

1 Small rock-slope failures conditioned by Holocene permafrost
2 degradation: a new approach and conceptual model based on Schmidt-
3 hammer exposure-age dating, Jotunheimen, southern Norway

4
5 JOHN A. MATTHEWS, STEFAN WINKLER, PETER WILSON, MATT D.
6 TOMKINS, JASON M. DORTCH, RICHARD W. MOURNE, JENNIFER L. HILL,
7 GERAINT OWEN AND AMBER E. VATER

8
9
10 Matthews, J. A., Winkler, S., Wilson, P., Tomkins, M. D., Dortch, J. M., Mourné, R.
11 W., Hill, J. L., Owen, G. AND Vater, A.E.: Small rock-slope failures conditioned by
12 Holocene permafrost degradation: a new approach and conceptual model based on
13 Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating.

14
15 Rock-slope failures (RSFs) constitute significant natural hazards but the geophysical
16 processes which control their timing are poorly understood. However, robust
17 chronologies can provide valuable information on the environmental controls on RSF
18 occurrence: information which can inform models of RSF activity in response to
19 climatic forcing. This paper uses Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating (SHD) of
20 boulder deposits to construct a detailed regional Holocene chronology of the
21 frequency and magnitude of small rock-slope failures (SRSFs) in Jotunheimen,
22 Norway. By focusing on the depositional fans of SRSFs ($\leq 10^3$ m³), rather than on the
23 corresponding features of massive RSFs ($\sim 10^8$ m³), 92 single-event RSFs are targeted
24 for chronology building. A weighted SHD age-frequency distribution and probability
25 density function analysis indicate four centennial- to millennial-scale periods of
26 enhanced SRSF frequency, with a dominant mode at ~ 4.5 ka. Using change detection
27 and discreet Meyer wavelet analysis, in combination with existing permafrost depth
28 models, we propose that enhanced SRSF activity was primarily controlled by
29 permafrost degradation. Long-term relative change in permafrost depth provides a
30 compelling explanation for the high-magnitude departures from the SRSF background
31 rate and accounts for (i) the timing of peak SRSF frequency, (ii) the significant lag
32 (~ 2.2 ka) between the Holocene Thermal Maximum and the SRSF frequency peak,
33 and (iii) the marked decline in frequency in the late-Holocene. This interpretation is
34 supported by geomorphological evidence, as the spatial distribution of SRSFs is
35 strongly correlated with the aspect-dependent lower altitudinal limit of mountain
36 permafrost in cliff faces. Results are indicative of a causal relationship between
37 episodes of relatively warm climate, permafrost degradation and the transition to a
38 seasonal-freezing climatic regime. This study highlights permafrost degradation as a
39 conditioning factor for cliff collapse, and hence the importance of paraperiglacial
40 processes; a result with implications for slope instability in glacial and periglacial
41 environments under global warming scenarios.

42
43 *John A. Matthews (J.A.Matthews@Swansea.ac.uk), Department of Geography,*
44 *College of Science, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, Wales,*
45 *UK; Stefan Winkler. Department of Geography and Geology, Julius-Maximilians-*
46 *University Würzburg, Am Hubland, 97070 Würzburg, Germany; Peter Wilson, School*
47 *of Geography and Environmental Sciences, Ulster University, Cromore Road,*
48 *Coleraine BT52 1SA, Northern Ireland, UK; Matt D. Tomkins, Cryosphere Research*
49 *at Manchester, Department of Geography, University of Manchester, Manchester*
50 *M13 9PL, UK; Jason M. Dortch, Kentucky Geological Survey, 228 Mining and*

51 *Mineral Resources Building, University of Kentucky, Lexington, Kentucky 40506,*
52 *USA; Richard W. Mourné, Department of Geography and Environmental*
53 *Management, University of the West of England, Coldharbour Lane, Bristol BS16*
54 *1QY, UK; Jennifer L. Hill, Department of Geography and Environmental*
55 *Management, University of the West of England, Coldharbour Lane, Bristol BS16*
56 *1QY, UK; Geraint Owen, Department of Geography, College of Science, Swansea*
57 *University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2 8PP, Wales; Amber E. Vater, Department of*
58 *Geography, College of Science, Swansea University, Singleton Park, Swansea SA2*
59 *8PP, Wales;*

60
61

62 Rock-slope failures (RSFs) are indicative of instability in the landscape (Brunsden &
63 Prior, 1984) and constitute significant natural hazards (Davies 2015). The immediate
64 causes of RSFs include geophysical processes and trigger factors often reflecting the
65 fracture mechanics of rocks and changes in cleft water pressure (e.g. Whalley *et al.*
66 1982; Whalley 1984; Douglas & Whalley 1991; Evans *et al.* 2006; Clague & Stead
67 2012). However, the occurrence, magnitude and frequency of RSFs are conditioned
68 by a wide range of environmental factors that reflect geomorphology, hydrology,
69 climate and environmental change (e.g. Rapp 1960a, b; Gardner, 1983; Evans &
70 Clague 1994), which affect the magnitude and frequency of events. Understanding
71 these broader environmental controls on RSF occurrence provides crucial information
72 which can inform modelling of future RSF activity in response to climate forcing
73 (Gariano & Guzzetti 2016).

74

75 Numerous RSFs have been investigated in regions of high relief and, in some
76 cases, RSF deposits have been dated (e.g. Korup *et al.* 2007; Ballantyne *et al.* 2014a,
77 b). However, previous research has primarily focused on modern examples,
78 spectacular cases or small numbers of massive rock-slope failures (MRSFs; $\sim 10^8$ m³)
79 which, in combination with uncertainty associated with current geochronological
80 approaches, limits our understanding of the fundamental geophysical processes and
81 environmental controls that determine RSF occurrence. Particular studies of RSFs
82 have used a variety of techniques and, on some occasions, a combination of
83 geochronological methods (Lang *et al.* 1999; Hermanns *et al.* 2000; Crosta & Clague
84 2009; Deline & Kirkbride 2009; Prager *et al.* 2009; Pánek 2014; Böhme *et al.* 2015;
85 Moreiras *et al.* 2015; Mercier *et al.* 2017), but the opportunities for accurate dating are
86 relatively rare.

87

88 The primary method for numerical-age dating of RSF deposits is terrestrial
89 cosmogenic nuclide dating (TCND; ¹⁰Be, ²⁶Al, ³⁶Cl) as this technique permits direct
90 sampling and age determination of the exposed rock surfaces associated with RSFs
91 (Hermanns *et al.* 2001, 2004, 2017; Cossart *et al.* 2008; Dortch *et al.* 2009; Ivy-Ochs
92 *et al.* 2009; Penna *et al.* 2011; Ballantyne & Stone 2013; Ballantyne *et al.* 2013,
93 2014a, b; Böhme *et al.* 2015; Schleier *et al.* 2015, 2017). However, the high financial
94 cost of this technique limits its routine application which, in turn, often prevents
95 statistically robust identification and rejection of erroneous results (Tomkins *et al.*
96 2018b). Consequently, there are still few reliable chronologies of RSFs, which limits
97 our understanding of the environmental factors determining their spatial and temporal
98 occurrence.

99

100 In this paper we develop a methodology for the investigation and dating of

101 RSFs, with targeted study of ‘small rock-slope failures’ (SRSFs; $<10^3$ m³). This focus
102 has the advantage over MRSFs of permitting the dating and study of a relatively large
103 sample of simple, likely single-event RSFs within a specified region. The
104 methodology has been developed in conjunction with the relatively new calibrated-
105 age dating technique of Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating (SHD) (Shakesby *et al.*
106 2006, 2011; Winkler *et al.* 2010, 2016; Matthews & Owen 2011; Matthews *et al.*
107 2015; Matthews & Wilson 2015; Wilson *et al.* 2017). SHD has the potential to
108 estimate the numerical age of rock-surface exposure at low cost with comparable
109 accuracy and precision, and greater representativeness, than TCND over the
110 Lateglacial and Holocene (cf. Winkler 2009; Winkler & Matthews 2010; Matthews &
111 Winkler 2011; Matthews *et al.* 2013; Wilson & Matthews 2016; Tomkins *et al.* 2016,
112 2018a, b, c).

113

114 Specific objectives of this paper are three-fold: (i) to establish a Holocene
115 chronology of SRSF events in the alpine zone of Jotunheimen, southern Norway and
116 identify any phases of instability; (ii) to explore relationships between the timing of
117 Holocene SRSF events and regional environmental changes, including climatic
118 changes; and (iii) to develop further the potential of SHD as a calibrated-age dating
119 technique in the context of RSFs.

120

121

122 Study area and environmental context

123

124 SRSFs were investigated in a broad area of northern Jotunheimen, the highest
125 mountain massif in southern Norway, which culminates in Galdhøpiggen (2469 m
126 a.s.l.). The study area extends from Sognefjell in the west to Veodalen in the east (Fig.
127 1). Most SRSFs were found in Leirdalen, Bjørndalen (a western tributary valley to
128 upper Leirdalen) and Gravdalen. The SRSFs occurred over an altitudinal range of 600
129 m (950-1550 m a.s.l.), mainly above the tree line, which lies at ~1000-1100 m a.s.l.,
130 in the alpine zone, and mainly in the low- and mid-alpine belts (Moen 1999).
131 Examples of SRSFs from the study area are shown in Fig. 2.

132

133 Climatic data from the Sognefjell meteorological station (1413 m a.s.l.)
134 indicate a mean annual air temperature of +3.1 °C (mean July temperature +13.4 °C;
135 mean January temperature –10.7 °C), and a mean annual precipitation of 860 mm,
136 much of which occurs as snow (climatic normals AD 1961-1990; Aune 1993; Førland
137 1993). These data are consistent with a lower altitudinal limit of discontinuous
138 permafrost at ~1450 m a.s.l. in the Galdhøpiggen massif (Ødegård *et al.* 1992; Isaksen
139 *et al.* 2002; Farbrot *et al.* 2009; Lilleøren *et al.* 2012) with permafrost limits rising
140 eastwards as continentality increases (Etzelmüller *et al.* 2003; Ginås *et al.* 2017).
141 However, Hipp *et al.* (2014) have demonstrated a large difference of several hundred
142 metres in the lower limits of permafrost between north- and south-facing rock walls.
143 In the Galdhøpiggen massif, the lower altitudinal limit of rock-wall permafrost is
144 located at 1500-1700 m a.s.l. in south-facing rock walls but only 1200-1300 m a.s.l. in
145 shaded, north-facing rock walls (Hipp *et al.* 2014). Small valley glaciers, cirque
146 glaciers and ice caps are common at and above these altitudes on the surrounding
147 mountain peaks and plateaux (Andreassen & Winsvold 2012).

148

149 The metamorphic geology of the region consists primarily of pyroxene-
150 granulite gneiss with peridotite intrusions and quartzitic veins (Battey & McRitchie

151 1973, 1975; Lutro & Tveten 1996), and gabbroic gneiss in the area investigated on
152 Sognefjell (Gibbs & Banham 1979). Only boulders and bedrock of pyroxene-
153 granulite gneiss and gabbroic gneiss were used in this study, as described below.
154 Although these broad lithological categories include quite variable mineralogy, any
155 differences in surface R-values due to lithology will likely be significantly smaller
156 than the effect of variable exposure age given the relatively long Holocene
157 timescales of exposure and limited climatic variability within the study region.
158 Topographically, most of the valley-side slopes have experienced a considerable
159 degree of glacial erosion, although elements of ancient palaeic surfaces are
160 preserved in the landscape (Ahlmann 1922; Gjessing 1967; Lidmar-Bergström *et al.*
161 2000) due, at least in part, to non-erosive, cold-based conditions during glaciations.

162

163 Jotunheimen was located near the position of the main ice-divide and ice-
164 accumulation area of the Scandinavian Ice-Sheet at the maximum of the Last
165 (Weichselian) Glaciation. Deglaciation of the main valleys is likely to have occurred
166 by ~9.7 ka, following the Erdalen Event, late in the Preboreal chronozone (Dahl *et*
167 *al.* 2002; Matthews & Dresser 2008; Velle *et al.* 2010). Most glaciers appear to have
168 melted away during the Holocene Thermal Maximum (Nesje 2009) when permafrost
169 limits were also higher than today (Lilleøren *et al.* 2012), but regenerated during
170 neoglaciation, certainly by 5.5 ka and possibly as early as 7.6 ka (Ødegård *et al.*
171 2017). Both neoglaciation and lowering of permafrost limits occurred as a result of
172 climatic deterioration (cooler and wetter) in the late Holocene, culminating in the
173 Little Ice Age glacier maximum of the eighteenth century (Matthews 1991, 2005;
174 Matthews & Dresser 2008). Future predicted mean annual warming of 0.3-0.4 °C
175 per decade in Scandinavia (Benestad 2005) is likely to lead to unprecedented glacier
176 retreat (Nesje *et al.* 2008) and a continuing rise in permafrost limits (Lilleøren *et al.*
177 2012).

178

179

180 Methodology

181

182 *Definitions and criteria for recognition of SRSFs*

183

184 The term ‘rock-slope failure’ (RSF) refers to both (i) a mass-movement process
185 involving the deformation and loss of integrity of a volume of intact bedrock followed
186 by its *en masse* collapse and downslope movement under gravity and (ii) the resulting
187 landform. This definition is used here to distinguish RSF from ‘rockfall’ – the
188 smaller-scale process involving the piecemeal detachment and free fall of individual
189 rock particles – even though the term rockfall is commonly used at all scales,
190 including the largest landslides and rock avalanches (MRSFs), which are often
191 complex and multiphase (cf. Bates & Jackson 1987; Cruden & Varnes 1996; Braathen
192 *et al.* 2004; Evans *et al.* 2006; Hermanns *et al.* 2006; Jarman 2006; Frattini *et al.*
193 2012; Hermanns & Longva 2012; Luckman 2013; Shakesby 2014; Brideau & Roberts
194 2015).

195

196 Fundamental to this study was the selection of SRSF landforms that
197 represented, as far as it was possible to ascertain, the product of single events. Criteria
198 for recognition of such SRSFs were as follows: (i) a compact and coherent
199 depositional fan of predominantly angular boulders located close to a bedrock cliff.

200 (ii) a simple erosional scar in the cliff, immediately upslope of the fan, which is
201 comparable in scale to the fan and therefore represents the likely source of the failed
202 rock material; and (iii) an absence of alternative sources of boulders up-slope of the
203 scar.

204

205 Although no upper limit was placed on the size of the SRSFs recognized in
206 this study, these criteria become less easily satisfied as RSFs increase in size. The
207 lower size limit was the practical one of sufficient boulders for reliable Schmidt
208 hammer measurement. Thus, the size range included in the study was determined by
209 the RSFs in the region. Furthermore, the 92 investigated cases represent the whole
210 population of SRSFs that satisfied the above criteria in the study area.

211

212 *Measurement of SRSF characteristics*

213

214 Estimates were made in the field of the length and average width of the depositional
215 fan of each SRSF. Aspect and the altitude of the fan apex were estimated from
216 topographic maps at a scale of 1:50000 with a contour interval of 20 m, supplemented
217 by altimeter and GPS measurements in the field. Fan volume was calculated from the
218 length and average width measurements, assuming an average fan thickness of 1 m
219 and a voids fraction (volume of voids/total fan volume) of 40%. Although some of the
220 largest fans are thicker than 1 m in places, all are thinly spread across and down slope
221 and rarely involve piles of debris. Lower voids fractions have generally been used for
222 MRSFs, rock avalanches, talus and other mass movement types involving mixed
223 particle sizes, fine matrix and/or compacted material (Sass & Wollny 2001; Hungr &
224 Evans 2004; Wilson 2009; Owen *et al.* 2010; Stock & Uhrhammer 2010; Sandøy *et*
225 *al.* 2017). The value of 40% is justified given the absence of fine matrix (Fig. 2) and
226 lack of compaction, and its compatibility with similar values for clean, open-graded,
227 angular aggregate material used as backfill in foundation engineering (StormTech
228 2012; cf. Dann *et al.* 2009).

229

230 *Measurement of Schmidt-hammer R-values*

231

232 N-type mechanical Schmidt hammers (Proceq 2004; Winkler & Matthews 2014) were
233 used to measure rebound (R-) values from 100 boulders in each depositional fan. R-
234 values reflect lithologically-determined rock hardness and the compressive strength of
235 the rock surface: hence, R-values decline following exposure of a rock surface to
236 subaerial weathering. For boulder surfaces of the same lithology but differing age, R-
237 values therefore reflect the exposure age (time elapsed since exposure) of the rock
238 surface. Use of one impact per boulder from a large sample of boulders ensures that
239 the R-value frequency distribution can be used to approximate the boulder-age
240 distribution (Matthews *et al.* 2014, 2015).

