[6]	

315	Table 2: Redox	potentials	of various cel	l environments	[2].
515		potontialo			L — J

Redox couple	e- (n=)	At -390 mV (liver cytosol)#	At -242 mV (proliferating)**	At -200 mV (differentiating)	At -170 mV (apoptotic)***	Comments/ Reference(s) for mid-point potentials			
-320##	2	4.3x10 <sup>-3</sup>	431.6	1.1x10 <sup>4</sup>	1.1x10⁵	Probably ~1:100. Bound to cytosolic binding sites ###			
O <sub>2</sub> /O <sub>2</sub> - -160	1	1.3x10 <sup>-4</sup>	4.1x10 <sup>-2</sup>	0.21	0.68	[34]			
O <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> +300	2	4.9x10 <sup>-24</sup>	4.9x10 <sup>-19</sup>	1.3x10 <sup>-17</sup>	1.3x10 <sup>-16</sup>	[34]			
O <sub>2</sub> <sup></sup> /H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> +940	1	3.4x10 <sup>-23</sup>	1.1x10 <sup>-20</sup>	5.5x10 <sup>-20</sup>	1.8x10 <sup>-19</sup>	[34]			
O <sub>2</sub> -/H <sub>2</sub> O +1200	3	1.9x10 <sup>-81</sup>	6.3x10 <sup>-74</sup>	8.6x10 <sup>-72</sup>	2.9x10 <sup>-70</sup>	Quoted as $[O_2^-]/[H_2O]^2$ [34]			
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> /H <sub>2</sub> O +1320	2	9.1x10 <sup>-49</sup>	9.1x10 <sup>-44</sup>	2.4x10 <sup>-42</sup>	2.5x10 <sup>-41</sup>	Quoted as [H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> ]/[H <sub>2</sub> O] <sup>2</sup> [34]			
Dehydroasco	rbic acid	/ascorbic acid							
+80	2	1.3x10 <sup>-16</sup>	1.3x10 <sup>-11</sup>	3.5x10 <sup>-10</sup>	3.6x10 <sup>-9</sup>	[35] [36]			
Cytochrome of	c (ferric/f	errous)	1 0 10 <sup>9</sup>		0 0 107				
+220	1	5.0x10-11	1.6x10⁵	8.0x10 <sup>-06</sup>	2.6x10 <sup>-7</sup>	[35]			
2H <sup>7</sup> /H <sub>2</sub> -420 (30°C)	2	10.3	1.0x10 <sup>6</sup>	2.7x10 <sup>7</sup>	2.8x10 <sup>8</sup>	Values quoted as [H <sup>+</sup> ] <sup>2</sup> /H <sub>2</sub>			
-413 (25°C)	2	6.0	6.0x10⁵	1.6x10 <sup>7</sup>	1.6x10 <sup>8</sup>	[38]			
OH /H <sub>2</sub> O +2310	1	2.5x10 <sup>-46</sup>	7.8x10 <sup>-44</sup>	4.0x10 <sup>-43</sup>	1.3x10 <sup>-42</sup>	[39]			
H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> /OH <sup>-</sup> (H <sub>2</sub> +320	C) 1	1.0x10 <sup>-12</sup>	3.2x10 <sup>-10</sup>	1.6x10 <sup>-9</sup>	5.3x10 <sup>-9</sup>	[39]			
NH₃ <sup>+</sup> /NH₃ +2130	1	2.7x10 <sup>-43</sup>	8.6x10 <sup>-41</sup>	4.4x10 <sup>-40</sup>	1.4x10 <sup>-39</sup>	[40]			
NO <sup>+</sup> /NO <sup>-</sup> +1210	1	9.4x10 <sup>-28</sup>	3.0-x10 <sup>-25</sup>	1.5x10 <sup>-24</sup>	4.9x10 <sup>-24</sup>	[39]			
NO <sup>-</sup> /NO <sup>-</sup> (sing -350	glet) 1	0.21	66.7	341.8	1097.8	[39]			
NO <sup>-</sup> /NO <sup>-</sup> (tripl +390	et) 1	6.7x10 <sup>-14</sup>	2.1x10 <sup>-11</sup>	1.0x10 <sup>-10</sup>	3.5x10 <sup>-10</sup>	39			
2NO <sup>-</sup> /N <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> - +650	1	2.7x10 <sup>-18</sup>	8.6x10 <sup>-16</sup>	4.4x10 <sup>-15</sup>	1.4x10 <sup>-14</sup>	Value quoted as $[NO^{-}]^{2}/[N_{2}O_{2}^{-}]$ [39]			
<sup>1</sup> O <sub>2</sub> /O <sub>2</sub> - +830	1	2.5x10 <sup>-21</sup>	7.8x10 <sup>-19</sup>	4.0x10 <sup>-18</sup>	1.3x10 <sup>-17</sup>	[39]			
ONOO <sup>-</sup> /NO <sub>2</sub> +1400	1	5 8x10 <sup>-31</sup>	1 8x10 <sup>-28</sup>	9 4x10 <sup>-28</sup>	3 0x10 <sup>-27</sup>	[39]			
NO <sub>2</sub> /NO <sub>2</sub>	1	0.0/10	1.0/10	0.1/10	0.0/10	[~~]			
+990	1	4.9x10 <sup>-24</sup>	1.5x10 <sup>-21</sup>	7.9x10 <sup>-21</sup>	2.5x10 <sup>-20</sup>	[39]			
+1040	1	7.0x10 <sup>-25</sup>	2.2x10 <sup>-22</sup>	1.1x10 <sup>-21</sup>	3.6x10 <sup>-21</sup>	[41]			
RO /ROH +1600	1	2.4x10 <sup>-34</sup>	7.7x10 <sup>-32</sup>	3.9x10 <sup>-31</sup>	1.3x10 <sup>-30</sup>	[39]			
RS <sup>-</sup> /RSH +900	1	1.6x10 <sup>-22</sup>	5.1x10 <sup>-20</sup>	2.6x10 <sup>-19</sup>	8.4x10 <sup>-19</sup>	[39]			
RSNO/RSH,	NO								
-400	1	1.4	466.5	2389.9	7676.0	[39]			
5/H25 -230	2	3.9	0.4	10.3	106.4	[42]			

### 318 **Table 3:**

### 319 Theoretical values of various redox ratios at proposed redox environments.

320 \* [2, 6, 43, 44]; \*\* Table 1; \*\*\* [2]; # [6, 43, 44]; ## [37]; ### [1,6].