1 Chronosequences of ant nest mounds from glacier forelands of 2 Jostedalsbreen, southern Norway: insights into the distribution, 3 succession and geo-ecology of red wood ants (*Formica lugubris* 4 and *F. aquilonia*)

5 6

Jennifer L. Hill<sup>a,\*</sup>, Amber E. Vater<sup>b</sup>, Andrew P. Geary<sup>a</sup> and John A. Matthews<sup>b</sup>

7 8 9

<sup>a</sup> Department of Geography and Environmental Management, University of the West of England, Coldharbour Lane, Bristol BS16 1QY, UK

10 11

<sup>b</sup> Department of Geography, College of Science, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, Wales, UK

12 13 14

\* Corresponding author.

15 E-mail address: Jennifer.Hill@uwe.ac.uk

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26 27

28 29

30

31 32

33

34

35

36 37

38

39

40

41 42

### **Abstract**

Red wood ant nest mounds were investigated on terrain deglaciated since the mideighteenth century at three outlet glaciers of the Jostedalsbreen ice cap in southern Norway. Chronosequence methodology was combined with a geo-ecological approach in the context of autecology. Size and composition of 168 mounds, most of which belonged to Formica lugubris, were related to terrain age, vegetation characteristics and physical habitat types using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) linked to segmented bubble plots and inferential statistical techniques. Substantive insights include: (1) colonisation occurs 50–80 years after deglaciation; (2) mounds up to 100 cm high occupy the glacier forelands with a density of 2.5-4.6 mounds/hectare; (3) the positive correlation between mound size and terrain age is weakened by the presence of numerous small mounds attributed to the expansion of polydomous colonies by budding; (4) although mounds are composed mostly of plant remains (litter), they contain up to 17 % mineral material (mostly gravel) on relatively young terrain; (5) mound size and composition are related to the number of trees (Betula pubescens) occurring within 5 m of each mound, which reflects the availability of biological resources for mound thatch and ant food, the latter being primarily honeydew from aphids; (6) where aphids are present on trees, the mounds tend to be relatively large, reflecting the presence of ant-aphid mutualism; (7) mounds are larger on moraines and till plains than on outwash deposits, probably reflecting the enhancement of tree growth due to greater moisture availability and soil fertility in the former habitat types; (8) a strong southerly preferred aspect in mound orientation indicates the importance of direct solar radiation in maintaining internal mound temperatures; and (9) glacier-foreland landscapes are not simply time-dependent chronosequences reflecting succession but are the product of spatio-temporal dynamics involving biotic and abiotic interactions, which we summarize in a conceptual geo-ecological model. The main methodological implications are that chronosequences can be used to investigate the autecology of keystone species using a geoecological approach and multivariate analysis.

43 44 45

46

### **Keywords**

red wood ants, *Formica* spp., ant nest mounds, glacier foreland chronosequences, geo-ecological approach, southern Norway

# Introduction

Chronosequences are spatial representations in the landscape of temporal sequences, in which differences between terrain ages at one point in time are substituted for changes through time (Cutler et al., 2008; Johnson and Miyanishi, 2008; Lawrence et al., 2010; Stevens and Walker, 1970; Walker et al., 2010). Glacier forelands, the areas of landscape recently deglaciated by retreating glaciers, provide one of the most widely recognised examples of chronosequences. Thus, progressively older landscapes with increasing distance from the glacier margin can be interpreted, by invoking the chronosequence concept, as a 'natural experiment', depicting how the landscape has changed and will change through time.

Glacier foreland chronosequences have been widely applied in the context of plant community succession. This, and their use to investigate soil development, was comprehensively reviewed by Matthews (1992, 1999), and research in this field has continued at an accelerating rate (e.g. Darmody et al., 2005; Erschbaumer and Caccianiga, 2016; Garibotti et al., 2011; Jones and del Moral, 2009; Prach and Rachlewicz, 2012; Robbins and Matthews, 2010; Vilmundardóttir et al., 2017). Most recently, invertebrate (e.g. Gobbi et al., 2006; Hågvar, 2012; Hodkinson et al., 2004; Kaufmann, 2001; Vater 2012; Vater and Matthews, 2015) and microbial successions (e.g. Bradley et al., 2014; Doblas-Miranda et al., 2008; Fernández-Martínez et al., 2017; Kaštovská et al., 2005; Schmidt et al., 2015) have been increasingly investigated, while holistic studies of more than one ecosystem component have tended to be neglected - but see Bardgett et al. (2005), Bardgett and Walker (2004), Carlson et al. (2010), Losapio et al. (2015), Matthews and Vater (2015), Matthews et al. (1998), Tampucci et al. (2015) and Tscherko et al. (2005). There have, moreover, been few studies that have used the chronosequence approach to study the autecology of particular plant or animal species, notable exceptions being those of Whittaker (1993) involving selected pioneer herbs and later colonizing shrubs, and Hågvar and Flø (2015) involving the harvestman (*Mitopus morio*) on glacier forelands in southern Norway.

The present investigation is a study of red wood ant autecology in the glacier foreland landscapes of southern Norway. Red wood ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) comprise several narrowly related, and hence morphologically and ecologically similar species that belong to the *Formica rufa* group (Goropashnova et al., 2004; Stockan et al., 2016). The species in this group, which occur throughout the temperate boreal regions of

the northern Palaearctic, are commonly referred to as true wood ants, thatch ants or mound ants. These names derive from their red and brownish-black colour, habitat and mound-building habit. Vater and Matthews (2013, 2015) demonstrated by pitfall trapping their abundance in invertebrate succession on the glacier forelands of Jostedalsbreen, southern Norway. Two of the most closely related species, *F. lugubris* (the hairy wood ant) and *F. aquilonia* (the northern wood ant) are involved in this study.

There are at least three justifications for this study. First, red wood ants are keystone species within their ecosystems and yet there is limited understanding of their ecology (Robinson and Stockan, 2016; Skinner and Allen, 2015; Stockan and Robinson, 2016; Vandegehuchte et al., 2017). Their nest mounds function as habitats for myrmecophiles (particularly Coleoptera and Araneae) and influence local nutrient cycles (Chen and Robinson, 2014; Jurgensen et al., 2008; Ohashi et al., 2007; Parmentier et al., 2014; Robinson and Robinson, 2013; Robinson et al., 2016). The ants themselves, as dominant predators, can change the insect communities on trees and on the ground, altering the balance between major feeding guilds (Fowler and Macgarvin, 1985; Punttila et al., 2004; Reznikova and Dorosheva, 2004). Wood ant presence can affect the growth of trees negatively, through their herding of sap-feeding aphids, and positively, by increasing predation or harassment of other herbivores (Mahdi and Whittaker, 1993; Styrsky and Eubanks, 2007). Red wood ants defend their territories against other ant species, influencing arthropod biodiversity (Arnan et al., 2009; Koivula and Niemelä, 2003; Mabelis 1984; Savolainen and Vepsäläinen, 1989). Through myrmecochory (seed dispersal by ants), red wood ants can affect the dispersal success of plants (Boulay et al., 2007; Gorb and Gorb, 1995; Manzaneda and Rey, 2009) and enhance plant fitness by nutrient enrichment on and around their nests (Frouz and Jílková, 2008; Jílková et al., 2012; Manzaneda and Rey, 2012).

The second justification for studying this group is that the volume of red wood ant nest mounds, which can be easily calculated from diameter and height measurements, has been shown to provide a close estimate of nest worker population size (Chen and Robinson, 2013, 2014; Freitag et al., 2016). The nest mounds are therefore meaningful ecological entities. Finally, conspicuous nest mounds are particularly conducive to investigation using the chronosequence approach. Nest mounds therefore permit the distribution and relative abundance of ant populations to be studied non-destructively in relation to terrain age and habitat variation without the need for pitfall trapping. Whereas many previous studies have investigated the distribution of the nest mounds of red wood ants in general and of *F*.

*lugubris* and *F. aquilonia* in particular (e.g. Borkin et al., 2012; Breen, 1979, 2014; Domisch et al. 2005; Hughes, 1999, 2006; Kilpeläinen et al., 2005, 2008; King, 1977; Laine and Niemelä, 1989; Punttila and Kilpeläinen, 2009; Sudd et al., 1977; Vandegehuchte et al., 2017), there have been no specific investigations of the *F. rufa* group on glacier forelands.

The aim of this paper is to make both a substantive contribution to understanding the successional and geo-ecological roles of red wood ants and a methodological contribution to chronosequence studies. There are four specific objectives:

- 1. To map the distribution, size and density of ant nest mounds on three subalpine glacier forelands of southeastern Jostedalsbreen, southern Norway;
- 2. To analyse relationships between mound characteristics, terrain age, vegetation and physical habitat (including topography and substrate);
- 3. To infer possible successional trends and the underlying geo-ecological processes in which red wood ants play a prominent role; and
- 4. To develop further the chronosequence approach in the context of glacier forelands and the autecology of keystone species.

# The glacier forelands and the subalpine environment

The glacier forelands of Nigardsbreen, Bergsetbreen and Fåbergstølsbreen were investigated on the southeastern side of the Jostedalsbreen ice cap (Fig. 1). The glaciers are three of the largest outlet glaciers of Jostedalsbreen (Andreassen and Winsvold, 2012), which have retreated several kilometres from the moraine ridges marking their Little Ice Age maximum extent around AD 1750 (Figs 2-4).

The altitudes of the study sites on the glacier forelands of Nigardsbreen (~260-320 m above sea level), Bergsetbreen (~420-440 m a.s.l.) and Fåbergstølsbreen (~460-560 m a.s.l.) mean they are located within the southern Norwegian boreal zone, where mature vegetation is normally dominated by *Pinus sylvestris* (Moen, 1999). However, on account of local topography, proximity to the ice-cap, and anthropogenic effects (which have historically affected the glacier forelands, particularly through the grazing of domesticated animals and collection of fuel wood), *Betula pubescens* is dominant in mature vegetation beyond the glacier foreland boundary and on the glacier forelands themselves.

Consequently, all three forelands may be regarded as subalpine in character.

Climatic data from the closest meteorological station (Bjørkhaug-i-Jostedal; 324 m a.s.l.) indicate a mean annual air temperature of +3.7 °C, with a July mean of +13.4 °C, a January mean of -4.9 °C (Aune, 1993) and a mean annual precipitation of 1380 mm (Førland, 1993). Mean annual maximum snow depth on the glacier forelands is at least 2.0 m and there are >200 days per year on which snow depth is >25 cm (http://www.senorge.no/) with somewhat more snow at Fåbergstølsbreen and somewhat less on the older parts of the glacier forelands of Nigardsbreen and Bergsetbreen. Parent materials on the glacier forelands are derived from the local granitic gneiss bedrock (Lutro and Tveten, 1996). This yields soils that are initially close to neutral in reaction but rapidly become acidic following deglaciation (Mellor, 1985; Messer, 1988). Many other environmental changes and disturbances affect the glacier foreland landscape especially in the early years after deglaciation, including consolidation and drainage of the sedimentary deposits, cryoturbation, stabilisation of slopes, and the shifting courses of glaciofluvial meltwater streams. These dynamic processes interact with successional change and ecosystem development (cf. Matthews, 1992, 1999; Matthews and Vater, 2015). Typical nest mounds in their glacier foreland habitats are shown in Fig. 5A-C. Methodology Field methods Ant nest mounds were sampled using belt transects 48 m in width extending from the glacier snout in 2015 to beyond the glacier foreland boundary (AD 1750 snout position) at right angles to the isochrones (lines of equal age). At Nigardsbreen (Fig. 2) and Bergsetbreen (Fig. 3), single transects were oriented more-or-less along the axis of the glacier foreland, to the north of the glacier river. At Nigardsbreen the transect was located immediately to the north of the road that crosses the older parts of the foreland and the lake (Nigardsbrevatnet) that occupies much of the younger parts deglaciated since ~AD 1930. At Fåbergstølsbreen (Fig. 4), two similar transects were located to the north and south of the glacier river. Each belt transect was searched systematically for ant nest mounds by three people standing 16 m apart and searching 8 m to their right and left. Thus, for each 250 m length of transect, an area of 12,000 m<sup>2</sup> was searched thoroughly. Each mound (n = 168) was mapped onto enlarged aerial photographs (available at

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

http://www.norgebilder.no/).