241

242 Precautions taken to eliminate or reduce possible sources of uncertainties and
243 errors in Schmidt-hammer measurement included avoiding unstable or small boulders,
244 boulder or bedrock edges, joints or cracks, unusual lithologies and lichen-covered or
245 wet surfaces (cf. Shakesby *et al.* 2006; Matthews & Owen 2010; Viles *et al.* 2011).
246 Rock surfaces were not cleaned or artificially abraded prior to impact with the
247 Schmidt hammer (cf. the carborundum treatment of Viles *et al.* 2011) because such
248 treatment would likely remove age-related weathering effects. However, there is
249 continued debate as to whether rock surfaces should be abraded prior to testing

250 (Moses *et al.* 2014) although a consistent sampling approach may enable age-related
251 information to be retained (c.f. Tomkins *et al.* 2018b). Where possible, horizontal
252 boulder surfaces were impacted but only vertical rock faces were available on cliffs.
253 The two hammers used had been recently re-calibrated at a recognised service centre
254 and were tested frequently on the manufacturer's test anvil throughout the study to
255 ensure there had been no deterioration in instrument performance following large
256 numbers of impacts (cf. McCarroll 1987, 1994; Winkler & Matthews 2016).
257 Measurements at 84 sites were restricted to rock surfaces of pyroxene-granulite
258 gneiss. At the 8 sites on Sognefjell, gneissic rocks with gabbroic textures were used,
259 which necessitated a separate calibration equation (see below).

260

261 *Testing the validity of the approach*

262

263 In order to test the validity of our approach, and especially whether the boulders
264 comprising the depositional fans actually represent single rock-failure events and
265 whether the local source of the boulders had been correctly identified, R-value
266 distributions associated with six fans and their corresponding scars were investigated.
267 Two separate tests of validity were conducted.

268

269 First, in the *fan-scar comparison test*, a comparable sample of R-values ($n =$
270 100) from the surface of the corresponding scar was compared with the R-value
271 distribution of the fan to identify whether or not the scar was the likely source of the
272 boulders in the fan. If the scar was indeed the source of the boulders, the expectation
273 would be no significant difference in the R-values derived from the scar and its
274 corresponding fan because both would have experienced exposure over the same
275 period of time.

276

277 Second, the *unfailed-cliff test* required a comparable sample of R-values ($n =$
278 100) from the adjacent intact (unfailed) bedrock cliff and also aimed to establish that
279 the cliff was the bedrock source for the fan boulders. If this was the case, it would be
280 expected that R-values from the unfailed cliff would be similar to or lower than the R-
281 values of both the scar and the fan. Any departure from these expectations would
282 indicate possible flaws in our approach.

283

284 The principles behind the fan-scar comparison test and the unfailed-cliff test
285 are illustrated in Fig. 3, which also shows the expected relationships between R-
286 values from the fans and R-values from the rock surfaces used as control points in the
287 calibration equations.

288

289 *Calibrated-age dating using SHD*

290

291 Although there was earlier use of the Schmidt hammer for dating purposes (e.g.
292 Matthews & Shakesby 1984; Nesje *et al.* 1994; Aa & Sjøstad 2000; Aa *et al.* 2007),
293 SHD has been developed more recently as a calibrated-age dating technique (Colman
294 *et al.*, 1987) incorporating measures of uncertainty based on statistical confidence
295 intervals (cf. Shakesby *et al.* 2006; Matthews & Owen 2011; Matthews & Winkler
296 2011; Matthews & McEwen 2013). Critically, this involves the derivation of a
297 calibration equation and confidence limits for age.

298

299 The calibration equation is based on linear regression of surface age (Y) on
300 mean R-value (X):

$$301 \\ 302 Y = a + bX \quad (1)$$

303
304 A linear relationship can be justified on both theoretical and empirical grounds.
305 Although chemical weathering rates are likely to decline over longer timescales
306 (Colman 1981; Colman & Dethier 1986; Stahl *et al.* 2013; Tomkins *et al.* 2018a, b),
307 near-linear rates can be expected over the Holocene timescale, especially where
308 relatively resistant lithologies are subject to relatively slow rates of chemical
309 weathering in a periglacial environment (André 1996, 2002; Nicholson 2008, 2009;
310 Matthews & Owen 2011; Matthews *et al.* 2016). Although physical (freeze-thaw)
311 weathering is well known in periglacial environments, it is highly dependent on
312 moisture availability for ice-lens growth (Hallet *et al.* 1991; Hall *et al.* 2002; Murton
313 *et al.* 2006; Matsuoka & Murton 2008) and there is no evidence that it has affected the
314 well-drained surfaces used in this study (neither boulders in the dated depositional
315 fans nor bedrock control surfaces).

316
317 Furthermore, Shakesby *et al.* (2011) specifically tested the linearity
318 assumption in relation to granite boulders on independently-dated staircases of raised
319 beaches deposited since 10.4 ka in northern Sweden, with the conclusion that the
320 relationship between mean R-value and age was best described by a linear function.
321 The same conclusion can be reached from age-calibration curves in the British Isles
322 (Tomkins *et al.* 2018a) and the Pyrenees (Tomkins *et al.* 2018b), which are based on
323 54 and 52 ^{10}Be TCND-dated granitic surfaces respectively, all associated with glacial
324 depositional or erosional landforms (moraine boulders or ice-sculpted bedrock).
325 While the Pyrenean age-calibration curve is clearly non-linear over the full age range
326 of ~ 50 ka, both age-calibration curves evidence linearity over the last ~ 20 ka. Other
327 studies that have suggested non-linear relationships have involved long timescales
328 and/or have had insufficient control points to test the linearity assumption rigorously
329 over the Holocene timescale (e.g. Betts & Latta 2000; Sánchez *et al.* 2009; Černá &
330 Engel 2011; Stahl *et al.* 2013).

331
332 Based on two control points, the b coefficient can be defined as:

$$333 \\ 334 b = (y_1 - y_2) / (x_1 - x_2) \quad (2)$$

335
336 where x_1 and x_2 are the mean R-values of the older and younger control points,
337 respectively, and y_1 and y_2 are their respective ages. Once the b coefficient is known,
338 the a coefficient is found by substitution in equation (1). Only two control points of
339 widely differing age are available from Jotunheimen (see below). Provided they are of
340 good quality, however, two control points are sufficient for accurate R-value
341 calibration provided the underlying relationship between R-value and age is
342 approximately linear.

343
344 For a landform produced by a single event, the SHD age resulting from this
345 calibration is the average age of the surface boulders and hence the landform age
346 (Matthews *et al.* 2015). Confidence intervals for the SHD age (95%) are calculated as
347 the total error (C_t) by combining the error associated with the calibration equation (C_c)
348 with the sampling error associated with the surface to be dated (C_s):

349
 350
$$C_t = \sqrt{(C_c^2 + C_s^2)} \quad (3)$$

351
 352
$$C_c = C_o - [(C_o - C_y) (R_s - R_o) / (R_y - R_o)] \quad (4)$$

353
 354
$$C_s = b[ts / \sqrt{(n-1)}] \quad (5)$$

355
 356 where C_o and C_y are the 95% confidence intervals of the older and younger control
 357 points (in years); and R_o , R_y and R_s are the mean R-values of the older control point,
 358 the younger control point and the surface to be dated, respectively. C_s depends on the
 359 number of R-value impacts on the surface to be dated (sample size, n), the standard
 360 deviation of those impacts (s), and Student's t statistic. Thus, the confidence interval
 361 (C_t) associated with any SHD age depends not only on the sample sizes used to
 362 establish the calibration equation and characterize the surface to be dated but also the
 363 natural variability exhibited by all the rock surfaces involved.

364
 365 *Control points for calibration equations*

366
 367 For this study, we constructed separate calibration equations for rock surfaces
 368 composed of pyroxene-granulite gneiss and gabbroic gneiss (each equation based on
 369 two control points). Data for the older control points, which relate to glacially-scoured
 370 bedrock surfaces, were taken from Matthews & Owen (2010). Their data from four
 371 sites in Leirdalen and Gravdalen (S and E Smørstabbtindan) were used for the
 372 pyroxene-granulite gneiss calibration equation: four sites near Leirbreen and
 373 Bøverbreen, close to Sognefjell (W Smørstabbtindan) supplied the data for the
 374 gabbroic gneiss calibration equation (Fig. 1).

375
 376 Evidence for deglaciation of these sites is provided by basal ^{14}C dates from
 377 peat bogs and lakes in Leirdalen, Bjørndalen, and on Sognefjell (Table 2). These ^{14}C
 378 dates were recalibrated to calendar age ranges with the OxCal online program (v.4.3)
 379 using the IntCal13 calibration dataset (Reimer *et al.* 2013). Although one of the
 380 calibrated age ranges is significantly older, 9.7 ka is the only date for deglaciation that
 381 is compatible with the other four ^{14}C dates. Use of 9.7 ka as the age of the old control
 382 points for SHD calibration can be justified on the further grounds that it is the
 383 expected date for termination of the Erdalen Event in neighbouring regions (Dahl *et al.*
 384 2002) and is consistent with empirical evidence for and large-scale modelling of
 385 deglaciation in southern Norway (Dahl *et al.* 2002; Goehring *et al.* 2008; Nesje 2009;
 386 Mangerud *et al.* 2011; Hughes *et al.* 2016; Stroeven *et al.* 2016). Thus, the potential
 387 errors in the old control points appear to be small in relation to the calibration errors
 388 (C_c and C_s) that are taken fully into account in this study.

389
 390 Calibration equations given in Matthews & Owen (2010) for these rock types
 391 could not be used because their younger control points were derived from glacially-
 392 abraded surfaces from glacier forelands. Such smooth surfaces are not appropriate as a
 393 source of young control points for dating the exposure-age of boulders originating
 394 from SRSFs, which are rougher in texture yielding lower R-values than abraded
 395 surfaces of the same age (Shakesby *et al.* 2006; Matthews & McEwen 2013;
 396 Matthews *et al.* 2015). In contrast, after prolonged weathering, originally smooth surfaces
 397 are expected to yield similar R-values, and hence SHD ages, to initially rough surfaces.

398

399 Young control points with similar roughness properties to fresh boulder
400 surfaces derived from SRSFs were therefore sought. These included: (i) boulders and
401 bedrock surfaces produced by a recent rock-slope failure in Gravdalen and (ii)
402 bedrock exposed recently in road cuts in Gravdalen and on Sognefjell (Fig. 1). Both
403 types of surfaces have been shown in previous studies to yield R-values that are
404 statistically indistinguishable from each other provided sufficient care is taken to
405 impact only truly fresh rock surfaces (Matthews & Wilson 2015; Matthews *et al.*
406 2016). Furthermore, both types of recent rock surfaces used as young control points in
407 this study were lichen-free and hence were assigned a maximum exposure age of 25
408 years based on various estimates of the time required for the establishment (ecesis) of
409 crustose lichens on bedrock surfaces in this environment (Matthews 2005; Matthews
410 & Owen 2008; Matthews & Vater 2015). Errors in the age of the young control point
411 are therefore considered to be negligible in the context of this study.

412 413 *Chronology construction and analysis*

414
415 Holocene chronologies of SRSF events were constructed from the SHD ages of the 92
416 SRSF fans using a number of statistical approaches. First, graphical analysis of age-
417 frequency distributions used 2000-, 1000-, 500- and 200-year time intervals to define
418 major clusters of SHD ages and hence possible multi-centennial to millennial phases
419 of enhanced SRSF frequency (Matthews *et al.* 2009; Matthews & Seppälä 2015).
420 Based on the same events weighted according to their rock volume, a second
421 chronology was constructed showing the changing magnitude of SRSF events through
422 the Holocene.

423
424 In order to take account of dating uncertainty, a weighted age-frequency
425 distribution was constructed in which each SHD age was plotted over five 200-year
426 age classes: a weight of 4 was used for the central class; the second and fourth classes
427 were weighted 2. Thus, the SHD age was plotted over a range of 1000 yr, consistent
428 with the average 95% confidence interval of ± 991 years calculated for the 92 SRSF
429 fans (see below). One-sample χ^2 tests were used to test the hypothesis that the dated
430 events were sampled from an underlying population of events with an even
431 distribution through time.

432
433 To support weighted age-frequency analysis, the distribution of calculated
434 SRSF ages was analysed using probability density function analysis. Probability
435 density estimates (PDEs) were produced and modelled to separate out individual
436 Gaussian distributions using the KS density kernel in MATLAB (2015) and a
437 dynamic smoothing window based on age uncertainty (cf. Dortch *et al.* 2013). The
438 sum of individual Gaussian distributions integrates to the cumulative PDE at 1000
439 iterations to obtain a good model fit. The goodness of fit between the re-integrated
440 PDE, which is derived from individual Gaussian distributions, and the cumulative
441 PDE, which is derived from the full age dataset, is indicated graphically. PDE
442 analysis was repeated using a number of individual Gaussian distributions ($n = 1-10$).
443 To avoid over-interpretation of SRSF modes, the PDE model with the minimum
444 number of individual Gaussian distributions, which also achieved a good model fit,
445 was selected. This analytical method has primarily been employed in studies using
446 ^{10}Be (cf. Dortch *et al.* 2013; Murari *et al.* 2014) or SHD (Barr *et al.* 2017; Tomkins *et*
447 *al.* 2018a, b, c) to account for negative or positive skew of moraine boulder datasets
448 and to identify and reject ages that are compromised by moraine degradation (Briner

449 *et al.* 2005; Heyman *et al.* 2011) or nuclide inheritance (Hallet & Putknonen 1996). In
450 these applications, PDE analysis and interpretation of individual Gaussian
451 distributions (cf. Fig. 3 in Dortch *et al.* 2013) is based on the assumption that analysed
452 ages relate to a single event e.g. moraine deposition. This assumption is clearly not
453 applicable to the analysis of SRSF ages, as each numerical age relates to a distinct
454 event and an individual landform. As a result, individual Gaussian distributions are
455 interpreted as reflecting the temporal clustering of events. The characteristics of
456 individual Gaussian distributions, i.e. the peak probability density, width of PDE tails,
457 1σ uncertainties and the number of contributing ages (Fig. 7), were used to assess the
458 significance and temporal clustering of SRSF events in Jotunheimen over the last ~10
459 ka.

460

461 The individual distributions resulting from the PDE analysis indicated that
462 further analysis was necessary. Thus, a change detection analysis approach was
463 undertaken in MATLAB (2015) to identify statistically unique events. Change
464 detection analysis utilizes the cumulative sum algorithm (cusum), which is commonly
465 used to detect abrupt change in time series data in fields ranging from seismology
466 (Dera & Shumwayb 1999), remote sensed imagery (Lu *et al.* 2016), and GPS
467 monitoring (Goudarzi *et al.* 2013). Parameters were set by using the average
468 frequency and occurrence (~1 occurrence per 100 years) of SRSFs throughout the
469 Holocene to filter out 'background' SRSF occurrence. The alarm limit was set at ≥ 2
470 standard errors above background. To further explore the temporal pattern of SRSFs,
471 discreet Meyer wavelet analysis was undertaken in MATLAB (2015) to decompose
472 SRSF occurrence through time. Wavelets are discreet oscillations in both time and
473 amplitude and, as such, are useful for identifying discreet events. Wavelet analysis
474 has been used to identify climate signals from various records including $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ (Lau &
475 Weng 1995), and sea surface temperature (Torrence & Compo 1998). The 100 years
476 binned SRSF age data were passed through the discreet Meyer wavelet with six levels
477 of deconvolution.

478

479 Major and minor changes in SRSF activity were then compared with changes
480 in regional Holocene climatic and other geo-environmental indicators to infer possible
481 causes. Specific analyses were performed to investigate relationships between the
482 occurrence of SRSF events and the lower altitudinal limits of discontinuous
483 permafrost using aspect-dependent limits determined for rock walls in the
484 Galdhøpiggen massif by Hipp *et al.* (2014). The current (AD 2010-2013) lower limits
485 that were used for rock walls facing north, east, south and west were 1250, 1450, 1600
486 and 1450 m, respectively.

487

488

489 Results

490

491 *Data on the SRSFs*

492

493 Data on the size and environmental characteristics of the SRSFs are summarized in
494 Table 1 and Fig. 4. The volume of the fans (Fig. 4A) ranges from 12 to 2520 m³, with
495 90% <1000 m³, 40% <100 m³ and a median size of only 180 m³. The altitudinal range
496 is 960 to 1550 m a.s.l. (Fig. 4B), with a mean altitude of 1340 m a.s.l. There is a
497 preferred aspect with 43% facing east, 34% facing south and 17% facing west, but
498 only 5% facing north (Fig. 4C).

499

500 Schmidt-hammer R-values vary widely between SRSFs (Table 1) and the
501 frequency distribution of mean R-values reveals several important features (Fig. 4D).
502 Mean R-values exhibit a very wide range of >20 units from 37.0 to 57.5. The overall
503 mean R-value across the 92 SRSFs is 48.2 but those R-values associated with
504 gabbroic gneiss (overall mean R-value 39.4, n = 8) are appreciably lower than the
505 remainder involving pyroxene-granulite gneiss (overall mean R-value 49.1, n = 84).
506 The latter value corresponds closely with the 49-50 modal class for the distribution.

