Investigating the variability in mild traumatic brain injury definitions: a prospective
 cohort study

Louise M Crowe<sup>a-c</sup> PhD, Stephen Hearps<sup>a</sup> PGDipPsych, Vicki Anderson<sup>a-d</sup> PhD, 3 Meredith Borland<sup>e-f</sup> MBBS, Natalie Phillips<sup>g</sup> MBBS, Amit Kochar<sup>h</sup> MD, Sarah 4 Dalton<sup>i</sup> BMed, John A. Cheek<sup>a,j-k</sup> MBBS, Yuri Gilhotra<sup>g</sup> MBBS, Jeremy Furyk<sup>1</sup> 5 MBBS, Jocelyn Neutze<sup>m</sup> MBChB, Mark D. Lyttle MBChB,<sup>a,n-o</sup> Silvia Bressan<sup>a,p</sup> 6 PhD, Susan Donath<sup>a,d</sup> MA, Charlotte Molesworth<sup>a</sup> MBiostat, Ed Oakley<sup>a,d,j</sup> MBBS, 7 Stuart R Dalziel<sup>q-r</sup> PhD and Franz E Babl<sup>a,d,j</sup> MD. 8 <sup>a</sup>Clinical Sciences, Murdoch Children's Research Institute, Melbourne; <sup>b</sup>Melbourne 9 10 School of Psychological Science, University of Melbourne, Melbourne; Psychology 11 Department, Royal Children's Hospital, Melbourne; <sup>d</sup>Department of Paediatrics, 12 University of Melbourne, Melbourne; <sup>e</sup>Emergency Department, Princess Margaret 13 Hospital for Children, Perth; <sup>f</sup>Schools of Paediatrics and Child Health and Primary, 14 Aboriginal and Rural Healthcare, University of Western Australia, Perth; <sup>g</sup>Emergency 15 Department, Lady Cilento Children's Hospital, Brisbane; <sup>h</sup>Emergency Department, Women's & Children's Hospital, Adelaide; <sup>i</sup>Emergency Department, The Children's 16 17 Hospital at Westmead, Sydney; <sup>j</sup>Emergency Department, Royal Children's Hospital, 18 Melbourne; <sup>k</sup>Monash Medical Centre, Melbourne; <sup>l</sup>Emergency Department, The 19 Townsville Hospital, Townsville; <sup>m</sup>Emergency Department, Kidzfirst Middlemore 20 Hospital, Auckland; "Bristol Royal Hospital for Children, Bristol; "Academic 21 Department of Emergency Care, University of the West of England, Bristol; 22 <sup>p</sup>Department of Women's and Children's Heath, University of Padova, Padova; 23 <sup>q</sup>Starship Children's Health, Auckland, <sup>r</sup>Liggins Institute, University of Auckland, 24 Auckland.

1	Elements of this study were presented as a poster at the Pediatric Academy of Science
2	Meeting in San Francisco, May 2017.
3	Funding: National Health and Medical Research Council of Australia (#1046727),
4	Emergency Medicine Foundation, Perpetual Philanthropic Services, WA Health
5	Targeted Research Funds, Townsville Hospital Private Practice Fund, Auckland
6	Medical Research Foundation, A + Trust, Victorian Government's Operational
7	Infrastructure Support Program. Dr Crowe is supported by a National Health and
8	Medical Research Council Early Career Fellowship (GNT1071544).
9	
10	Address correspondence to: Louise Crowe, Clinical Sciences, Murdoch Childrens
11	Research Institute, Parkville VIC 3052, Australia, +613 9936 6762,
12	louise.crowe@mcri.edu.au
13	
14	Financial disclosure: None to declare.
15	Conflict of Interest: None to declare.

- 17

1

## 2 Abstract

3	<b>Objective</b> : To prospectively compare the proportion of traumatic brain injuries (TBIs)
4	that would be classified as 'mild' using different published definitions by applying
5	published definitions of mild TBI to a large prospectively collected dataset and to
6	examine the variability in the proportions included by various definitions. High rates
7	of mild TBI in children makes it a major public health issue, however, there is a wide
8	variation in how mild TBI is defined in literature and guidelines.
9	Design: Prospective observational study.
10	Setting: Ten hospital emergency departments in the 'PREDICT' network based in
11	Australia and New Zealand.
12	Participants: The sample included 11,907 children aged 3-16 years. The mean age
13	was 8.2 years (SD = 3.9 years), 3,868 (32.5%) were female, and 7,374 (61.9%) of TBI
14	were due to a fall. Median Glasgow Coma Score was 15.
15	Main outcome measure: We applied 17 different definitions of mild TBI, identified
16	through a published systematic review, to children aged 3-16 years. Adjustments and
17	clarifications were made to some definitions. The number and percentage identified
18	for each definition is presented.
19	Results: Adjustments had to be made to the 17 definitions to apply to the dataset:
20	none in 7, minor to substantial in 10. The percentage classified as mild TBI across
21	definitions varied from 7.1% (n = 841) to 98.7% (n = 11,756) and varied by age
22	group.