186	Param	eters re	elating to the size and surface composition of ant nest mounds
187	(potential resp	onse v	ariables) were defined as follows:
188			
189	Height	=	the maximum height of the top of the mound relative to the
190			lowest point of the base (i.e. where the base of the mound
191			intersects with the surrounding terrain);
192	Width	=	the maximum diameter of the base of the mound (i.e. the two
193			points farthest apart around the circumference of the base);
194	Volume	=	the equivalent mound volume for a spherical cap determined
195			from mound height (h) and basal radius (r), $\pi h^2/3(3r - h)$
196			(Polyanin and Manzhirov, 2006). This formula has not
197			been used before in the context of ant nest mounds but provides a
198			simple yet effective approximation of mound shape (cf. Porter et al.,
199			1992; Vogt, 2007);
200	Organic	=	percentage of the mound surface covered in organic material
201			(including twigs, leaves and humus);
202	Sand	=	percentage of the mound surface covered in mineral particles of
203			sand size (>0.063, <0.2 mm);
204	Gravel	=	percentage of the mound surface covered in mineral particles of
205			larger than sand size but <10.0 mm.
206			
207	Param	eters re	elating to terrain age and the environment of ant nest mounds (potential
208	explanatory v	ariables	s) were defined as follows:
209			
210	Age	=	age of the terrain on which the mound is positioned in years
211			before AD 2017 (± 5 years for terrain dating from before AD
212			1800);
213	Altitude	=	altitude of the mound above sea level;
214	Aspect	=	orientation of the mound in relation to magnetic north;
215	Trees	=	number of trees (tree species growing to a height of >2 m)
216			rooted within 5 m of the mound;
217	Poles	=	number of poles (tree species growing to a height of <2 m)
218			rooted within 5 m of the mound;
219	Ground cover	• =	percentage of the ground covered by shrubs, forbs or

220 graminoids within 2 m of the mound; 221 Habitat type three categories of habitat were recognised – moraine ridge 222 (M), glaciofluvial outwash plain (O), and till-covered surface (T) – 223 with the addition of a road bank habitat (RB) on the Nigardsbreen 224 glacier foreland; 225 **Aphids** presence of aphids or aphid eggs on trees or poles within 5 m of the 226 mound (data collected from Nigardsbreen and Fåbergstølsbreen 227 only).

228229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

The positions of the glacier snouts over the last ~267 years, and hence the age of the terrain involved in the glacier foreland chronosequences, is based on annual monitoring of the glacier, documentary evidence and lichenometric dating (Bickerton and Matthews, 1992, 1993). Estimated accuracy varies from ±1 year on the most recently deglaciated terrain to ±5 years before ~AD1900. Beyond the glacier foreland boundary, the terrain was deglaciated in the early Holocene, about 9700 years ago (Dahl et al., 2002; Owen et al., 2007). For computational purposes terrain outside the glacier foreland was assigned an age of 300 years.

Altitude was estimated to  $\pm 5$  m from topographic maps at a scale of 1:50,000 with a contour interval of 20 m. Aspect was measured with respect to sixteen compass points and refers to the orientation of the longest side of the mound (perfectly symmetrical mounds were rare). Aspect is relevant to the thermal environment of mounds (cf. Chen and Robinson, 2014; Kadochová and Frouz, 2014; Kilpeläinen et al., 2008; Rosengren et al., 1987; Seeley and Heinrich, 1981; Sorvari and Hakkarainen, 2005). Trees, poles and ground cover were used as indices of the biological environment of each mound and especially the resources available to ants during foraging (cf. Blüthgen and Feldhaar, 2010; Chen and Robinson, 2014; Domisch et al., 2016; Ellis et al., 2014). A 5 m radius was used for determining the number of trees in the vicinity of the mounds as it captures the immediate influence of tree canopy on local abiotic conditions and is consistent with previous studies that have shown ants within 5 m of mounds to be two or three times more abundant and carry higher honeydew loads than those at greater distances from the mound (Gibb et al., 2016; Sudd, 1983). The dominant species comprising the ground cover, mostly dwarf shrubs, was recorded as well as the overall percentage ground cover, although only the latter was used in analysis. Each mound was assigned to one of the four physical habitat types according to where it was sited. These habitat types reflect the nature of the substrate, which affects drainage conditions, moisture levels, nutrient availability, mineral cycling and possibly disturbance regimes (cf. Finér et al., 2013; Frouz et al., 2016; Jurgensen et al., 2008; Lenoir et al., 2001; Ohashi et al., 2007; Punttila and Kilpeläinen, 2009). Finally, the presence or absence of aphids was systematically recorded in order to examine ant-aphid mutualism in association with mound development (cf. Domisch et al., 2011, 2016; Gibb and Johansson, 2010; Robinson et al., 2008; Stadler and Dixon, 2005). Typically, aphids and/or their eggs were found on relatively young shoots of *Betula pubescens* trees in this study (Fig. 6).

262263

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

261

### Analytical techniques

264

265 The focal multivariate technique used to explore variation in nest mound characteristics 266 was non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) (Cox and Cox, 2000; Everitt and 267 Hothorn, 2011) using the PRIMER–E Version 7 software package (Clarke and Gorley, 268 2015; Clarke et al., 2014). This nonparametric ordination technique is well suited to the 269 simultaneous analysis of many response and explanatory variables and the graphical 270 visualisation of their interrelationships in a reduced number of dimensions. Two-271 dimensional NMDS was carried out on data from the three glacier forelands independently. 272 Six response variables representing mound size (height, width, volume) and surface 273 composition (organic, sand, gravel) were used in each NMDS analysis with Euclidian 274 distance as the resemblance function. In order to limit the distorting effects of outliers and 275 so achieve meaningful distances between samples using Euclidian Distance, selected 276 variables were transformed prior to analysis. Variables were either reflected and natural 277 logarithmic transformed (negative skew) or natural logarithmic transformed (positive 278 skew). All variables were then normalised to a common measurement scale by subtracting 279 the mean and dividing by the standard deviation (Clarke and Gorley, 2015). The stress 280 statistic was used as a measure of distortion (expressed as a percentage) in the resultant 281 NMDS ordination diagram representing the similarities between individual mounds. 282 Segmented bubble plots were added to the ordination diagram to show the relative 283 importance of each of the response variables for every mound. Five explanatory variables 284 (age, altitude, trees, poles and ground cover) were then overlain as vectors (together with 285 the vectors representing the response variables) based on Pearson's correlation coefficients 286 between the variables and the first and second NMDS axes.

Multivariate analyses were supported by additional statistical and graphical techniques, including: (1) frequency histograms and descriptive statistics, to describe univariate distributions; (2) correlation and linear regression, to analyse bivariate relationships between response and explanatory variables; (3) parametric one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) combined with the Tukey method for simultaneous pairwise comparison, together with the equivalent non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis tests and box plots, to investigate relationships between mound characteristics and habitat types, and the association of ants with aphids; and (4) rose diagrams, to demonstrate graphically patterns in the orientation of mounds. MINITAB (2010) statistical software was used for these standard statistical analyses.

## **Taxonomy**

The presence of both *Formica lugubris* Zetterstedt, 1838 and *F. aquilonia* Yarrow, 1955 (Collingwood, 1979; Kvamme and Wetås, 2010) at the study sites was confirmed by specialist identification of 24 individuals sampled from 21 mounds. This represents 12.6% of the total number of mounds investigated in this study.

F. lugubris was by far the more common of the two species, accounting for >80% of the mounds from which individuals were identified. Furthermore, all of the mounds sampled for species identification within the glacier foreland boundaries of Nigardsbreen (11 mounds) and Fåbergstølsbreen (three mounds) belonged to F. lugubris. However, two of the three sampled mounds on the Bergsetbreen glacier foreland belonged to F. aquilonia. Similarly, no conclusive pattern was observed from the four mounds occupied by F. aquilonia, which included two of three relatively large mounds sampled on relatively old terrain at or beyond the glacier foreland boundaries of Nigardsbreen and Fåbergstølsbreen. The latter observation is in agreement with Arnan et al. (2009), Borkin et al. (2012) and Kipeläinen et al. (2008), who suggest that F. aquilonia tends to dominate in old-growth stands. More secure differentiation of the mounds of the two species would need the identification of more specimens. Nevertheless, we propose that the results and conclusions of this study relate primarily to F. lugubris.

### Results

Distribution and density of nest mounds

322 The distribution of mounds on the three glacier forelands are shown in Figs 2-4 and 323 summarized in Table 1. No mounds were found on terrain younger than about 80 years at 324 both Bergsetbreen and Fåbergstølsbreen, though mounds were present on terrain 325 deglaciated only 49 years ago at Nigardsbreen. On those parts of the glacier forelands 326 where mounds were present, overall densities ranged from 2.5 mounds/hectare at 327 Fåbergstølsbreen to 4.5 and 4.6 mounds/hectare at Nigardsbreen and Bergsetbreen, 328 respectively. These values are typical for red wood ant mounds, the density of which rarely 329 exceeds 5.0 mounds/hectare in European forests (Kilpeläinen et al., 2008; Punttila and 330 Kilpeläinen, 2009; Risch et al., 2016; Vandegehuchte et al., 2017). However, Figs 2-4 331 indicate variations in density with the clustering of mounds in some areas, which may relate 332 to habitat variations and/or reproductive strategies (see discussion). 333 334 *Nest mound characteristics: variation in size and composition* 335 336 Mound size is summarised in Fig. 7A-C and Table 2 and the range of mound sizes is 337 illustrated in Fig. 8. Mean height of mounds across the three glacier forelands is 338 approximately 44 cm and modal height is only 25-30 cm. Maximum mound height lies 339 between 92 cm and 100 cm on each foreland and the mounds are nearly twice as wide as 340 they are high. This low profile agrees with the findings of Sorvari et al. (2016) who note 341 that high-profile mounds in exposed and windy positions pose a high risk for drying of nest 342 material, reducing thermoregulation properties. Mean mound volume across all forelands is only 0.19 m<sup>3</sup>, which seems typical of the lower end (young 5 years old forest stands) 343 studied by Domisch et al. (2005) and matches closely the values of 0.25 m<sup>3</sup> and 0.3 m<sup>3</sup> 344 345 recorded for mean mound volume of F. lugubris in the national Finnish and Swiss studies 346 of Punttila and Kilpeläinen (2009) and Vandegehuchte et al. (2017) respectively. Thus, 347 many mounds are very small (Fig. 8A), a feature of the mound-size distributions that is 348 particularly apparent in relation to mound volume (Fig. 7C), and very large mounds (Fig. 349 8D) are uncommon. However, there is evidence for bimodality in the mound-size 350 distribution (a secondary mode in mound height of around 50-65 cm is clearly apparent in 351 Fig. 7A), which we relate below to the multi-nest structure of ant colonies. 352 Most mounds are composed largely of organic material collected from the litter layer, which covers 100% of the surface of many (Table 2, Fig. 7F). Gravel and sand, 353 354 presumably excavated from beneath the nest mounds, generally cover <17% of the mound

surface, with gravel (mean cover across all forelands 12%) more common than sand (Fig. 7D-E).

Relationships to terrain age

The strength of the relationships between nest mound characteristics and terrain age are summarised for the three chronosequences in Table 3 using the Pearson's product-moment correlation coefficient (r). It should be pointed out that use of Spearman's non-parametric correlation coefficient yielded closely similar results, with slightly higher coefficients and an almost identical pattern of statistical significance.

The results indicate generally weak relationships between mound characteristics and terrain age with correlation coefficients (and coefficients of determination) no more than 0.53 (28.5 %) at Fåbergstølsbreen (Fig. 9A), -0.36 (12.6 %) at Bergsetbreen (Fig. 9B) and +0.27 (7.3 %) at Nigardsbreen (Fig. 9C). Although few relationships are consistent across all forelands, the strongest relationships relate to mound surface composition rather than mound size. Organic composition tends to increase with terrain age while sand and gravel composition decline. With respect to mound size, the strongest relationships are with height, which tends to increase with age (Figs 9C and 9D). The data indicate that relatively large mounds >50 m high can become established within ~100 years. They also suggest that whereas the maximum height of mounds tends to increase further with terrain age, the presence on relatively old terrain of small mounds as well as large ones reduces the strength of any size/age relationship.

## Environmental relationships

Returning to the correlation matrices of Table 3, the explanatory variables relating to foreland vegetation are, in some cases, strongly related to nest mound size, particularly mound height, and to a lesser extent mound surface composition. The strongest relationships are between number of trees and mound volume at Bergsetbreen (r = 0.62; p<0.05) and Nigardsbreen (r = 0.52; p<0.05); the statistically insignificant result from Fåbergstølsbreen possibly being affected by the generally higher tree cover on that glacier foreland.

The overall effect of several explanatory variables on mound characteristics, and their interactions with terrain age are shown in the multivariate analyses (Fig. 10A-C).

Details of the variations in mound size and surface composition can be seen in the segmented bubble plots, while the vectors summarize the strength and major alignments of both mound characteristics and potential environmental controls when all the data are taken into account. NMDS provides an effective two-dimensional visual representation of the similarities between mounds in terms of size and surface composition, as shown by very low values of the stress statistic.