507

508 *Control-point data and calibration equations*

509

510 Data from the control points (Table 3) indicate widely different mean R-values
511 (differing by at least 20 units) for surfaces that differ in age by ~9700 years. It should
512 also be noted that the overlapping 95% confidence intervals associated with each pair
513 of replicates for particular control points indicate that their mean R-values do not
514 differ significantly from each other. Control surfaces of the same age on different
515 lithologies are, however, characterized by non-overlapping confidence intervals, and
516 thus show significantly different mean R-values and justify the use of separate
517 calibration equations for SRSFs developed in pyroxene-granulite gneiss and gabbroic
518 gneiss. The calibration equations derived from these data for the two lithologies are
519 shown in Fig. 5 alongside the linear relationships they represent.

520

521 *Fan-scar-cliff comparison tests*

522

523 Mean R-values for three of the six fans tested did not differ significantly from the
524 mean R-values of the corresponding scars, in accordance with expectation (Fig. 3,
525 Table 4). However, three fans (Nos 51, 58 and 81) are characterized by mean R-
526 values that are significantly lower than the mean R-values from their scars. This
527 suggests one or more of four possible explanations: (i) rock surfaces of some boulders
528 in these fans are more weathered because they include the products of older rock
529 failures than those that produced the measured bedrock faces of the scars; (ii) some of
530 the measured R-values from boulders in the fans reflect the incorporation of bedrock
531 surfaces that were pre-weathered on the cliff face before the failures occurred; (iii)
532 some of the R-values from boulders in the fans reflect the incorporation of inherited
533 structures (e.g. joint planes) that were pre-weathered at depth before the failures
534 occurred; and (iv) at least part of the cliff bedrock is more resistant to weathering than
535 the boulder surfaces measured in the fans. Interestingly, no fan exhibits a mean R-
536 value that is significantly greater than that of its corresponding scar. This shows that
537 even where more than one phase of activity seems possible, any blocks that were later
538 removed from the scars were insufficient in number to affect appreciably the mean R-
539 values of the fans.

540

541 Comparisons between scars and unfailed cliffs or between fans and unfailed
542 cliffs are entirely in agreement with expectation. In three cases (fan Nos 5, 51 and 58)
543 neither the mean R-values for scars and unfailed cliffs nor the mean R-values for fans
544 and unfailed cliffs differ significantly, suggesting that all the exposed surfaces are of
545 the same age (and relatively old). In the other three cases (fan Nos 46, 47 and 81) the
546 mean R-values of the scars and the fans are both significantly higher than the mean R-
547 values of the unfailed cliffs, confirming the SRSFs are younger than the exposure age
548 of the unfailed cliffs.

549

550 Comparison of the mean R-values from unfailed cliffs with the values from
551 the older control points given in Table 3 indicates that unfailed cliff surfaces were
552 exposed during or immediately after deglaciation at ~9700 cal. a BP. As all surfaces
553 yielded mean R-values lower than those characteristic of the younger control points
554 (Table 4), it appears that fan deposition and scar exposure occurred throughout the
555 Holocene and, in some cases, thousands of years after regional deglaciation. As a
556 result, the temporal distribution of fan mean-R-values likely reflects the timing of
557 single-event SRSF activity.

558

559 *Temporal variations in SRSF activity*

560

561 The age of each SRSF event, including its 95% confidence interval, is summarized
562 graphically in Fig. 6A. Although there is some evidence of differences in the age
563 distributions between the different valleys, there is no statistically significant
564 correlation between SRSF age and altitude and no significant difference in age
565 between aspects. The overall mean age of all 92 SRSF events is 5124 years, which
566 equates with an average regional frequency of 1 in 105 years.

567

568 Simple age-frequency distributions of the SRSF events within the region as a
569 whole are shown in Fig. 6B. Although these events occurred without any prolonged
570 break in activity, their frequency varied considerably over the last ~10000 years. The
571 distribution based on 2000-year time intervals has a single mode indicating an
572 increase in the frequency of events through the early Holocene, a distinct peak in
573 activity in the 6.0-4.0 ka time interval, and a consistent decline in activity thereafter.
574 The use of 1000-year time intervals reveals two modes – at 8.0-7.0 and 5.0-4.0 ka,
575 respectively. At least three modes can be recognized when 500-year time intervals are
576 used (at 9.0-8.5, 7.5-7.0 and 4.5-4.0 ka) and many more can possibly be discerned in
577 the distribution based on 200-year time intervals. However, analysis of SRSF modes
578 based on 200-year time intervals is not advisable, as this time interval (0.2 ka) is significantly
579 smaller than the typical uncertainty of SRSF ages (~1 ka). Despite this, the hypothesis of
580 an even distribution of SRSF events through time can be rejected at $p < 0.01$
581 irrespective of the age classes used (Table 5).

582

583 The weighted age-frequency distribution (Fig. 6C) has four modes (at ~ 8.9,
584 7.3, 5.9 and 4.5 ka), which suggests that only four minor phases of enhanced SRSF
585 frequency are meaningful. Furthermore, according to the weighted distribution, the
586 frequency of events declines steadily after ~4.5 ka with no marked fluctuations.

587

588 The temporal pattern in the magnitude of the SRSFs (rock volume), as shown
589 in Fig. 6D, is substantially the same as the frequency distribution (compare with use
590 of a 200-year interval in Fig. 6B). In particular, the age-volume distribution has a
591 similar major peak between 4.8 and 4.2 ka, and relatively little activity before 9.0 ka
592 or after 1.0 ka.

593

594 Probability density function analysis indicates that the spread of SRSF ages
595 does not conform to a normal distribution (Fig. 7A) and, instead, is best explained by
596 5 individual Gaussian age distributions (Fig. 7B). The sum of individual Gaussian
597 distributions produces a re-integrated PDE which achieves a good model fit with the
598 cumulative PDE. PDE analysis using <5 individual Gaussian age distributions returns

599 a poor ($n \leq 3$) or sub-optimal ($n = 4$) model fit. PDE analysis using >5 individual
600 Gaussian age distributions does not therefore significantly improve the model fit and
601 instead risks over-interpretation of the number of SRSF modes. PDE analysis returns
602 peak Gaussian ages (Fig. 7C) of 9.00 ± 1.13 ka ($n = 14$), 7.38 ± 0.99 ka ($n = 17$),
603 6.40 ± 0.77 ka ($n = 14$), 4.50 ± 1.42 ka ($n = 42$) and 1.90 ± 1.42 ka ($n = 18$). Although
604 these modes overlap with adjacent modes within 1σ , statistically significant
605 differences between sequential Gaussian age distributions are revealed by two-sample
606 Students t-tests ($p < 0.01$).

607

608 These Gaussian age distributions closely match the four modes identified in
609 weighted age-frequency analysis, with a dominant mode at ~ 4.5 ka (Fig. 7B). This
610 mode is the highest probability Gaussian distribution, comprises a significant number
611 of SRSF events ($n = 42$; Fig. 7D) and accounts for a large proportion of total SRSF
612 volume over the last ~ 10 ka (18744 m^3). In contrast to weighted age-frequency
613 analysis, PDE analysis returns an additional Gaussian age distribution during the late
614 Holocene at ~ 1.9 ka. However, this is unlikely to reflect a period of enhanced SRSF
615 activity as there is no clear clustering of SRSF ages (Fig. 7A), as evidenced by
616 weighted age-frequency analysis. Instead, late Holocene ages likely reflect declining
617 SRSF activity after the mid-Holocene peak.

618

619 The combined results of the age-frequency analyses and the Gaussian
620 separation achieved for PDEs demonstrate that SRSF occurrence through time is non-
621 uniform and multi-modal. Most notable is the high level of occurrence during the mid
622 Holocene, the clear statistical significance of which is confirmed by the results of
623 change detection analysis. The cumulative sum change detection graph (Fig. 8A)
624 shows a clear peak in the rate of SRSF intensity between 4.8 and 2.6 ka, significantly
625 exceeding the 2σ threshold, with the largest departure from background occurring at
626 4.3 ka. Conversely, SRSF intensity is significantly reduced beyond the negative 2σ
627 threshold during the late Holocene at 0.6–0.1 ka. These peaks are a significant
628 departure from the normal rate of occurrence during the Holocene. The three other
629 modes identified above as statistically significant must be regarded as relatively small
630 departures from background SRSF periodicity.

631

632 Meyer wavelet analysis was used to explore the two statistically significant
633 departures ($>2\sigma$) from the background SRSF rate, as identified by change detection
634 analysis. The lowest frequency decomposed signal (d_6) is shown in Fig. 8C. The full
635 analysis record is provided in Fig. S1.

636

637

638 Discussion

639

640 *Previous models of the timing of RSFs*

641

642 Widely different conceptual models can be proposed to describe and explain the
643 temporal distribution of Late Pleistocene and Holocene RSFs. A schematic
644 representation of several models, each of which links a distinctive pattern of change in
645 the frequency and/or magnitude of RSFs to one or more specific causes or triggers, is
646 shown in Fig. 9. Although they have been based mainly on MRSFs, these models are
647 introduced here as a basis for discussion of our Holocene SRSFs. It should be
648 emphasised, moreover, that RSFs may be multicausal and that most if not all of the

649 models have yet to be rigorously tested against data sets with a large number of
650 consistently dated RSFs.

651

652 *Model 1.* – The ‘continuity-of-activity model’ proposes that there are no significant
653 temporal variations in the frequency and/or magnitude of RSFs throughout the
654 Holocene. Despite the small number of dated RSFs available in most studies, few
655 authors have advocated this model. However, the model does appear to be consistent
656 with the temporal distribution of about 60 RSFs located in an extensive area of the
657 Alps centred on the Austrian Tyrol (Prager *et al.* 2008), which exhibits only limited
658 evidence of temporal clustering at ~10.5-9.4 ka and 4.2-3.0 ka. Prager *et al.* (2008)
659 attributed the continuity of activity to complex interactions between the processes
660 characterizing models 2-5 together with rock-strength degrading processes such as
661 time-dependent progressive fracture propagation that can both prepare and trigger
662 slope instabilities.

663

664 *Model 2.* – The ‘intermittent-earthquakes model’ is applicable to tectonically active
665 regions and assumes that RSFs are triggered directly by large-magnitude earthquakes
666 generated by tectonically-driven uplift or other crustal stresses. Such earthquakes are
667 essentially randomly distributed in time and therefore bear little or no relationship to
668 deglaciation, climate or any of the other potential causative factors in models 3-5 that
669 are effective in tectonically stable regions (see, for example, Fjeldskaar *et al.* 2000;
670 Hermanns *et al.* 2001; Keefer 2002, 2015; Hewitt *et al.* 2008; Antinao & Gosse 2009;
671 Stock & Uhrhammer 2010; Penna *et al.* 2011; McPhillips *et al.* 2014; Marc *et al.*
672 2015; Murphy 2015).

673

674 *Model 3.* – The ‘deglaciation-close-tracking model’ is characterised by a dominant
675 peak in RSF activity immediately (i.e. within the first millennium) following regional
676 deglaciation, with subsequent asymptotic decline in activity. The temporal pattern of
677 activity is therefore a typical paraglacial response (cf. Ballantyne 2002). Causal
678 factors that may account for such a pattern include glacial unloading, glacial
679 debuitressing, stress-release fracturing, enhanced groundwater pressure in rock joints
680 and permafrost degradation, all closely associated in time with deglaciation (Fischer
681 *et al.* 2006; Cossart *et al.* 2008; McColl 2012; McColl & Davies 2012; Ballantyne *et al.*
682 2014a, b; Böhme *et al.* 2015; Deline *et al.* 2015; Mercier *et al.* 2017). Hermanns *et al.*
683 (2017) found nearly half of 22 dated rock avalanches in southwest Norway
684 occurred within the first millennium following local deglaciation. Although the
685 majority of RSF events occur shortly after deglaciation, some occur much later, due to
686 time-dependent fracture propagation and progressive failure (e.g. Eberhardt *et al.*
687 2004; Krautblatter *et al.* 2013; Phillips *et al.* 2017). The occurrence of recent RSFs on
688 glacier forelands following the retreat of mountain glaciers from their Little Ice Age
689 maximum limits provides some support for this model (Evans & Clague 1994; Holm
690 *et al.* 2004; Matthews & Shakesby 2004; Arsenault & Meigs 2005; Allen *et al.* 2010;
691 Stoffel & Huggel 2012).

692

693 *Model 4.* – The ‘deglaciation-lagging model’ features a significantly delayed response
694 to deglaciation. Peak RSF activity typically occurs within a few millennia of
695 deglaciation and corresponds with maximum glacio-isostatic rebound (Hicks *et al.*
696 2000; Ballantyne & Stone 2013; Ballantyne *et al.* 2013, 2014a, b; Cossart *et al.* 2014;
697 Decaulne *et al.* 2016). The cause of RSF events is seen as fault reactivation and
698 fracture propagation triggered by earthquakes, the frequency of earthquakes and RSFs

699 generally diminishing through the Holocene as the rate of glacio-isostatic uplift
700 declines.

701

702 *Model 5.* – The ‘cool/wet-climate-response model’ applies particularly to the
703 Holocene, reflecting several possible effects of climatic variations on RSF activity.
704 Field monitoring, historical documentation and palaeo-studies indicate that
705 precipitation variations can be a dominant trigger factor in the timing of RSFs but
706 both cooler conditions and indirect effects such as variations in cleft water pressure,
707 frost shattering and permafrost degradation have also been implicated in rock-slope
708 instability (Eisbacher & Clague 1984; Matthews *et al.* 1997; Trauth *et al.* 2000, 2003;
709 Dapples *et al.* 2003; Soldati *et al.* 2004; Prager *et al.* 2008; Crozier 2010; Borgatti &
710 Soldati 2010; Blikra & Christiansen 2014; Zerathe *et al.* 2014; Johnson *et al.* 2017).
711 Furthermore, Evans & Clague (1994), Huggel *et al.* (2010, 2012) and Stoffel &
712 Huggel (2012) highlighted the possible effects of recent climate warming on RSFs,
713 and direct solar heating of rock faces has also been examined as a possible trigger (cf.
714 Allen & Huggel 2013; Collins & Stock 2016). In Fig. 7, model 5 assumes cool/wet
715 conditions produce an increase in RSF activity, resulting in a strong rising trend
716 through the late Holocene with fluctuations culminating in a Little Ice Age maximum
717 of RSF activity.

718

719 *A new model of Holocene SRSF activity in Jotunheimen*

720

721 Based on analysis of Holocene SRSF activity in Jotunheimen and comparison with
722 regional climatic and geo-environmental indicators, a new thermally-driven,
723 permafrost-degradation model is proposed (Fig. 7, model 6). This model is
724 characterized by several key elements: (i) minimal activity following deglaciation in
725 the early Holocene; (ii) maximum activity late in the mid Holocene on the multi-
726 millennial timescale; (iii) declining activity through the late Holocene with a second
727 minimum close to the present; and (iv) secondary fluctuations on multi-centennial to
728 millennial timescales throughout the Holocene.

729

730 This pattern of change bears little relationship to any of the previous models,
731 which are clearly inappropriate in the context of these data. Model 1 can be rejected
732 for Jotunheimen on the basis of χ^2 tests. Although there is an element of randomness
733 in our data, and earthquakes do occasionally occur in this part of southern Norway,
734 their magnitudes tend to be too low to be effective in triggering SRSFs inland from
735 the seismically more active coastal and off-shore areas (cf. Bungum *et al.* 2000;
736 Fjeldskaar *et al.* 2000; Hicks *et al.* 2000; Olesen *et al.* 2000; Blikra *et al.* 2006).
737 Moreover, there is no sign of a dominant early-Holocene activity peak in our
738 histogram or change detection analysis, which is the characteristic feature of the two
739 deglaciation-related models (3 and 4). Absence of an early peak may well be
740 accounted for by considerable thinning of the Late Weichselian Ice Sheet prior to final
741 deglaciation in Jotunheimen (Goehring *et al.* 2008; Mangerud *et al.* 2011; Hughes *et al.*
742 *et al.* 2016; Stroeven *et al.* 2016), which is likely to have reduced the scale of any
743 paraglacial effects on RSFs after ~10.0 ka. For example, over half (56%) of the
744 estimated glacio-isostatic rebound of 160 m that has taken place in Jotunheimen since
745 12.0 ka was completed prior to 10.0 ka and a further quarter (26%) by 6.0 ka (Lyså *et al.*
746 *et al.* 2008). Finally, the temporal pattern of SRSF activity in Jotunheimen is negatively
747 correlated with model 5, which indicates that cool/wet conditions should be rejected
748 as the major cause of enhanced SRSF activity. Instead, this inverse pattern points to

749 the counterintuitive conclusion that enhanced activity is linked to relatively warm
750 climatic conditions.

751

752 *Association of SRSF activity with the thermal climate record*

753

754 The possible associations between enhanced Holocene SRSF activity and relatively
755 warm climatic conditions can be explored with reference to proxy temperature records
756 and reconstructions of temperature-sensitive geo-environmental indicators (Fig. 10A-
757 G).