23 Conclusions: When applying the 17 definitions of mild TBI to a large prospective
24 multicenter dataset of TBI there was wide variability in the number of cases

1	classified. Clinicians and researchers need to be aware of this variability when
2	examining literature concerning children with mild TBI.
3	
4	Keywords: Traumatic Brain Injury, Head Injuries, Child

- 5 Abbreviations: ACRM: American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine; AIS:
- 6 Abbreviated Injury Scale; BCRM: British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine; GCS:
- 7 Glasgow Coma Score; LOC: Loss of consciousness; PTA: Post traumatic amnesia;
- 8 TBI: traumatic brain injury; WHO: World Health Organization
- 9 Word count: 3196
- 10

1 Traumatic brain injury (TBI) frequently occurs in children, with the vast 2 majority of these events considered 'mild' [1]. While once considered a benign injury, 3 mild TBI (and its subset of concussion) have been linked to poor neurobehavioral 4 outcomes in some children [2] and the relative burden of disability from TBI is predominately from mild injuries [3]. However, there is wide variation in the way 5 6 'mild' TBI is defined, which limits the interpretation of current studies and comparison across studies. A recent review by Lloyd Wilson, Tenovuo and Saarijärvi 7 8 [4] and prior reviews of mild TBI outcomes [5] have highlighted the variability in 9 mild TBI definitions across studies, leading to concern that injury groupings are not 10 equivalent. The World Health Organization (WHO) Collaborating Centre Task Force 11 on Mild TBI (WHO Task Force) compiled a best evidence synthesis by completing a 12 systematic review on definitions of mild TBI used in the literature [6]. This 13 information was then used to develop their operational definition of mild TBI.

14 The definition of mild TBI developed by the WHO Task Force is very similar 15 to the definition developed by the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine 16 (ACRM) in 1993 [7]. The WHO definition clarifies what constitutes a mild TBI 17 combined with Glasgow Coma Score (GCS) [8], clinical symptoms such as loss of 18 consciousness and strict exclusion criteria. The major difference is that the WHO 19 definition does not use the term 'dazed' as in the ACRM definition [8]. Kristman and 20 colleagues [9-10], building on earlier work of the WHO Task Force [6], conducted a 21 review of definitions used in the literature to define mild TBI. They highlighted that 22 only 28% of studies they reviewed were considered of acceptable research quality, 23 and few studies utilized the WHO definition of mild TBI [8]. Most studies reviewed 24 had developed their own definition of mild TBI.

25

The purpose of this study was to apply several different definitions of pediatric

mild TBI that have been used in the literature to a single prospective dataset. In this paper, we have used Kristman et al. [9] review and searched for all definitions that included pediatric samples. We were interested in how the percentage of children classified as having a mild TBI would vary dependent on the definition used; this is critical information when comparing findings across published studies. We also were interested in whether rates would vary across age groups.

#### 7 Method

8 This was a planned secondary analysis of a large prospective observational study of 9 children presenting with an injury to the head of any severity to 10 emergency 10 departments in Australia and New Zealand who are members of the PREDICT 11 Network [11]. Recruitment for the Australian Paediatric Head Injury Rules Study 12 (APHIRST) was between April 2011 and November 2014. The primary purpose of 13 the original study was to assess and compare the accuracy of neuroimaging rules in 14 TBI with details of the methodology and initial results published elsewhere [12-13]. 15 However, it is important to know that in the primary study we included TBIs of all 16 severities with GCS 3-15. Exclusion criteria included: patients with trivial facial 17 injury only, patients referred from emergency department triage to a General 18 Practitioner, those who underwent neuroimaging before transfer to a study site, and 19 those who did not wait to be seen. Patients who were eligible but not approached for 20 enrolment (missed patients) had similar characteristics to enrolled patients.

We examined each of the 101 definitions listed by Kristman et al. [9] based on a detailed systematic review previously conducted [10]. We aimed to include the definitions outlined in articles that included children. This was conducted by examining the original definitions using the article referenced by Kristman [9].

Definitions were reviewed by two authors (LC and FB) to determine inclusion. For the purposes of this study we included children aged from 3 to 16 years. Children under 3 years were excluded as they tend to present differently and post-traumatic amnesia (PTA) and disorientation are difficult to ascertain [14]. For the purposes of this study, adolescents over 16 years were excluded as this age group are generally seen at adult hospitals and the definitions identified for children tended to use an upper limit of 15 to 16 years.