At Nigardsbreen (Fig. 10A), variation in surface composition between mounds, with the organic percentage increasing in bubble plots from left to right across the diagram and the sand and gravel percentage increasing from right to left, is effectively captured by NMDS axis 1. Size variation between mounds, with mound size (height, width and volume) increasing from the top towards the bottom of the diagram, is equally well captured by NMDS axis 2. Vectors representing terrain age and environmental characteristics are relatively short, reflecting their relatively weak correlations with mound characteristics (Table 3). However, the moderately strong relationship between trees and mound characteristics is confirmed by the length of the tree vector (the longest of the explanatory variables), while the vector direction confirms that the trees variable is approximately equally effective in accounting for variation in mound size and composition. Shorter vector lengths for ground cover, terrain age and altitude indicate similar weak relationships, whereas the very short poles vector confirms its negligible importance. Although the altitude vector suggests it has some influence on mound characteristics, this is unlikely at Nigardsbreen where altitude varies little across the glacier foreland. There is nevertheless a very strong negative correlation between altitude and terrain age (r = -0.89, p<0.05, Table 3), which arises from the consistent but small increase in altitude from the glacier foreland boundary towards the glacier snout.

The multivariate analyses from Bergsetbreen (Fig. 10B) and, particularly, Fåbergstølsbreen (Fig. 10C) show some fundamentally similar features to that of Nigardsbreen. The main differences at Fåbergstølsbreen are the relatively strong potential effects of age and altitude (longer vectors), which are more closely aligned with mound surface composition than with the mound size variables, and a relatively weak influence of trees. At Bergsetbreen, age and altitude are more closely aligned with the mound surface composition than at Nigardsbreen but the relationship is again weak. However, the influence of trees is as strong as at Nigardsbreen and, unlike at either of the other two glacier forelands, there is an appreciable potential effect of poles.

Where one-way ANOVA and/or Kruskal-Wallis tests indicated statistically significant differences (p<0.05) between habitat types in relation to mound size, Tukey simultaneous comparison tests were carried out to indicate which habitats differed from others. The strongest association was found between mound height and habitat type, which is illustrated for the combined data set from all three glacier forelands in Fig. 11. The boxplot (Fig. 11A) shows that mounds tend to be higher on the moraines and till-covered surfaces than on the glaciofluvial outwash plains and road banks. Simultaneous consideration of the 95 % confidence intervals around the mean height (Fig. 11B) confirms which differences are statistically significant (non-overlap of a 95 % confidence interval with zero on the scale indicating a significant difference at p<0.05) and highlights the clear influence of outwash plains in depressing mound height. Similar tests for Nigardsbreen alone revealed the same patterns, whereas at Bergsetbreen and Fåbergstølsbreen differences in mound size were not statistically significant. Use of mound volume rather than height produced similar but weaker patterns, while tests involving mound surface composition revealed no statistically significant associations. Most importantly, it is clear that mounds on moraines are significantly larger than those on the outwash plains, though patterns may be obscured by large and small mounds often occurring together.

Mounds on all three glacier forelands exhibit strong southerly preferred aspects (Fig. 12A-C): modal aspects are SSE (Nigardsbreen), SE (Bergsetbreen) or S (Fåbergstølsbreen) and 82% of all mounds are in the four segments facing SSW to SE. The relatively large number of mounds facing SSW at Fåbergstølsbreen seems to reflect the dominant aspect of the valley-side slope on the north side of the glacier foreland. This contrasts with the occurrence of most of the mounds associated with the south-side transect and also with the other forelands on relatively flat valley floors.

Box plots, one-way ANOVA analyses and Tukey tests of the presence or absence of aphids on trees within 5 m distance of mounds revealed statistically significant differences (p <0.05) in relation to mound height at Nigardsbreen, and also for the combined data set from Nigardsbreen and Fåbergstølsbreen (Fig. 11C). Where aphids were present, mounds were higher than where no aphids were present (Fig. 11D). Mound volume produced a similar pattern but no significant differences in mound size were detected at the other two forelands. The only statistically significant relationship involving mound surface composition and aphids was at Nigardsbreen: where aphids were present the sand percentage was lower than where they were absent. Thus, aphids tend to be associated with relatively large mounds rather than mounds with a particular composition.

# **Discussion**

Implications of the observed patterns are both substantive, in relation to the geo-ecology of red wood ants, and methodological, in relation to chronosequences.

## Terrain age and succession

The first substantive geo-ecological implications of the observed patterns relate to the colonisation and succession of red wood ants. Our results indicate that red wood ants colonise the glacier forelands 50-80 years after deglaciation. They are not, therefore, true pioneer species but early colonisers (Vater and Matthews, 2013, 2015). Furthermore, the tendency for nest mound size to increase with terrain age on two of the glacier forelands, and for large mounds (~1m in height and ~1m³ in volume) to occur only on older terrain, suggests that further long-term development affects the mounds for >200 years following deglaciation. This conclusion is reinforced by mound surfaces with a relatively high sand and gravel content during the initial colonisation phase when the terrain is not completely vegetation covered (Fig. 13A). Early mounds with a substantial mineral cover are seemingly transformed into 100% organic mounds later in the chronosequences (Fig. 13B).

Recognition of long-term successional trends across the chronosequences tends to be obscured by the existence of numerous immature mounds. There is little information available on the turnover of mounds. While colonies of wood ants are generally assumed to last for years to decades (Manzaneda and Rey, 2012; Parmentier et al., 2014), individual mounds are dynamic over time, from year-to-year and within years, and small nests have a greater turnover rate than large nests (Boudjema et al., 2006; Chen and Robinson, 2014; Ellis et al., 2014; Klimetzek, 1981). At Nigardsbreen, clusters of mounds including small mounds as close together as 10 m, point to the expansion by 'budding' of socially-connected (polydomous) colonies. This fragmentation of main nests involves small groups of worker ants, accompanied by one or more queens, leaving a nest on foot to establish a new nest (Debout et al., 2007; Ellis and Robinson, 2014; Hölldobler and Wilson, 1990; Maeder et al., 2016). In many instances, nest budding functions as a reproductive strategy. In other cases, ants move their nests to avoid predation, to escape from unfavourable environmental conditions, to increase access to food, or to avoid overcrowding

(Buczkowski and Bennett, 2009). Crucially, polydomy allows colonies to create new nests without resorting to high-risk single-queen nest foundation (Robinson, 2014).

The pattern of mound size and surface composition, together with densities approaching 5 mounds per hectare on the glacier forelands following the initial colonisation phase, suggest that the ants become dominant (keystone) species quite early in the succession. This would be consistent with the addition and persistence model of invertebrate succession proposed by Vater (2012) and developed further by Vater and Matthews (2013, 2015), whereby successive colonisers are added to communities during succession and persist into the later stages with only limited replacement by later colonisers. However, several authors have suggested that *Formica lugubris* is more common in open, young and managed forests, and forest edges, whereas *F. aquilonia* tends to dominate in mature old-growth stands and in coniferous forests (Arnan et al., 2009; Gibb, 2011; Borkin et al., 2012; Chen and Robinson, 2014; Czechowski et al., 2002; Eichorn, 1963; Hågvar, 2005; Kilpeläinen et al., 2008; Punttila, 1996; Savolainen and Vepsäläinen, 1988), possibly due to superior competitive abilities. As the vegetation on our glacier forelands has more in common with young rather than mature forests, it is likely that *F. lugubris* is the dominant species (supportive of our taxonomic evidence).

Vegetation and biological resources

The lag time between deglaciation and establishment of nest mounds on the glacier forelands clearly is indicative of the dependence of red wood ant colonies on the prior establishment of vegetation, particularly trees. Litter provides organic nest material ('thatch') for the mounds (Laakso and Setälä, 1998; Weber, 1935), while live vegetation provides food resources. Red wood ants strive to maintain a reliable food source (Lenoir, 2002) and a key way they achieve this is by ant-aphid mutualism (Billick et al., 2007; Domisch et al., 2016; Fowler and Macgarvin, 1985; Stadler and Dixon, 2005). The ants farm aphid herds on trees for honeydew to obtain carbohydrates, the plant sugars having been obtained by the aphids directly from phloem sap (Dixon, 1998; Dixon and Thieme, 2007; Mahdi and Whittaker, 1993). Aphid honeydew is the main food resource of red wood ants, supplying up to 95% of a colony's nutrition (Gordon et al., 1992; Rosengren and Sundström, 1991). To obtain protein, red wood ants also hunt and scavenge for arthropods on surrounding trees (and to a lesser extent on the forest floor), and a large proportion of their intake may come from feeding on the aphids themselves (Robinson et al., 2008).

Normally, about one third of the diet of red wood ants consists of insect prey (Lenoir, 2002). Minor food sources obtained directly from living vegetation include seeds, tree sap and berry juices (Domisch et al., 2016; Finér et al., 2013; Wellenstein, 1952). Thus, the availability of food resources is likely to positively drive mound size and distribution (Punttila and Kilpeläinen, 2009; Sorvari and Hakkarainen, 2005).

In previous studies, the location of *Formica* species generally (Travan, 1998) and *F. lugubris* more particularly (Arnan et al., 2009) has been positively associated with tree density. The recent study of Swiss forests by Vandegehuchte et al. (2017) found *Formica* species to be significantly related to loose or grouped tree crowns, with *F. lugubris* mounds more likely to occur amongst clusters of trees of different heights, dominated by spruce. The authors related this positive association to the rich vertical structure harbouring more abundant and diverse prey and honeydew-producing insects. Similarly, Hågvar (2005) found *F. lugubris* mounds in relatively open terrain amongst the uppermost birch (*Betula pubescens*) trees on an altitudinal transect in Sogndal, >50 km southwest of our study area. At the glacier forelands investigated in this study, *B. pubescens* is the main source of biological resources for ants, though scattered Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) are utilised where they occur at Nigardsbreen and Bergsetbreen. This is apparent from the statistically significant relationships between mound size, tree numbers and the presence of aphids on trees in close proximity to mounds.

Significant relationships between mound surface composition and ground cover at Nigardsbreen suggest that dwarf shrubs (the dominant species of understorey vegetation) contribute organic thatch material, especially where trees are sparse on the younger parts of the glacier forelands. This is confirmed by the distinctive twigs and leaves of several dwarf shrubs that can be observed in mound thatch on all three glacier forelands (see Fig. 13). Ground vegetation also protects ants from predators and/or provides them with insect prey and other food resources (Vandegehuchte et al., 2017). Ground cover must not be too high, however, because the ants compete with low-growing shrubs for light and space to build their nests (Arnan et al., 2009).

# Habitat variation and landscape development

The apparent location of nest mounds in certain physical habitat types – namely moraines and till plains over glaciofluvial outwash and road banks (cf Fig. 5B and 5C) – seems to involve the interaction of a number of abiotic and biotic environmental factors. In

particular, moraines and till plains provide a substrate with mixed particle sizes (typically matrix-supported diamictons), whereas glaciofluvial outwash deposits are well sorted, often coarse grained (sands, gravels and/or cobbles) and devoid of silt and clay (Matthews, 1992). In consequence, the diamictons have a greater moisture-holding capacity and higher nutrient status, which leads to more rapid vegetation growth and ecosystem development generally. In other words the biological resources available to support red wood ants tend to be greater in the moraine and till-plain habitats. Trees in particular often remain small and stunted on glaciofluvial deposits on the oldest parts of all three glacier forelands, whereas mature birches occur in the other habitats.

The road banks at Nigardsbreen present a special case. Here, the relatively small mounds may reflect recent disturbance during road building and maintenance (in addition to the infertile substrate where road banks are made mainly from outwash deposits). At the same time, road banks may facilitate colonisation in an otherwise closed-canopy tree cover (Gibb and Hochuli, 2003). In general, therefore, the distribution of mounds in relation to habitats highlights the importance of spatial patterns as well as temporal trends within the developing landscape.

### Aspect and mound thermal regime

Temperatures within red wood ant nest mounds from early spring to late autumn tend to be maintained at a relatively constant level, often 10 °C or more higher than in the surrounding soil and some 20 °C above the adjacent air temperature (Frouz et al. 2016; Skinner and Allen, 2015). The preferred southerly aspect of the mounds on our glacier forelands, mirroring the findings of Breen (2014), Kilpeläinen et al. (2008) and Risch et al. (2016), has a bearing on the mechanisms that have been proposed to explain such temperature differences. Risch et al. (2016) recognise three fundamentally different theories all of which, they conclude, probably contribute to the maintenance of the mound thermal regime. First, Forel's 'theory of domes' (Seeley and Heinrich, 1981) depends on the geometry of the mound and the interception of solar radiation. Second, the 'heat carrying theory' (Zahn, 1958) depends on ants being heated by the sun on top of the mound, and the subsequent release of heat when they move back into the mound. Third, the 'metabolic heat theory', requires heat to be generated within the mound, either by microbial decomposition (Coenen-Stass et al., 1980) or by the ants themselves contributing actively to thermoregulation (Kneitz, 1965). All three theories are supported by the thermal insulating

properties of loosely packed mound thatch, which reduces the rate of heat loss from the mound once the internal temperature has been raised.

