

**Methane dynamics in an estuarine brackish *Cyperus malaccensis* marsh:
Production and porewater concentration in soils, and net emissions to the
atmosphere over five years**

**P. Yang^{a,b,c}, M. H. Wang^{a,b}, Derrick Y.F. Lai^{d,*}, K. P. Chun^e, J.F. Huang^{a,b,c}, S. A . Wan^{a,b}, D.
Bastviken^f, C . Tong^{a,b,c,*}**

^a *Key Laboratory of Humid Sub-tropical Eco-geographical Process of Ministry of Education of
China, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, China*

^b *School of Geographical Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, China*

^c *Research Centre of Wetlands in Subtropical Region, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou, China*

^d *Department of Geography and Resource Management, The Chinese University of Hong Kong,
Shatin, New Territories, Hong Kong SAR, China*

^e *Department of Geography, Hong Kong Baptist University, Kowloon Tong, Hong Kong, China*

^f *Department of Thematic Studies-Environmental Change, Linköping University, Linköping,
Sweden*

***Correspondence:** Chuan Tong

Phone: 086-0591-87445659

Email: tongch@fjnu.edu.cn

Fax: 086-0591-83465397

***Correspondence:** Derrick Y.F. Lai

Phone: 852-39436528

Email: dyflai@cuhk.edu.hk

Fax: 852-26035006

25 **ABSTRACT**

26 Wetlands can potentially affect global climate change through their role in modulating the
27 atmospheric concentrations of methane (CH₄). Their overall CH₄ emissions, however, remain the
28 greatest uncertainty in the global CH₄ budget. One reason for this is the paucity of long-term field
29 measurements to characterize the variability of CH₄ emissions from different types of wetlands.
30 In this study, we quantified CH₄ emissions from a brackish, oligohaline *Cyperus malaccensis*
31 marsh ecosystem in the Min River Estuary in southeast China over five years. Our results showed
32 substantial temporal variability of CH₄ emissions from this brackish marsh, with hourly fluxes
33 ranging from 0.7±0.6 to 5.1±3.7 mg m⁻² h⁻¹ (mean ± 1 SD) during the study period. The
34 inter-annual variability of CH₄ emissions was significantly correlated with changes in soil
35 temperature, precipitation and salinity, which highlighted the importance of long-term
36 observations in understanding wetland CH₄ dynamics. Distinct seasonal patterns in soil CH₄
37 production rates and porewater CH₄ concentrations also were observed, and were both positively
38 correlated with CH₄ emissions. The seasonal variations of CH₄ emissions and production were
39 highly correlated with salinity and porewater sulfate levels. The mean annual CH₄ efflux from our
40 site over the five-year period was 23.8±18.1 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹, indicating that subtropical brackish
41 tidal marsh ecosystems could release a large amount of CH₄ into the atmosphere. Our findings
42 further highlight the need to obtain high-frequency and continuous field measurements over the
43 long term at multiple spatial scales to improve our current estimates of wetland CH₄ emissions.

44 *Keywords:* Methane; Net emissions; Soil production; Porewater; Temporal variation; Estuarine
45 marsh

1. Introduction

The increasing worldwide concern over global climate change and its effects on environmental and human well-beings calls for a better understanding of the magnitude of global greenhouse gas emissions (Tong et al., 2010). Methane (CH₄) is a potent greenhouse gas with a global warming potential 34 times higher than that of CO₂ over a 100-year time scale, and contributes to approximately 20% of the global radiative forcing (IPCC, 2013). Global atmospheric CH₄ levels have increased by threefold since 1750, reaching 1845±2 ppb in 2015 (World Meteorological Organization, 2016). Quantifying the potential source strength of various ecosystems has become one of the top priorities for improving the future predictions of CH₄ emissions.

Wetlands are estimated to contribute 20–39% of the global CH₄ emissions (Laanbroek, 2010), with natural wetlands being the single largest source of CH₄. Over the past few decades, considerable efforts were made to quantify CH₄ emissions from different natural wetlands around the world (e.g. Bubier et al., 1994; Kutzbach et al., 2004; Hendriks et al., 2010; Tong et al., 2012). However, the majority of these field campaigns were carried out over a relatively short period of not more than two years, which provided little knowledge of the inter-annual variability of CH₄ emissions from most types of wetlands other than a few exceptions in northern wetlands, e.g. Song et al. (2009), Jackowicz-Korczyński et al. (2010), and Moore et al. (2011). Long-term observations over multiple seasons and years are critical for determining accurate ecosystem CH₄ budgets (Song et al., 2009). In addition, the availability of a long-term data set will improve ecosystem modelling by providing inputs for model calibration and validation, as well as insights on the key factors regulating wetland CH₄ emissions into the atmosphere (Tian et al., 2008; Song

68 [et al., 2009](#)).

69 Coastal wetlands, located at the interface between the terrestrial and marine environments,
70 are biogeochemically important ecosystems that span widely from the arctic to the tropical zones
71 ([Chmura et al., 2003](#); [Wang et al., 2016](#)). Previous studies have shown that the sediments in
72 coastal wetlands are generally small atmospheric sources ([Bartlett & Harriss, 1993](#); [Poffenbarger](#)
73 [et al., 2011](#); [Livesley & Andrusiak, 2012](#); [Koebsch et al., 2013](#)), or even weak sinks of CH₄ ([Sun](#)
74 [et al., 2013](#)). The low CH₄ source strength of coastal wetlands is mainly because of the relatively
75 high sulfate concentrations in marine waters, which favour the activities of sulfate-reducing
76 bacteria while at the same time hamper the metabolism of methanogens through intense
77 competition for substrates ([Poffenbarger et al., 2011](#); [Callaway et al., 2012](#); [Vizza et al., 2017](#)).
78 However, some short-term field studies provide evidence that large CH₄ emissions from wetlands
79 can occur even when sulfate reduction is a dominant process ([Lee et al., 2008](#); [Marín-Muñiz et al.,](#)
80 [2015](#); [Holm Jr. et al., 2016](#)). The high uncertainty associated with the magnitude and control of
81 CH₄ emissions from coastal wetlands could partly be related to the inherently dynamic
82 environment which introduces a large temporal variability of CH₄ fluxes that is not adequately
83 accounted for by some infrequent field measurements.

84 In this study, monthly CH₄ flux measurements were made in a subtropical tidal *Cyperus*
85 *malaccensis* (shichito matgrass) marsh in the Min River Estuary in southeast China over five
86 years between 2007-2009, and 2013-2014. We hypothesized that there would be significant
87 seasonal and inter-annual variability in CH₄ emissions, which implies that flux estimates would
88 be sensitive to the sampling frequency and study duration. We also investigated the temporal
89 correlations between several environmental variables with soil CH₄ production rate, porewater

90 CH₄ concentration, and net CH₄ emissions.

91 **2. Materials and methods**

92 *2.1. Site description*

93 This study was carried out in the Shanyutan wetland (26°00'36"–26°03'42" N,
94 119°34'12"–119°40'40" E), the largest tidal wetland area (ca. 3120 ha) in the Min River Estuary,
95 southeast China (Fig. 1). The Shanyutan wetland is influenced by a subtropical monsoonal
96 climate, with a mean annual temperature of 19.6 °C and an annual precipitation of 1350 mm
97 (Tong et al., 2010). The dominant vegetation species in the Shanyutan wetland included the
98 native *Cyperus malaccensis* and *Phragmites australis*, as well as the invasive *Spartina*
99 *alterniflora* (smooth cordgrass). The average height of *C. malaccensis* at the site was about 1.4 m.
100 The study site was characterized by semi-diurnal tides, such that the soil surface was submerged
101 for approximately 7 h over a 24 h cycle, and at other times, the soil surface was exposed to air
102 (Tong et al., 2010). The average salinity of the tidal water was 4.2±2.5‰ (Tong et al., 2010).