758

759 The long-term annual air temperature trend for northern Europe shown in Fig.
760 10B is a stacked pollen-based reconstruction expressed as deviations from the mean
761 (Seppä *et al.* 2009). The Holocene Thermal Maximum (HTM) is clearly expressed in
762 this figure from ~8.0 to 4.0 ka by mean annual temperatures consistently >0.5 °C
763 higher than today. Alkenone-based temperature reconstruction similarly documents
764 warmest sea-surface temperatures in the North Atlantic at this time (Eldevik *et al.*
765 2014; see also Jansen *et al.* 2008; Renssen *et al.* 2012). Holocene temperature series
766 for southern Norway compiled by Lilleøren *et al.* (2012), which include evidence
767 derived from glacier variations and speleothems, show a similar general pattern in
768 MAAT with peak temperatures shortly after 8.0 ka and greater warming in January
769 than in July. However, other reconstructions based on chironomids (Velle *et al.* 2010),
770 aquatic macrofossils (Väliranta *et al.* 2015) and megafossils (Dahl & Nesje 1996;
771 Paus & Haugland 2017), which are not dependent on tree-pollen production or ocean
772 temperatures, indicate that the highest temperatures probably occurred at 10.0–8.0 ka.
773 Mean summer temperatures estimated from pine-tree limits in the Scandes Mountains
774 (Dahl & Nesje 1996), for example, peak at ~1.5 °C above present temperatures
775 around 9.0 ka (Fig. 10C). An early temperature maximum at ~9.0 ka is also shown in
776 the pollen-based reconstruction of July air temperature from Øvre Heimdalsvatnet in
777 the low-alpine belt of eastern Jotunheimen (Fig. 10D, Velle *et al.* 2010). At this
778 location, a temperature of at least 3.5 °C higher than present was attained by 9.0 ka,
779 falling to the long-term Holocene average by 4.0 ka. Comparison with these
780 reconstructions indicates that (i) SRSF frequency increased during the HTM and (ii)
781 maximum activity was not reached until late in the HTM.

782

783 Three other palaeorecords can be used to focus on shorter-term warm intervals
784 comparable in scale with our minor phases of enhanced SRSF frequency (Fig. 10E-
785 G). The first of these (Fig. 10E), based on a standardized temperature reconstruction
786 derived from the record of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ in the GISP 2 Greenland ice core (Alley 2004;
787 Wanner *et al.* 2011: their Fig. 1a), shows periods of above average air temperature.
788 Fig. 10F, based on the North Atlantic standardized stacked ocean ice-rafted debris
789 (IRD) record (Bond *et al.* 2001; Wanner *et al.* 2011: their Fig. 3a), shows periods
790 between IRD events, when sea-surface temperatures are likely to have been above the
791 long-term average. Both sets of warm periods demonstrate only moderate agreement
792 between themselves and with our minor phases of enhanced SRSF frequency. There is
793 poorer agreement (particularly in the late Holocene after ~3.0 ka) with the final
794 record, which relates to variations in the size of mountain glaciers in the study area
795 (Fig. 10G). Glacier variations are widely accepted as climate indicators that reflect, in
796 part, temporal variations in summer temperature, especially in the case of glaciers in
797 continental locations where winter precipitation variations tend to be less effective
798 than in maritime regions (Oerlemans 2005; Bakke *et al.* 2008; Nesje *et al.* 2008;

799 Winkler *et al.* 2010). Local glacier variations in the Smørstabbtindan massif,
800 Jotunheimen, which is centrally located in relation to the sites of our SRSF events in a
801 relatively continental region of southern Norway, exhibit at least nine Holocene time
802 intervals when the glaciers were smaller than they are today, including a prolonged
803 period from ~7.8 to 4.8 ka, which includes most of the HTM (Fig. 10G; Matthews &
804 Dresser 2008).

805

806 Thus, overall, a strong case can be made for linking millennial-scale variations
807 in SRSF activity to the thermal environment. However, causal mechanisms are
808 required to answer the following questions: (i) why was maximum SRSF activity
809 attained late in the mid-Holocene, rather than earlier in the HTM when temperatures
810 were at a maximum; and (ii) why was there not a closer relationship between the
811 minor phases of enhanced SRSF activity and shorter-term warm periods, such as the
812 Mediaeval, Roman and Bronze Age warm periods, in particular during the late-
813 Holocene? We propose that permafrost degradation, and climate-dependent variation
814 in permafrost depth, can explain the temporal pattern of SRSF activity and, in
815 particular, the departure of the temporal pattern of SRSF activity from a simple
816 ‘warm-climate’ model.

817

818 *Conditionality of SRSF activity on permafrost degradation*

819

820 To interpret the results of both the change detection analysis and Meyer wavelet
821 analysis, a modelled permafrost record for Fennoscandia (Kukkonen & Šafanda 2001)
822 is used (Fig. 8B). This provides a basis for attributing SRSF activity in Jotunheimen
823 to permafrost degradation by focusing on relative changes to permafrost depth in
824 bedrock over the last ~10 ka. The 5% porosity model was selected for comparison as
825 this is more representative than the 0% porosity model given the numerous fractures
826 that lead to slope instability and SRSFs. The permafrost model shows a significant
827 decrease in depth beginning at ~8 ka and reaching a steady ‘shallow’ equilibrium by
828 ~5 ka. Permafrost is relatively stable from 5 ka until ~0.6 ka when permafrost depth
829 increases. This permafrost model is subdivided into five distinct periods and is related
830 to the SRSF record as follows:

831

832 *Phase 1: 10.0–8.1 ka (‘stable phase’)*. – SRSF frequency is in equilibrium with
833 permafrost with no alarms detected in the change detection analysis and no low-order
834 oscillations in the Meyer wavelet record. Bedrock permafrost is stable throughout this
835 period and is used to define background Holocene depth. In this phase, persistent
836 bedrock permafrost acts to stabilize slopes and limit major SRSF activity.

837

838 *Phase 2: 8.1–4.8 ka (‘transition phase’)*. – Progressive warming throughout the mid-
839 Holocene, as recorded in palaeo-climate reconstructions, acts to decrease permafrost
840 depth. In response, there is a minor progressive decrease in negative change detection
841 rates and increase in positive change detection within 2σ . This trend is matched by
842 Meyer wavelet analysis, with a progressive increase in SRSF frequency above the
843 Holocene background rate. In this phase, a gradual (~3 ka) but clear transition from
844 ‘deeper’ to ‘shallower’ permafrost (~28% depth change) is matched by a minor
845 increase in SRSF frequency and may explain the minor phases of enhanced SRSF
846 activity identified during this period. Moreover, this gradual change in permafrost
847 depth, as opposed to a stochastic response to climate warming, provides a compelling
848 explanation for the significant lag between SRSF activity and the HTM.

849

850 *Phase 3: 4.8–2.6 ka ('peak phase').* – Permafrost depth is more-or-less stable and
851 remains close to its minimum Holocene depth for ~2 ka. This period is matched by
852 SRSF activity, as change detection analysis records a significant, sustained and
853 positive rate of change ($>2\sigma$) for ~2.2 ka, with a maximum attained at ~4.3 ka and
854 with SRSF frequency significantly exceeding the average frequency until ~3.3 ka
855 ($>6\sigma$). This change is matched by the Meyer wavelet record, with a peak at ~4.6 ka
856 and a gradual decline to the Holocene background rate at ~2.5 ka. In this phase,
857 persistent shallow permafrost may directly influence SRSF occurrence by (1) actively
858 destabilizing bedrock cliffs and causing slope failure and/or (2) weakening bedrock
859 cliffs and making them more susceptible to other trigger factors.

860

861 *Phase 4: 2.6–0.6 ka ('exhaustion phase').* – Permafrost depth remains relatively stable
862 and shallow for ~2 ka, with no significant deviation from modelled depths during the
863 'peak phase'. However, there is a clear decrease in SRSF frequency after the mid-
864 Holocene peak with a return to the Holocene background rate, as revealed by both
865 change detection and Meyer wavelet analysis. In this phase, we propose that bedrock
866 cliffs have reached a new equilibrium with permafrost, as the majority of slopes that
867 can fail under these permafrost conditions have failed by this time; that is, the supply
868 of 'potentially failable' cliffs is exhausted. As a result, SRSF occurrence returns to an
869 average frequency comparable with the 'stable phase' of the early Holocene.

870

871 *Phase 5: 0.6 - 0.1 ka ('stabilization phase').* – Contrary to the dominant Holocene
872 trend, this short-term late-Holocene phase shows a clear increase in permafrost depth
873 after ~0.6 ka. This transition is coeval with a statistically significant decrease in SRSF
874 frequency ($>2\sigma$) while Meyer wavelet analysis records the continued decrease in
875 frequency below the Holocene background level. These data suggest that an increase
876 in bedrock permafrost depth directly controls SRSF activity by stabilizing slopes and
877 decreasing the susceptibility of bedrock cliffs to direct or indirect failure.

878

879 The correlation between SRSF frequency and permafrost depth in bedrock as
880 modeled by Kukkonen & Šafanda (2001) provides a compelling explanation for the
881 low-frequency variations in SRSF activity during the Holocene and, in particular, for:
882 (i) the significant departure from mean Holocene SRSF frequency at the end of the
883 mid Holocene; (ii) the lag between the HTM and the SRSF frequency peak; (iii) the
884 low SRSF frequency in the early Holocene; and (iv) the marked decline in SRSF
885 frequency near the end of the late Holocene (after ~0.6 ka).

886

887 These explanations are supported by change detection analysis and (d₆) Meyer
888 wavelet analysis. They are also consistent with the Holocene extent of permafrost in
889 eastern Jotunheimen independently modeled by Lilleøren *et al.* (2012), who suggest
890 that permafrost survived the HTM only above ~1850 m a.s.l. and was more extensive
891 during the Little Ice Age than at any other time since the early Holocene (see also,
892 Westermann *et al.*, 2013; Myhra *et al.* 2016; Steiger *et al.* 2016).

893

894 A causal link between SRSF frequency and regional permafrost degradation is
895 also supported by the close match between the altitudinal distribution of the 92 SRSFs
896 and the current aspect-dependent lower altitudinal limit of permafrost in rock faces in
897 the Galdhøpiggen massif (Hipp *et al.* 2014). Approximately 87% (n = 80) of SRSFs
898 occur within ± 300 m of the limit and ~62% (n = 57) are ≤ 200 m below this limit. A

899 small number of SRSFs are found above the permafrost limit (~16%; n = 15) but the
900 majority are restricted to within ≤ 50 m above this limit. These data imply a causal
901 relationship between SRSF occurrence and the time-dependent degradation and
902 aggradation of bedrock permafrost during the Holocene, as driven by climate and
903 locally controlled by aspect. Based on an altitudinal lapse rate of 0.6 °C per 100 m in
904 mean annual air temperatures (MAAT), this implies that all SRSF sites would have
905 been in the permafrost zone when temperatures were 3.0 °C lower than today. It is
906 likely, therefore, that much of the permafrost that had survived or developed in SRSF
907 cliffs following deglaciation would have degraded during the HTM when MAAT is
908 likely to have reached 2.0–3.0 °C warmer than at present and when permafrost limits
909 would have been correspondingly higher (cf. Lilleøren *et al.* 2012).

910

911 Higher-frequency changes in SRSF activity as reflected by weighted age-
912 frequency (Fig. 6C) and (d₁-d₅) wavelet analysis (Fig. S1) can be interpreted as
913 represent Holocene background SRSF frequency after removal of the mid-Holocene
914 positive peak and the late-Holocene/Little Ice Age negative peak of the change
915 detection analysis (Fig. 8A). These higher frequency changes are more challenging to
916 interpret, given the limited availability of palaeo-environmental records (e.g. seasonal
917 palaeo-precipitation data, storm-event chronologies, palaeoseismic and groundwater
918 flux records) and the inherent SHD age uncertainties. The conceptual models related
919 to deglaciation and characterized by early-Holocene peak activity (Fig. 9) can be
920 discounted as these bear limited resemblance to the chronology of SRSF events.

921

922 Changes in permafrost depth might be expected to play a role in explaining the
923 higher-frequency changes. However, we cannot preclude a contribution to higher-
924 frequency variability from the continuity, earthquake, and cool/wet climate conceptual
925 models (Fig. 9). Thawing permafrost may be a direct trigger factor for SRSF events
926 due, for example, to loss of strength or elevated hydrostatic pressure, or it may render
927 the rock slope susceptible to other triggers involving meltwater from spring snow
928 melt, extreme rainfall events in summer or refreezing in winter (Gruber *et al.* 2004;
929 Gruber & Haeberli 2007; Krautblatter *et al.* 2013; Blikra & Christiansen 2014;
930 Draebing *et al.* 2014; Krautblatter & Leith 2015; Messenzehl & Dikau 2017). The
931 relatively long-term post-HTM cooling, which led to neoglaciation, may well have led
932 to greater water availability, raised cleft-water pressure and/or an increase in frost
933 wedging. Extreme summer rainfall events, which are likely to have been more
934 frequent during warm periods and have been implicated in triggering debris-flow
935 events in Leirdalen (Matthews *et al.* 2009) might also have triggered some SRSFs.

936

937 *Further conceptual and methodological implications*

938

939 Thus, the timing of SRSFs in this study, with fluctuating SRSF activity rising to a
940 sustained peak at the transition from the mid- to late-Holocene, suggests the
941 importance of progressive but intermittent permafrost degradation lagging behind the
942 highest temperatures of the Holocene. Subsequent declining SRSF frequencies, in
943 contrast, appear to signal exhaustion of the supply of failable cliffs and/or renewed
944 aggradation of permafrost.

945

946 These fundamental findings recognize that Holocene SRSF activity in
947 Jotunheimen essentially reflects peraperiglacial processes: that is, it is a conditional
948 response to the transition from a permafrost to a seasonal-freezing climatic regime as

949 permafrost depth decreases (cf. Mercier 2008; Scarpozza 2016; Matthews *et al.* 2017).
950 While this model is primarily applicable to the SRSFs sampled in this study, it could
951 be tested in comparable mountain regions. In particular, links between permafrost
952 degradation and enhanced slope failure may explain SRSF frequency in regions with
953 comparable seismotectonics, glaciation and deglaciation histories or climatic trends.
954 Robust SRSF chronologies would need to be constructed to test the model, either
955 using radiometric methods (e.g. ^{10}Be) or calibrated-age dating techniques (e.g. SHD).

956

957 Our new SRSF chronology indicates, moreover, that SHD can be used to
958 generate reliable SRSF chronologies, although further work is necessary to verify this
959 technique by directly comparing age estimates for individual landforms derived from
960 both SHD and radiometric methods.

961

962 Finally, the recognition of a causal link between climate, permafrost
963 degradation and enhanced slope instability has important implications for glacial and
964 periglacial environments under global warming scenarios. In particular, while
965 widespread retreat of mountain ice caps and valley glaciers may trigger initial slope
966 instability, our data suggest that the geomorphological impact of current climatic and
967 deglacial trends and, in particular, the slow transition from glacial to periglacial, and
968 to seasonal-freezing climatic regimes, may have a long-lasting impact on mountain
969 environments.

970

971

972 Conclusions

973

974 • We have developed an approach to the exposure-age dating of a large sample
975 of rock-slope failures, which involves adapting Schmidt-hammer exposure-age
976 dating (SHD) as a calibrated-age dating technique to the specific
977 characteristics of small rock-slope failures (SRSFs). SHD has provided an
978 effective and low-cost method for constructing a regional Holocene
979 chronology of SRSFs (12 to 2520 m³) in the alpine zone of Jotunheimen.

980

981 • Focusing on a large sample of SRSFs enables the detection of temporal
982 variations in the frequency and magnitude of events through the Holocene.
983 Modes in a weighted age-frequency distribution at ~8.9, 7.3, 5.9 and 4.5 ka
984 were substantiated by probability density function analysis, which produced
985 individual Gaussian age distributions of 9.00 ± 1.13 , 7.38 ± 0.99 , 6.40 ± 0.77 and
986 4.50 ± 1.42 ka. Based on this analysis, SRSF activity was relatively low
987 following deglaciation in the early Holocene and attained a maximum towards
988 the end of the mid Holocene (~4.5 ka). Peak SRSF activity lagged behind the
989 Holocene Thermal Maximum by at least ~2.2 ka and declined thereafter with a
990 very low frequency of events during the last millennium.