A preferred southerly aspect certainly supports solar radiation as an external heat source during the season when the ants themselves are most active, and therefore the first two theories, but cannot account for mounds heating up when they are still buried by snow in early spring when sunlight does not reach the surface of the mound (Rosengren et al., 1987). Small mounds, in particular, may require a sunny location as they are less capable of independent thermoregulation (Rosengren et al., 1987).

Geo-ecological processes and a conceptual model

From the above discussion it can be concluded that an understanding of geo-ecological processes – i.e. interacting abiotic and biotic processes in their spatio-temporal context – is necessary to explain the chronosequences described and analysed in this paper. The main interactions identified in this study are summarized in Fig. 14.

Central to our conceptual model is the development of a tree canopy, which increases gradually during primary succession and is modulated by the physical habitat type. On moraines and till plains the development of the tree cover increases more rapidly than on outwash deposits (probably due to the fertility and moisture-retention properties of the substrate) until, some 50 years after deglaciation, tree biomass is sufficient to sustain wood ant populations as evidenced by the first appearance of nest mounds. Key to successful establishment of the wood ants is the development of sufficient resources from the dominant tree, *Betula pubescens*, which establishes early in the vegetation succession (cf. Robbins and Matthews, 2010). The resulting birch woodland provides the biological resources required by foraging ants, namely plant litter for mound building and the ant's main carbohydrate food source (honeydew) from aphids. Statistically significant relationships between the number of trees and mound size, and between mound size and aphids, provide evidence for these interactions. As our data indicate that the ground cover of largely dwarf shrubs plays a similar but lesser role to the tree canopy, a ground-cover box has been omitted from the model.

The second major theme of our model is the interactions between the tree canopy, the microclimate (external and internal thermal climate) of the mounds, and the ant population. On the glacier forelands, the immature birch woodland is characteristically open with tree clusters rather than closed-canopy woodland. The preferred southerly aspect

of mounds sited in clearings therefore results in the heating up of the mound surface (and any ants occupying that surface) by direct solar radiation, an external microclimatic effect that is unlikely to occur in mature, closed-canopy woodland. This is consistent with the findings of Vandegehuchte et al. (2017) who found that the occurrence and size of *Formica lugubris* mounds were strongly related to July radiation receipt and that relatively low mound densities were characteristic of homogeneous closed-canopy forests in Switzerland. Indeed, Chen and Robinson (2014) found a relatively cool microclimate characterised mounds of *Formica lugubris* in close-canopy woodland in the Peak District, UK, and proposed that this necessitated the construction of larger mounds (see also Geiger et al., 2003; Huang et al., 2014; Kilpeläinen et al., 2008; Rodrigues-Garcia et al., 2011).

Our data further indicate that the negative effect on internal mound temperature of an increasing canopy cover is more than compensated for by the positive effect of a southerly aspect in the open habitats of glacier forelands. In addition, as indicated in our model, self-regulation of the internal thermal microclimate of mounds by large numbers of socially-organised ants contributes to the maintenance of higher temperatures than outside the mounds (alongside the other possible mechanisms, including microbial decomposition of organic matter within the mound and the transportation of heat into the mound by ants).

Chronosequence methodology, autecology, geo-ecology and multivariate analysis

The main methodological implications of this study relate to chronosequences in the context of geo-ecology and autecology. Previous studies of chronosequences on glacier forelands have emphasised community ecology (synecology) and have restricted their analyses to plant communities, animal communities or other compartments of the geo-ecological system. As indicated in the introduction to this paper, autecological investigations have rarely been attempted. In contrast, we have explored the potential of glacier foreland chronosequences for the autecological study of red wood ants. Although two species have been identified at the study sites, the available evidence from the nest mounds suggests that *Formica lugubris* is far more abundant than *F. aquilonia* and therefore the study can be regarded as essentially an autecological investigation of the former.

By focusing on keystone species, it can be argued that we have opened a window onto the complex geo-ecological interactions that characterise succession in glacier-foreland chronosequences. The strictly 'ecological' approaches of the past have clearly

overemphasised the biological elements, with consequent neglect of both spatial variation (the geographical element) and the abiotic interactions that are apparent in glacier foreland landscapes. Our 'geo-ecological' approach, on the other hand, effectively integrates the biotic and abiotic elements in the landscape to achieve a deeper understanding of spatio-temporal variation (cf. Cutler et al., 2008; Matthews, 1992; Matthews and Vater, 2015; Matthews and Whittaker, 1987; Robbins and Matthews, 2010; Vater and Matthews, 2015). Furthermore, the focus on the autecology of the keystone species has revealed important interactions of broad significance within the glacier foreland landscape while reducing somewhat its inherent complexity. This can be viewed as exploiting the natural experiment implicit in chronosequence methodology (cf. Deevey, 1969; Diamond, 1986; Fukami and Wardle, 2005). Such holistic understanding is becoming more important as red wood ant populations are experiencing increasing human pressure, both locally at our study site, and more widely (Dekoninck et al., 2010; Parmentier et al., 2014; Sorvari, 2016; Vandegehuchte et al., 2017).

Vandegehuchte et al., 2017).

Finally, we have demonstrated the suitability of multivariate analysis in general and NMDS ordination in particular for detecting and investigating relatively weak geoecological interactions between mound characteristics and explanatory variables in chronosequences. Although only a limited number of biotic environmental variables (trees, poles and ground cover) were used in our analyses alongside terrain age as explanatory variables, we have supplemented the multivariate NMDS ordination with simpler analyses of abiotic data relating to aspect and the physical habitat. It can be concluded therefore that NMDS and associated visualisation techniques should be capable of wider application in ecology and geo-ecology.

### **Summary and conclusion**

(1) Chronosequences of red wood ant nest mounds (predominantly *Formica lugubris*) were investigated using belt transects and a geo-ecological approach on three southern Norwegian glacier forelands – Nigardsbreen, Bergsetbreen and Fåbergstølsbreen. Mounds occurred at a density of 2.5-4.6 mounds/hectare. The distribution of mounds indicates that colonisation first occurs on terrain deglaciated for 50-80 years.

(2) Mean mound height across all forelands was 44 cm (maximum 92-100 cm) but numerous small mounds, attributed to the expansion of polydomous ant colonies by

- budding, resulted in a modal height of 20-30 cm and a mean mound volume of 0.19 m<sup>3</sup>.
- Nevertheless, mound height showed a weak but statistically significant (p<0.05) increase
- with terrain age at Fåbergstølsbreen (r = 0.47; p<0.05) and Nigardsbreen (r = 0.27; p<0.05).
- Mound surface composition, also weakly related to terrain age, was largely organic with up
- 697 to 17 % mineral material (mostly gravel), especially on relatively young terrain.
- 698
- 699 (3) Interrelationships between mound characteristics, terrain age and potential
- 700 explanatory variables were examined using non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS)
- with superimposed bubble plots (Fig. 10A-C) and a variety of simpler statistical techniques.
- Mound height was found to be related to the number of trees (almost entirely *Betula*
- 703 pubescens) occurring within 5 m of each mound at Bergsetbreen (r = 0.61; p<0.05) and
- Nigardsbreen (r = 0.47; p<0.05), which points to the importance of biological resources for
- 705 the establishment and expansion of ant populations.
- 706
- 707 (4) In addition to the necessity for organic thatch for mounds, the presence of sufficient
- trees promotes the development of ant-aphid mutualism, a conclusion supported by mounds
- being significantly larger where aphids were present than where they were absent.
- 710
- 711 (5) Mound size was related to physical habitat type. Mounds located on moraines and
- 712 till-plain habitats tended to be larger than those located on coarse-grained outwash deposits.
- 713 This pattern may be explained by substrate differences related to moisture retention and
- fertility, both of which promote tree growth.
- 715
- 716 (6) The strong preferred southerly aspect of mounds on all three glacier forelands
- 717 indicates the importance of direct solar radiation in maintaining mound temperatures when
- 718 the ant populations are active. This effect appears particularly important on glacier
- forelands in the absence of a complete tree canopy cover.
- 720
- 721 (7) Our geo-ecological conceptual model (Fig. 14) summarizes the complex
- 722 interrelationships between geo-ecological processes affecting ant populations on glacier
- forelands. In these landscapes, successional trends are modulated by spatial patterns in both
- the biotic and abiotic environment. Other key features of the model are the interactions
- between the developing tree canopy, mound building, the microclimate of nest mounds and
- 726 the development of ant-aphid mutualism.

- (8) Thus, the chronosequence methodology can be appropriately combined with a geo-
- 729 ecological approach in the context of the autecology of keystone species. Furthermore,
- 730 multivariate ordination techniques, such as NMDS, seem necessary for effective analysis in
- 731 this context, where complex interrelationships are characteristic, rather than simple one-to-
- 732 one causal relationships between particular variables.

733 734

## Acknowledgements

735

- 736 Field work was carried out on the Swansea University Jotunheimen Research Expeditions,
- 737 2013 and 2015. We are grateful to Ross Pinnuck for field assistance, Torstein Kvamme
- 738 (Norwegian Institute of Bioeconomy Research) for the identification of ant specimens,
- 739 Anna Ratcliffe (Swansea University) for preparing the figures for publication, and the
- 740 University of the West of England for a contribution to the expedition costs of JLH. This
- 741 paper is Jotunheimen Research Expeditions, Contribution No. 204 (see
- 742 http://jotunheimenresearch.wixsite.com/home)

743

744

### References

745

- 746 Andreassen LM and Winsvold SH (eds) (2012) *Inventory of Norwegian Glaciers*. Oslo:
- Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate (NVE). 747

748

- 749 Arnan X, Gracia M, Comas L et al. (2009) Forest management conditioning ground ant community structure and composition in temperate coniferous forests in the Pyrenees 750
- Mountains. Forest Ecology and Management 258: 51-59. 751

752

753 Aune B (1993) Temperatur normaler, normalperiode 1961-1990. Rapport 02/93. Den Oslo: 754 Norske Meteorologiske Institutt.

755

756 Bardgett RD and Walker LR (2004) Impact of coloniser plant species on the development of decomposer microbial communities following deglaciation. Soil Biology and 757 Biochemistry 36: 555-559. 758

759

760 Bardgett RD, Bowman WD, Kaufmann R et al. (2005) A temporal approach to linking 761 aboveground and belowground ecology. Trends in Ecology and Evolution 20: 634-641.

762

763 Bickerton RH and Matthews JA (1992) On the accuracy of lichenometric dates: an 764 assessment based on the 'Little Ice Age' moraine sequence of Nigardsbreen, southern 765 Norway. *The Holocene* 2: 227-237.

- 767 Bickerton RH and Matthews JA (1993) 'Little Ice Age' variations in outlet glaciers from
- 768 the Jostedalsbreen ice-cap, southern Norway: a regional lichenometric-dating study of ice-

marginal moraine sequences and their climatic significance. *Journal of Quaternary Science* 8: 45-66.

Billick I, Hammer S, Reithel JS et al. (2007) Ant-aphid interactions: are ants friends, enemies or both? *Annals of the Entomological Society of America* 100: 887-892.

Blüthgen N and Feldhaar H (2010) Food and shelter: how resources influence ant ecology.
 In: Lach L, Parr CL and Abbott KL (eds), *Ant Ecology*. Oxford: Oxford University Press,
 pp. 115-136.

Borkin KM, Summers RW and Thomas L (2012) Surveying abundance and stand type associations of *Formica aquilonia* and *F. lugubris* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) nest mounds over an extensive area: trialing a novel method. European *Journal of Entomology* 109: 47-53

Boudjema G, Lempérière G, Deschamps-Cottin M et al. (2006) Analysis and nonlinear modeling of the mound-building ant *Formica lugubris* spatial multi-scale dynamic in a larch-tree stand of the southern French Alps. *Ecological Modelling* 190: 147-158.

Boulay R, Coll-Toledano J, Manzaneda AJ et al. (2007) Geographic variations in seed dispersal by ants: are plant and seed traits decisive? *Naturwissenschaften* 94: 242-246.

Bradley JA, Singarayer JS and Anesio AM (2014) Microbial community dynamics in the
 forefield of glaciers. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B (Biological Sciences)* 281:
 20140882. <a href="http://dx.doi.org/10">http://dx.doi.org/10</a>. 1098/rspb.2014,0882.