103 *2.2. Gas sampling and CH₄ flux estimation*

104 Net CH₄ emissions were measured in the intertidal zone in the mid-western part of the
105 Shanyutan wetland (26°01'46" N, 119°37'31" E), which was dominated by *C. malaccensis*, a
106 widespread plant species at the site. Triplicate 1 m x 1 m plots, with a distance of < 5 m between
107 plots, were established for regular measurement of CH₄ emissions in the *C. malaccensis* stand.
108 CH₄ flux measurements were carried out monthly from early January to early December in
109 2007–2009 and 2013–2014. A wooden boardwalk was built to facilitate access to the study plots
110 and minimize potential plot disturbance caused by field measurements. The wooden boardwalk
111 and the study plots were damaged during a major typhoon event in 2010, thus we built a new

boardwalk and established new plots adjacent to the damaged ones (< 15 m apart) in 2012. During 2013–2014, we continued with gas flux measurements at the new plots.

CH₄ flux measurements were made using static closed chambers and gas chromatography techniques (Hirota et al., 2004; Song et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2011; Marín-Muñiz et al., 2015) with gas samples collected during the neap tides in the morning. The static chamber consisted of two parts: a 30 cm tall stainless steel bottom collar (length and width of 50 × 50 cm in 2007-2009, and 35 × 35 cm in 2013-2014) and a polyvinyl chloride top chamber (length, width and height of 50 × 50 × 170 cm in 2007-2009, and 35 × 35 × 140 cm in 2013-2014). The bottom collar was inserted into the marsh soils, leaving only 2 cm above the soil surface, approximately 10 days prior to the first flux measurement, and was then left in place for the duration of the study. A fan was installed inside the chamber to mix the headspace air during gas sampling. During each flux measurement, headspace air samples were drawn into air sampling bags (Dalian Delin Gas Packing Co., Ltd., China) at 10-minute intervals over a total duration of 30 min in each sampling plot. The total number of gas samples collected per year was 144 (12 months × 4 time intervals × 3 sites). CH₄ concentrations in the gas samples were determined using a gas chromatograph (GC-2010, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan) equipped with a flame ionization detector (FID). The rate of CH₄ emission (mg m⁻² h⁻¹) was calculated based on the slope of the linear regression between CH₄ concentration in the chamber headspace and time. The annual (cumulative) CH₄ emissions (AE, g CH₄ m⁻²) (Song et al., 2009; Moore et al., 2011; Xiang et al., 2015) were calculated using Eq. (1):

$$AE = \sum MF_i \times D_i \times 24 \quad (1)$$

where MF_i is the CH₄ flux at the i th month of the year (mg CH₄ m⁻² h⁻¹), and D_i is the number of

days in the i th month of the year.

2.3. Measurement of soil CH₄ production rate

Soil CH₄ production in coastal wetlands has distinct spatio-temporal heterogeneity that could be related to variations in thermal conditions and other abiotic factors (e.g. soil moisture, soil substrate, etc.) (Segers, 1998; Vizza et al., 2017). To assess the variability of soil CH₄ production rates across different depths in our marsh, triplicate sediment cores were randomly collected down to a depth of 100 cm in January (winter), March (spring), July (summer), and October (autumn) of 2012. Intact soil cores were collected using a steel sediment sampler (i.d. = 5 cm), sub-divided into ten sections at 10 cm intervals in the field, and then kept on ice in coolers and transported to the laboratory within 6 h. The rate of soil CH₄ production was measured following the method of Wachinger et al. (2000). The chambers (5 cm inner diameter, 12 cm height) used for the anoxic incubation of soil cores were made of polyoxymethylene, which was gas-impermeable and inert to CH₄. Before the start of incubation, the chambers were flushed with N₂ gas for 15 min to create an anaerobic condition (Wassmann et al., 1998). The cores were then incubated at *in situ* temperatures, i.e. 10.2, 17.5, 27.5, and 21.5 °C for winter, spring, summer, and autumn, respectively, for a duration of 15 days. We collected 5 mL gas samples from the chamber using a syringe at three day intervals ($n = 5$) over the course of the incubation, with N₂ gas being added after each gas sampling to re-establish the ambient atmospheric pressure. The CH₄ concentrations in gas samples were analysed immediately by gas chromatograph. The CH₄ production rates ($\mu\text{g CH}_4 \text{ g}^{-1}$ (dry weight) day^{-1}) were calculated based on the rate of change in chamber headspace CH₄ concentrations over a 3-day period (Wassmann et al., 1998). The total number of incubations made over the study period was 120 (3 replicates $\times$ 4 seasons $\times$ 10

depths).

2.4. Porewater collection and analysis of dissolved CH_4 and SO_4^{2-} concentrations

Porewater was sampled using the method of *in situ* dialysis (Ding et al., 2003; Ding et al., 2004a). A series of porewater tubes (5 cm inner diameter) (Ding et al., 2003), with sampling depths of 0–5, 5–10, 10–15, 15–20 and 20–25 cm, were permanently installed adjacent to each CH_4 flux measurement plot, leaving a 5-cm protrusion above the soil surface. The top of each tube was sealed tightly with a cover. Porewater samples were collected in triplicate at each depth interval in January (winter), March (spring), July (summer), and October (autumn) of 2012 and 2013. During each sampling campaign, approximately 10 mL of soil porewater was extracted using a syringe and discarded. Another 10 mL of porewater was then collected and transferred into a 20 mL pre-evacuated vial that was filled with 10 mL of pure N_2 gas (Xiang et al., 2015). About 0.2 mL of HgCl_2 solution was further injected into the porewater samples to inhibit bacterial activities without affecting the solubility of CH_4 in water (Butler and Elkins, 1991). The porewater samples were stored at about 4 °C in a cooler and transported immediately to the laboratory within 24 h for analysis. The sample vials were shaken vigorously for 10 min to establish an equilibrium in CH_4 concentrations between the dissolved phase in porewater and the gaseous phase in headspace. The headspace CH_4 concentrations were determined by gas chromatograph, and the dissolved CH_4 concentrations ($\mu\text{mol CH}_4 \text{ L}^{-1}$) in porewater were then calculated following the methods of Johnson et al. (1990) and Zhang et al. (2010).

To determine porewater SO_4^{2-} concentrations across different soil depths, another triplicate soil cores were collected down to a depth of 100 cm were collected in January (winter), March (spring), July (summer) and October (autumn) of 2012. The cores were split into ten sub-samples

at 10 cm intervals, which were then immediately sealed in a valve bag, kept on ice in coolers, and transported to the laboratory within 6 h. Upon return to the laboratory, porewater was extracted from the soils at each depth interval by centrifugation at 5000 rpm for 10 min (Cence® L550). The porewater samples were filtered with 0.45 µm acetate fibre membranes, and the SO₄²⁻ concentrations were determined using the barium chromate colorimetric method. The soil SO₄²⁻ concentration data for the 90 and 100 cm depths during the winter were lost due to damage to the incubation chambers.

2.5. Measurement of environmental variables

During each sampling campaign, temperature (°C), pH, and electrical conductivity (EC; mS cm⁻¹) in the top 15 cm soils were measured at each site. Soil temperature and pH were determined *in situ* by using a handheld pH/mV/temperature meter (IQ150, IQ Scientific Instruments, Carlsbad, CA, USA), and soil EC was measured with a EC Meter (2265FS, Spectrum Technologies Inc., Phoenix, AZ, USA). Air temperature (°C) and rainfall were recorded by an automatic meteorological station (LSI-LASTEM, Italy) installed at the Min River Estuary Station of the China Wetland Ecosystem Research Network.