991

992 • Using change detection and discreet Meyer wavelet analysis in combination
993 with proxy temperature indicators and an existing permafrost depth model, we
994 propose that enhanced SRSF activity was primarily controlled by permafrost
995 degradation. As a result, the Holocene permafrost depth record is subdivided
996 into five distinct periods and related to the SRSF chronology as follows: (i) 10
997 - 8.1 ka ('stable phase') low SRSF activity and maximum Holocene
998 permafrost depth; (ii) 8.1 - 4.8 ka ('transition phase') increasing susceptibility

999 to SRSF activity with decreasing permafrost depth; (iii) 4.8 - 2.6 ka ('peak
1000 phase') maximum SRSF activity and minimum Holocene permafrost depth;
1001 (iv) 2.6 - 0.6 ka ('exhaustion phase') decreasing SRSF activity with little
1002 change in shallow permafrost depth; and (iv) 0.6 - 0.1 ka ('stabilization
1003 phase') minimum SRSF activity with increasing permafrost depth.
1004

- 1005 • Long-term relative change in permafrost depth provides a compelling
1006 explanation for the high-magnitude departures from the SRSF background
1007 rate. In particular, the gradual change in permafrost depth during the
1008 'transition phase', as opposed to a stochastic response to climate warming,
1009 accounts for the significant lag (~2.2 ka) between the Holocene Thermal
1010 Maximum and the SRSF frequency peak. Moreover, persistent shallow
1011 permafrost during the 'peak phase' may be the key driver behind SRSF
1012 occurrence by (i) actively destabilizing bedrock cliffs and causing slope failure
1013 and/or (ii) weakening bedrock cliffs and making them more susceptible to
1014 other trigger factors.
1015
- 1016 • Conversely, declining SRSF frequency during the 'exhaustion phase' appears
1017 to reflect the diminished supply of potentially failable cliffs, even under a
1018 shallow permafrost depth scenario. Finally, low frequency of SRSF occurrence
1019 during the 'stabilization phase' likely reflects an increase in permafrost depth
1020 (permafrost aggradation) after ~0.6 ka; a change which would have been
1021 sufficient to stabilize slopes and decrease the susceptibility of bedrock cliffs to
1022 direct or indirect failure.
1023
- 1024 • This interpretation is supported by geomorphological evidence, given the
1025 consistent location of SRSF sites in relation to the local aspect-dependent
1026 lower altitudinal limit of permafrost in cliff faces. This new paraperiglacial
1027 model attributes enhanced SRSF activity to progressive and intermittent
1028 permafrost degradation during Holocene warm periods, including the
1029 possibility of renewed aggradation of permafrost during short-term cold
1030 periods and renewed degradation during the ensuing warm periods.
1031
- 1032 • Our new thermally-driven, permafrost-degradation model of SRSF events in
1033 Jotunheimen bears little similarity to existing models of Holocene RSF
1034 activity. However, while aspects of this new model require further testing by
1035 other methods and in other regions, the results of this study have important
1036 implications for climate-change forcing of RSF activity. Projected mean
1037 annual global warming is predicted to decrease the area of mountain
1038 permafrost and raise lower altitudinal permafrost limits. This in turn will likely
1039 destabilize higher bedrock slopes and increase SRSF frequency there. The
1040 delayed response of peak SRSF frequency to warming climate, as modulated
1041 by permafrost depth, may therefore result in a long-lasting impact of current
1042 climate trends on mountain environments.
1043
1044

1045 *Acknowledgements.* – Fieldwork was carried out on the Swansea University
1046 Jotunheimen Research Expeditions of 2014-2017. We are grateful to Ole and Tove
1047 Grindvold (Leirvassbu) for continuing expedition support; to Atle Nesje and Anne E.
1048 Bjune for data and sources of information on uplift rates and climate in southern

1049 Norway; and to R.L. Hermanns for a very thorough critical review that led to
1050 significant improvement of the manuscript. Anna C. Ratcliffe prepared the figures for
1051 publication. This paper constitutes Jotunheimen Research Expeditions, Contribution
1052 No. 206 (see <http://jotunheimenresearch.wixsite.com/home>).

1053

1054

1055 References

1056

1057 Aa, A. R. & Sjøstad, J. A. 2000: Schmidt hammer age evaluation of the moraine
1058 sequence in front of Bøyabreen, western Norway. *Norsk Geologiske Tidsskrift*
1059 *80*, 27-32.

1060 Aa, A. R., Sjøstad, J., Sønstegeard, E. & Blikra, L. H. 2007: Chronology of Holocene
1061 rock-avalanche deposits based on Schmidt-hammer relative dating and dust
1062 stratigraphy in nearby bog deposits, Vora, inner Nordfjord, Norway. *The*
1063 *Holocene* *17*, 955-964.

1064 Ahlmann, H. W. 1922: Glaciers in Jotunheimen and their physiography. *Geografiska*
1065 *Annaler* *4*, 1-57.

1066 Allen, S. & Huggel, C. 2013: Extremely warm temperatures as a potential cause of
1067 recent high mountain rockfall. *Global and Planetary Change* *107*, 59-69.

1068 Allen, S., Cox, S. & Owens, I. 2010: Rock avalanches and other landslides in the
1069 central Southern Alps of New Zealand: a regional study considering possible
1070 climate change impacts. *Landslides* *8*, 33-48.

1071 Alley, R. B. 2004: *GISP2 Ice Core Temperature and Accumulation Data*. IGBP
1072 *PAGES/World Data Center for Paleoclimatology Data Contribution Series*
1073 *#2004-013*. NOAA/NGDC Paleoclimate Program, Boulder, CO.

1074 André, M. F. 1996: Rock weathering rates in Arctic and subarctic environments
1075 (Abisko Mts, Swedish Lapland). *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie NF* *40*, 499-
1076 517.

1077 André, M. F. 2002: Rates of postglacial rock weathering on glacially scoured outcrops
1078 (Abisko-Riksgränsen area, 68°N). *Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical*
1079 *Geography* *64*, 139-150.

1080 Andreassen, L. M. & Winsvold, H. 2012. *Inventory of Norwegian Glaciers*.
1081 Norwegian Water Resources and Energy Directorate (NVE), Oslo.

1082 Antinao, J. L. & Gosse, J. 2009: Large rockslides in the southern central Andes of
1083 Chile (32–34°S): tectonic control and significance for Quaternary landscape
1084 evolution. *Geomorphology* *104*, 117-133.

1085 Arsenault, A. M. & Meigs, A. J. 2005: Contribution of deep-seated bedrock landslides
1086 to erosion of a glaciated basin in southern Alaska. *Earth Surface Processes*
1087 *and Landforms* *30*, 1111-1125.

1088 Aune, B. 1993: *Temperatur Normaler, Normalperiode 1961–1990*. Den Norske
1089 Meteorologiske Institutt, Oslo (Rapport 02/93, in Norwegian).

1090 Bakke, J., Lie, Ø., Dahl, S. O., Nesje, A. & Bjune, A. E. 2008: Strength and spatial
1091 patterns of the Holocene wintertime westerlies in the NE Atlantic region.
1092 *Global and Planetary Change* *60*, 28-41.

1093 Ballantyne, C. K. 2002: Paraglacial geomorphology. *Quaternary Science Reviews* *21*,
1094 1035-2017.

1095 Ballantyne, C. K. & Stone, J. O. 2013: Timing and periodicity of paraglacial rock-
1096 slope failures in the Scottish Highlands. *Geomorphology* *186*, 150-161.

1097 Ballantyne, C. K., Wilson, P., Schnabel, C. & Xu, S. 2013: Lateglacial rock slope
1098 failures in north-west Ireland: age, causes and implications. *Journal of*

- 1099 *Quaternary Science* 28, 789-802.
- 1100 Ballantyne, C. K., Sandeman, G. F., Stone, J. O. & Wilson, P. 2014a: Rock-slope
1101 failure following Late Pleistocene deglaciation on tectonically stable
1102 mountainous terrain. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 86, 144-157.
- 1103 Ballantyne, C. K., Wilson, P., Gheorghiu, D. & Rodés, À. 2014b: Enhanced rock-
1104 slope failure following ice-sheet deglaciation: timing and causes. *Earth*
1105 *Surface Processes and Landforms* 39, 900-913.
- 1106 Barnett, C., Dumayne-Peaty, L. & Matthews, J. A. 2000: Holocene climatic change
1107 and tree-line response in Leirdalen, central Jotunheimen. *Review of*
1108 *Palaeobotany and Palynology* 117, 119-137.
- 1109 Barr, I. D., Roberson, S., Flood, R. & Dortch, J. M. 2017: Younger Dryas glaciers and
1110 climate in the Mourne Mountains, Northern Ireland. *Journal of Quaternary*
1111 *Science* 32, 104-115.
- 1112 Bates, R. L. & Jackson, J. A. (eds) 1987: *Glossary of Geology*, 3rd edition, 573pp.
1113 American Geological Institute: Alexandria, VA.
- 1114 Battey, M. H. & McRitchie, W. D. 1973: A geological traverse across the pyroxene-
1115 granulites of Jotunheimen in the Norwegian Caledonides. *Norsk Geologiske*
1116 *Tidsskrift* 53, 237-265.
- 1117 Battey, M. H. & McRitchie, W. D. 1975: The petrology of the pyroxene-granulite
1118 facies rocks of Jotunheimen. *Norsk Geologiske Tidsskrift* 55, 1-49.
- 1119 Benestad, R. E. 2005: Climate change scenarios for northern Europe from multi-
1120 modal IPCC AR4 climate simulations. *Geophysical Research Letters* 32,
1121 L17704.
- 1122 Betts, M. W. & Latta, M. A. 2000: Rock surface hardness as an indication of exposure
1123 age: an archaeological application of the Schmidt hammer. *Archaeometry* 42,
1124 209-223.
- 1125 Blikra, L. H. & Christiansen, H. H. 2014: A field-based model of permafrost-
1126 controlled rockslide deformation in northern Norway. *Geomorphology* 208,
1127 34-49.
- 1128 Blikra, L. H., Longva, O., Braathen, A., Anda, E., Dehls, J. F. & Stalsberg, K. 2006:
1129 Rock slope failures in Norwegian fjord areas: examples, spatial distribution
1130 and temporal patterns. In Evans, S. G., Mugnozza, G. S., Strom, A. &
1131 Hermanns, R. L. (eds.): *Landslides from Massive Rock Slope Failures*, 475-
1132 496. Springer, Dordrecht.
- 1133 Böhme, M., Oppikofer, T., Longva, O., Jaboyedorff, M, Hermanns, R. L. & Derron,
1134 M. -H. 2015. Analyses of past and present rock slope instabilities in a fjord
1135 valley: implications for hazard estimation. *Geomorphology* 248, 464-474.
- 1136 Bond, G., Kromer, B., Beer, J., Muscheler, R., Evans, M. N., Showers, W.,
1137 Hoffmann, S., Lotti-Bond, R., Hajdas, R. & Bonani, G. 2001: Persistent solar
1138 influence on North Atlantic climate during the Holocene. *Science* 278, 1257-
1139 1266.
- 1140 Borgatti, L. & Soldati, M. 2010: Landslides and climatic change. In Alcántara-Ayala,
1141 I. & Goudie, A. (eds) *Geomorphological Hazards and Disaster Prevention*,
1142 87-95. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 1143 Braathen, A., Blikra, L.H., Berg, S. S. & Karlsen, F. 2004: Rock-slope failures in
1144 Norway: type, geometry, deformation mechanisms and stability. *Norwegian*
1145 *Journal of Geology* 84, 67-88.
- 1146 Brideau, M. -A. & Roberts, N. J. 2015: Mass movement in bedrock. In Davies, T.
1147 (ed.): *Landslide Hazards, Risks, and Disasters*, 43-90. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 1148 Briner, J. P., Kaufman, D. S., Manley, W. F., Finkel, R. C., & Caffee, M. W. 2005:

- 1149 Cosmogenic exposure dating of late Pleistocene moraine stabilization in
 1150 Alaska. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 117, 1108-1120.
- 1151 Brunsden, D. & Prior, D. B. (eds) 1984: *Slope Instability*. 620 pp. Wiley, Chichester.
- 1152 Bungum, H., Lindholm, C. D., Dahle, A., Woo, G., Nadim, F., Holme, J. K.,
 1153 Gudmestad, O. T., Hagberg, T. & Karthisgeyan, K. 2000: New seismic zoning
 1154 maps for Norway, the North Sea, and the United Kingdom. *Seismological*
 1155 *Research Letters* 71, 687-697.
- 1156 Černá, B. & Engel, Z. 2011: Surface and sub-surface Schmidt hammer rebound value
 1157 variation for a granite outcrop. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 36,
 1158 170-170.
- 1159 Clague, J. J. & Stead, D. (eds.) 2012: *Landslides: Types, Mechanisms and Modeling*,
 1160 420 pp. Cambridge University Press: Cambridge.
- 1161 Collins, B. D. & Stock, G. M. 2016: Rockfall triggering by cyclic thermal stressing of
 1162 exfoliation fractures. *Nature Geoscience* 9, 395-399.
- 1163 Colman, S. M. 1981: Rock-weathering rates as functions of time. *Quaternary*
 1164 *Research* 15, 250-264.
- 1165 Colman, S. M. & Dethier, D. P. (eds.) 1986: *Rates of Chemical Weathering of Rocks*
 1166 *and Minerals*. 603 pp. Academic Press, Orlando.
- 1167 Colman, S. M., Pierce, K. L. & Birkeland, P. W. 1987: Suggested terminology for
 1168 Quaternary dating methods. *Quaternary Research* 28, 314-319.
- 1169 Cossart, E., Braucher, R., Fort, M., Bourlès, D. L. & Carcaillet, J. 2008: Slope
 1170 instability in relation to glacial debuitressing in alpine areas (Upper Durance
 1171 catchment, southeastern France): evidence from field data and ¹⁰Be cosmic
 1172 ray exposure ages. *Geomorphology* 85, 3-26.
- 1173 Cossart, E., Mercier, D., Decaulne, A., Feuillet, T., Jónsson, H. P. & Þorsteinn
 1174 Sæmundsson 2014: Impacts of post-glacial rebound on landslide spatial
 1175 distribution at a regional scale in northern Iceland (Skagafjörður). *Earth*
 1176 *Surface Processes and Landforms* 39, 336-353.
- 1177 Crosta, G. B. & Clague, J. J. 2009: Dating, triggering, modelling, and hazard
 1178 assessment of large landslides. *Geomorphology* 103, 1-4.
- 1179 Crozier, M. J. 2010: Deciphering the effect of climate change on landslide activity: a
 1180 review. *Geomorphology* 124, 260-267.
- 1181 Cruden, D. M. & Varnes, D. J. 2009: Landslide types and processes. In: Turner, A. K.
 1182 & Schuster, R. L. (eds.): *Landslides Investigation and Mitigation*, 36-75.
 1183 Transportation Research Board, US National Research Council, Washington,
 1184 DC. Transportation Research Board, US National Research Council, Special
 1185 Report 247..
- 1186 Dahl, S. -O. & Nesje, A. 1996: A new approach to calculating Holocene winter
 1187 precipitation by combining glacier equilibrium-line altitudes and pine-tree
 1188 limits: A case study from Hardangerjøkulen, central-southern Norway. *The*
 1189 *Holocene* 6, 381-398.
- 1190 Dahl, S. -O., Nesje, A., Lie, Ø., Fjordheim, K. & Matthews, J. A. 2002: Timing,
 1191 equilibrium-line altitudes and climatic implications of two early-Holocene
 1192 glacial re-advances during the Erdalen Event at Jostedalbreen, western
 1193 Norway. *The Holocene* 12, 17-25.
- 1194 Dann, R., Close, M., Flintoft, M., Hector, R., Barlow, H., Thomas, S. & Francis, G.
 1195 2009: Characterization and estimation of hydraulic properties in an alluvial
 1196 gravel vadose zone. *Vadose Zone Journal* 8, 651-663.
- 1197 Dapples, F., Oswald, D., Raetzo, H., Landelli, T. & Zwahlen, P. 2003: New records of
 1198 Holocene landslide activity in the Western and Eastern Swiss Alps:

- 1199 implications of climate and vegetation changes. *Eclogae Geologicae Helvetiae*
1200 96, 1-9.
- 1201 Davies, T. (ed.) 2015: *Landslide Hazards, Risks, and Disasters*. 473 pp. Elsevier,
1202 Amsterdam.
- 1203 Decaulne, A., Cossart, E., Mercier, D., Feuillet, T., Coquin, J. & Jónsson, H. 2016:
1204 An early Holocene age for the Vatn landslide (Skagafjörður, central northern
1205 Iceland): insights into the role of postglacial landsliding on slope development.
1206 *The Holocene* 26, 1304-1318.
- 1207 Deline, P. & Kirkbride, M. P. 2009: Rock avalanches on a glacier and morainic
1208 complex in Haut Val Ferret (Mont Blanc Massif, Italy). *Geomorphology* 103,
1209 80-92.
- 1210 Deline, P., Gruber, S., Delaloye, R., Fischer, L., Geertseema, M., Giardino, M.,
1211 Hasler, A., Kirkbride, M., Krautblatter, M., Magnin, F., NcColl, S., Raveland,
1212 L. & Schoeneich, P. 2015: Ice loss and slope stability in high-mountain
1213 regions. In Haerberli, W. & Whitman, C. (eds.): *Snow and Ice-Related*
1214 *Hazards, Risks and Disasters*, 521-561. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 1215 Dera, Z. A. & Shumwayb, R. H. 1999: Phase onset time estimation at regional
1216 distances using the CUSUM algorithm. *Physics of the Earth and Planetary*
1217 *Interiors* 113, 227-246.
- 1218 Dortch, J. M., Owen, L. A., Haneberg, W. C., Caffee, M. W., Dietsch, C. & Kamp, U.
1219 2009: Nature and timing of large landslides in the Himalaya and
1220 Transhimalaya of northern India. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 1037-1054.
- 1221 Dortch, J. M., Owen, L.A. & Caffee, M. W. 2013: Timing and climatic drivers for
1222 glaciation across semi-arid western Himalayan-Tibetan orogen. *Quaternary*
1223 *Science Reviews* 78, 188–208.
- 1224 Douglas, G. R., Whalley, W. B. and McGreevy, J. P. 1991: Rock properties as
1225 controls on free-face debris fall activity. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*
1226 2, 311-319.
- 1227 Draebing, D., Krautblatter, M. & Dikau, R. 2014: Interaction of thermal and
1228 mechanical processes in steep permafrost rock walls: a conceptual approach,
1229 *Geomorphology* 226, 226-235.
- 1230 Eberhardt, E., Stead, D. & Coggan, J. S. 2004: Numerical analysis of initiation and
1231 progressive failure in natural rocks slopes: the 1991 Randa rockslide.
1232 *International Journal of Rock Mechanics and Mining Sciences* 41, 69-87.
- 1233 Eisbacher, G. H. & Clague, J. J. 1984: Destructive mass movements in high
1234 mountains: hazards and management. *Geological Survey of Canada, Paper*
1235 84/16, 1-230.
- 1236 Eldevik, T., Risebrobakken, B., Bjune, A.E., Andersson, C., Birks, H. J. B., Dokken,
1237 T. M., Drange, H., Glessmer, M. S., Li, C., Nilsen, J. E. Ø., Ottera, O. H.,
1238 Richter, K. & Skagseth, Ø. 2014: A brief history of climate – the northern seas
1239 from the Last Glacial Maximum to global warming. *Quaternary Science*
1240 *Reviews* 106, 225-246.
- 1241 Etzelmüller, B., Berthling, I. & Sollid, J. L. 2003: Aspects and concepts on the
1242 geomorphological significance of Holocene permafrost in southern Norway.
1243 *Geomorphology* 52, 87-104.
- 1244 Evans, S. G. & Clague, J. J. 1994: Recent climate change and catastrophic
1245 geomorphic processes in mountain environments. *Geomorphology* 10, 107-
1246 128.
- 1247 Evans, S. G., Mugnozza, G. S., Strom, A. & Hermanns, R. L. (eds.) 2006: *Landslides*
1248 *from Massive Rock Slope Failures*. 662 pp. Springer, Dordrecht.

- 1249 Farbrot, H., Hipp, T. F., Etzelmüller, B., Isaksen, K., Ødegård, R. S., Schuler, T. V. &
1250 Humlum, O. 2009: Air and ground temperature variations observed along
1251 elevation and continentality gradients in southern Norway. *Permafrost and*
1252 *Periglacial Processes* 22, 343–360.
- 1253 Fischer, L., Kääh, A., Huggel, C. & Noetzli, J. 2006: Geology, glacier retreat and
1254 permafrost degradation as controlling factors of slope stability in a high
1255 mountain rock wall. *Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences* 6, 761-772.
- 1256 Fjeldskaar, W., Lindholm, C., Dehls, J. F. & Fjeldskaar, I. 2000: Postglacial uplift,
1257 neotectonics and seismicity in Fennoscandia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 19,
1258 1413-1422.
- 1259 Førland, E. J. 1993: *Nedbørnormaler, Normalperiode 1961–1990*. Den Norske
1260 Meteorologiske Institutt, Oslo (Rapport 39/93).
- 1261 Frattini, P., Crosta G. B. & Agliardi, F. 2012: Rockfall characterization and modeling.
1262 In Clague, J. J. & Stead, D. (eds.): *Landslides: Types, Mechanisms and*
1263 *Modeling*, 267-271. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- 1264 Gardner, J. S. 1983: Rockfall frequency and distribution in the Highwood Pass area,
1265 Canadian Rocky Mountains. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie* 27, 311-324.
- 1266 Gariano, S. L. & Guzzetti, F. 2016: Landslides in a changing climate. *Earth-Science*
1267 *Reviews* 162, 227-252.
- 1268 Gibbs, A. D. & Banham, P. H. 1979: *Sygnefjell Berggrunnsgeologisk kart 1518 III,*
1269 *1:50,000*. Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse, Trondheim.
- 1270 Ginås, K., Etzelmüller, B., Lussana, C., Hjort, J., Sannel, A. B. K., Isaksen, K.,
1271 Westermann, S., Kuhry, P., Christiansen, H., Frampton, A. & Åkerman, J.
1272 2017: Permafrost map for Norway, Sweden and Finland. *Permafrost and*
1273 *Periglacial Processes* 28, 359–378.
- 1274 Gjessing, J. 1967: Norway's paleic surface. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift* 21, 69-132.
- 1275 Goehring, B. M., Brook, E. J., Linge, H., Raisbeck, G. M. & Yiou, F. 2008:
1276 Beryllium-10 exposure ages of erratic boulders in southern Norway and
1277 implications for the history of the Fennoscandian Ice Sheet. *Quaternary*
1278 *Science Reviews* 27, 320-336.
- 1279 Goudarzi, M. A., Cocard, M., Santerre, R. & Woldai, T. 2013: GPS interactive time
1280 series analysis software. *GPS Solutions* 17, 595-603.
- 1281 Gruber, S. & Haeberli, W. 2007: Permafrost in steep bedrock slopes and its
1282 temperature-related destabilization following climate change. *Journal of*
1283 *Geophysical Research – Earth Surface* 112, F02S18. DOI:
1284 10.1029/2006JF000547.
- 1285 Gruber, S., Hoelzle, M. & Haeberli, W. 2004: Permafrost thaw and destabilization of
1286 Alpine rock walls in the hot summer of 2003. *Geophysical Research Letters*
1287 31, L13504. doi:10.1029/2004GL020051
- 1288 Hall, K., Thorn, C. E., Matsuoka, N. & Prick, A. 2002: Weathering in cold regions:
1289 some thoughts and perspectives. *Progress in Physical Geography* 26, 577-603.
- 1290 Hallet, B. & Putkonen, J. 1996: Surface dating of dynamic landforms: young
1291 boulders on aging moraines. *Science* 26, 937-940.
- 1292 Hallet, B., Walder, J. S. & Stubbs, C. W. 1991: Weathering by segregation ice growth
1293 in microcracks at sustained sub-zero temperatures: verification from an
1294 experimental study using acoustic emissions. *Permafrost and Periglacial*
1295 *Processes* 2, 283-300.
- 1296 Hermanns, R. L. & Longva, O. 2012: Rapid rock-slope failures. In Clague, J. J. &
1297 Stead, D. (eds.): *Landslides: Types, Mechanisms and Modeling*, 59-70.
1298 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.

- 1299 Hermanns, R. L., Trauth, M. H., Niedermann, S., McWilliams, M. & Strecker, M. R.
1300 2000: Tephrochronological constraints on temporal distribution of large
1301 landslides in Northwest Argentina. *Journal of Geology* 108, 35-52.
- 1302 Hermanns, R. L., Niedermann, S., Garcia, A. V., Gomez, J. S. & Strecker, M. R.
1303 2001: Neotectonics and catastrophic failure of mountain fronts in the southern
1304 intra-Andean Puna Plateau, Argentina. *Geology* 29, 619-623.
- 1305 Hermanns, R. L., Niedermann, S., Ivy-Ochs, S. & Kubik, P. W. 2004: Rock
1306 avalanching into a landslide-dammed lake causing multiple dam failure in Las
1307 Conchas valley (NW Argentina) – evidence from surface exposure dating and
1308 stratigraphic analysis. *Landslides* 1, 113-122.
- 1309 Hermanns, R. L., Blikra, L. H., Naumann, M., Nilsen, B., Panthi, K. K. & Stromeyer,
1310 D. 2006: Examples from multiple rock-slope collapses from Köfels (Ötz
1311 valley, Austria) and western Norway. *Engineering Geology* 83, 94-108.
- 1312 Hermanns, R. L., Schleier, M., Böhme, M., Blikra, L. H., Gosse, J., Ivy-Ochs, S. &
1313 Hilger, P. 2017: Rock-avalanche activity in W and S Norway peaks after the
1314 retreat of the Scandinavian Ice Sheet. In Mikoš, M., Vílímek, V., Yin, Y. &
1315 Sassa, K. (eds.): *Advancing Culture of Living with Landslides, Volume 5:*
1316 *Landslides in Different Environments*, 331-338. Springer, Berlin.
- 1317 Hewitt, K., Clague, J. J. & Orwin, J. F. 2008: Legacies of catastrophic rock slope
1318 failures in mountain landscapes. *Earth-Science Reviews* 87, 1-38.
- 1319 Heyman, J., Stroeven, A. P., Harbor, J. M., & Caffee, M. W. 2011: Too young or too
1320 old: Evaluating cosmogenic exposure dating based on an analysis of compiled
1321 boulder exposure ages. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 302, 71-80.
- 1322 Hicks, E. C., Bungum, H. & Lindholm, C. D. 2000: Seismic activity, inferred crustal
1323 stresses and seismotectonics in the Rana region, Northern Norway.
1324 *Quaternary Science Reviews* 19, 1423-1436.
- 1325 Hipp, T., Etzelmüller, B. & Westermann, S. 2014: Permafrost in alpine rock faces
1326 from Jotunheimen and Hurrungane, southern Norway. *Permafrost and*
1327 *Periglacial Processes* 25, 1-13.
- 1328 Holm, K., Bovis, M. & Jakob, M. 2004: The landslide response of alpine basins to
1329 post-Little Ice Age glacial thinning and retreat in southwestern British
1330 Columbia. *Geomorphology* 57, 201-216.
- 1331 Hormes, A., Blaauw, Dahl, S. -O., Nesje, A. & Possnert, G. 2009: Radiocarbon
1332 wiggle-match dating of proglacial lake sediments – implications for the 8.2 ka
1333 event. *Quaternary Geochronology* 4, 267-277.
- 1334 Huggel, C., Salzmann, N., Allen, S., Caplan-Auerbach, J., Fischer, L., Haeberli, W.,
1335 Larsen, C., Schneider, D. & Wessel, R. 2010: Recent and future warm extreme
1336 events and high-mountain slope stability. *Philosophical Transactions of the*
1337 *Royal Society A* 368, 2435-2459.
- 1338 Huggel, C., Clague, J. J. & Korup, O. 2012: Is climate change responsible for
1339 changing landslide activity in high mountains? *Earth Surface Processes and*
1340 *Landforms* 37, 77-91.
- 1341 Hughes, A. L. C., Gyllencreutz, R., Lohne, Ø., Mangerud, J. & Svendsen, J. L. 2016:
1342 The last Eurasian ice sheets – a chronological database and time-slice
1343 reconstruction, DATED-1. *Boreas* 45, 1-45.
- 1344 Hungr, O. & Evans, S. G. 2004: Entrainment of debris in rock avalanches: analysis of
1345 a long run-out mechanism. *Geological Society of America Bulletin* 116, 1240-
1346 1252.
- 1347 Isaksen, K., Hauck, C., Gudevang, E., Ødegård, R. S. & Sollid, J. L. 2002: Mountain
1348 permafrost distribution in Dovrefjell and Jotunheimen, southern Norway,

- 1349 based on BTS and DC resistivity tomography data. *Norsk Geografisk Tidsskrift*
1350 *56*, 122-136.
- 1351 Ivy-Ochs, S., Poschinger, A. V., Synal, H. & Maisch, M. 2009: Surface exposure
1352 dating of the Flims landslide, Graubünden, Switzerland. *Geomorphology* *103*,
1353 104-112.
- 1354 Jansen, E., Andersson, C., Moros, M., Nisancioglu, K. H., Nyland, B. F. & Telford,
1355 R. J. 2008: The early to mid-Holocene thermal optimum in the North Atlantic.
1356 *In* Battarbee, R. W. & Binney, H. A. (eds.): *Natural Climate Variability and*
1357 *Global Warming: a Holocene Perspective*, 128-137. Wiley-Blackwell,
1358 Chichester.
- 1359 Jarman, D. 2006: Large rock slope failures in the Highlands of Scotland:
1360 characterization, causes and spatial distribution. *Engineering Geology* *83*, 161-
1361 182.
- 1362 Johnson, B. G., Smith, J. A. & Diemer, J. A. 2017: A chronology of post-glacial
1363 landslides suggests that slight increases in precipitation could trigger a
1364 disproportionate geomorphic response. *Earth Surface Processes and*
1365 *Landforms* *42*, 2223-2239.
- 1366 Karlén, W. & Matthews, J. A. 1992: Reconstructing Holocene glacier variations from
1367 glacial lake sediments: studies from Nordvestlandet and Jostedalbreen-
1368 Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical*
1369 *Geography* *74*, 327-348.
- 1370 Keefer, D. K. 2002: Investigating landslides caused by earthquakes – a historical
1371 review. *Surveys in Geophysics* *23*, 473-510.
- 1372 Keefer, D. K. 2015: Landslides generated by earthquakes: immediate and long-term
1373 effects. *In* Owen, L. A. (ed.): *Tectonic Geomorphology*, 250-266. Elsevier,
1374 Amsterdam.
- 1375 Korup, O., Clague, J. J., Hermanns, R. L., Hewitt, K., Strom, A. L., & Weidinger, J.
1376 T. 2007: Giant landslides, topography, and erosion. *Earth and Planetary*
1377 *Science Letters* *261*, 578-589.
- 1378 Krautblatter, M. & Leith, K. 2015: Glacier- and permafrost-related slope instabilities.
1379 *In* Huggel, C., Carey, M., Clague, J. J. & Kääh, A. (eds.): *The High-Mountain*
1380 *Cryosphere: Environmental Changes and Human Risks*, 147-165. Cambridge
1381 University Press, Cambridge.
- 1382 Krautblatter, M., Funk, D. & Guenzel, F. 2013: Why permafrost rocks become
1383 unstable: a rock-ice-mechanical model in time and space. *Earth Surface*
1384 *Processes and Landforms* *38*, 876-887.
- 1385 Kukkonen, I. T., & Šafanda, J. 2001: Numerical modelling of permafrost in bedrock
1386 in northern Fennoscandia during the Holocene. *Global and Planetary Change*
1387 *29*, 259-273.
- 1388 Lang, A., Moya, J. Corominas, J., Schrott, L. & Dikau, R. 1999: Classic and new
1389 dating methods for assessing the temporal occurrence of mass movements.
1390 *Geomorphology* *30*, 33-52.
- 1391 Lau, K. -M., & Weng, H. 1995: Climate signal detection using wavelet transform:
1392 How to make a time series sing. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological*
1393 *Society* *76*, 2391-2402.
- 1394 Lidmar-Bergström, K., Ollier, C. & Sulebak, J. R. 2000: Landforms and uplift history
1395 of southern Norway. *Global and Planetary Change* *24*, 211-231.
- 1396 Lilleøren, K. S., Etzelmüller, B., Schuler, T. V., Ginås, K. & Humlum, O. 2012: The
1397 relative age of permafrost – estimation of Holocene permafrost limits in
1398 Norway. *Global and Planetary Change* *92–93*, 209–223.