8

### Insert figure 1 here

9 Articles that did not specify an age range were excluded [15-16]. For example, 10 Selassie appeared to include all age groups but this was not clearly defined in their 11 method [16]. Adult studies were excluded as were studies focused on older 12 adolescents (15 years +) as these definitions were not applicable for the majority of 13 the sample based on their age range [15-26]. That is, although a percentage of our 14 sample were applicable for the definition (15-16 year olds), these were predominately 15 definitions developed for use on adult populations and unable to be applied to 16 younger children. Definitions that used ICD codes only were excluded. Da Dalt et al. 17 (2007) was excluded as the definition was unclear [29], refer to Figure 1. Applying all 18 criteria resulted in 26 articles, which contained 17 definitions, as some studies utilized 19 the same samples (Table 1). Fifteen out of 17 definitions (88.2%) included GCS as 20 one criterion. Loss of consciousness (LOC) or alteration of consciousness was 21 included as a criterion in 13 out of 17 (76.5%) definitions. The 17 definitions included 22 over 35 different variables. Adjustments and clarifications were made to some 23 definitions to accommodate the data we had available. Adjustments to the definitions 24 were generally made because the definition had classified LOC using a different time

format than recorded in the database [33-34]. Clarifications included how we interpreted variables in the definition, for example, we clarified that we considered 'altered consciousness' to include either LOC or drowsiness [50-53]. No adjustments were made to seven definitions and minor to substantial adjustments in the remaining ten.

- We arranged Table 1 into four groupings: GCS alone; GCS and Clinical
  Symptoms; GCS, Clinical Symptoms and Neuroimaging; and Clinical Symptoms
  Only.
- 9

#### Insert Table 1 here

10 Definitions were then applied to the prospectively collected APHIRST dataset 11 which included detailed demographic information, information on presenting signs 12 and symptoms as well as information on neuroimaging and short-term outcomes with 13 telephone follow up 14 to 90 days after ED visit as set out in the detailed protocol 14 published elsewhere [11]. Review of records for representations and follow up calls 15 were used to checks for adverse outcomes or potentially missed intracranial injury. 16 Ethics was approved by each of the hospital ethics boards and consent was obtained 17 from participants upon enrolment.

18 In the APHIRST database, LOC was defined as either <5 seconds, 5 seconds-5 19 minutes or >5 minutes. This was a decision made when developing the study, based 20 on the neuroimaging rules studied in APHIRST. A specific duration of LOC was 21 likely to be largely based on estimation, however, most witnesses to injuries would be 22 able to rate between <5 seconds, 5 seconds-5 minutes and >5 minutes. GCS data was 23 recorded for the time of arrival at triage in ED, on clinician assessment and 2 hours 24 post-injury. Information was collected on whether injury was non-accidental or 25 penetrating and admission was defined as admission to: the ED for >4 hours of observation, short stay unit, ward or pediatric intensive care unit. Time of PTA was
 defined as <5 minutes, >5 minutes, or unknown.

3 Analysis

4 We applied the 17 definitions with our assumptions to the APHIRST dataset and calculated the % with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) for key point estimates. 5 6 Means and medians were also used for certain variables. To investigate how the 7 definitions performed across the different age bands we divided the sample into three: 8 preschool (3 years up to 6 years), middle childhood (6 years up to 12 years) and 9 adolescence (12 years up to 16 years). We also have included the citations from 10 PubMed for the articles used to assess whether they have been used in other studies 11 (combined together when more than one article by same author).

### 12 **Results**

13 Of the total dataset of 20,137 children enrolled in the parent study, 11,907 14 children remained for analysis when limiting the sample to patients aged 3-16 years. 15 Demographics of this sample are provided in Table 2. The majority of children in the 16 study were male. The most commonly reported symptom was headache, followed by 17 vomiting. Most TBIs were sustained from falls. Median GCS was 15. There were few 18 penetrating TBIs (n = 20). Cranial CT rate was 12.7%, abnormal neuroimaging 19 findings was 2.9% and neurosurgery rate was 0.5%. Less than 1% of children had a 20 reported LOC >5 minutes.

Table 3 lists the number of children who would be defined as mild TBI using the different definitions. Percentages of the cohort covered by the definitions of "mild" TBI ranged from 7.1% (841) to 98.7% (11,756). The two definitions using GCS alone contributed to the largest group, covering 98.6- 98.7% of the sample. The lowest was Levin et al., [33] at 7.1% overall, using a combination of GCS and clinical

symptoms. This appears to be because of the inclusion of LOC as a necessary.
 Applying the ACRM criteria utilized by Gagnon [46-48] meant that 32.5% of children
 were classified as having a mild TBI.

- 4 When the age group and the number classified by the definition was examined, it was noticed that the two definitions that used GCS as the only clinical 5 6 feature [31-32], showed little variation across the age groups. This was also true for 7 some other definitions with little variation (<15%) in the number defined across the 8 different age groups [33, 49, 54, 56, 59]. When GCS was combined with clinical 9 symptoms the trend was for mild TBI to be classified as greatest for the oldest age 10 group (12-16 years) and lowest for the youngest age group (3-5 years) [33-48]. This 11 was less so when neuroimaging results were included. For the definitions using 12 clinical symptoms only, one definition [G2: 57-58] showed a reverse trend with 13 younger age group (3-5 years) having the highest rate of mild TBI classifications.
- 14

#### Insert Table 3 about here

### 15 **Discussion**

16 This is the first study to apply multiple mild TBI definitions to a single 17 dataset, derived from a large prospective observational study of children with TBIs of 18 all severities. We found very wide variation in terms of which children would be 19 defined as having a mild TBI from less than 10% of the sample to more than 90%.