2.6. Data analysis and model formation

Data were log-transformed to approximate normal distributions when selected attributes were skewed. The coefficients of variation (CV) for CH₄ fluxes and environmental variables were calculated by dividing the standard deviation by the mean to determine the magnitude of interannual (among the 5 years) and interseasonal variability (among the 20 seasons observed) (Musavi et al., 2017). Two-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to explore whether seasonality, soil depths or their interaction have fixed effects on soil CH₄ production rates or

200 porewater CH₄ concentrations, with soil sulfate (SO₄²⁻) concentration being a covariate.

201 We recognised that the above formed statistical models in this study might not fit the
202 assumptions of ANOVA, rendering the formal inference based on the p-value of ANOVA
203 potentially unreliable. Apart from the ANOVA models, different mixed-effect models were also
204 used to investigate how soil depths were related to soil CH₄ production rates or porewater CH₄
205 concentrations, because it would be more feasible to model the variance structure of soil depths in
206 the mixed-effect model framework than ANOVA. Since the different models for soil depths were
207 not nested, likelihood ratio tests could not be used, and the Akaike information criterion (AIC)
208 was used instead for model comparison.

209 To take into account the possible spatial autocorrelations of soil CH₄ production rates or
210 porewater CH₄ concentrations down the soil profile, we also considered soil depth as a random
211 effect variable in the linear mixed-effect model using the lme function in the nlme package of R
212 ([Pinheiro et al., 2017](#)). Our results showed that the AIC values of models fitting soil depth as a
213 fixed factor for both soil CH₄ production rates and porewater CH₄ concentrations (158 and 55,
214 respectively) were significantly lower than those fitting soil depth as a random effect variable
215 (211 and 90, respectively). Hence, we only presented results obtained from the former models
216 fitting soil depth as a fixed factor, which performed slightly better than the mixed linear model.

217 Linear mixed-effect models were also used to test for differences in interseasonal variability
218 of CH₄ fluxes within sites after accounting for the possible effects of air temperature, soil
219 temperature, precipitation, soil pH and water salinity, with sampling year being fitted as a random
220 intercept to account for the repeated measures of other factors, i.e. interseasonal variability ~ air
221 temperature + soil temperature + precipitation + soil pH + salinity, random = ~ 1 | Year. Similarly,

linear mixed-effect models were used to test for the possible predictors of the variations in CH₄ flux, with the sampling site being selected as a random effect variable to account for the repeated measures in spatial CH₄ flux i.e., CH₄ flux ~ air temperature + soil temperature + precipitation + soil pH + salinity, random = ~ 1 | site. In order to test for temporal autocorrelation, we plotted autocorrelation function (ACF) and partial autocorrelation (PACF) plots of the residuals to help interpretation of the CH₄ flux data. Following Bader et al. (2013), we refitted a model including an autocorrelation function with a first-order autoregressive correlation structure (AR1), specified as “correlation = corAR1 (form=~ date | site)”, to account for the repeated measures on 60 different days at the three sites to model the violation of independence of residuals from different sampling days. Significant difference between models with and without AR1 was tested by the anova function in R, and the model with AR1 that showed a significantly lower AIC value was chosen. A variable selection with the fitted global models based on the AIC algorithm and a relative importance method were then used to quantify the contributions of the best predictors (the significant variables of the final model) of the variations in CH₄ flux and their interseasonal variability. For the model selection, we used the stepAIC() function in the R package “MASS”, accompanied by the calc.relimp() function with Lindeman-Merenda-Gold (LMG) relative importance method in the R package “relaimpo” (Musavi et al., 2017). The model with the lowest AIC value was chosen, and the relationship between the dependent variables and chosen predictors was further tested by Type II Wald’s test implemented in the R package “car”.

Besides, the differences in the mean values of environmental variables (precipitation, temperature, soil pH, and soil EC) over the five years were also examined by repeated measures analysis of variance (RMANOVA). For the dataset of each individual year, Pearson correlation

analysis was used to examine the relationships (1) between environmental variables and CH₄ emissions, soil CH₄ production rates, or porewater CH₄ concentrations, and (2) between CH₄ emissions and soil CH₄ production rates or porewater CH₄ concentrations. The interseasonal variability (ISV) of salinity and CH₄ fluxes was determined by dividing the standard deviation of the variables measured at triplicate sampling sites by the average value obtained in each individual season. Temperature sensitivity (Q_{10} value) of CH₄ emissions was calculated following the exponential regression model described by Tong et al. (2015) and Wang et al. (2015). All statistical analyses were performed using R version 3.4.1 (R Development Core Team 2017) and a P value of < 0.05 was considered statistically significant for multiple comparisons. All data were reported as mean $\pm$ 1 standard error (SE). All statistical graphs were generated using OriginPro 7.5 (OriginLab Corp. USA).

3. Results

3.1. Temporal variations in environmental variables

Figure 2 shows the temporal variations in soil temperature, pH, and EC, all of which showed similar patterns over time for the majority of the study periods. Considerably higher air and soil temperatures and lower EC were observed between May and September than in other months (Fig. 2). Soil pH showed no clear seasonal patterns but varied slightly among measurement events. Over the five-year period, the mean annual air temperatures were very close to the historical average of 22.2 °C (Table 1) while the monthly mean air temperatures followed the long-term historical patterns, with July and August usually being the warmest months and January and February the coldest (Fig. 2). Fig. S1 shows the monthly precipitation amounts over the five study years, which varied significantly both seasonally and inter-annually. Nearly half of

the annual rainfall occurred in summer, with several heavy rainfall events in July and August. Significantly higher annual precipitation was observed in 2013, while lower precipitation occurred in 2007 and 2009.

3.2. Dynamics of soil CH₄ production rates and porewater CH₄ concentrations

The range of average soil CH₄ production rates across all depths among the four seasons was large, spanning three orders of magnitude from 0.04 to 1.67 $\mu\text{g CH}_4 \text{ g}^{-1} \text{ day}^{-1}$. Soil CH₄ production rates varied significantly with season and soil depth ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2 and Fig. 3). The highest and lowest soil CH₄ production rates were observed in the summer and winter, respectively (Table 2 and Fig. 3). Significantly higher CH₄ production rates were observed from the topsoil (5–15 cm) as compared to other soil depths during the spring, summer, and autumn ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2 and Fig. 3), indicating a decreasing trend with depth. There were also significant interactions between seasons and soil depths in affecting soil CH₄ production rates ($P < 0.05$) (Table 2).

Fig. 4 shows the seasonal variations in dissolved CH₄ concentrations down the soil profile. Porewater CH₄ concentrations varied significantly with both depth and season ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2), ranging between 2 and 457 $\mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ (Fig. 3). We observed a significant, increasing trend of porewater CH₄ concentrations with depths (Table 2 and Fig. 4), and substantially higher CH₄ concentrations at all depths during the summer ($P < 0.01$) (Table 2 and Fig. 4).