Breen B (1979) Nest sites of *Formica lugubris* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in Irish plantation woods. *Journal of Life Sciences, Royal Dublin Society* 1: 13-32.

Breen B (2014) Species dossier, range and distribution data for the hairy wood ant, Formica lugubris, in Ireland. Irish Wildlife Manuals 68. National Parks and Wildlife Service, Ireland.

Buczkowski G and Bennett G (2009) Colony budding and its effects on food allocation in the highly polygynous ant, *Monomorium pharaonis*. *Ethology* 115: 1091-1099.

Carlson ML, Flagstad LA, Gilet F et al. (2010) Community development along a proglacial chronosequence: are above-ground and below-ground community structure controlled more by biotic than abiotic factors? *Journal of Ecology* 98, 1084–1095.

Chen Y-H and Robinson EJH (2013) A comparison of mark-release-recapture methods for estimating colony size in the wood ant *Formica lugubris*. *Insectes Sociaux* 60, 351-359.

Chen Y-H and Robinson EJH (2014) The relationship between canopy cover and colony size of the wood ant *Formica lugubris* - implications for the thermal effects on a keystone ant species. *PLoS ONE* 9(12): e116113, 1-18.

Clarke KR, Gorley RN, Somerfield PJ et al. (2014) *Change in Maritime Communities: An Approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation*, 3<sup>rd</sup> edition. Plymouth: PRIMER-E.

- 819 Clarke KR and Gorley RN (2015) *PRIMER v7: User Manual/Tutorial*. Plymouth:
- 820 PRIMER-E.

- 822 Coenen-Stass D, Schaarschmidt B and Lamprecht I (1980) Temperature distribution and
- 823 calorimetric determination of heat production in the nest of the wood ant, *Formica*
- 824 polyctena (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). Ecology 61: 238-244.

825

- 826 Collingwood CA (1979) The Formicidae (Hymenoptera) of Fennoscandia and Denmark.
- 827 Fauna Entomologica Scandinavica 8: 1-156.

828

- 829 Cox TF and Cox MAA (2000) Multidimensional Scaling, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. Boca Raton, FL:
- 830 Chapman and Hall/CRC Press.

831

- 832 Cutler N, Belyea LR and Dugmore AJ (2008) The spatiotemporal dynamics of a primary
- succession. *Journal of Ecology* 96: 231-246.

834

- 835 Czechowski W, Radchenko A and Czechowska W (2002) The ants (Hymenoptera,
- 836 Formicidae) of Poland. Warsaw: Museum and Institute of Zoology, Polish Academy of
- 837 Sciences.

838

- Dahl SO, Nesje A, Lie Ø et al. (2002) Timing, equilibrium-line altitudes and climatic
- 840 implications of two early-Holocene glacier readvances during the Erdalen Event at
- Jostedalsbreen, western Norway. *The Holocene* 12: 17-25.

842

- Darmody RG, Allen CE and Thorn CE (2005) Soil topochronosequences at Storbreen,
- Jotunheimen, Norway. Soil Science Society of America Journal 69: 1275-1287.

845

- Debout G, Schatz B, Elias M et al. (2007) Polydomy in ants: what we know, what we think
- we know, and what remains to be done. Biological Journal of the Linnean Society 90: 319-
- 848 348

849 850 851

- Deevey ES (1969) Coaxing history to conduct experiments. *Bioscience* 19: 40-43.
- Dekoninck W, Hendrickx F, Grootnaert P et al. (2010) Present conservation status of red wood ants in north-western Belgium: worse than previously, but not a lost cause. *European* 
  - 854 *Journal of Entomology* 107: 209-218.

855

- Diamond J (1986) Overview: laboratory experiments, field experiments, and natural
- 857 experiments. In: Diamond Jand Case TJ (eds) Community Ecology. New York: Harper and
- 858 Row, pp. 3-22.

859

- 860 Dixon AFG (1998) Aphid Ecology: An Optimization Approach, 2<sup>nd</sup> edition. London:
- 861 Chapman and Hall.

862

- Dixon AFG and Thieme T (2007) Aphids on Deciduous Trees. Slough, UK: Richmond
- 864 Publishing.

- Doblas-Miranda E, Wardler DA, Peltzer DA et al. (2008) Changes in community structure
- and diversity of soil invertebrates across the Franz Josef Glacier chronosequence. Soil
- 868 *Biology and Biochemistry* 40: 1069-1081.

Domisch T, Finér L and Jurgensen MF (2005) Red wood ant mound densities in managed boreal forests. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 42: 277-282.

872

Domisch T, Neuvonen S, Sundstrom L et al. (2011) Sources of variation in the incidence of ant-aphid mutualism in boreal forests. *Agricultural and Forest Entomology* 13: 239-245.

875

Domisch T, Risch AC and Robinson EJH (2016) Wood ant foraging and mutualism with aphids. In: Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (eds), *Wood Ant Ecology and Conservation*.

Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 145-176.

879

Eichorn O (1963) Die höhen- und waldtypenmässige Verbreitung der nützlichen Waldameisen in den Ostalpen. *Waldhygiene* 5: 129-135.

882

Ellis S and Robinson EJH (2014) Polydomy in red wood ants. *Insectes Sociaux* 61: 111-884 122.

885

Ellis S, Franks DW and Robinson EJH (2014) Resource redistribution in polydomous ant nest networks: local or global. *Behavioural Ecology* 25: 1183-1191.

888

Erschbaumer B and Caccianiga MS (2016) Glacier forelands: lessons of plant population and community development. *Progress in Botany* 78: 259-284.

891

Everitt BS and Hothorn T (2011) *An Introduction to Applied Multivariate Analysis with R*. New York: Springer.

894

Fernández-Martínez MA, Pérez-Ortega S, Pointing SB et al. (2017) Microbial succession dynamics along glacier forefield chronosequences in Tierra del Fuego (Chile). *Polar Biology* doi 10.1007/s00300-017-2110-7.

898

Finér L, Jurgensen MF, Domisch T et al. (2013) The role of wood ants (*Formica rufa* group) in carbon and nutrient dynamics of a boreal Norway spruce forest ecosystem. *Ecosystems* 16: 196-208.

902

903 Førland EJ (1993) *Nedbørnormaler, normalperiode 1961-90. Rapport 39/93.* Oslo: Den Norske Meteorologiske Institutt.

905

Fowler SV and Macgarvin M (1985) The impact of hairy wood ants, *Formica lugubris*, on the guild structure of herbivorous insects on birch, *Betula pubescens*. *Journal of Animal Ecology* 54: 847-855.

909

- Freitag A, Stockan JA, Bernasconi C et al. (2016) Sampling and monitoring wood ants. In:
- 911 Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (eds) Wood Ant Ecology and Conservation. Cambridge:
- 912 Cambridge University Press, pp. 238-263.

913

Frouz J and Jílková V (2008) The effects of ants on soil properties and processes (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Myrmecological News* 11: 191-199.

- 917 Frouz J, Jílková V and Sorvari J (2016) Contribution of wood ants to nutrient cycling and
- ecosystem function. In: Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (eds), Wood Ant Ecology and
- 919 Conservation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 207-220.

- Fukami T and Wardle DA (2005) Long-term ecological dynamics: reciprocal insights from
- 922 natural and anthropogenic gradients. Proceedings of the Royal Society B (Biological
- 923 *Sciences*) 272: 2105-2115.

924

Garibotti IA, Pissolito CL and Villalba R (2011) Spatiotemporal pattern of primary succession in relation to meso-topographic gradients on recently deglaciated terrain in the Patagonian Andes. *Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research* 43: 555-567.

928

Geiger R, Aron RH Todhunter P (2003) *The Climate Near the Ground.* 6<sup>th</sup> edition. Lanham, MD: Rowman and Littlefield.

931

Gibb H (2011) Experimental evidence for mediation of competition by habitat succession.
 *Ecology* 92: 1871-1878.

934

Gibb H and Hochuli DF (2003) Anthropogenic disturbance facilitated colonisation by a
 dominant ant: effects on ant community composition, biomass and resource use. *Oikos* 103:
 469-478.

938

Gibb H and Johansson T (2010) Forest succession and harvesting of Hemipteran honeydew by boreal ants. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 47: 99-110.

941 942

Gibb H, Andersson J and Johansson T (2016) Foraging loads of red wood ants: Formica
 aquilonia (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in relation to tree characteristics and stand age. *PeerJ* 4, e2049, DOI 10.7717/peerj.2049.

945

Gobbi M, De Bernardi F, Pelfini M et al. (2006) Epigean arthropod succession along a 154 year glacier foreland chronosequence in the Forni Valley (Central Italian Alps). *Arctic*,
 Antarctic and Alpine Research 38: 357-362.

949

Gorb SN and Gorb EV (1995) Removal rates of seeds of five myrmecochorous plants by the ant *Formica polyctena* (Hymenopters: Formicidae). *Oikos* 73: 367-374.

952 953

Gordon DM, Rosengren R and Sundström L (1992) The allocation of foragers in red wood ants. *Ecological Entomology* 17: 114-120.

954 955

Goropashnaya AV, Fedorov VB and Pamilo P (2004) Recent speciation in the *Formica rufa* group ants (Hymenoptera, Formicidae): inference from mitochondrial DNA
 phylogeny. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 32: 198-206.

959

Hågvar S (2005) Altitudinal zonation of ants (Formicidae) in a steep fjord landscape in
 Sogndal, Western Norway. *Norwegian Journal of Entomology* 52: 3-12.

- Hågvar S (2012) Primary succession on glacier forelands: how small animals conquer new
- land around melting glaciers. In: Young SS and Silvern SE (eds) *International Perspectives* on Global Environmental Change. Intech Open Access Publisher, pp. 151-172 (Available
- at: http://www.intecopen.com).

968 Hågvar S and Flø D (2015) Succession and phenology of the generalist predator *Mitopus* 969 morio (Fabricius, 1799) (Opiones) in a glacier foreland. Norwegian Journal of Entomology 970 62: 210-215.

971

972 Hodkinson ID, Coulson SJ and Webb NR (2004) Invertebrate community assembly along 973 proglacial chronosequences in the high Arctic. Journal of Animal Ecology 73: 556-568.

974

Hölldobler B and Wilson EO (1990) The Ants. Berlin: Springer.

975 976

977 Huang SP, Porter WP, Tu MC et al. (2014) Forest cover reduces thermally suitable habitats 978 and affects responses to warmer climate predicted in a high-elevation lizard. Oecologia 979 175: 25-35.

980

981 Hughes J (1999) The status of Formica lugubris Zett. and Formica aquilonia Yarrow [Hym: Formicidae] in Ross-shire and Sutherland. Entomologists Record and Journal of 982 983 Variation 111: 277-284.

984

985 Hughes J (2006) A Review of Wood Ants (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in Scotland. 986 Inverness: Scottish Natural Heritage. [Commissioned Report 178]

987 988

Jílková V, Šebek O and Frouz J (2012) Mechanisms of pH change in wood ant (Formica polyctena) nests. Pedobiologia 55: 247-251.

989 990 991

Johnson E and Miyanishi K (2008) Testing the assumptions of chronosequences in succession. Ecological Letters 11: 419-431.

992 993 994

Jones CC and del Moral R (2009) Dispersal and establishment both limit colonization during primary succession on a glacier foreland. Plant Ecology 204: 217-230.

995 996

997 Jurgensen M, Finér L, Domisch T et al. (2008) Organic mound-building ants: their impact 998 on soil properties in temperate and boreal forests. Journal of Applied Entomology 132: 266-999 275.

1000 1001 Kadochová Š and Frouz J (2014) Thermoregulation strategies in ants in comparison to other 1002 social insects, with a focus on red wood ants (Formica rufa group). F1000Research 2-280: 1003 v2, 1-16.

1004

1005 Kaštovská K, Elster J, Stibal M et al. (2005) Microbial assemblages in soil microbial 1006 succession after glacial retreat in Svalbard (High Arctic). *Microbial Ecology* 50: 396-407.

1007

1008 Kaufmann R (2001) Invertebrate succession on an alpine glacier foreland. *Ecology* 82: 1009 2261-2278.

1010

1011 Kilpeläinen J, Punttila P, Sundström L et al. (2005) Forest stand structure, site type and 1012 distribution of antmounds in boreal forests in Finland in the 1950s. Annales Zoologici

1013 1014 Fennici 42: 243-258.

- Kilpeläinen J, Punttila P, Finér L et al. (2008) Distribution of ant species and mounds
- 1016 (Formica) in different-aged managed spruce stands in eastern Finland. Journal of Applied
- 1017 Entomology 132: 315-325.

King, TJ (1977) The plant ecology of ant hills in calcareous grasslands I. Patterns of species in relation to ant-hills in southern England. *Journal of Ecology* 65: 235-256.