Different age bands included a variable number of children within the same definition. This was most obvious for the group of definitions that combined GCS with clinical symptoms, with older children fitting the mild TBI definitions at much higher rates. It is possible that younger children exhibit different clinical symptoms after a TBI than older children, therefore are less likely to fit the definitions [14]. However, research available into differences in presentation across age groups is 1 minimal.

2 The definitions highlight the difference between head trauma and a brain 3 injury. For example, all children in the APHIRST study have injured their head and 4 on presentation to the ED were medically evaluated with symptoms and typically a GCS value assigned. Two definitions that utilized GCS without clinical symptoms 5 6 [31-32] identified >98% of the sample; these definitions were from studies that investigated late mortality and value of CTs. The nature of these studies meant that 7 8 they were focused on all presentations of children with injuries to the head and were 9 not attempting to select cases where the injury had resulted in a brain injury possibly 10 impacting functional, cognitive or behavioral outcomes. Definitions that use the term 11 TBI or concussion, tend to emphasize the physical, behavioral, psychiatric or 12 neurocognitive outcomes. These definitions used clinical variables in addition to GCS 13 most likely to indicate that the head trauma experienced by the child had resulted in 14 an injury to the brain [33, 37, 40-44, 50-54, 56-57]. We used the term TBI to 15 encompass all definitions. TBI was chosen as it was the most common term used by 16 the definitions, and is the term used by both the WHO Task Force and Kristman's 17 review [9].

18 Over 35 different descriptors of symptoms associated with mild TBI were identified. Many were related to LOC, with different time periods specified (i.e., 19 20 LOC> 1 minute or 5 minutes, etc) or PTA. Descriptors related to PTA include: loss of 21 memory, disorientation, confusion, and amnesia <5 minutes. Other related descriptors 22 include feeling foggy, dazed, displaying an altered mental state, or asking repetitive 23 questions. The lack of specificity of some variables is of concern, particularly when 24 the definitions are applied retrospectively or obtained from medical records. For 25 example, variables such as 'neuropsychological dysfunction' and 'behavior change in days following' and more uncommon symptoms may not be detailed in medical notes
and are likely difficult for younger children to report (e.g., double vision, ringing in
ears). A lack of clarity in time variables is another problem, with terms such as
'persistent', 'transient' or 'momentary' left undefined.

5 The duration of LOC was defined differently across articles. In some 6 definitions, LOC was defined by a specific time such as <1 minute [59], <2 minutes 7 [58], <5 minutes [36], <15 minutes [35, 49], <30 minutes [40-44], and <1 hour [38]. 8 In another group of papers any period of LOC [39] or impaired consciousness or 9 alteration in consciousness was used [45, 50-53]. It is unclear why the duration of 10 LOC considered consistent with a mild TBI varies so widely. Duration of LOC is 11 problematic as the injury may be unwitnessed or parents/carers can be distressed 12 leading to inaccurate estimation of time. Isolated LOC has been related to a very low 13 likelihood of intracranial injury on CT scan [60]. The presence of any LOC has been 14 associated with poor neurobehavioral outcomes [61], however, the specific impact of 15 longer periods of up to 1 hour is unclear. In this large dataset, <1% of children had 16 LOC >5minutes. This emphasis on time or presence of LOC alone seems to be an 17 unnecessary component of defining mild TBI. Neither the ACRM nor the WHO 18 definitions have LOC as a necessary. Criteria that only identify children who experience LOC may be selecting for a particular and restrictive population. 19

20 Our findings show that the most common symptoms experienced by children after

21 head trauma were vomiting and headache, consistent with prior research [62].

However, few definitions included these symptoms as criteria [40-44, 38, 54]. The

23 APHIRST study also collected information on irritability and agitation as symptoms

associated with increased risk of intracranial injury [11]. Yet, no definition included

25 this as a symptom. A definition that is not specific enough includes everyone in the

1 dataset and one that is overly specific would exclude more people than necessary or 2 only include those with on the more severe end of the mild TBI spectrum. The 3 consequence of both is that the wrong population is included and outcomes may 4 appear better or worse than they actually are. In the future it is likely that definitions 5 of mild TBI will evolve to include newly developed blood and neuroimaging 6 biomarkers, these are however not currently considered standard practice [63]. The 7 use of clinical exam findings such as balance assessments [64] or cognitive 8 computerized testing [65] also holds promise.