3.3. Temporal variations in CH₄ emissions

3.3.1. Seasonal variations in CH₄ emissions

Across all years, the highest CH₄ emissions were observed between April and October (Fig. 5). Fluxes were generally low between November and March, except in 2013 in which the peak

of CH₄ emission occurred in December and January. When averaging the monthly fluxes over five years, a strong seasonal pattern in CH₄ emissions emerged, with generally low values in spring, a maximum in summer, and a minimum in winter (Fig. 6). Meanwhile, we observed considerable variations in both mean CH₄ fluxes (Table 1) and the timing of maximum emissions (Fig. 5) among different years. For example, the maximum CH₄ emissions occurred in May–June in 2013, but in August–October in 2014. Clear peaks of CH₄ emissions were not observed in 2007 and 2009, with only slightly higher fluxes being detected between April and October. Salinity was the most important factor governing the seasonal variability of CH₄ emissions (Table 3), with a significant positive correlation observed between the two (Fig. 7).

3.3.2. Inter-annual variations in CH₄ emissions

The coefficient of variation of annual mean CH₄ emissions over the five years was 67%, which implied a considerable inter-annual variability. Over the study period, the mean annual CH₄ emissions from the *C. malaccensis* marsh ranged between 0.71 and 5.10 mg CH₄ m⁻² h⁻¹, leading to annual cumulative emissions of 6.2–48.9 g CH₄ m⁻² (Fig. 5 and Table 1). Significantly lower and higher CH₄ effluxes were observed in 2007 and 2013, respectively, as compared to other years (Table 1). According to the AIC-based model selection, variations in CH₄ emissions were best predicted by soil temperature, precipitation and salinity (represented by EC) (Table 3), which independently explained 60.0% (positive effect), 21.7% (positive effect) and 18.2% (negative effect) of the variations, respectively (Fig. 7).

4. Discussion

4.1. Variability of soil CH₄ production rates and porewater CH₄ concentrations

Soil CH₄ production rates from our estuarine marsh demonstrated significant variations

310 down the soil profile (Table 2 and Fig. 3), with the highest rates occurring in the top soil layer
311 (5–15 cm depth) in all seasons except winter, which was in accordance with the results of
312 previous studies (van den Pol-van Dasselaar & Oenema, 1999; Liu et al., 2011; Knoblauch et al.,
313 2015). We found a negative correlation between soil CH₄ production rates and porewater SO₄²⁻
314 concentrations along the soil profile (Fig. S2). The higher porewater SO₄²⁻ concentrations in the
315 deeper soil layer can help the sulfate-reducing bacteria in outcompeting the methanogens for
316 substrates, thereby inhibiting CH₄ production at depth (van der Gon et al., 2001; Purdy et al.,
317 2003; Vizza et al., 2017). The vertical distribution of CH₄ production rates down the soil profile
318 might also be related to the differences in substrate quantity and quality. Previous studies in
319 wetlands have shown that soil CH₄ production rate increased with the availability of labile carbon
320 fractions (Updegraff et al., 1995; Liu et al., 2011; Inglett et al., 2012). A previous study
321 conducted at our site has shown that the majority of *C. malaccensis* root biomass was distributed
322 in the upper surface layer (Tong et al., 2011), which could provide an abundant supply of labile
323 carbon to support the metabolic activity of methanogens (Ström et al., 2012). On the other hand,
324 we found a significant increase in porewater CH₄ concentrations with depth, which was opposite
325 to the pattern of CH₄ production rates in the soil profile (Table 2 and Fig. 4). The concentration of
326 CH₄ in porewater is influenced by both CH₄ production and loss. In spite of a high CH₄
327 production rate in the top soils, we hypothesize that the lower porewater CH₄ concentration
328 observed could be related to the tidal actions, which are one of the key physical processes
329 shaping the biogeochemical processes in coastal wetlands (Tong et al., 2010). The top soil layers
330 were subjected to frequent tidal flushing, which could enhance CH₄ export to the tidal waters and
331 reduce the accumulation of CH₄ in porewater (Lee et al., 2008). In addition, the inflow of tidal

water would bring along a large amount of oxygen and SO_4^{2-} to the surface soils, thereby increasing the soil redox potential and promoting methanotrophy in the upper layers (Ding et al., 2003; Sun et al., 2013).

We observed distinct seasonal variations in soil CH_4 production rates with significantly higher values in the summer (Table 2 and Fig. 3), which were in accordance with the findings of previous studies (Bergman et al., 2000; Avery et al., 2003; Tong et al., 2012). Similarly, porewater CH_4 concentrations were found to be significantly higher during the summer season. It is generally acknowledged that CH_4 production rates would vary seasonally as a function of temperature (e.g. Segers, 1998; Inglett et al., 2011). In our study, soil temperature had an exponential relationship with soil CH_4 production rates (Fig. S3), and positive correlation with porewater CH_4 concentrations ($r = 0.662$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 24$), pointing to the positive impacts of temperature on microbial-mediated methanogenesis. Moreover, the amount of plant biomass in this wetland was found to be much higher in summer than in winter (Tong et al., 2011). The enhanced plant productivity and subsequently supply of labile carbon substrates through root exudation in the summer period would likely play a role in stimulating methanogenic activities (Whiting & Chanton, 1993; Bergman et al., 2000; Walter et al., 2001) and hence increasing the concentrations of CH_4 in soil porewater (Xiang et al., 2015). In addition, the increased freshwater discharge from the estuary in summer time provided a dilution effect that significantly reduced the salinity of tidal water, which would in part facilitate methanogenesis through reduced competition with sulfate-reducing bacteria (Sinke et al., 1992). We observed a significant and negative correlation between salinity and porewater CH_4 concentration ($r = -0.653$, $p < 0.01$, $n = 24$) that supported this hypothesis.

4.2. Temporal variations of CH₄ emissions

4.2.1. Seasonal variability

In this study, CH₄ emissions from the subtropical estuarine marsh varied considerably among different seasons. The seasonal mean CH₄ emissions over the five-year period were correlated significantly with both soil CH₄ production rates (0–20 cm depth) (Fig. S4) and porewater CH₄ concentrations (Fig. S5). As such, the seasonal pattern of CH₄ emission (Fig. 6) was highly similar to that of soil CH₄ production rates (Fig. 3) and porewater CH₄ concentrations (Fig. 4). This strong relationship was expected since a high CH₄ production rate in soils would increase the supply of CH₄ to soil porewater, and subsequently enhance net CH₄ emissions to the atmosphere owing to the steeper concentration gradient.

The seasonal variability of CH₄ emissions could be governed by the interactions of a number of environmental variables. Our results showed that salinity was one dominant factor controlling the variations of CH₄ flux among different seasons (Table 3 and Fig. 7b). Numerous studies have reported a significant reduction in CH₄ emissions from coastal wetlands with salinity (Bartlett et al., 1987; Magenheimer et al., 1996; Poffenbarger et al., 2011; Tong et al., 2012; Sun et al., 2013; Vizza et al., 2017). The significantly lower soil salinity (represented by EC) observed between May and September in our site would significantly hinder methanogenic activities owing to the presence of alternate electron acceptors (Welti et al., 2017). Salinity could also affect CH₄ production through its effects on extracellular enzyme activities and carbon mineralization rates (Chambers et al., 2013; Neubauer et al., 2013). Meanwhile, salinity might also affect methanotrophic activities directly or indirectly, which in turn alter the rate of CH₄ emissions from wetlands. Only few studies have thus far directly examined the mechanistic processes, i.e. CH₄

production and oxidation, involved in the suppression of net CH₄ flux by salinity (Vizza et al., 2017). Further studies should be carried out to explore the exact impacts of salinity on various biogeochemical processes in soils in affecting CH₄ dynamics.