- 1399 Lu, M., Pebesma, E., Sanchez, A. & Verbesselt, J. 2016: Spatio-temporal change
 1400 detection from multidimensional arrays: Detecting deforestation from MODIS
 1401 time series. *ISPRS Journal of Photogrammetry and Remote Sensing* 117, 227-
 1402 236.
- 1403 Luckman, B. L. 2013: Processes, transport, deposition, and landforms: Rockfall. In
 1404 Stoffel, M. & Marston, R. A. (eds.): *Treatise on Geomorphology, Volume 7*,
 1405 174-182. Routledge, London.
- 1406 Lutro, O. & Tveten, E. 1996: *Geologiske kart over Norge, berggrunnskart Årdal*,
 1407 *1:250,000*. Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse, Trondheim.
- 1408 Lyså A., Knies, J. & Larsen E. 2008: Kunnskap om istider og landformer – nøkkelen til
 1409 forståelsen av klimavariasjoner. *Gråsteinen* 12, 41-57.
- 1410 Mangerud, J., Gyllencreutz, R., Lohne, Ø. & Svendsen, J. I. 2011: Glacial history of
 1411 Norway. In Ehlers, J., Gibbard, P. L. & Hughes, P. D. (eds.): *Quaternary*
 1412 *glaciations – extent and chronology: a closer look*, 279-298. Elsevier,
 1413 Amsterdam.
- 1414 Marc, O., Hovius, N., Meunier, P., Uchida, T. & Hayashi, S. 2015: Transient changes
 1415 of landslide rates after earthquakes. *Geology* 43, 883-886.
- 1416 MATLAB 2015. *MATLAB Version 8.5*, The MathWorks, Inc., Natick MA.
- 1417 Matsuoka, N. & Murton, J. 2008: Frost weathering: recent advances and future
 1418 directions. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* 19, 195-210.
- 1419 Matthews, J. A. 1991: The late Neoglacial ('Little Ice Age') glacier maximum in
 1420 southern Norway: new ¹⁴C-dating evidence and climatic implications. *The*
 1421 *Holocene* 1, 219-133.
- 1422 Matthews, J. A. 2005: 'Little Ice Age' glacier variations in Jotunheimen, southern
 1423 Norway: a study in regionally-controlled lichenometric dating of recessional
 1424 moraines with implications for climate and lichen growth rates. *The*
 1425 *Holocene* 15, 1-19.
- 1426 Matthews, J. A. & Dresser, P. Q. 2008: Holocene glacier variation chronology of the
 1427 Smørstabbtindan massif, Jotunheimen, southern Norway, and the recognition
 1428 of century- to millennial-scale European Neoglacial events. *The Holocene* 18,
 1429 181-201.
- 1430 Matthews, J. A. & McEwen, L. J. 2013: High-precision Schmidt-hammer exposure-
 1431 age dating (SHD) of flood berms, Vetlestølsdalen, alpine southern Norway:
 1432 first application and some methodological issues. *Geografiska Annaler Series*
 1433 *A Physical Geography* 95, 185-194.
- 1434 Matthews, J. A. & Owen, G. 2008: Endolithic lichens, rapid biological weathering
 1435 and Schmidt hammer R-values on recently exposed rock surfaces: Storbreen
 1436 glacier foreland, Jotunheimen, Norway. *Geografiska Annaler Series A*
 1437 *Physical Geography* 90, 287-297.
- 1438 Matthews, J. A & Owen, G. 2010: Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating: developing
 1439 linear age-calibration curves using Holocene bedrock surfaces from the
 1440 Jotunheimen–Jostedalbreen regions of southern Norway. *Boreas* 39, 105-115.
- 1441 Matthews, J. A. & Owen, G. 2011: Holocene chemical weathering, surface lowering
 1442 and rock weakening rates on glacially eroded bedrock surfaces in an alpine
 1443 periglacial environment, Jotunheimen, Norway. *Permafrost and Periglacial*
 1444 *Processes* 22, 279-290.
- 1445 Matthews, J. A. & Seppälä, M. 2005: Holocene colluvial chronology in the sub-arctic
 1446 esker landscape at Kuttanen, Finnisj Lapland: kettleholes as geo-ecological

- 1447 archives of interactions amongst fire, vegetation, soil, climate and
 1448 geomorphological instability. *Boreas* 44, 343-367.
- 1449 Matthews, J. A. & Shakesby, R. A. 1984: The status of the 'Little Ice Age' in
 1450 southern Norway: relative-age dating of Neoglacial moraines with Schmidt
 1451 hammer and lichenometry. *Boreas* 13, 333-346.
- 1452 Matthews, J. A. & Shakesby, R. A. 2004: A twentieth-century neoparaglacial rock
 1453 topple on a glacier foreland, Ötztal Alps, Austria. *The Holocene* 14, 454-458.
- 1454 Matthews, J. A. & Vater, A. E. 2015: Pioneer zone geo-ecological change:
 1455 observations from a chronosequence on the Storbreen glacier foreland,
 1456 Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Catena* 135, 219-230.
- 1457 Matthews, J. A. & Wilson, P. 2015: Improved Schmidt-hammer exposure ages for
 1458 active and relict pronival ramparts in southern Norway, and their
 1459 palaeoenvironmental implications. *Geomorphology* 246, 7-21.
- 1460 Matthews, J. A. & Winkler, S. 2011: Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating (SHD):
 1461 application to early Holocene moraines and a reappraisal of the reliability of
 1462 terrestrial cosmogenic-nuclide dating (TCND) at Austanbotnbreen,
 1463 Jotunheimen, Norway. *Boreas* 40, 256-270.
- 1464 Matthews, J. A., Brunsdon, D., Frenzel, B., Gläser, B. & Weiss, M. M. (eds.) 1997:
 1465 *Rapid Mass Movement as a Source of Climatic Evidence for the Holocene*. 446
 1466 pp. Gustav Fisher Verlag, Stuttgart, 446 pp.
- 1467 Matthews J. A., Berrisford, M. S., Dresser, P. Q., Nesje, A., Dahl, S. -O., Bjune, A.
 1468 E., Bakke, J., Birks, H. J. B., Lie, Ø., Dumayne-Peaty, L. & Barnett, C. 2005:
 1469 Holocene glacier history of Bjørnbreen and climatic reconstruction in central
 1470 Jotunheimen, southern Norway, based on proximal glaciofluvial stream-bank
 1471 mires. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 24, 67-90.
- 1472 Matthews, J. A., Dahl, S. -O., Dresser, P. Q., Berrisford, M. S., Lie, Ø., Nesje, A. &
 1473 Owen, G. 2009: Radiocarbon chronology of Holocene colluvial (debris-flow)
 1474 activity at Sletthamn, Jotunheimen, southern Norway: a window on the
 1475 changing frequency of extreme climatic events and their landscape impact.
 1476 *The Holocene* 19, 1107-1129.
- 1477 Matthews, J.A., Nesje, A. & Linge, H. 2013: Relict talus-foot rock glaciers at
 1478 Øyberget, upper Ottadalen, southern Norway: Schmidt hammer exposure ages
 1479 and palaeoenvironmental implications. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*
 1480 24, 336-346.
- 1481 Matthews, J. A., Winkler, S. & Wilson, P. 2014: Age and origin of ice-cored moraines
 1482 in Jotunheimen and Breheimen, southern Norway: insights from Schmidt-
 1483 hammer exposure-age dating. *Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical*
 1484 *Geography* 96, 531-548.
- 1485 Matthews, J. A., McEwen, L. J. & Owen, G. 2015: Schmidt-hammer exposure-age
 1486 dating (SHD) of snow-avalanche impact ramparts in southern Norway:
 1487 approaches, results and implications for landform age, dynamics and
 1488 development. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 40, 1705–1718.
- 1489 Matthews, J. A., Owen, G., Winkler, S., Vater, A. E., Wilson, P., Mourne, R. W. &
 1490 Hill, J. L. 2016: A rock-surface microweathering index from Schmidt hammer
 1491 R-values and its preliminary application to some common rock types in
 1492 southern Norway. *Catena* 143, 35-44.
- 1493 Matthews, J. A., Wilson, P. & Mourne, R. W. 2017: Landform transitions from
 1494 pronival ramparts to moraines and rock glaciers: a case study from the
 1495 Smørbotn cirque, Romsdalsalpane, southern Norway. *Geografiska Annaler*
 1496 *Series A Physical Geography* 96, 15-37.

- 1497 McCarroll, D. 1987: The Schmidt hammer in geomorphology: five sources of
 1498 instrument error. *British Geomorphological Research Group Technical*
 1499 *Bulletin* 36, 16-27.
- 1500 McCarroll, D. 1994: The Schmidt hammer as a measure of degree of rock surface
 1501 weathering and terrain age. In Beck, C. (ed.): *Dating in Exposed and Surface*
 1502 *Contexts*, 29-45. University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque.
- 1503 McColl, S.T. 2012: Paraglacial rock-slope stability. *Geomorphology* 153-154, 1-16.
- 1504 McColl, S.T. & Davies T.R.H. 2012: Large ice-contact slope movements, glacial
 1505 buttressing, deformation and erosion. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*
 1506 38, 1102-1115.
- 1507 McPhillips, D., Bierman, P.R. & Rood, D.H. 2014: Millennial-scale record of
 1508 landslides in the Andes consistent with earthquake trigger. *Nature Geoscience*
 1509 7, 925-930.
- 1510 Mercier, D. 2008: Paraglacial and paraperiglacial land systems: concepts, temporal
 1511 scales and spatial distribution. *Géomorphologie: Relief, Processus,*
 1512 *Environnement* 14, 223-233.
- 1513 Mercier, D., Coquin, J., Feuillet, T., Decaulne, A., Cossart, E., PallJónsson, H. &
 1514 Sæmundsson, Þ. 2017: Are Icelandic rock-slope failures paraglacial? Age
 1515 evaluation of seventeen rock-slope failures in the Skagafjörður area based on
 1516 geomorphological stacking, radiocarbon dating and tephrochronology.
 1517 *Geomorphology* 296, 45-58.
- 1518 Messenzehl, K. & Dikau, R. 2017: Structural and thermal controls of rockfall
 1519 frequency and magnitude within rockwall-talus systems (Swiss Alps). *Earth*
 1520 *Surface Processes and Landforms* 42, 1963-1981.
- 1521 Moen, A. 1999: *National Atlas of Norway: Vegetation*. Norwegian Mapping
 1522 Authority, Hønefoss.
- 1523 Moreiras, S.M., Hermanns, R.L. & Fauqué, L. 2015: Cosmogenic dating of rock
 1524 avalanches constraining Quaternary stratigraphy and regional neotectonics in
 1525 the Argentine Central Andes (32°S). *Quaternary Science Reviews* 112, 45-58.
- 1526 Moses, C., Robinson, D. & Barlow, J. 2014: Methods for measuring rock surface
 1527 weathering and erosion: a critical review. *Earth-Science Reviews* 135, 141-
 1528 161.
- 1529 Murari, M.K., Owen, L.A., Dortch, J.M., Caffee, M.W., Dietsch, C., Fuchs, M.,
 1530 Haneberg, W.C., Sharma, M.C. & Townsend-Small, A. 2014: Timing and
 1531 climatic drivers for glaciation across monsoon-influenced regions of the
 1532 Himalayan-Tibetan orogen. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 88, 159-182.
- 1533 Murphy, B. 2015: Coseismic landslides. In Davies, T. (ed.): *Landslide Hazards,*
 1534 *Risks, and Disasters*, 91-129. Elsevier, Amsterdam.
- 1535 Murton, J. B., Peterson & Ozouf, J.-C. 2006: Bedrock fracture in cold regions.
 1536 *Science* 314, 1127-1129.
- 1537 Myhra, K. S., Westermann, S. & Etzelmüller, B. 2016; Modelled distribution and
 1538 temporal evolution of permafrost in steep rock walls along a latitudinal
 1539 transect in Norway by CryoGrid 2D. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes*
 1540 28, 172-182.
- 1541 Nesje, A. 2009: Late Pleistocene and Holocene alpine glacier fluctuations in
 1542 Scandinavia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 28, 2119-2136.
- 1543 Nesje, A. & Dahl, S.O. 2001: The Greenland 8200 cal. yr BP event detected in loss-
 1544 on-ignition profiles in Norwegian lacustrine sediment sequences. *Journal of*
 1545 *Quaternary Science* 16, 155-166.

- 1546 Nesje, A., Bakke, J., Dahl, S.O., Lie, Ø. & Matthews, J.A. 2008: Norwegian glaciers
1547 in the past, present and future. *Global and Planetary Change* 60, 10-27.
- 1548 Nesje, A., Blikra, L. & Anda, E. 1994: Dating rockfall-avalanche deposits from
1549 degree of rock-surface weathering by Schmidt-hammer tests: a study from
1550 Norangsdalen, Sunnmøre, Norway. *Norsk Geologiske Tidsskrift* 74, 108-113.
- 1551 Nicholson, D.T. 2008: Rock control in microweathering of bedrock surfaces in a
1552 periglacial environment. *Geomorphology* 101, 655-665.
- 1553 Nicholson, D.T. 2009: Holocene microweathering rates and processes on ice-eroded
1554 bedrock, Røldal area, Hardangervidda, southern Norway. In Knight, J. &
1555 Harrison, S. (eds.): *Periglacial and Paraglacial Processes and Environments*,
1556 29-49. Geological Society, Special Publication 320.
- 1557 Ødegård, R.S., Sollid, J.L. & Liestøl, O. 1992: Ground temperature measurements in
1558 mountain permafrost, Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Permafrost and*
1559 *Periglacial Processes* 3, 231-234.
- 1560 Ødegård, R.S., Nesje, A., Isaksen, K., Andreassen, L.M., Eiken, T., Schwikowski, M.
1561 & Uglietti, C. 2017: Climate change threatens archaeologically significant ice
1562 patches: insights into their age, internal structure, mass balance and climate
1563 sensitivity. *The Cryosphere* 11, 17-32.
- 1564 Oerlemans, J. 2005: Extracting a climate signal from 169 glacier records. *Science* 308,
1565 675-677.
- 1566 Olesen, O., Dehls, J., Bugum, H., Riis, F., Hicks, E., Lindholm, C., Blikra, L.H.,
1567 Fjeldskaar, W., Olsen, L., Longva, O., Faleide, J.I., Bockmann, L., Rise, L.,
1568 Roberts, D., Braathen, A. & Brekke, H. 2000: *NEONOR: Neotectonics in*
1569 *Norway, Final Report*. 135 pp. Norges Geologiske Undersøkelse, Trondheim.
- 1570 Owen, G., Hiemstra, J.F., Matthews, J.A. & McEwen, L.J. 2010: Landslide-glacier
1571 interaction in a neoparaglacial setting at Tverrbytnede, Jotunheimen, southern
1572 Norway. *Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical Geography* 92, 421-436.
- 1573 Pánek, T. 2014: Recent progress in landslide dating: a global overview. *Progress in*
1574 *Physical Geography* 39, 168-198.
- 1575 Paus, A. & Haugland, V. 2017: Early- to mid-Holocene forest-line and climate
1576 dynamics in southern Scandes mountains inferred from contrasting megafossil
1577 and pollen data. *The Holocene* 27, 361-383.
- 1578 Penna, I.M., Hermanns, R.L., Niedermann, S & Folguera, A. 2011: Multiple slope
1579 failures associated with neotectonic activity in the Southern Central Andes
1580 (37°-37° 30'S), Patagonia, Argentina. *Geological Society of America Bulletin*
1581 123, 1880-1895.
- 1582 Phillips, M., Wolter, A., Lüthi, R., Amann, F., Kenner, R. & Bühler, Y. 2017: Rock
1583 slope failure in a recently deglaciated permafrost rock wall at Piz Kesch
1584 (Eastern Swiss Alps), February 2014. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*
1585 42, 426-438.
- 1586 Prager, C., Zangerl, C., Patzelt, G. & Brandner, R. 2008: Age distribution of fossil
1587 landslides in the Tyrol (Austria) and its surrounding areas. *Natural Hazards*
1588 *and Earth System Sciences* 8, 377-407.
- 1589 Prager, C., Ivy-Ochs, S., Ostermann, M., Synal, H.-A. & Patzelt, G. 2009: Geology
1590 and radiometric ¹⁴C-, ³⁶Cl- and Th/U-dating of the Fernpass rockslide (Tyrol,
1591 Austria). *Geomorphology* 103, 93-103.
- 1592 Proceq 2004: *Operating instructions. Betonprüfhammer N/NR-L/LR*. Proceq SA,
1593 Schwerzenbach.
- 1594 Rapp, A. 1960a: Talus slopes and mountain walls at Templefjorden, Spitzbergen.
1595 *Norsk Polarinstitutt Skrifter* 119, 1-96.