1021

1022 Klimetzek D (1981) Population studies on hill building wood-ants of the *Formica rufa* 1023 group. *Oecologia* 48: 418-421.

1024

1025 Kneitz G (1965) *Formica*-Arten mit vegetabilischen Nestbau in den Gurktaer Alpen 1026 (Kärnten). *Waldhygiene* 5: 240-250.

1027

Koivula M and Niemelä J (2003) Gap felling as a forest harvesting method in boreal forests: responses of carabid beetles (Coleoptera, Carabidae). *Ecography* 26: 179-187.

1029

Kvamme T and Wetås Å (2010) Revidert liste over norske maur [Revised list of Norwegian ants]. Nationalt senter for insektbiodiversity. Ås: Norsk Institutt for Skog og Landskap,.

1033

Laakso J and Setälä H (1998) Composition and trophic structure of detrital foodweb in ant nest mounds of *Formica aquilonia* and in the surrounding forest soil. *Oikos* 81: 266-278.

1036

Laine KJ and Niemelä P (1989) Nests and nest sites of red wood ants (Hymenoptera, Formicidae) in Subarctic Finland. *Annales Entomologici Fennici* 55: 81-88.

1039

Lawrence LR, Wardle DA, Bargett RD et al. (2010) The use of chronosequences in studies of ecological succession and soil development. *Journal of Ecology* 98: 725-736.

1042

Lenoir L (2002) Can wood ants distinguish between good and bad food patches on the forest floor? *European Journal of Soil Biology* 38: 97-102.

1045

Lenoir L, Persson T and Bengtsson J (2001) Wood ant nests as potential hot spots for carbon and nitrogen mineralization. *Biology and Fertility of Soils* 34: 235-240.

1048

Losapio G, Jordán F, Caccianiga M etal. (2015) Structure-dynamic relationship of plant insect networks along a primary succession gradient on a glacier foreland. *Ecological Modelling* 314: 73-79.

1052

Lutro O and Tveten E (1996) Geologisk kart over Norge, bergrunnskart Årdal M
 1:250,000. Trondheim: Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse.

1055

Mabelis AA (1984) Interference between wood ants and other ant species (Hymenoptera, Formicidae). *Netherlands Journal of Zoology* 34: 1-20.

1058

Maeder A, Cherix D, Bernasconi C et al. (2016) Wood ant reproductive biology and social systems. In: Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (eds) *Wood Ant Ecology and Conservation*.

Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. pp. 37-50.

1062

Mahdi T and Whittaker JB (1993) Do birch trees (*Betula pendula*) grow better if foraged by wood ants? *Journal of Animal Ecology* 62: 101-116.

1066 Manzaneda AJ and Rey PR (2009) Assessing ecological specialization of an ant-seed 1067 dispersal mutualism through a wide geographic range. Ecology 90: 3009-3022.

1068

1069 Manzaneda AJ and Rey PR (2012) Geographical and interspecific variation and the

1070 nutrient-enrichment hypothesis as an adaptive advantage of myrmecochory. *Ecography* 35:

1071 322-332.

1072

Matthews JA (1992) The Ecology of Recently-Deglaciated Terrain: a Geo-ecological 1073

1074 Approach to Glacier Forelands and Primary Succession. Cambrisdge: Cambridge

1075 University Press.

1076

1077 Matthews JA (1999) Disturbance regimes and ecosystem response on recently-deglaciated 1078 substrates. In: Walker LR (ed.) Ecosystems of Disturbed Ground. Amsterdam: Elsevier, pp.

1079 17-37.

1080

1081 Matthews JA and Vater AE (2015) Pioneer zone geo-ecological change: observations from

1082 a chronosequence on the Storbreen glacier foreland, Jotunheimen, southern Norway.

1083 Catena 135: 219-230.

1084

1085 Matthews JA and Whittaker RJ (1987) Vegetation succession on the Storbreen glacier 1086

foreland, Jotunheimen, Norway: a review. Arctic and Alpine Research 19: 385-395.

1087

1088 Matthews JA, Shakesby RA, Berrisford MS et al. (1998) Periglacial patterned ground on

the Styggedalsbeen glacier foreland, Jotunheimen, southern Norway: micro-topographic,

paraglacial and geoecological controls. Permafrost and Periglacial Processes 9: 147-166.

1090 1091

1089

1092 Mellor A (1985) Soil chronosequences on Neoglacial moraine ridges, Jostedalsbreen and

1093 Jotunheimen, southern Norway: a quantitative pedogenic approach. In: Richards KS, Arnett

1094 RR and Ellis S (eds) Geomorphology and Soils. London: George Allen and Unwin, pp.

1095 289-308.

1096

1097 Messer AC (1988) Regional variation in rates of pedogenesis and the influence of climatic

1098 factors on moraine chronosequences, southern Norway. Arctic and Alpine Research 20: 31-

1099

1100

1101 MINITAB (2010): MINITAB Version 17 Statistical Software. State College, PA: Minitab

1102 Inc. [www.minitab.com]

1103

1104 Moen A (1999) National Atlas of Norway: Vegetation. Hønefoss: Norwegian Mapping

1105 Agency.

1106

1107 Ohashi M, Kilpeläinen J, Finér L et al. (2007) The effect of red wood ant (Formica rufa

1108 group) mounds on root biomass, density and nutrient concentrations in boreal managed

1109 forests. Journal of Forest Research 12: 113-119.

1110

1111 Owen G, Matthews JA and Albert PG (2007) Rates of Holocene chemical weathering,

1112 'Little Ice Age' glacial erosion and implications for Schmidt-hammer dating at a glacier-

1113 foreland boundary, Fåbergstølsbreen, southern Norway. The Holocene 17: 829-834.

- Parmentier T, Dekoninck W and Wenseleers T (2014) A highly diverse microcosm in a
- hostile world: a review on the associates of red wood ants (Formica rufa group). Insectes
- 1117 *Sociaux* 61: 229-237.

- Polyanin AD and Manzhirov AV (2006) Handbook of Mathematics for Engineers and
- 1120 Scientists. Boca Raton, FL.

1121

- Porter SD, Fowler HG and MacKay WP (1992) Fire ant mound densities in the United
- 1123 States and Brazil (Hymenoptera: Formicidae). *Journal of Economic Entomology* 84: 1154-
- 1124 1161.

1125

- Prach K and Rachlewicz G (2012) Succession of vascular plants in front of retreating
- glaciers in central Spitsbergen. *Polish Polar Research* 33: 319-328.

1128

- Punttila P (1996) Succession, forest fragmentation, and the distribution of wood ants. Oikos
- 1130 75: 291-298.

1131

- Punttila P and Kilpeläinen J (2009) Distribution of mound-building ant species (Formica
- spp., Hymenoptera) in Finland: preliminary results of a national survey. *Annales Zoologici*
- 1134 Fennici 46: 1-15.

1135

- Punttila P, Niemelä P and Karhu K (2004) The impact of wood ants (Hymenoptera
- Formicidae) on the structure of invertebrate community on mountain birch (Betula
- pubescens ssp. czerepanovii). Annales Zoologici Fennici 41: 429-446.

1139

- Reznikova Z and Dorosheva H (2004) Impacts of red wood ants Formica polyctena on the
- spatial distribution and behavioural patterns of ground beetles (Carabidae). *Pedobiologia*
- 1142 48: 15-21.

1143

- Risch AC, Ellis S and Wiswell H (2016) Where and why? Red wood ant population
- ecology. In: Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (eds) Wood Ant Ecology and Conservation.
- 1146 Cambridger: Cambridge University Press, pp. 81-105.

1147

- Robbins JA and Matthews JA (2010) Regional variation in successional trajectories and
- rates on glacier forelands in south-central Norway. Arctic, Antarctic and Alpine Research
- 1150 42: 351–361.

1151

- Robinson EJH (2014) Polydomy: the organisation and adaptive function of complex nest
- systems in ants. Current Opinion in Insect Science 5: 37-43.

1154

- Robinson NA and Robinson EJH (2013) Myrmecophiles and other invertebrate nest
- associates of the red wood ant *Formica rufa* (Hymenoptera: Formicidae) in north-west
- England. British Journal of Entomology and Natural History 26: 67-88.

1158

- Robinson EJH and Stockan JA (2016) Future directions for wood ant ecology and
- 1160 conservation. In: Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (Eds) Wood Ant Ecology and
- 1161 Conservation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 287-299.

- 1163 Robinson EJH, Stockan JA and Iason GR (2016) Wood ants and their interaction with other
- organisms. In: Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (eds) Wood Ant Ecology and Conservation.
- 1165 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 177-206.

- Robinson EJH, Tofilski A and Ratnieks FLW (2008) The use of native and non-native tree
- species for foraging and nesting habitat by the wood-ant *Formica lugubris* (Hymenoptera:
- 1169 Formicidae). Myrmecological News 11: 1-7.

1170

- 1171 Rodrigues-Garcia E, Ordonez C and Bravo F (2011) Effects of shrub and canopy cover on
- the relative growth rate of *Pinus pinaster* Alt. seedlings of different sizes. *Annals of Forest*
- 1173 Science 68: 337-246.

1174

- 1175 Rosengren R, Fortelius W, Lindström K et al. (1987) Phenology and causes of nest heating
- and thermoregulation in red wood ants of the *Formica rufa* group studied in coniferous
- forest habitats in southern Finland. *Annales Zoologici Fennici* 24: 147-155.

1178

- 1179 Rosengren R and Sundström L (1991) The interaction between red wood ants, Cinara
- aphids, and pines A ghost of mutualism past? In: Huxley CR and Cutler DF (eds) Ant-
- 1181 Plant Interactions. Oxford: Oxford University Press pp. 80-91.

1182

- Savolainen R and Vepsäläinen K (1988) A competition hierarchy among boreal ants:
- impact on resource partitioning and community structure. *Oikos* 51: 135-155.

1185

- Savolainen R and Vepsäläinen K (1989) Niche differentation of ant species within
- territories of the dominant *Formica polyctena*. Oikos 56: 3-16.

1188

- 1189 Schmidt SK, Reed SC, Nemergut DR et al. (2015) The earliest stages of ecosystem
- succession in high-elevation (5000 metres above sea level), recently-deglaciated soils.
- 1191 Proceedings of the Royal Society B (Biological Sciences) 275: 2793-2802.

1192

- Seeley TD and Heinrich B (1981) Regulation in temperature in the nests of social insects.
- In: Heinrich, B. (Ed.), Insect Thermoregulation. John Wiley, New York, pp. 160-234.

1195

Skinner GJ and Allen GW (2015) *Ants*. Exeter: Pelagic Publishing. [Naturalist Handbooks

1197 24

1198

- Sorvari J (2016) Threats, conservation and management. In: Stockan JA and Robinson EJH
- 1200 (eds) Wood Ant Ecology and Conservation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp.
- 1201 264-285.

1202

- Sorvari J and Hakkarainen H (2005) Deforestation reduces nest mound size and decreases
- the production of sexual offspring in the wood ant Formica aquilonia. Annales Zoologici
- 1205 Fennici 42: 259-267.

1206

- Sorvari J, Elo RA and Härkönen SK (2016) Forest-built nest mounds of red wood ant
- 1208 Formica aquilonia are no good in clear fells. Applied Soil Ecology 101: 101-106.

1209

- 1210 Stadler B and Dixon AFG (2005) Ecology and evolution of aphid-ant interactions. *Annual*
- 1211 Review of Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 36: 345-372.

- 1213 Stevens PR and Walker TW (1970) The chronosequence concept and soil formation.
- 1214 *Quarterly Review of Biology* 45: 333-350.

- 1216 Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (eds) (2016) Wood Ant Ecology and Conservation.
- 1217 Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

1218

- 1219 Stockan JA, Robinson EJH, Trager JC et al. (2016) Introducing wood ants: evolution,
- phylogeny, identification and distribution. In: Stockan JA and Robinson EJH (eds) Wood
- 1221 Ant Ecology and Conservation. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, pp. 1-36.

1222

- 1223 Styrsky J and Eubanks M (2007) Ecological consequences of interactions between ants and
- honeydew producing insects. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B (Biological Sciences)* 274:
- 1225 151-164.

1226

- Sudd JH (1983) The distribution of foraging wood ants (Formica lugubris Zett.) in relation
- to the distribution of aphids. *Insectes Sociaux* 30: 298-307.

1229

- Sudd JH, Douglas JM, Gaynard T et al. (1977) Distribution of wood ants (Formica lugubris
- Zetterstedt) in a northern English forest. *Ecological Entomology* 2: 301-313.

1232

- 1233 Tampucci D, Gobbi M, Boracchi P et al. (2015) Plant and arthropod colonisation of a
- glacier foreland in a peripheral mountain range. *Biodiversity* 16: 213-223.