Temperature was another important driver of the changes in CH₄ emissions from our *C. malaccensis* marsh, as shown by the strong relationships observed between air/soil temperature and CH₄ flux in individual years (Table 4). An increase in temperature could enhance CH₄ emissions by increasing methanogenic activities, stimulating root exudations (Song et al., 2009; Yvon-Durocher et al., 2014; Olsson et al., 2015), as well as facilitating plant-mediated CH₄ transport (Hosono and Nouchi, 1997). Meanwhile, we found that the temperature sensitivity of CH₄ flux varied considerably among different years over the study period, with $Q_{\text{air}10}$ and $Q_{\text{soil}10}$ values ranging from 2.46 to 5.30, and from 3.66 to 7.92, respectively (Fig. S6). Our results suggest that the estimation of long-term (multi-year) CH₄ emissions based on simple extrapolations of the relationships between temperature and CH₄ flux derived from short-term (< 1 year) measurements might not be reliable and introduce significant biases. Apart from temperature, the hydrologic conditions of the site could also affect CH₄ emissions by controlling the depths of the oxic and anoxic layers as well as soil redox potential (Dinsmore et al., 2009). The disproportionately high amount of precipitation received during the summer (Fig. S1) could favour the formation of a wetter and more anaerobic environment in the soils for methanogenesis (Lai et al., 2014). Furthermore, the total amount of plant biomass (aboveground + belowground) at our marsh site was found to vary significantly among seasons in the following order: summer > autumn > spring > winter (Tong et al., 2011), which could exert influences on the variability of plant-mediated CH₄ emissions via primary production and substrate supply.

Based on our five-year data set, we observed that peak CH₄ emissions generally occurred during the summer period when temperature was high and conductivity was low, which favored methanogenesis. Yet, the exact timing of peak CH₄ emissions varied from one year to another that could be partly related to the inter-annual variations in the timing of maximum monthly precipitation, which governed the extent of anaerobic conditions in soils. For instance, the timing of peak CH₄ emission coincided with that of maximum monthly precipitation in 2008 and 2014, which happened to be in the months of July and August, respectively. Yet, in 2013, the extremely high precipitation amount in July implied a lack of abundant sunlight during this period, which could hinder photosynthesis by marsh plants and the supply of labile carbon from photosynthates to soils for methanogenesis. Our results point to a need of carrying out more in-depth studies in future to disentangle the specific influences of various environmental factors on the seasonal variability of CH₄ emission in coastal marshes.

4.2.2. Inter-annual variability

In the present study, CH₄ emissions from the brackish *Cyperus malaccensis* marsh showed substantial inter-annual variability (Fig. 5 and Table 3). Previous studies have shown that the inter-annual variability of CH₄ emissions was governed by water table position (Moore et al., 2011), peat temperature (Shannon and White, 1994; Lai et al., 2014), and precipitation (Song et al., 2009). According to the AIC-based model selection, we found that soil temperature and salinity were the primary determinants of the inter-annual variability of CH₄ flux at our site (Table 3 and Fig. 7a). The effects of soil temperature and salinity could be related to the production of substrate precursors and methanogenic activity as described previously (Whalen et al., 2005; Dinsmore et al., 2009; Lai et al., 2014; Yvon-Durocher et al., 2014). In addition, we

found strong correlations between CH₄ flux and precipitation amount over the study period (Table 4). Among the five study years, the lowest annual mean precipitation was recorded in 2007, which was significantly lower than that in 2008, 2013 and 2014 (1362 vs. 1485-1890 mm, $p < 0.05$, Fig. S1). It was likely that the much lower CH₄ emission observed in 2007 was at least partly related to the significantly lower amount of precipitation received in this particularly dry year, which provided a more aerobic and less favorable environment for methanogenesis to take place. Other factors, such as primary productivity and water table depth, might also contribute to the inter-annual variations in CH₄ flux and deserve further investigations.

It is noteworthy that significantly higher CH₄ emissions were observed in 2013 and 2014 as compared to other years ($p < 0.05$; Table 1), which could be related to the increased discharge of nutrient-enriched effluents from the aquaculture ponds in the surrounding region. Starting from 2011, the conversion of natural tidal marshes into aquaculture ponds has become increasingly dominant in the Shanyutan wetland. A previous study estimated that about 29% of total nitrogen and 16% of total phosphorus added to the ponds in the form of feeds and fertilizers were actually assimilated by fish and shrimps during a production cycle (Avnimelech and Ritvo, 2003). The majority of added nitrogen and phosphorus would then eventually be discharged as effluents that are rich in particulate matters (e.g. uneaten feeds, faeces, phytoplankton) and dissolved nutrients (Jackson et al., 2004; Molnar et al., 2013) into the adjacent waterbodies, further stimulating microbially-mediated CH₄ emissions. Studies based on both laboratory incubations and field measurements have demonstrated the positive effects of exogenous nutrient loading on CH₄ production and emissions (Liu and Greaver, 2009; Chen et al., 2010). Hu et al. (2017) also reported that the addition of nitrogen strongly stimulated CH₄ emissions from the *C. malaccensis*

marsh in our study area.

4.3. Implications and further outlook

It is generally considered that coastal wetlands have a lower CH₄ emission rate than other natural wetlands owing to the inhibitory effect of high SO₄²⁻ concentrations (Bartlett et al., 1987; Ding et al., 2004b; Saarnio et al., 2009; Poffenbarger et al., 2011). However, we found that the mean annual CH₄ emission from our subtropical brackish marsh in the Min River Estuary was 23.8±18.1 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹, which was 1.8 times higher than the average of 13.3 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹ across China's natural wetlands (Wei and Wang, 2017), and also substantially higher than the annual CH₄ flux reported in the Atlantic blanket bogs (5.5–6.2 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Laine et al., 2007; Koehler et al., 2011), Finnish bogs (5.2–6.8 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Alm et al., 1999), as well as Swedish and Minnesota fens (12.0–19.5 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Shurpali et al., 1993; Rinne et al., 2007; Nilsson et al., 2008). The range of CH₄ emissions from our brackish marsh (6.19–48.86 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹) was also comparable to that from the coastal wetlands in South India (17.3–118.4 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Purvaja and Ramesh, 2001), freshwater marshes in Europe (10–90 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Saarnio et al., 2009), and freshwater marshes in China (4.9–94.1 g CH₄ m⁻² yr⁻¹) (Ding et al., 2004b). Our results suggest that subtropical estuarine brackish marshes could be important sources of atmospheric CH₄ and thus should not be overlooked in greenhouse gas accounting for their contributions to global climate change.

Numerous efforts have been made to estimate regional CH₄ emissions from coastal wetlands by extrapolating short-term (< 2 year) field measurements to longer periods in a given area (e.g. Purvaja and Ramesh, 2001; Ding et al., 2004b; Saarnio et al., 2009; Ortiz-Llorente and Alvarez-Cobelas, 2012). However, our results show that CH₄ emissions from the estuarine

marshes had strong inter-annual variability, which suggest a high uncertainty of regional estimates of CH₄ emissions relying only on short-term measurements. It is of paramount importance to take into account long-term observations in order to reduce the uncertainty of CH₄ flux estimations and improve our understanding of the impacts of wetlands on the atmospheric CH₄ balance. Moreover, most of the existing process-based models used for estimating CH₄ flux from coastal wetlands fail to consider the influence of salinity (Li et al., 2016). In this study, we observed a significant and strong relationship between salinity and CH₄ emissions (Table 3 and Table 4), indicating that the interactions between temperature, salinity, and other biotic/abiotic factors should be addressed comprehensively to improve the current CH₄ flux models.