9 Based on our findings any description of mild TBI will need to take into 10 account that definitions in the literature are highly variable; precise definitions used 11 will be critical to understand the sample population for any research study or analysis 12 and comparison of clinical samples. In addition, any research findings or consensus 13 based recommendations for care of children with mild TBI will need to precisely 14 describe who the targeted population is. Also, in a research versus a clinical setting 15 the use of definitions may need to be somewhat varied. For example, a research 16 setting may have a very specific set of inclusion and exclusion criteria dependent on 17 the goal of the study, while in clinical setting more of a screening definition/ criteria 18 may be important, so that a "brain injury" is not missed.

19

### Study limitations

Limitations of this study are the adjustments made to the definitions and applying them retrospectively. We did not have information on some symptoms included in definitions such as diplopia (double vision), ringing in the ears, seeing stars or nausea. However, emergency department physicians were asked to record any focal neurological signs, which typically includes vision and hearing difficulties. We did not collect information on nausea. This was a decision made early in the study as

1 it was not part of any neuroimaging rules [66] and is perceived as more subjective 2 than vomiting. We also did not ask children specifically if they felt 'dazed'. We felt it 3 was unlikely to be understood and we followed the WHO Task Force decision to 4 exclude it [6]. Amnesia was not assessed with a formal tool only physician judgement. The specific number of minutes of LOC were not collected, as previously 5 6 discussed. From the database, less than 1% of cases had LOC >5 minutes, therefore even if we had collected information on LOC >5 minutes there would be very little 7 8 difference in the number of children classified as mild TBL.

9 Children younger than 3 years where not included in the study and neither 10 were adolescents over 16 years of age. Information on race, ethnicity and 11 socioeconomic status was not collected, this is generally the case for Australian and 12 New Zealand studies. This is an emergency department based study and there may be 13 different considerations for outpatient, community care and rehabilitation settings.

14 Conclusions

15 When applying 17 common definitions of mild TBI to a large prospective multicenter 16 dataset of TBI of any severity we demonstrated wide variability between the number 17 of children defined as having a mild TBI. Although we had to make changes to 10 of 18 the 17 definitions it is unlikely that this affected our major finding, as we found 19 considerable variation alone in the sub-group of seven definitions where no changes 20 were made. Clinicians and researchers need to be aware of this important variability 21 when applying the published literature to children presenting to emergency 22 departments with mild TBI. Based on our findings, any description of mild TBI will 23 need to take into account that definitions in the literature are highly variable; and the 24 definitions used will be critical to understand the sample population of research or 25 clinical studies. From the analysis of the definitions in this article and including the

1	ACRM and the WHO Task Force definition as well as the NDIS common data
2	elements for TBI [67], there are certain variables that should be included in a
3	definition of mild TBI, these include symptoms such as loss or alteration of
4	consciousness, confusion or disorientation, PTA and focal neurological signs as well
5	as GCS and the presence of a penetrating injury. To enhance clinical and research
6	understanding it is critical to move the field towards a more cohesive and
7	standardized definition increased consistency and reliability. The definition by the
8	WHO Task Force, developed from a large body of work and input from experts in the
9	field, is possibly a valid definition to select. Alternatively, by incorporating elements
10	of this definition into future studies would provide a common data metric allowing
11	comparison. In cases where the use of a standardized definition is unachievable, there
12	should be reasoning around the selection of the definition utilized.

2 I. CIUWE L. DAULT, AHUEISULTY, CAUODDA C. THE CHINEHOUSY OF PACULATIE	ppa C. The epidemiology of paedia	Catroppa (	1 V.	, Anderson	, Babl F.	Crowe L	21.	2
---	-----------------------------------	------------	------	------------	-----------	---------	-----	---

3 injuries: data from a referral centre in Victoria, Australia. J Paediatr Child Health

4 2009;45:346-350.

5 2. Emery C, Barlow K, Brooks B, Max JE, Villavicencio-Requis A, Gnankumar V,

6 Roberston HL, Schneider K, Yeates KO. A systematic review of psychiatric,

7 psychological, and behavioural outcomes following mild traumatic brain injury in

8 children and adolescents. Can J Psychiatry 2016;61:259-269.

9 3. Rivara F, Koepsell T, Wang J, Temkin N, Dorsch A, Vavilala MS, Durbin D, Jaffe

10 KM. Incidence of disability among children 12 months after traumatic brain injury.

11 Am J Public Health 2012;102:2074-2079.

12 4. Lloyd J, Wilson M, Tenovuo O, Saarijärvi S. Outcomes from mild and moderate

13 traumatic brain injuries among children and adolescents: a systematic review of

14 studies from 2008-2013. Brain Inj 2015;29:539-549.

15 5. Satz P, Zaucha K, McClearly C, Light R, Asarnow R, Becker D. Mild head injury

16 in children and adolescents: a review of studies. Psychol Bull 1997;122(2):107-131.