1235

- 1236 Travan J (1998) The impact of habitat factors on the colonization of red wood ants
- 1237 (Formica spp.) in the Bavarian Alps. Anzeiger für Schadlingskunde Pflanzenschutz
- 1238 *umweltschutz* 71: 105-109

1239

- 1240 Tscherko D, Hammesfahr H, Zeltner G et al. (2005) Plant succession and rhizosphere
- microbial communities in a recently deglaciated alpine terrain. Basic and Applied Ecology
- 1242 6: 367-383.

1243

- 1244 Vandegehuchte ML, Wermelinger B, Fraefel M et al. (2017) Distribution and habitat
- requirements of red wood ants in Switzerland: implications for conservation. *Biological*
- 1246 *Conservation* 212: 366-375.

1247

- 1248 Vater AE (2012) Insect and arachnid colonization on the Storbreen glacier foreland,
- Jotunheimen, Norway: persistence of taxa suggests an alternative model of succession. *The*
- 1250 Holocene 22: 1123-1133.

1251

- 1252 Vater AE and Matthews JA (2013) Testing the 'addition and persistence' model of
- invertebrate succession on a subalpine glacier–foreland chronosequence, Fåbergstølsbreen,
- southern Norway. The Holocene 23: 1151-1162.

1255

- 1256 Vater AE and Matthews JA (2015) Succession of pitfall-trapped insects and arachnids on
- eight Norwegian glacier forelands along an altitudinal gradient: patterns and models. *The*
- 1258 Holocene 25: 108-129.

- 1260 Vilmundardóttir OK, Gísladóttir G and Lal R (2017) A chronosequence approach to
- estimate the regional soil organic stock on moraines of two glacial fore-fields in SE-
- 1262 Iceland. Geografiska Annaler, Series A, Physical Geography 99: 207-221.

Vogt JT (2007) Three-dimensional sampling method for characterizing ant mounds. Florida Entomologist 90: 553-558. Walker LR, Wardle DA, Bardgett RD et al. (2010) The use of chronosequences in studies of ecological succession and soil development. Journal of Ecology 98: 725-736. Weber NA (1935) The biology of the thatching ant Formica rufa obscuripes Forel, in North Dakota. Ecological Monographs 5: 165-206. Wellenstein G (1952) Zur Ernahrungsbiologie der Roten Waldameise. Zeitschrift für Pflanzenkrankheiten, Pflanzenpathologie und Pflanzenschutz 59: 430-445. Whittaker RJ (1993) Plant population patterns in a glacier foreland succession: pioneer herbs and later-colonizing shrubs. *Ecography* 16: 117-136. Zahn M (1958) Temperatursinn, Wärmehaushalt und Bauweise der rotten Waldameise (Formica rufa L.). Zoologische Beiträge 3: 127-194. 

1313	FIGURE CAPTIONS
1314	
1315	Figure 1. Location of the glacier forelands of Nigardsbreen, Bergsetbreen and
1316	Fåbergstølsbreen in southern Norway, and the areas shown in detail in Figs 2, 3 and 4,
1317	respectively. Note the Jostedalsbreen ice-cap (stipple shading), main settlements and roads,
1318	and the 500 m contour intervals.
1319	
1320	Figure 2. Nigardsbreen glacier foreland showing the location of the ant nest mounds
1321	(numbered 1–100), glacier snout retreat positions since the Little Ice Age maximum in $\sim\!\!$ AD
1322	1750, and altitude (20 m contour intervals).
1323	
1324	Figure 3. Bergsetbreen glacier foreland showing the location of the ant nest mounds
1325	(numbered 1–35), glacier snout retreat positions since the Little Ice Age maximum in ~AD
1326	1750, and altitude (20 m contour intervals). For key, see Fig. 2.
1327	
1328	Figure 4. Fåbergstølsbreen glacier foreland showing the location of the ant nest mounds
1329	(numbered 1–18 on the north side of the foreland and 1-15 on the south side), glacier snout
1330	retreat positions since the Little Ice Age maximum in ~AD 1750, and altitude (20 m
1331	contour intervals). For key, see Fig. 2.
1332	
1333	Figure 5. (A) Typical ant nest mound on the glacier foreland of Bergsetbreen (mound
1334	height ~30 cm, ground cover largely Calluna vulgaris and Vaccinium myrtillus with small
1335	Betula pubescens trees in the background); (B) ant nest mound on a low moraine ridge
1336	surrounded by shrubby Betula pubescens (Bergsetbreen in the background, water bottle is
1337	15 cm high); (C) ant nest mound at Nigardsbreen on glaciofluvial outwash deposits with
1338	partial ground cover of Calluna vulgaris and, in the background, patchy Betula pubescens
1339	woodland and a solitary <i>Pinus sylvestris</i> tree (Note A4 sheet for scale to right of mound).
1340	
1341	Figure 6. Ants herding aphids and aphid eggs on Betula pubescens at Fåbergstølsbreen
1342	glacier foreland.
1343	
1344	Figure 7. Frequency histograms of mound size and surface characteristics, including all
1345	the mounds measured on the three glacier forelands: (A) mound height; (B) mound width;
1346	(C) mound volume; (D) sand % cover; (E) gravel % cover; (F). organic % cover.

1347 1348 Figure 8. Ant nest mounds of different sizes: (A) the smallest mound (height <10 cm) at 1349 Nigardsbreen surrounded by Empetrum hermaphroditum heath; (B) mound of intermediate 1350 size at Bergsetbreen surrounded by *Empetrum hermaphroditum* heath with individual poles 1351 of Betula pubescens and Salix sp.; (C) moderately large mound at Fåbergstølsbreen 1352 surrounded by Vaccinium myrtillus heath and scattered trees of Betula pubescens; (D) the 1353 largest mound (height 92 cm) at Fåbergstølsbreen in relatively dense Betula pubescens 1354 woodland outside the glacier foreland boundary. Note the water bottle is 25 cm high. 1355 1356 Figure 9. Selected statistically significant linear relationships between mound size and 1357 surface characteristics and terrain age (p<0.05): (A) sand % cover at Fåbergstølsbreen (r = -1358 0.53, n = 33); (B) organic % cover at Bergsetbreen (r = 0.36, n = 35); (C) mound height at 1359 Nigardsbreen (r = 0.27, n = 100); (D) mound height at Fåbergstølsbreen (r = 0.47, n = 33). 1360 95% confidence intervals are shown. 1361 1362 Figure 10. Non-metric multidimensional scaling and segmented bubble plots summarizing 1363 the interaction between mound characteristics, terrain age and environmental variables: (A) 1364 Nigardsbreen (stress = 7%); (B) Bergsetbreen (stress = 10%); and (C) Fåbergstølsbreen 1365 (stress = 8%). Six scaled mound size and surface composition characteristics are shown for 1366 each individual mound (see key). The length and direction of the vectors, which relate to 1367 both mound characteristics and environmental variables, indicate the strength and direction 1368 of their correlation with the axes defining the NMDS two-dimensional space. Extension of 1369 vectors to the full diameter of the circle, the position of which is arbitrary, would indicate 1370 perfect correlation. Note the 'poles' vector in (A) is too short to be seen. 1371 1372 Figure 11. (A) Boxplot of mound height in four habitat types (boxes define the interquartile 1373 range around the median; tails define the full range) based on the combined data set from 1374 the three glacier forelands; (B) Tukey simultaneous pairwise comparison of mound height 1375 in relation to the four habitat types using the same data set as in (A) - 95% confidence 1376 intervals are shown around the difference in means between each habitat pair (means are 1377 significantly different where corresponding confidence intervals do not contain zero); (C) 1378 boxplot of mound height where aphids are present or absent on trees within 5 m of the 1379 mound based on the combined data set from Nigardsbreen and Fåbergstølsbreen (aphids not having been investigated at Bergsetbreen); (D) Tukey pairwise comparison of mound height where aphids are present or absent using the same data set as in (C). Figure 12. Rose diagrams showing mound aspect on the three glacier forelands: (A) Nigardsbreen (n = 100 mounds); (B) Bergsetbreen (n = 35); and (C) Fåbergstølsbreen (n = 33). Percentage of mounds is shown in relation to 16 compass points; circles define 10% of mounds (labels highlight the aspects with >10% of mounds). Figure 13. Surface composition of (A) a relatively young mound with prominent gravel cover and (B) a relatively old mound with ~100% organic cover, Bergsetbreen glacier foreland. Figure 14. Conceptual model of the main geo-ecological processes (lower case lettering) affecting red wood ant populations on glacier forelands in southern Norway. Arrows indicate the direction of the main effects between compartments of the geo-ecosystem (upper case lettering in solid boxes). The dashed box encloses components of the internal environment of the nest mounds. 

**Table 1.** Summary of the distribution and density of ant nest mounds on the three glacier forelands. 'Youngest terrain' refers to the most recently deglaciated terrain on which mounds occur.

Glacier foreland	No. of mounds	Area searched (m <sup>2</sup> )	Density (No./hectare)	Youngest terrain (years)
Nigardsbreen	100	220,320	4.5	49
Bergsetbreen	35	74,440	4.6	83
Fåbergstølsbreen	33	127,800	2.5	78

**Table 2.** Summary of mound characteristics (size and surface composition) on the three glacier forelands (SD = standard deviation; \* = evidence of bimodality).