It should be noted that the findings of this study were limited by several uncertainties, which could be associated with the following aspects: (1) single time-point measurements do not fully capture the episodic and high magnitude events of CH₄ release; (2) a limited number of flux measurement sites results in a lack of adequate spatial representation; (3) chamber measurement problems, such as changes in temperature; and (4) the lack of CH₄ emission observations during flood tide. Future research programs will thus need to increase the frequency of sampling *in situ* for longer periods and at different spatial scales as well as include innovative techniques (e.g. eddy covariance tower) in order to measure CH₄ releases from coastal marshes.

5. Conclusions

Our long-term measurements of CH₄ emissions from the subtropical estuarine brackish *C. malaccensis* marsh ecosystem in the Min River Estuary, southeast China over five years revealed strong inter-annual and seasonal variabilities of CH₄ fluxes. The temporal variations in CH₄ emissions from our marsh were mainly related to the variations in soil temperature and salinity,

while the potential roles of primary productivity and precipitation should not be overlooked. Our results suggest that long-term, high-frequency observation of CH₄ emissions is essential for making reliable flux estimates from the coastal marshes. In addition, the significant relationships among soil CH₄ production rates, porewater CH₄ concentrations, and net CH₄ emissions observed in this study highlight the great potential in successfully simulating these processes using biogeochemical models once the influences of key environmental factors are properly quantified.

Acknowledgements

This research was supported financially by the National Science Foundation of China (nos. 41801070, 41877335, and 41671088), the Program for Innovative Research Team at Fujian Normal University (IRTL1205), the Key Sciences and Technology Project of Fujian Province (2014R1034-1), the Study-Abroad Grant Project for Graduates of the School of Geographical Sciences, and the Graduated Student Science and Technology Innovation Project of the School of Geographical Science, Fujian Normal University (GY201601). D. Bastviken was funded by the Swedish Council VR, Linköping University, and the European Research Council ERC (grant no. 725546). We thank Prof. Margaret Oliver and Dr. Carmella Vizza for their valuable comments and suggestions. We would like to thank Chuan-yu Gao of the Northeast Institute of Geography and Agroecology, Chinese Academy of Sciences, for his technical support.

References

- Alm, J., Saarnio, S., Nykänen, H., Silvola, J., Martikainen, P., 1999. [Winter CO₂, CH₄ and N₂O fluxes on some natural and drained boreal peatlands. *Biogeochemistry*, 44, 163–186.](#)
- Avery, G.B., Shannon, R.D., White, J.R., Martens, C.S., Alperin, M.J., 2003. [Controls on methane production in a tidal freshwater estuary and a peatland: methane production via acetate fermentation and CO₂ reduction. *Biogeochemistry* 62, 19–37.](#)

Avnimelech, Y., Ritvo, G., 2003. Shrimp and fish pond soils: processes and management. *Aquaculture* 220, 549–567.

Bader, M.K.F., Leuzinger, S., Keel, S.G., Siegwolf, R.T.W., Hagedorn, F., Schleppi, P., Körner, C., 2013. Central European hardwood trees in a high-CO₂ future: Synthesis of an 8-year forest canopy CO₂ enrichment project. *J. Ecol.* 101(6), 1509–1519.

Bartlett, K.B., Bartlett, D.S., Harriss, R.C., Sebacher, D.I., 1987. Methane emission along a salt marsh salinity gradient. *Biogeochemistry* 4, 183–202.

Bartlett, K.B., Harriss, R.C., 1993. Review and assessment of methane emissions from wetlands. *Chemosphere* 26, 261–320.

Bergman, I., Klarqvist, M., Nilsson, M., 2000. Seasonal variation in rates of methane production from peat of various botanical origins: effects of temperature and substrate quality. *FEMS Microbiol. Ecol.* 33, 181–189.

Bubier, J.L., Moore, T.R., 1994. An ecological perspective on methane emissions from northern wetlands. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 9, 460–464.

Butler, J.H., Elkins, J.W., 1991. An automated technique for the measurement of dissolved N₂O in natural waters. *Mar. Chem.* 34, 47–61.

Callaway, J.C., Borgnis, E.L., Turner, R.E., Milan, C.S., 2012. Carbon sequestration and sediment accretion in San Francisco Bay tidal wetlands. *Estuar. Coast.* 35, 1163–1181.

Chambers, L.G., Osborne, T.Z., Reddy, K.R., 2013. Effect of salinity-altering pulsing events on soil organic carbon loss along an intertidal wetlands gradient: a laboratory experiment. *Biogeochemistry* 115, 363–383.

Chen, G.C., Tam, N.F.Y., Ye, Y., 2010. Summer fluxes of atmospheric greenhouse gases N₂O, CH₄ and CO₂ from mangrove soil in South China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 408, 2761–2767.

Chmura, G.L., Anisfeld, S.C., Cahoon, D.R., Lynch, J.C., 2003. Global carbon sequestration in tidal, saline wetland soils. *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 17(4), 1111, doi:10.1029/2002GB001917.

Dinsmore, K.J., Skiba, U.M., Billett, M.F., Rees, R.M., 2009. Effect of water table on greenhouse gas emissions from peatland mesocosms. *Plant Soil* 318, 229–242.

Ding, W.X., Cai, Z.C., Tsuruta, H., 2004a. Diel variation in methane emissions from the stands of *Carex lasiocarpa* and *Deyeuxia angustifolia* in a cool temperate freshwater marsh. *Atmos. Environ.* 38, 181–188.

Ding, W.X., Cai, Z.C., Wang, D.X., 2004b. Preliminary budget of methane emissions from natural wetlands in China. *Atmos. Environ.* 38, 751–759.

Ding, W.X., Cai, Z.C., Tsuruta, H., Li, X.P., 2003. Key factors affecting spatial variation of methane emissions

540 from freshwater marshes. *Chemosphere* 51, 167–173.

541 Hendriks, D.M.D, van Huissteden, J., Dolman, A.J., 2010. Multi-technique assessment of spatial and temporal
542 variability of methane fluxes in a peat meadow. *Agr. Forest Meteorol.* 150, 757–774.

543 Hirota, M., Tang, Y.H, Hu, Q.W, Hirata, S., Kato, T., Mo, W.H, Cao, G.M., Mariko, S., 2004. Methane
544 emissions from different vegetation zones in a Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau wetland. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 36,
545 737–748.

546 Holm Jr, G.O., Perez, B.C., McWhorter, D.E., Krauss, K.W., Johnson, D.J., Raynie, R.C., Killebrew, C.J., 2016.
547 Ecosystem level methane fluxes from tidal freshwater and brackish marshes of the Mississippi River Delta:
548 implications for coastal wetland carbon projects. *Wetlands* 36, 401–413.

549 Hosono, T., Nouchi, I., 1997. The dependence of methane transport in rice plants on the root zone temperature.
550 *Plant Soil* 191, 233–240.

551 Hu, M.J., Wilson, B.J., Sun, Z.G., Ren, P., Tong, C., 2017. Effects of the addition of nitrogen and sulfate on
552 CH₄ and CO₂ emissions, soil, and pore water chemistry in a high marsh of the Min River estuary in
553 southeastern China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 579, 292–304.

554 Inglett, K.S., Inglett, P.W., Reddy, K.R, Osborne, T.Z., 2012. Temperature sensitivity of greenhouse gas
555 production in wetland soils of different vegetation. *Biogeochemistry* 108, 77–90.

556 IPCC. 2013 In: Stocker, T.F, Qin, D., Plattner, G.K., Tignor, M., Allen, S.K., Boschung, J., Nauels, A., Xia, Y.,
557 Bex, V., Midgley, P.M., (Eds.). *Climate Change 2013: the Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working*
558 *Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.* Cambridge
559 *University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA.*

560 Jackowicz-Korczyński, M., Christensen, T.R., Bäckstrand, K., Crill, P., Friborg, T., Mastepanov, M., Ström, L.,
561 2010. Annual cycle of methane emission from a subarctic peatland. *J. Geophys. Res-Bioge.* 115, G02009,
562 doi:10.1029/2008JG000913.