17 6. Carroll L, Cassidy D, Holm L, Kraus J, Coronado VG, WHO Collaborating Centre

18 Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. Methodological issues and research

19 recommendations for mild traumatic brain injury: the WHO Collaborating Centre

20 Task Force on Mild Traumatic Brain Injury. J Rehabil Med 2004;43 Suppl:113-125.

21 7. The Mild Traumatic Brain Injury Committee of the Head Injury Interdisciplinary

22 Special Interest Group of the American Congress of Rehabilitation Medicine.

23 Definition of mild traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil, 1993;8:86-87.

8. Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. Lancet

25 1974;2:81-84.

1	9. Kristman V, Borg J, Godbolt A, Salmi LR, Cancelliere C, Carroll LJ, Holm LW,
2	Nygren-de Boussard C, Hartvigsen J, Abara U, Donovan J, Cassidy JD.
3	Methodological issues and research recommendations for prognosis after mild
4	traumatic brain injury: results of the International Collaboration of Mild Traumatic
5	Brain Injury Prognosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2014;95:S265-277.
6	10. Cancelliere C, Cassidy JD, Li A, Donovan J, Côté P, Hincapié CA. Systematic
7	search and review procedures: results of the international collaboration on mild
8	traumatic brain injury prognosis. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2014;95(3 Suppl 2):S101-
9	31.
10	11. XXX
11	12. XXX
12	13. XXX
13	14. Barlow K, Crawford S, Stevenson A, Sandhu SS, Belanger F, Dewey D.
14	Epidemiology of postconcussion syndrome in pediatric mild traumatic brain injury.
15	Pediatrics 2010;126:e374-381.
16	15. Nielsen A, Mortensen P, O'Callaghan E, Mors O, Ewald H. Is head injury a risk
17	factor for schizophrenia? Schizophr Res 2002;55:93-98.
18	16. Selassie A, Fakhry S, Ford D. Population-based study of the risk of in-hospital
19	death after traumatic brain injury: the role of sepsis. J Trauma 2011;71:1226-1234.
20	17. Heitger M, Jones R, Dalrymple-Alford J, Frampton CM, Ardagh MW, Anderson
21	TJ. Motor deficits and recovery during the first year following mild closed head
22	injury. Brain Inj 2006;20:807-824.
23	18. Heitger M, Macaskill M, Jones R, Anderson TJ. The impact of mild closed head
24	injury on involuntary saccadic adaptation: evidence for the preservation of implicit
25	motor learning. Brain Inj 2005;19:109-17.

1	19. de Kruijk J, Leffers P, Meerhoff S, Rutten J, Twijnstra A. Effectiveness of bed
2	rest after mild traumatic brain injury: a randomised trial of no versus six days of bed
3	rest. J Neurol Neurosurg Soc 2002;73:167-72.
4	20. de Boussard C, Lundin A, Karlstedt D, Edman G, Bartfai A, Borg J. S100 and
5	cognitive impairment after mild traumatic brain injury. J Rehabil Med 2005;37:53-57.
6	21. Lau B, Collins M, Lovell M. Sensitivity and specificity of subacute computerized
7	neurocognitive testing and symptom evaluation in predicting outcomes after sports-
8	related concussion. Am J Sports Med 2011;39:1209-1216.
9	22. Covassin T, Elbin R, Nakayama Y. Tracking neurocognitive performance
10	following concussion in high school athletes. Phys Sportsmed 2010;38:87-93.
11	23. Lovell M, Collins M, Iverson G, Field M, Maroon JC, Cantu R, Podell K, Powell
12	JW, Belza M, Fu FH. Recovery from mild concussion in high school athletes. J
13	Neurosurg 2003;98:296-301.
14	24. Pellman E, Lovell M, Viano D, Casson IR. Concussion in professional football:
15	recovery of NFL and high school athletes assessed by computerized
16	neuropsychological testing- part 12. Neurosurgery 2006;58:263-274.
17	25. Field M, Collins M, Lovell M, Maroon J. Does age play a role in recovery from
18	sports-related concussion? A comparison of high school and collegiate athletes. J
19	Pediatr 2003;142:546-553.
20	26. Iverson G, Lovell M, Collins M. Interpreting change on ImPACT following sport
21	concussion. Clin Neuropsychol 2003;17:460-467.
22	27. Erlanger D, Kaushik T, Cantu R, Barth JT, Broshek DK, Freeman JR, Webbe FM.
23	Symptom-based assessment of the severity of a concussion. J Neurosurg
24	2003;98:477-484.
25	28. Lau BC, Kontos AP, Collins M, Mucha A, Lovell MR. Which on-field