Nigardsbreen ( $n = 100$ )         Height (cm)       42.0       100       10       30-35*         Width (cm)       78.0       137       23       65-70         Volume ( $m^3$ )       0.17       0.98       0.003       0-0.05         Organic (%)       85.2       100       15       95-100         Sand (%)       2.7       15       0       0-1         Gravel (%)       12.4       80       0       0-55         Bergsetbreen ( $n = 35$ )         Height (cm)       43.5       92       8       40-45         Width (cm)       81.2       121       17       70-80         Volume ( $m^3$ )       0.20       0.79       0.001       0-0.05         Organic (%)       82.7       100       25       95-100         Sand (%)       2.7       10       0       0-1         Gravel (%)       14.2       65       0       0-5         Fåbergstølsbreen ( $n = 33$ )         Height (cm)       48.2       95       18       50-55*         Width (cm)       86.2       160       43       80-90         Volume ( $m^3$ )       0.23       1.37       0.01	65-70 23. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 19.3 0-1 3.5 0-55 18. 40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13.
Height (cm) 42.0 100 10 30-35* Width (cm) 78.0 137 23 65-70 Volume (m³) 0.17 0.98 0.003 0-0.05 Organic (%) 85.2 100 15 95-100 Sand (%) 2.7 15 0 0-1 Gravel (%) 12.4 80 0 0-55	65-70 23. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 19.8 0-1 3.5 0-55 18. 40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Height (cm) 42.0 100 10 30-35* Width (cm) 78.0 137 23 65-70 Volume (m³) 0.17 0.98 0.003 0-0.05 Organic (%) 85.2 100 15 95-100 Sand (%) 2.7 15 0 0-1 Gravel (%) 12.4 80 0 0-55	65-70 23. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 19.8 0-1 3.5 0-55 18. 40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Width (cm) $78.0$ $137$ $23$ $65-70$ Volume (m³) $0.17$ $0.98$ $0.003$ $0-0.05$ Organic (%) $85.2$ $100$ $15$ $95-100$ Sand (%) $2.7$ $15$ $0$ $0-1$ Gravel (%) $12.4$ $80$ $0$ $0-55$ Bergsetbreen (n = 35)Height (cm) $43.5$ $92$ $8$ $40-45$ Width (cm) $81.2$ $121$ $17$ $70-80$ Volume (m³) $0.20$ $0.79$ $0.001$ $0-0.05$ Organic (%) $82.7$ $100$ $25$ $95-100$ Sand (%) $2.7$ $10$ $0$ $0-1$ Gravel (%) $14.2$ $65$ $0$ $0-5$ Fåbergstølsbreen (n = 33)Height (cm) $48.2$ $95$ $18$ $50-55*$ Width (cm) $86.2$ $160$ $43$ $80-90$ Volume (m³) $0.23$ $1.37$ $0.016$ $0.1-0.3$ Organic (%) $88.4$ $100$ $47$ $95-100$ Sand (%) $3.3$ $10$ $0$ $0-1$	65-70 23. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 19.8 0-1 3.5 0-55 18. 40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Volume (m³)         0.17         0.98         0.003         0-0.05           Organic (%)         85.2         100         15         95-100           Sand (%)         2.7         15         0         0-1           Gravel (%)         12.4         80         0         0-55           Bergsetbreen (n = 35)           Height (cm)         43.5         92         8         40-45           Width (cm)         81.2         121         17         70-80           Volume (m³)         0.20         0.79         0.001         0-0.05           Organic (%)         82.7         100         25         95-100           Sand (%)         2.7         10         0         0-1           Gravel (%)         14.2         65         0         0-5           Fåbergstølsbreen (n = 33)           Height (cm)         48.2         95         18         50-55*           Width (cm)         86.2         160         43         80-90           Volume (m³)         0.23         1.37         0.016         0.1-0.3           Organic (%)         88.4         100         47         95-100           Sand (%)	0-0.05 0.1 95-100 19.8 0-1 3.5 0-55 18. 40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Organic (%)         85.2         100         15         95-100           Sand (%)         2.7         15         0         0-1           Gravel (%)         12.4         80         0         0-55           Bergsetbreen (n = 35)           Height (cm)         43.5         92         8         40-45           Width (cm)         81.2         121         17         70-80           Volume (m³)         0.20         0.79         0.001         0-0.05           Organic (%)         82.7         100         25         95-100           Sand (%)         2.7         10         0         0-1           Gravel (%)         14.2         65         0         0-5           Fåbergstølsbreen (n = 33)           Height (cm)         48.2         95         18         50-55*           Width (cm)         86.2         160         43         80-90           Volume (m³)         0.23         1.37         0.016         0.1-0.3           Organic (%)         88.4         100         47         95-100           Sand (%)         3.3         10         0         0-1	95-100 19.8 0-1 3.5 0-55 18. 40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Sand (%) 2.7 15 0 0-1 Gravel (%) 12.4 80 0 0-55 <b>Bergsetbreen</b> $(n = 35)$ Height (cm) 43.5 92 8 40-45  Width (cm) 81.2 121 17 70-80  Volume (m³) 0.20 0.79 0.001 0-0.05  Organic (%) 82.7 100 25 95-100  Sand (%) 2.7 10 0 0-1  Gravel (%) 14.2 65 0 0-5 <b>Fåbergstølsbreen</b> $(n = 33)$ Height (cm) 48.2 95 18 50-55*  Width (cm) 86.2 160 43 80-90  Volume (m³) 0.23 1.37 0.016 0.1-0.3  Organic (%) 88.4 100 47 95-100  Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	0-1 3.5 0-55 18. 40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Gravel (%) 12.4 80 0 0-55 <b>Bergsetbreen</b> $(n = 35)$ Height (cm) 43.5 92 8 40-45  Width (cm) 81.2 121 17 70-80  Volume (m³) 0.20 0.79 0.001 0-0.05  Organic (%) 82.7 100 25 95-100  Sand (%) 2.7 10 0 0-1  Gravel (%) 14.2 65 0 0-5 <b>Fåbergstølsbreen</b> $(n = 33)$ Height (cm) 48.2 95 18 50-55*  Width (cm) 86.2 160 43 80-90  Volume (m³) 0.23 1.37 0.016 0.1-0.3  Organic (%) 88.4 100 47 95-100  Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	0-55 18.  40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17.  50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Gravel (%) 12.4 80 0 0-55 <b>Bergsetbreen</b> $(n = 35)$ Height (cm) 43.5 92 8 40-45  Width (cm) 81.2 121 17 70-80  Volume (m³) 0.20 0.79 0.001 0-0.05  Organic (%) 82.7 100 25 95-100  Sand (%) 2.7 10 0 0-1  Gravel (%) 14.2 65 0 0-5 <b>Fåbergstølsbreen</b> $(n = 33)$ Height (cm) 48.2 95 18 50-55*  Width (cm) 86.2 160 43 80-90  Volume (m³) 0.23 1.37 0.016 0.1-0.3  Organic (%) 88.4 100 47 95-100  Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	40-45 20. 70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Height (cm) 43.5 92 8 40-45 Width (cm) 81.2 121 17 70-80 Volume (m³) 0.20 0.79 0.001 0-0.05 Organic (%) 82.7 100 25 95-100 Sand (%) 2.7 10 0 0-1 Gravel (%) 14.2 65 0 0-5 $F^{abergstolsbreen} (n = 33)$ Height (cm) 48.2 95 18 50-55* Width (cm) 86.2 160 43 80-90 Volume (m³) 0.23 1.37 0.016 0.1-0.3 Organic (%) 88.4 100 47 95-100 Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Height (cm) 43.5 92 8 40-45 Width (cm) 81.2 121 17 70-80 Volume (m³) 0.20 0.79 0.001 0-0.05 Organic (%) 82.7 100 25 95-100 Sand (%) 2.7 10 0 0-1 Gravel (%) 14.2 65 0 0-5 $Fåbergstolsbreen (n = 33)$ Height (cm) 48.2 95 18 50-55* Width (cm) 86.2 160 43 80-90 Volume (m³) 0.23 1.37 0.016 0.1-0.3 Organic (%) 88.4 100 47 95-100 Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Width (cm) $81.2$ $121$ $17$ $70-80$ Volume (m³) $0.20$ $0.79$ $0.001$ $0-0.05$ Organic (%) $82.7$ $100$ $25$ $95-100$ Sand (%) $2.7$ $10$ $0$ $0-1$ Gravel (%) $14.2$ $65$ $0$ $0-5$ Fåbergstølsbreen (n = 33)Height (cm) $48.2$ $95$ $18$ $50-55*$ Width (cm) $86.2$ $160$ $43$ $80-90$ Volume (m³) $0.23$ $1.37$ $0.016$ $0.1-0.3$ Organic (%) $88.4$ $100$ $47$ $95-100$ Sand (%) $3.3$ $10$ $0$ $0-1$	70-80 26. 0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Volume (m³) $0.20$ $0.79$ $0.001$ $0-0.05$ Organic (%) $82.7$ $100$ $25$ $95-100$ Sand (%) $2.7$ $10$ $0$ $0-1$ Gravel (%) $14.2$ $65$ $0$ $0-5$ Fåbergstølsbreen (n = 33)Height (cm) $48.2$ $95$ $18$ $50-55*$ Width (cm) $86.2$ $160$ $43$ $80-90$ Volume (m³) $0.23$ $1.37$ $0.016$ $0.1-0.3$ Organic (%) $88.4$ $100$ $47$ $95-100$ Sand (%) $3.3$ $10$ $0$ $0-1$	0-0.05 0.1 95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Organic (%)       82.7       100       25       95-100         Sand (%)       2.7       10       0       0-1         Gravel (%)       14.2       65       0       0-5         Fåbergstølsbreen (n = 33)         Height (cm)       48.2       95       18       50-55*         Width (cm)       86.2       160       43       80-90         Volume (m³)       0.23       1.37       0.016       0.1-0.3         Organic (%)       88.4       100       47       95-100         Sand (%)       3.3       10       0       0-1	95-100 18. 0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Sand (%) 2.7 10 0 0-1 Gravel (%) 14.2 65 0 0-5 <b>Fåbergstølsbreen</b> ( $n = 33$ ) Height (cm) 48.2 95 18 50-55* Width (cm) 86.2 160 43 80-90 Volume (m³) 0.23 1.37 0.016 0.1-0.3 Organic (%) 88.4 100 47 95-100 Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	0-1 3.5 0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Gravel (%) 14.2 65 0 0-5 <b>Fåbergstølsbreen</b> $(n = 33)$ Height (cm) 48.2 95 18 50-55* Width (cm) 86.2 160 43 80-90 Volume (m³) 0.23 1.37 0.016 0.1-0.3 Organic (%) 88.4 100 47 95-100 Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	0-5 17. 50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Fåbergstølsbreen ( $n = 33$ )Height (cm) $48.2$ $95$ $18$ $50-55*$ Width (cm) $86.2$ $160$ $43$ $80-90$ Volume ( $m^3$ ) $0.23$ $1.37$ $0.016$ $0.1-0.3$ Organic (%) $88.4$ $100$ $47$ $95-100$ Sand (%) $3.3$ $10$ $0$ $0-1$	50-55* 18. 80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Height (cm)       48.2       95       18       50-55*         Width (cm)       86.2       160       43       80-90         Volume (m³)       0.23       1.37       0.016       0.1-0.3         Organic (%)       88.4       100       47       95-100         Sand (%)       3.3       10       0       0-1	80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Height (cm)       48.2       95       18       50-55*         Width (cm)       86.2       160       43       80-90         Volume (m³)       0.23       1.37       0.016       0.1-0.3         Organic (%)       88.4       100       47       95-100         Sand (%)       3.3       10       0       0-1	80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Width (cm)       86.2       160       43       80-90         Volume (m³)       0.23       1.37       0.016       0.1-0.3         Organic (%)       88.4       100       47       95-100         Sand (%)       3.3       10       0       0-1	80-90 23. 0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Volume (m³)       0.23       1.37       0.016       0.1-0.3         Organic (%)       88.4       100       47       95-100         Sand (%)       3.3       10       0       0-1	0.1-0.3 0.2 95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Organic (%) 88.4 100 47 95-100 Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	95-100 13. 0-1 3.2
Sand (%) 3.3 10 0 0-1	0-1 3.2
0 1 (0/) 10 10 46 0 0 7	0-5 13.
Gravel (%) 10.10 46 0 0-5	
	·

**Table 3.** Pearson correlation coefficients between mound characteristics and potential response variables from the three glacier forelands. Values in bold italics and underlined are statistically significant (p<0.05).

A. Nigardsbr	een (n = 1	00)								
	Height	Width	Volume	Sand	Gravel	Organic	Altitude	Age	Trees	Poles
Width	<u>0.698</u>									
Volume	<u>0.872</u>	<u>0.825</u>								
Sand	<i>-0.212</i>	-0.047	-0.119							
Gravel	<u>-0.295</u>	-0.083	<i>-0.239</i>	<u>0.536</u>						
Organic	<u>0.273</u>	0.039	<u>0.219</u>	<u>-0.617</u>	<u>-0.968</u>					
Altitude	<i>-0.243</i>	<u>-0.271</u>	<u>-0.237</u>	0.006	0.077	-0.027				
Age	<u>0.267</u>	<u>0.200</u>	<u>0.206</u>	-0.018	-0.063	0.026	<u>-0.890</u>			
Trees	<u>0.469</u>	<u>0.416</u>	<u>0.523</u>	-0.164	<u>-0.380</u>	<u>0.368</u>	-0.124	0.044		
Poles	-0.001	0.044	0.033	-0.051	0.002	0.034	<u>0.303</u>	<u>-0.346</u>	0.075	
Ground cover	0.161	0.101	0.155	<u>-0.294</u>	<u>-0.271</u>	<u>0.311</u>	-0.063	0.016	<u>0.303</u>	0.177
B. Bergsetbre	en (n = 35	5)								
	Height	Width	Volume	Sand	Gravel	Organic	Altitude	Age	Trees	Poles
Width	<i>0.749</i>									
Volume	<u>0.923</u>	<u>0.765</u>								
Sand	0.108	0.227	0.055							
Gravel	-0.217	-0.084	-0.192	0.300						
Organic	0.159	0.048	0.169	<u>-0.476</u>	<u>-0.963</u>					
Altitude	-0.028	-0.033	-0.188	<u>0.361</u>	0.125	-0.223				
Age	0.146	0.059	0.270	-0.287	-0.307	0.355	<u>-0.838</u>			
Trees	<u>0.609</u>	<u>0.417</u>	<u>0.619</u>	-0.157	-0.248	0.277	<u>-0.338</u>	<u>0.334</u>		
Poles	<u>0.383</u>	0.355	<u>0.434</u>	-0.152	-0.340	0.327	-0.353	<u>0.546</u>	0.355	
Ground cover	0.137	0.131	0.156	-0.170	-0.100	0.122	-0.115	0.283	0.081	0.125
C. Fåbergstøl										
	Height	Width	Volume	Sand	Gravel	Organic	Altitude	Age	Trees	Poles
Width	<u>0.529</u>									
Volume	<u>0.769</u>	<u>0.781</u>								
Sand	-0.265	-0.009	-0.251							
Gravel	-0.311	-0.189	-0.246	<u>0.566</u>						
Organic	0.268	0.105	0.239	<u>-0.793</u>	<u>-0.830</u>					
Altitude	<u>-0.459</u>	-0.175	<u>-0.368</u>	<u>0.537</u>	<u>0.388</u>	<u>-0.457</u>				
Age	<u>0.474</u>	0.193	<u>0.351</u>	<u>-0.534</u>	<u>-0.439</u>	<u>0.478</u>	<u>-0.821</u>			
Trees	0.025	0.053	0.014	-0.157	-0.063	0.274	-0.118	-0.127		
Poles	0.048	-0.060	0.036	-0.242	-0.120	0.110	-0.335	0.307	-0.288	
Ground cover	0.176	0.247	0.170	-0.285	-0.240	0.320	-0.285	0.230	0.089	<u>0.347</u>