563 Jackson, C., Preston, N., Thompson, P., 2004. Intake and discharge nutrient loads at three intensive shrimp
564 farms. *Aquac. Res.* 35, 1053–1061.

565 Johnson, K.M., Hughes, J.E., Donaghay, P.L., Sieburth, J.M., 1990. Bottle-calibration static head space method
566 for the determination of methane dissolved in seawater. *Anal. Chem.* 62, 2408–2412.

567 Knoblauch, C., Spott, O., Evgrafova, S., Kutzbach, L., Pfeiffer, E.M., 2015. Regulation of methane production,
568 oxidation, and emission by vascular plants and bryophytes in ponds of the northeast Siberian polygonal
569 tundra. *J. Geophys. Res-Bioge.* 120, 2525–2541.

570 Koebsch, F., Glatzel, S., Jurasinski, G., 2013. Vegetation controls methane emissions in a coastal brackish fen.

571 Wetl. Ecol. Manag. 21, 323–337.

572 Koehler, A.K, Sottocornola, M., Kiely, G., 2011. [How strong is the current carbon sequestration of an Atlantic](#)
573 [blanket bog?](#). *Global Change Biol.* 17, 309–319.

574 Kutzbach, L., Wagner, D., Pfeiffer, E.M., 2004. [Effect of microrelief and vegetation on methane emission from](#)
575 [wet polygonal tundra, Lena Delta, Northern Siberia.](#) *Biogeochemistry* 69, 341–362.

576 Laanbroek, H.J. 2010. [Methane emission from natural wetlands: interplay between emergent macrophytes and](#)
577 [soil microbial processes. A mini-review.](#) *Ann. Bot.* 105(1), 141–153.

578 Lai, D.Y.F., Moore, T.R., Roulet, N.T., 2014. [Spatial and temporal variations of methane flux measured by](#)
579 [autochambers in a temperate ombrotrophic peatland.](#) *J. Geophys. Res-Bioge.* 119, 864–880.

580 Laine, A., Wilson, D., Kiely, G., Bryne, K.A., 2007. [Methane flux dynamics in an Irish lowland blanket bog.](#)
581 [Plant Soil](#), 299, 181–193.

582 Lee, R.Y., Porubsky, W.P., Feller, I.C., McKee, K.L., Joye, S.B., 2008. [Porewater biogeochemistry and soil](#)
583 [metabolism in dwarf red mangrove habitats \(Twin Cays, Belize\).](#) *Biogeochemistry* 87, 181–198.

584 Li, T.T., Xie, B.H., Wang, G.C., Zhang, W., Zhang, Q., Vesala, T., Raivonen, M., 2016. [Field-scale simulation](#)
585 [of methane emissions from coastal wetlands in China using an improved version of CH4MOD_{wetland}.](#) *Sci.*
586 [Total Environ.](#) 559, 256–267.

587 Liu, D.Y., Ding, W.X., Jia, Z.J., Cai, Z.C., 2011. [Relation between methanogenic archaea and methane](#)
588 [production potential in selected natural wetland ecosystems across China.](#) *Biogeosciences* 8, 329–338.

589 Livesley, S.J., Andrusiak, S.M., 2012. [Temperate mangrove and salt marsh sediments are a small methane and](#)
590 [nitrous oxide source but important carbon store.](#) *Estuar. Coast. Shelf S.* 97, 19–27.

591 Marín-Muñiz, J.L., Hernández, M.E., Moreno-Casasola, P., 2015. [Greenhouse gas emissions from coastal](#)
592 [freshwater wetlands in Veracruz Mexico: Effect of plant community and seasonal dynamics.](#) *Atmos.*
593 [Environ. 107, 107–117.](#)

594 Magenheimer, J.F., Moore, T.R., Chmura, G.L., Daoust, R.J., 1996. [Methane and carbon dioxide flux from a](#)
595 [macrotidal salt marsh, Bay of Fundy, New Brunswick.](#) *Estuaries* 19, 139–145.

596 Molnar, N., Welsh, D.T., Marchand, C., Deborde, J., Meziane, T., 2013. [Impacts of shrimp farm effluent on](#)
597 [water quality, benthic metabolism and N-dynamics in a mangrove forest \(New Caledonia\).](#) *Estuar. Coast.*
598 [Shelf S.](#) 117, 12–21.

599 Moore, T.R., De Young, A., Bubier, J.L., Humphreys, E.R., Lafleur, P.M., Roulet, N.T., 2011. [A multi-year](#)
600 [record of methane flux at the Mer Bleue Bog, southern Canada.](#) *Ecosystems* 14, 646–657.

601 Musavi, T., Migliavacca, M., Reichstein, M., Kattge, J., Wirth, C., Black, T.A., Janssens, I., Knohl, A., Loustau,

602 D., Roupsard, O., Varlagin, A., Rambal, S., Cescatti, A., Gianelle, D., Kondo, H., Tamrakar, R., Mahecha,
603 M.D., 2017. Stand age and species richness dampen interannual variation of ecosystem-level
604 photosynthetic capacity. *Nat. Ecol. Evol.* 1, 0048.

605 Neubauer, S.C., Franklin, R.B., Berrier, D.J., 2013. Saltwater intrusion into tidal freshwater marshes alters the
606 biogeochemical processing of organic carbon. *Biogeosciences* 10, 8171-8183.

607 Nilsson, M., Sagerfors, J., Buffam, I., Laudon, H., Eriksson, T., Grelle, A., Klemetsson, L., Weslien, P.,
608 Lindroth, A., 2008. Contemporary carbon accumulation in a boreal oligotrophic minerogenic mire—a
609 significant sink after accounting for all C-fluxes. *Global Change Biol.* 14, 2317–2332.

610 Olsson, L., Ye, S., Yu, X., Wei, M., Krauss, K.W., Brix, H., 2015. Factors influencing CO₂ and CH₄ emissions
611 from coastal wetlands in the Liaohe Delta, Northeast China. *Biogeosciences* 12, 4965–4977.

612 Ortiz-Llorente, M.J., Alvarez-Cobelas, M., 2012. Comparison of biogenic methane emissions from unmanaged
613 estuaries, lakes, oceans, rivers and wetlands. *Atmos. Environ.* 59, 328–337.

614 Poffenberger, H.J., Needelman, B.A., Megonigal, J.P., 2011. Salinity influence on methane emissions from
615 tidal marshes. *Wetlands* 31, 831–842.

616 Purdy, K., Nedwell, D., Embley, T., 2003. Analysis of the sulfate-reducing bacterial and methanogenic archaeal
617 populations in contrasting Antarctic sediments. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 69, 3181–3191.

618 Purvaja, R. & Ramesh, R. 2001. Natural and anthropogenic methane emission from coastal wetlands of South
619 India. *Environ. Manage.* 27, 547–557.

620 R Development Core Team. 2017. R: A language and Environment for Statistical Computing. *R Foundation for*
621 *Statistical Computing*, Vienna. ISBN 3-900051-07-0. URL <http://www.R-project.org> [accessed 12
622 December 2017]

623 Rinne, J., Riutta, T., Pihlatie, M., Aurela, M., Haapanala, S., Tuovinen, J.P., Tuittila, E.S., Vesala, T., 2007.
624 Annual cycle of methane emission from a boreal fen measured by the eddy covariance technique. *Tellus B*
625 59, 449–457.