- 1 signs/symptoms predict protracted recovery from sport-related concussion among
- 2 high school football players? Am J Sports Med 2011;39:2311-2318.
- 3 29. Da Dalt L, Andreola B, Facchin P, Gregolin M, Vianello A, Battistella PA.
- 4 Characteristics of children with vomiting after minor head trauma: a case-control
- 5 study. J Pediatr 2007;150:274-278.
- 6 30. Teasdale G, Jennett B. Assessment of coma and impaired consciousness. Lancet
  7 1974;2:81-84.
- 8 31. Hollingsworth W, Vavilala M, Jarvick J. The use of repeated head computed
- 9 tomography in pediatric blunt head trauma: factors predicting new and worsening
- 10 brain injury. Pediatr Crit Care Med 2007;8:348-356.
- 11 32. Pentland B, Hutton L, Jones P. Late mortality after head injury. J Neurol
- 12 Neurosurg Psychiatry 2005;76:395-400.
- 13 33. Levin HS, Hanten G, Roberson G, Li X, Ewing-Cobbs L, Dennis M, Chapman S,
- 14 Max JE, Hunter J, Schachar R, Luerssen TG, Swank P. Prediction of cognitive
- 15 sequelae based on abnormal computed tomography findings in children following
- 16 mild traumatic brain injury. J Neurosurg Pediatr, 2008;1:461-470.
- 17 34. Hawley C, Ward A, Magnay A, Long J. Outcomes following childhood head
- 18 injury: a population study. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2004;75: 737-742.
- 19 35. British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine. Rehabilitation after traumatic brain
- 20 injury. A working party report of the British Society of Rehabilitation Medicine.
- 21 London; 1998.
- 22 36. Halley M, Silva P, Foley J, Rodarte A. Loss of consciousness: when to perform
- computed tomography? Pediatr Crit Care Med 2004;5:230-233.

1	37.	Babikan	T,	Satz F	9, Z	aucha	K,	Li	ght F	L, 1	Lewis	RS	, Asarnow	RF.	The	UCL	JA
			2		2				<u> </u>	2			,				

- 2 longitudinal study of neurocognitive outcomes following mild pediatric traumatic
- 3 brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc 2011;17:886-895.
- 4 38. Greenspan L, McLellan B, Greig, H. Abbreviated Injury Scale and Injury Severity
- 5 Score: A scoring chart. J Trauma. 1985;25(1):60-64.
- 6 39. Grubenhoff JA, Kirkwood M, Deakyne S, Wathen J. Detailed concussion
- 7 symptom analysis in paediatric ED population. Brain Inj. 2011;25:943-949.
- 8 40. Fay T, Yeates KO, Taylor HG, Bangert B, Dietrich A, Nuss KE, Rusin J, Wright
- 9 M. Cognitive reserve as a moderator of postconcussive symptoms in children with
- 10 complicated and uncomplicated mild traumatic brain injury. J Int Neuropsychol Soc.

```
11 2010;20:94-105.
```

- 12 41. Taylor, HG, Dietrich A, Nuss K, Wright M, Rusin J, Bangert B, Minich N, Yeates
- 13 KO. Post-concussive symptoms in children with mild traumatic brain injury.
- 14 Neuropsychology. 2010;24:148-159.
- 15 42. Woodrome S, Yeates KO, Taylor HG, Rusin J, Bangert B, Dietrich A, Nuss K,
- 16 Wright M. Coping strategies as a predictor of post-concussive symptoms in children
- 17 with mild traumatic brain injury versus mild orthopedic injury. J Int Neuropsychol
- 18 Soc. 2011;17:317-326.
- 19 43. Yeates KO, Taylor HG, Rusin, J, Bangert B, Dietrich A, Nuss K, Wright M,
- 20 Nagin DS, Jones BL. Longitudinal trajectories of postconcussive symptoms in
- 21 children with mild traumatic brain injuries and their relationship to acute clinical
- 22 status. Pediatrics 2009;123:735-743.
- 44. Hajek C, Yeates KO, Taylor HG, Bangert B, Dietrich A, Nuss KE, Rusin J,
- 24 Wright M. Relationship among post-concussive symptoms and symptoms of PTSD in
- children following mild traumatic brain injury. Brain Inj 2010;24:100-109.

1	45. Tham S, Palermo T, Vavilala M, Wang J, Jaffe KM, Koepsell TD, Dorsch A,
2	Temkin N, Durbin D, Rivara FP. The longitudinal course, risk factors, and impact of
3	sleep disturbances in children with traumatic brain injury. J Neurotrauma
4	2012;29:154-161.
5	46. Gagnon I, Swaine B, Friedman D, Forget R. Children show decreased dynamic
6	balance after mild traumatic brain injury. Arch Phys Med Rehabil 2004;85:444-452.
7	47. Gagnon I, Swaine B, Friedman D, Forget R. Visuomotor response time in children
8	with a mild traumatic brain injury. J Head Trauma Rehabil 2004;19:391-404.
9	48. Gagnon I, Swaine B, Friedman D, Forget R. Exploring children's self-efficacy
10	related to physical activity performance after a mild traumatic brain injury. J Head
11	Trauma Rehabil 2005;20:436-449.
12	49. Roncadin C, Guger S, Archibald J, Barnes M, Dennis M. Working memory after
13	mild, moderate, or severe childhood closed head injury. Dev Neuropsychol
14	2004;25:21-36.
15	50. Anderson V, LeBrocque R, Iselin G, Eren S, Dob R, Davern TJ, McKinlay L,
16	Kenardy J. Adaptive ability, behavior and quality of life pre and posttraumatic brain
17	injury in childhood. Disabil Rehabil 2012;34:1639-1647.
18	51. Anderson V, Catroppa C, Haritou F, Morse S, Rosenfeld JV. Identifying factors
19	contributing to child and family outcome 30 months after traumatic brain injury in
20	children. J Neurol Neurosurg Psychiatry. 2005;76:401-408.
21	52. Anderson V, Catroppa C, Morse S, Haritou F, Rosenfeld J. Functional plasticity
22	or vulnerability after early brain injury? Pediatrics 2005;116:1374-1382.
23	53. Kenardy J, LeBrocque R, Hendrikz J, Iselin G, Anderson V, McKinlay A. Impact
24	of posttraumatic stress disorder and injury severity on recovery in children with
25	traumatic brain injury. J Clin Child Adolesc Psychol 2012;41:5-14.