- 1596 Rapp, A. 1960b: Recent development of mountain slopes in Kärkevagge and
1597 surroundings, northern Scandinavia. *Geografiska Annaler* 42, 65-200.
- 1598 Reimer, P.J., Bard, E., Bayliss, A., Beck, J.W., Blackwell, P.G., Ramsey, C.B., Buck,
1599 C.E., Cheng, H., Edwards, R.L., Friedrich, M. & Grootes, P.M. 2013: IntCal13
1600 and Marine13 radiocarbon age calibration curves 0–50,000 years cal BP.
1601 *Radiocarbon* 55, 1869-1887.
- 1602 Renssen, H., Seppä, H., Crosta, X., Goose, H. & Roche, D.M. 2012: Global
1603 characterization of the Holocene Thermal Maximum. *Quaternary Science*
1604 *Reviews* 48, 7-19.
- 1605 Sánchez, S.J., Mosquera, D.F & Vidal Romaní, J.R. 2009: Assessing the age-
1606 weathering correspondence of cosmogenic ²¹Ne dated Pleistocene surfaces by
1607 the Schmidt hammer. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 34, 1121-1125.
- 1608 Sandøy, G., Oppikofer, T. & Nilsen, B. 2017: Why did the 1756 Tjellefonna rockslide
1609 occur? A back-analysis of the largest historic rockslide in Norway.
1610 *Geomorphology* 289, 78-95.
- 1611 Sass, O. & Wollny, K. 2001: Investigations regarding alpine talus slopes using
1612 ground-penetrating radar (GPR) in the Bavarian Alps, Germany. *Earth Surface*
1613 *Processes and Landforms* 26, 1071-1086.
- 1614 Scarpozza, C. 2016: Evidence of paraglacial and paraperiglacial crisis in Alpine
1615 sediment transfer since the last glaciation (Tincino, Switzerland). *Quaternaire*
1616 27, 139-155.
- 1617 Schleier, M., Hermanns, R.L., Rohn, J. & Gosse J.C. 2015: Diagnostic characteristics
1618 and paleodynamics of supraglacial rock avalanches, Innerdalen, Western
1619 Norway. *Geomorphology* 245, 23-39.
- 1620 Schleier, M., Hermanns, R.L., Goose, J.C., Oppikofer, T., Rohn, J. & Tønnesen, J.F.
1621 2017: Subaqueous rock-avalanche deposits exposed by post-glacial isostatic
1622 rebound, Innfjorddalen, Western Norway. *Geomorphology* 289, 117-133.
- 1623 Seppä, H., Bjune, A.E., Telford, R.J., Birks, H.J.B. Birks & Veski, S. 2009: Last nine-
1624 thousand years of temperature variability in Northern Europe. *Climate Past* 5,
1625 523-535.
- 1626 Shakesby, R.A. 2014: Rockfall. In Matthews, J.A., Barrow, C.J., Boyd, D.S.,
1627 Caseldine, C.J., Ficken, K.J., Innes, J.L., Nortcliff, S., Owen, G., Pike, J.,
1628 Shakesby, R.A., Walsh, R.P.D. & Wheeler, D.A. (eds.): *Encyclopedia of*
1629 *Environmental Change, Volume 3*, 939-940. SAGE, London.
- 1630 Shakesby, R.A., Matthews, J.A. & Owen, G. 2006: The Schmidt hammer as a
1631 relative-age dating tool and its potential for calibrated-age dating in Holocene
1632 glaciated environments. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 25, 2846-2867.
- 1633 Shakesby, R.A., Matthews, J.A., Karlén, W. & Los, S. 2011: The Schmidt hammer as
1634 a Holocene calibrated-age dating technique: testing the form of the R-value–
1635 age relationship and defining predicted errors. *The Holocene* 21, 615-628.
- 1636 Soldati, M., Corsini, A. & Pasuto, A. 2004: Landslides and climate change in the
1637 Italian Dolomites since the Late Glacial. *Catena* 55, 141-161.
- 1638 Stahl, T., Winkler, S., Quigley, M., Bebbington, M., Duffy, B. & Duke, D. 2013:
1639 Schmidt hammer exposure-age dating (SHD) of late Quaternary fluvial
1640 terraces in New Zealand. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 38, 1838-
1641 1850.
- 1642 Steiger, C., Etzelmüller, B., Westermann, S. and Myhra, K. S. 2016: Modelling the
1643 permafrost distribution in steep rock walls in Norway. *Norwegian Journal of*
1644 *Geology* 96, 329-341.

- 1645 Stock, G.M. & Uhrhammer, R.A. 2010: Catastrophic rock avalanche 3600 BP from El
1646 Capitan, Yosemite Valley, California. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms*
1647 35, 941-951.
- 1648 Stoffel, M. & Huggel, C. 2012: Effects of climate change on mass movements in
1649 mountain environments. *Progress in Physical Geography* 36, 421-439.
- 1650 StormTech 2012: *Tech Sheet 1: porosity of structural backfill*.
1651 [http://www.stormtech.com/download_files/pdf/techsheet1.pdf]
- 1652 Stroeven, A.P., Hättstrand, C., Kleman, J., Heyman, J., Fabel, D., Fredin, O.,
1653 Goodfellow, B.W., Harbor, J.M., Jansen, J.D., Olsen, L., Caffee, M.W., Fink,
1654 D., Lundqvist, J., Rosqvist, G.C., Strömberg, B. & Jansson, K.N. 2016:
1655 Deglaciation of Fennoscandia. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 147, 91-121.
- 1656 Tomkins, M.D., Dortch, J.M., & Hughes, P.D. 2016: Schmidt Hammer exposure
1657 dating (SHED): Establishment and implications for the retreat of the last
1658 British Ice Sheet. *Quaternary Geochronology* 33, 46-60.
- 1659 Tomkins, M.D., Huck, J.J., Dortch, J.M., Hughes, P.D., Kirkbride, M.P. & Barr, I.D.
1660 2018a: Schmidt hammer exposure dating (SHED): calibration procedures, new
1661 exposure age data and an online calculator. *Quaternary Geochronology* 44,
1662 55-62.
- 1663 Tomkins, M.D., Dortch, J.M., Hughes, P.D., Huck, J.J., Stimson, A.G., Delmas, M.,
1664 Calvet, M. & Pallàs, R. 2018b: Schmidt hammer exposure dating (SHED):
1665 rapid age assessment of glacial landforms in the Pyrenees. *Quaternary*
1666 *Research* (in press).
- 1667 Tomkins, M.D., Dortch, J.M., Hughes, P.D., Huck, J.J., Tonkin, T., & Barr, I.D.
1668 2018c: Timing of glacial retreat in the Wicklow Mountains, Ireland,
1669 conditioned by glacier size and topography. *Journal of Quaternary Science* (in
1670 press).
- 1671 Torrence, C., & Compo, G.P. 1998: A Practical Guide to Wavelet Analysis. *Bulletin*
1672 *of the American Meteorological Society* 79, 61-78.
- 1673 Trauth, M.H., Alonso, R.A., Haselton, K.R., Hermanns, R.L. & Strecker, M.R. 2000: Climate
1674 change and mass movements in the NW Argentine Andes. *Earth and Planetary*
1675 *Science Letters* 179, 243-256.
- 1676 Trauth, M.H., Bookhagen, B., Marwan, N. & Strecker, M.R. 2003. Multiple landslide
1677 clusters record Quaternary climate changes in the northwestern Argentine
1678 Andes. *Palaeogeography, Palaeoclimatology, Palaeoecology* 194, 109-121.
- 1679 Väiliranta, M., Salonen, J.S., Heikkilä, M., Amon, L., Helmens, K., Klimaschewski,
1680 A., Kuhry, P., Kultti, S., Paska, A., Shala, S., Veski, S. & Birks, H.H. 2015:
1681 Plant macrofossil evidence for an early onset of the Holocene summer thermal
1682 maximum in northernmost Europe. *Nature Communications*
1683 doi:10.1038/ncomms7809.
- 1684 Velle, G., Bjune, A.E., Larsen, J & Birks, H.J.B. 2010: Holocene climate and
1685 environmental history of Brurskardstjørne, a lake in the catchment of Øvre
1686 Heimdalsvatnet, south-central Norway. *Hydrobiologia* 642, 13-34.
- 1687 Viles, H., Goudie, A., Grabb, S. & Lalley, J. 2011: The use of the Schmidt hammer
1688 and Equotip for rock hardness assessment in geomorphology and heritage
1689 science: a comparative analysis. *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 36,
1690 320-333.
- 1691 Walker, M.J.C., Berkelhammer, M., Björk, S., Cwynar, L.C., Fisher, D.A., Long,
1692 A.J., Lowe, J.J., Newnham, R.M., Rasmussen, S.O. & Weiss, H. 2012: Formal
1693 subdivision of the Holocene Series/Epoch: a discussion paper by a Working
1694 Group of INTIMATE (Integration of ice-core, marine and terrestrial records)

1695 and the Subcommission on Quaternary Stratigraphy (International
1696 Commission on Stratigraphy). *Journal of Quaternary Science* 27, 649-659.
1697 Wanner, H., Solomina, O., Grosjean, M., Ritz, S. & Jetel, M. 2011: Structure and
1698 origin of Holocene cold events. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 30, 3109-3123.
1699 Westermann, S., Schuler, T. V., Gislén, K. and Etzelmüller, B. 2013; Transient
1700 thermal modeling of permafrost conditions in Southern Norway. *The*
1701 *Cryosphere* 7, 719-739.
1702 Whalley, W. B. 1984: Rockfalls. In Brunnsden, D. & Prior, D. B. (eds.): *Slope*
1703 *Instability*, 217-256. Wiley, Chichester.
1704 Whalley, W. B., Douglas, G. R. and McGreevy, J. P. 1982: Crack propagation and
1705 associated weathering in igneous rocks. *Zeitschrift für Geomorphologie* 26,
1706 33-54.
1707 Wilson, P. 2009: Storurdi: a late Holocene rock-slope failure (Sturzstrom) in the
1708 Jotunheimen, southern Norway. *Geografiska Annaler Series A Physical*
1709 *Geography* 91, 47-58.
1710 Wilson, P. & Matthews, J.A. 2016: Age assessment and implications of late
1711 Quaternary periglacial and paraglacial landforms on Muckish Mountain,
1712 northwest Ireland, based on Schmidt-hammer exposure-age dating (SHD).
1713 *Geomorphology* 270, 134-144.
1714 Wilson, P., Matthews, J.A. & Mourné, R.W. 2017: Relict blockstreams at Insteheia,
1715 Valldalen-Tafjorden, southern Norway: their nature and Schmidt-hammer
1716 exposure age. *Permafrost and Periglacial Processes* 28, 286-297.
1717 Winkler, S. 2009: First attempt to combine terrestrial cosmogenic nuclide (¹⁰Be) and
1718 Schmidt hammer relative-age dating: Strauchon Glacier, Southern Alps, New
1719 Zealand. *Central European Journal of Geosciences* 1, 274-290.
1720 Winkler, S. & Matthews, J.A. 2010: Holocene glacial chronologies: are ‘high
1721 resolution’ global and interhemispherical comparisons possible? *The Holocene*
1722 20, 1137-1147.
1723 Winkler, S. & Matthews, J.A. 2014: Comparison of electronic and mechanical
1724 Schmidt hammers in the context of exposure-age dating: are Q- and R-values
1725 interconvertible? *Earth Surface Processes and Landforms* 39, 1128-1136.
1726 Winkler S. & Matthews, J.A. 2016: Inappropriate instrument calibration for Schmidt-
1727 hammer exposure-age dating (SHD) – A comment on Dortch et al.,
1728 *Quaternary Geochronology* 35 (2016), 67-68. *Quaternary Geochronology* 36,
1729 102-103.
1730 Winkler, S., Chinn, T., Gärtner-Roer, I., Nussbaumer, U., Zemp, M. & Zumbühl, H.J.
1731 2010: An introduction to mountain glaciers as climatic indicators with spatial
1732 and temporal diversity. *Erdkunde* 64, 97-118.
1733 Winkler, S., Matthews, J.A., Mourné, R.W. & Wilson, P. 2016: Schmidt-hammer
1734 exposure ages from periglacial patterned ground (sorted circles) in
1735 Jotunheimen, Norway, and their interpretive problems. *Geografiska Annaler*
1736 *Series A Physical Geography* 98, 265-285.
1737 Zerathe, S., Lebourg, T., Braucher, R. & Bourlès, D. 2014: Mid-Holocene cluster of
1738 large-scale landslides revealed in the Southwestern Alps by ³⁶Cl dating.
1739 Insight on an Alpine-scale landslide activity. *Quaternary Science Reviews* 90,
1740 106-127.
1741
1742
1743
1744

1745
1746
1747
1748
1749
1750
1751
1752
1753
1754
1755
1756
1757
1758
1759
1760
1761
1762
1763
1764
1765
1766
1767
1768
1769
1770
1771
1772
1773
1774
1775
1776
1777
1778
1779
1780
1781
1782
1783
1784
1785
1786
1787
1788
1789
1790
1791
1792
1793
1794

FIGURE CAPTIONS

Fig. 1. Location map: numbers and open circles identify the studied SRSFs; sites of control points are shown by crosses.

Fig. 2. Photographs of selected small rock-slope failures (SRSFs): (A) No. 23, Gravdalen; (B) Nos 7 and 8, Leirdalen; (C) Nos 34-36, Bjørndalen; (D) No. 7, Sognefjell; (E) and (F) No. 22, Gravdalen (also the site of a young control point).

Fig. 3. Schematic of the fan-scar-cliff comparison tests with expected differences in mean R-values between fan boulders, scar bedrock surfaces, unfailed cliffs, and rock surfaces used as younger and older control-point surfaces. Expectations apply to single-event SRSF events without the possible complications discussed in the text.

Fig. 4. Frequency distributions of four SRSF characteristics: (A) fan volume; (B) altitude; (C) aspect; (D) mean R-value. Eight sites in gabbroic gneiss (Sognefjell) are differentiated by solid black shading from 84 sites in pyroxene-granulite gneiss.

Fig. 5. Calibration curves and calibration equations for (A) pyroxene-granulite gneiss and (B) gabbroic gneiss. Note that both calibration curves are based on two control points of known age (25 years and 9700 years) using data presented in Table 3.

Fig. 6. Holocene SHD chronologies of SRSF activity for Jotunheimen: (A) individual SHD dates with their 95% confidence intervals in the different subregions; (B) age-frequency distributions of SRSF events at the regional level using 2000-, 1000-, 500- and 200-year time intervals; (C) weighted age-frequency distribution with age-frequency curve defined by binomial smoothing; (D) variation in the magnitude of SRSF events based on rock volume using 200-year time intervals. Vertical bands (numbered) are the 4 modes in the weighted age-frequency distribution suggesting phases of enhanced regional SRSF activity.

Fig. 7. Probability density function analysis of SRSF activity for Jotunheimen: (A) histogram and KS density PDE; (B) individual Gaussian age distributions ($n = 5$), the sum of which integrates to the cumulative PDE with a model fit that is graphically indistinguishable from from the PDE model. The number of ages listed for each Gaussian age distribution (#) exceeds the total number of SRSF events identified in Jotunheimen as some ages contribute to >1 Gaussian distribution; (C) peak Gaussian numerical ages and 1σ uncertainties for the five individual Gaussian age distributions plotted against the peak probability density (PPD). The PPD scales with the number and spatial clustering of individual ages. Reported RSF volumes are based on the sum of individual SRSF volumes (m^3) which comprise each Gaussian age distribution; (D) distribution of SRSF ages, sorted by oldest to youngest. The 42 SRSF events which account for the dominant mode at 4.50 ± 1.42 ka (within 1σ) are highlighted.

Fig. 8. Change detection and related analyses: (A) cumulative sum change detection graph showing positive (blue) and negative (orange) changes and statistically significant departures ($>2\sigma$) from the background SRSF frequency; (B) modelled permafrost depth in Fennoscandia (5% porosity) from Kukkonen & Šafanda (2001),

1795 subdivided into five distinct phases; (C) results of discrete Meyer wavelet analysis,
1796 showing the lowest frequency decomposed signal (d_6).

1797

1798 *Fig. 9.* Models for different patterns and causes of Holocene variations in RSF
1799 frequency and/or magnitude: (1) continuity-of-activity; (2) intermittent-earthquakes;
1800 (3) deglaciation-close-tracking; (4) deglaciation-lagging; (5) cool/wet-climate-
1801 response; and (6) the new thermally-driven permafrost-degradation model proposed in
1802 this study for SRSFs in Jotunheimen. The subdivisions of the Holocene shown are
1803 those proposed by Walker *et al.* (2012).

1804

1805 *Fig. 10.* Relationships between SRSF frequency in Jotunheimen and proxy climatic
1806 records: (A) temporal variations in SRSF frequency from Fig. 6C; (B) pollen-based
1807 reconstruction of annual air temperature for northern Europe expressed as deviations
1808 from the mean (Seppä *et al.* 2009); (C) mean summer air temperature deviations from
1809 present in the Scandes Mountains based on pine tree-limit variations (Dahl & Nesje
1810 1996); (D) pollen-based July air temperature variations at Øvre Heimdalsvatnet,
1811 eastern Jotunheimen (Velle *et al.* 2010); (E) periods of above average air temperature
1812 (shaded) based on the GISP 2 Greenland ice core $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ record (Alley 2004; Wanner *et al.*
1813 2011); (F) periods of above average sea-surface temperatures in the North Atlantic
1814 Ocean (shaded) based on standardized stacked ice-rafted debris (IRD) records (Bond
1815 *et al.* 2001; Wanner *et al.* 2011); (G) periods when glaciers in the Smørstabbtindan
1816 massif, Jotunheimen, were smaller than today (shaded) based on glaciolacustrine and
1817 glaciofluvial stratigraphy (Matthews & Dresser 2008). Vertical bands indicate phases
1818 of enhanced regional SRSF frequency (as in Fig. 6).

1819

1820

1821

1822

1823

1824

1825

1826

1827

Supporting Information

1828

1829 *Fig. S1.* Full results of discrete Meyer wavelet analysis, showing all six decomposed
1830 signals (green), ranging from high (d_1) to low frequency (d_6), of which the latter
1831 represents the only single event structure of Holocene SRSF activity. The blue curves
1832 ($a_1 - a_5$) represent the cumulative aggregation of the decomposed signals ($d_1 - d_6$)
1833 where a_6 represents the mean background rate of SRSF occurrence (0.92 ± 0.20),
1834 which is identical to the Holocene mathematical mean. The sum of all decomposed
1835 signals results in a model (S_m) that is identical to the 100 yr bin histogram data (S_d).

1836

1837

1838