626 Saarnio, S., Winiwarter, W., Leitão, J., 2009. Methane release from wetlands and watercourses in Europe.
627 *Atmos. Environ.* 43, 1421–1429.

628 Segers, R., 1998. Methane production and methane consumption: a review of processes underlying wetland
629 methane fluxes. *Biogeochemistry* 41, 23-51.

630 Shannon, R.D., White, J.R., 1994. A three-year study of controls on methane emissions from two Michigan
631 peatlands. *Biogeochemistry* 27, 35–60.

632 Shurpali, N.J., Verma, S.B., Clement, R.J., Billesbach, D.P., 1993. Seasonal distribution of methane flux in a

633 Minnesota peatland measured by eddy correlation. *J. Geophys. Res-Atmos.* 98, 20649–20655.

634 Sinke, A.J.C., Cornelese, A.A., Cappenberg, T.E., Zehnder, A.J.B., 1992. Seasonal variation in sulfate
635 reduction and methanogenesis in peaty sediments of eutrophic Lake Loosdrecht, The Netherlands.
636 *Biogeochemistry* 16, 43–61.

637 Song, C.C., Xu, X.F., Tian, H.Q., Wang, Y.Y., 2009. Ecosystem-atmosphere exchange of CH₄ and N₂O and
638 ecosystem respiration in wetlands in the Sanjiang Plain, Northeastern China. *Global Change Biol.* 15,
639 692–705.

640 Ström, L., Tagesson, T., Mastepanov, M., Christensen, T.R., 2012. Presence of *Eriophorum scheuchzeri*
641 enhances substrate availability and methane emission in an Arctic wetland. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 45, 61–70.

642 Sun, Z.G., Wang, L.L., Tian, H.Q., Jiang, H.H., Mou, X.J., Sun, W.L., 2013. Fluxes of nitrous oxide and
643 methane in different coastal *Suaeda salsa* marshes of the Yellow River estuary, China. *Chemosphere* 90,
644 856–865.

645 Tian, H.Q., Xu, X.F., Zhang, C., Ren, W., Chen, G.S., Liu, M.L., Lu, D.S., Pan, S.F., 2008. Forecasting and
646 assessing the large-scale and long-term impacts of global environmental change on terrestrial ecosystems
647 in the United States and China. In: *Real World Ecology: Large-scale and Long-term Case Studies and*
648 *Methods* (eds Miao S, Carstenn S, Nungesser M), Springer, New York, USA.

649 Tong, C., Wang, W.Q., Zeng, C.S., Marrs, R., 2010. Methane (CH₄) emissions from a tidal marsh in the Min
650 River estuary, southeast China. *J. Environ. Sci. Heal. A* 45, 506-516.

651 Tong, C., Wang, W.Q., Huang, J.F., Gauci, V., Zhang, L.H., Zeng, C.S., 2012. Invasive alien plants increase
652 CH₄ emissions from a subtropical tidal estuarine wetland. *Biogeochemistry* 111, 677–693.

653 Tong, C., Zhang, L.H., Wang, W.Q., Gauci, V., Marrs, R., Liu, B.G., Jia, R.X., Zeng, C.S., 2011. Contrasting
654 nutrient stocks and litter decomposition in stands of native and invasive species in a sub-tropical estuarine
655 marsh. *Environ. Res.* 111, 909–916.

656 Tong, C., Wang, C., Huang, J.F., Wang, W.Q., E, Y., Yao, C., 2015. Ecosystem respiration does not differ
657 before and after tidal inundation in brackish marshes of the Min River estuary, southeast China. *Wetlands*
658 34, 225–233.

659 Updegraff, K., Pastor, J., Bridgham, S.D., Johnston, C.A., 1995. Environmental and substrate controls over
660 carbon and nitrogen mineralization in northern wetlands. *Ecol. Appl.* 5, 151–163.

661 van den Pol-van Dasselaar, A., Oenema, O., 1999. Methane production and carbon mineralisation of size and
662 density fractions of peat soils. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 31, 877–886.

663 van der Gon, H.A.D., van Bodegom, P.M., Wassmann, R., Lantin, R.S., Metra-Corton, T.M., 2001.

Sulfate-containing amendments to reduce methane emissions from rice fields: mechanisms, effectiveness and costs. *Mitig. Adapt. Strat. Gl.* 6, 71–89.

Vizza, C., West, W.E., Jones, S.E., Hart, J.A., Lamberti G.A., 2017. Regulators of coastal wetland methane production and responses to simulated global change. *Biogeosciences* 14, 431–446.

Wachinger, G., Fiedler, S., Zepp, K., Gattinger, A., Sommer, M., Roth, K., 2000. Variability of sediment methane production on the micro-scale: spatial association with hot spots of organic material and Archaeal populations. *Soil Biol. Biochem.* 32, 1121–1130.

Walter, B.P., Heimann, M., Matthews, E., 2001. Modeling modern methane emissions from natural wetlands: 1. Model description and results. *J. Geophys. Res-Atmos.* 106, 34189–34206.

Wang, C., Lai, D.Y.F., Tong, C., Wang, W.Q., Huang, J.F., Zeng, C.S., 2015. Variations in temperature sensitivity (Q_{10}) of CH₄ emission from a subtropical estuarine marsh in southeast China. *PLOS ONE*, 10(5), e0125227.

Wang, H.T., Liao, G.S., Souza, M.D., Yu, X.Q., Yang, J., Yang, X.R., Zheng, T.L., 2016. Temporal and spatial variations of greenhouse gas fluxes from a tidal mangrove wetland in Southeast China. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. R.* 23, 1873–1885.

Wassmann, R., Neue, H.U., Bueno, C., Lantin, R.S., Alberto, M.C.R., Buendia, L.V., Bronson, K., Papen, H., Rennenberg, H., 1998. Methane production capacities of different rice soils derived from inherent and exogenous substrates. *Plant Soil*, 203, 227–237.

Wei, D., Wang, X.D., 2017. Uncertainty and dynamics of natural wetland CH₄ release in China: Research status and priorities. *Atmos. Environ.* 154, 95–105.

Welti, N., Hayes, M., Lockington, D., 2017. Seasonal nitrous oxide and methane emissions across a subtropical estuarine salinity gradient. *Biogeochemistry* 132, 55–69.

Whalen, S.C. 2005. Biogeochemistry of methane exchange between natural wetlands and the atmosphere. *Environ. Eng. Sci.* 22, 73–94.

Whiting, G.J., Chanton, J.P., 1993. Primary production control of methane emission from wetlands. *Nature* 364, 794–795.

World Meteorological Organization, 2016. WMO Greenhouse Gas Bulletin No.12 (October 2016). https://library.wmo.int/opac/doc_num.php?explnum_id=3084. pdf.

Xiang, J., Liu, D.Y., Ding, W.X., Yuan, J.J., Lin, Y.X., 2015. Invasion chronosequence of *Spartina alterniflora* on methane emission and organic carbon sequestration in a coastal salt marsh. *Atmos. Environ.* 112, 72–80.

695 Yvon-Durocher, G., Allen, A.P., Bastviken, D., Conrad, R., Gudas, C., St-Pierre, A., Thanh-Duc, N., del
696 Giorgio, P.A., 2014. [Methane fluxes show consistent temperature dependence across microbial to](#)
697 [ecosystem scales. Nature 507, 488–491.](#)

698 Zhang, Y.H., Ding, W.X., Cai, Z.C., Valerie, P., Han, F.X., 2010. [Response of methane emission to invasion of](#)
699 [Spartina alterniflora and exogenous N deposition in the coastal salt marsh. Atmos. Environ. 44,](#)
700 [4588–4594.](#)