1	54. Blinman T, Houseknecht E, Snyder C, Wiebe DJ, Nance ML. Postconcussive
2	symptoms in hospitalized pediatric patients after mild traumatic brain injury. J Pediatr
3	Surg 2009;44:1223-1228.
4	55. Spencer MT, Baron BJ, Sinert R, Mahmoud G, Punzalan C, Tintinalli A.
5	Necessity of hospital admission for pediatric minor head injury. Am J Emerg Med
6	2003;21:111-114.
7	56. Luis C, Mittenberg W. Mood and anxiety disorders following pediatric traumatic
8	brain injury: a prospective study. J Clin Exp Neuropsychol. 2002;24:270-279.
9	57. AbdelMalik P, Husted J, Chow E, Bassett AS. Childhood head injury and
10	expression of schizophrenia in multiply affected families. Arch Gen Psychiatry.
11	2003;60:231-236.
12	58. Gedye A, Beattie B, Tuokko H, Horton A, Korsarek E. Severe head injury hastens
13	age of onset of Alzheimer's disease. J Am Geriatr Soc. 1989;37(10):970-973.
14	59. Korinthenberg R, Schreck J, Weser J, Lehmkuhl G. Post-traumatic syndrome after
15	minor head injury cannot be predicted by neurological investigations. Brain Dev
16	2004;26:113-117.
17	60. Lee LK, Monroe D, Bachman MC, Glass TF, Mahajam PV, Cooper A, Stanley
18	RM, Dayan PS, Holmes JF, Kuppermann N, Traumatic Brain Injury Working Group
19	of Pediatric Emergency Care Applied Research Network. Isolated loss of
20	consciousness in children with minor blunt head trauma. JAMA Pediatr
21	2014;168(9):837-843.
22	61. Yeates KO, Taylor HG, Rusin J, Bangert B, Dietrich A, Nuss K, Wright M.
23	Premorbid child and family functioning as predictors of post- concussive symptoms in
24	children with mild traumatic brain injuries. Int J Dev Neurosci 2012;30:231-237.

1	62. Eisenberg M,	Meehan W	, Mannix R.	Duration and	course of post	t-concussive
---	------------------	----------	-------------	--------------	----------------	--------------

2 symptoms. Pediatrics. 2014;133:999-1006.

3	63. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. Updated mild traumatic brain injury
4	guideline for adults. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 2016.
5	64. Zemek R, Barrowman N, Freedman S, Gravel J, Gagnon I, McGahern C, Aglipay
6	M, Sangha G, Boutis K, Beer D, Craig W, Burns E, Farion K, Mikrogianakis A,
7	Barlow K, Dubrovsky A, Meeuwisse W, Gioia G, Meehan W, Beauchamp M, Kamil
8	Y, Grool A, Hoshizaki B, Anderson P, Brooks B, Yeates K, Vassilyadi C, Osmond
9	M, Pediatric Emergency Research (PERC) Concussion Team. Clinical Risk Score for
10	Persistent Postconcussion Symptoms Among Children With Acute Concussion in the
11	ED. JAMA 2016; 8:1014-1025.
12	65. Khetani A, Brooks B, Mikrogianakis A, Barlow K. Incorporating a computerized
13	cognitive battery into the emergency department care of pediatric mild traumatic brain
14	injuries- it is feasible? Pediatric Emerg Care. 2016, epub.
15	66. Lyttle M, Crowe L, Oakley E, Dunning J, Babl FE. Comparing CATCH,
16	CHALICE and PECARN clinical decision rules for paediatric head injuries. Emerg
17	Med J 2012;29(10):785-794.
18	67. National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke. Common data elements:
19	traumatic brain injury. Accessed 29/11/2017
20	https://www.commondataelements.ninds.nih.gov/TBI.aspx#tab=Data_Standards
21	

# 1 Acknowledgement

- 2 We would like to thank the participating families and emergency department staff at
- 3 participating sites. We would like to thank research staff from the participating sites.