

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13

The effect of exposure to parodies of thin-ideal images on young women's body image and mood.

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

Abstract

Although social networking services typically promote the thin beauty ideal for women, they also provide an opportunity for users to challenge this dominant ideal in unique and novel ways. This study aimed to experimentally investigate the influence of exposure to humorous, parody images of thin-ideal celebrity *Instagram* posts on women's body satisfaction and mood compared to exposure to thin-ideal celebrity posts alone. Participants were 102 women aged 18-30 years who were randomly allocated to view either a set of *Instagram* images of thin-ideal celebrity posts or humorous parody images of the same celebrity posts. Results indicated that acute exposure to parody images led to increased body satisfaction and positive mood (happiness) compared to exposure to the thin-ideal celebrity images alone. No group differences were found on levels of trait appearance comparison or social media literacy, and the findings were not moderated by trait levels of thin-ideal internalisation. The findings provide preliminary support for the use of humorous, parody images for improving body satisfaction and positive mood in young women and add to the small but growing body of research highlighting potentially positive effects of social media.

Keywords: Social Media; Body image; Mood; Instagram; Parody; Humour

34

1. Introduction

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

1.1. Social Media and Body Image

56

57

58

A substantial body of research has demonstrated that mass media images are a significant contributor to sociocultural beauty ideals and subsequently to women's body dissatisfaction (Thompson, Heinberg, Altabe, & Tantleff-Dunn, 1999). Meta-analyses confirm small to moderate effects of exposure to 'thin-ideal' images from fashion magazines and television on women's body dissatisfaction from both correlational and experimental studies (Grabe, Ward, & Hyde, 2008; Levine & Murnen, 2009; Want, 2009). However, in the time since these studies were published, the Internet has become the most commonly used media (Bair, Kelly, Serdar, & Mazzeo, 2012), with social media sites (such as *Facebook* and *Instagram*) being used by 90% of young American women aged 18-29 years (Perrin et al., 2015). As such, it is now important for research to focus on the influence of social media sites on body image concerns. To date, correlational research has reported relationships between general social media usage and poorer body image in young women (Cohen & Blaszczynski, 2015; Fardouly, Diedrichs, Vartanian, & Halliwell, 2015; Fardouly & Vartanian, 2015; Mabe, Forney, & Keel, 2014), as well as more specific social media engagement, such as investment in 'selfies' (Cohen, Newton-John, & Slater, 2018; McLean, Paxton, Wertheim, & Masters, 2015; Mills, Musto, Williams, & Tiggemann, 2018), and following 'appearance-focused' accounts on *Instagram* (Cohen, Newton-John, & Slater, 2017). The current study investigates the impact of viewing a particular type of *Instagram* post, parody images of thin-ideal celebrity images, on young women's mood and body satisfaction.

Social media (like *Facebook*, *Instagram*, and *Snapchat*) are Internet-based sites that allow users to form a network of 'friends' or 'followers' and view, share, and interact with user-generated content (Perloff, 2014). Compared to the passive consumption of traditional

59 media forms, individuals actively decide how they participate on social media (e.g., what
60 they post, who they choose to follow). Despite the ostensible benefits of social media users'
61 improved autonomy, it nonetheless appears that these services provide a unique combination
62 of traditional depictions of idealized female bodies, the possibility for high-frequency
63 exposure to images of one's peers, as well as the opportunity to give and receive feedback on
64 user-generated content in the form of 'likes' or 'comments' on posts. These features combine
65 to provide an environment rich in opportunity for appearance-based comparisons and thin-
66 ideal internalisation, processes known to precede body dissatisfaction and disordered eating
67 (Fardouly, Pinkus, & Vartanian, 2017; Tiggemann, 2011). Indeed, a recent systematic review
68 confirmed a relationship between general social media use and body image disturbance and
69 disordered eating (Holland & Tiggemann, 2016). However, of note, most of the studies
70 included in this review were correlational in design and examined general *Facebook* usage
71 (e.g., time spent on *Facebook* or frequency of *Facebook* use). It has been suggested that a
72 more nuanced approach to the consideration of the impact of social media use is necessary,
73 specifically attempts to consider the effect of various elements or features of social media
74 (Slater, Varsani, & Diedrichs, 2017; Smock, Ellison, Lampe, & Wohn, 2011).

75 A few correlational studies have begun to unpack the impact of particular social
76 media features on women's body image. Meier and Gray (2014) found that engagement in
77 photo-based activities on *Facebook* (e.g., posting and viewing photographs), but not overall
78 time spent on *Facebook*, was related to poorer body image outcomes in American
79 adolescents. Similarly, Australian adolescent girls who regularly shared 'selfies' and who
80 were more invested in, and more likely to manipulate (edit), their selfies were more likely to
81 report poorer body image (McLean et al., 2015). Recently, Cohen et al. (2018) replicated the
82 findings of McLean et al. (2015) in young adult women. Further, Cohen et al. (2017)
83 replicated the findings of Meier and Gray (2014) regarding engagement in photo-based

84 activities on *Facebook* in young Australian women, and also demonstrated that following
85 appearance-based accounts on *Instagram* (e.g., celebrity accounts like the Kardashians and
86 fitness accounts) was associated with poorer body image.

87 *Instagram*, a social media service solely used for photo and video sharing, has over 1
88 billion active users, who post over 95 million photos per day (Instagram, June 2018). Almost
89 three quarters of Americans aged 18-24 years old use *Instagram*, and it is the most popular
90 social media service for young women aged 18-29 years (Pew Research Centre, 2018). To
91 date, a handful of experimental studies have examined the effect of exposure to particular
92 types of *Instagram* images on young women's body image, mood, and exercise behaviour.
93 Tiggemann and Zaccardo (2015) showed that brief exposure to 'fitspiration' images on
94 *Instagram* (generally thin and toned women in exercise attire) led to increased negative mood
95 and body dissatisfaction in young women compared to exposure to neutral, *Instagram* travel
96 images, findings that have been replicated with exposure to both celebrity and peer *Instagram*
97 images (Brown & Tiggemann, 2016). Robinson et al. (2017) compared the effect of exposure
98 to three different female physiques in *Instagram* images (thin, athletic, or muscular) on
99 women's body dissatisfaction and exercise behaviour. These authors found that exposure to
100 both the thin-ideal images and the athletic-ideal images led to increased body dissatisfaction,
101 but exposure to the muscular-ideal images did not. Taken together, these studies suggest that
102 exposure to thin and toned images on *Instagram* can have negative effects on women's mood
103 and body image.

104 Two studies have recently explored whether exposure to particular kinds of *Instagram*
105 content could have *positive* effects on women's body image and mood. In the first, Slater,
106 Varsani, and Diedrichs (2017) examined the impact of exposure to self-compassion quotes on
107 *Instagram* (e.g., "*Cut yourself some slack. You are doing better than you think*", "*Be kind to*
108 *yourself*") and found that women who viewed these types of quotes showed greater body

109 satisfaction, body appreciation, self-compassion, and reduced negative mood compared to
110 women who viewed neutral *Instagram* images. Further, viewing self-compassion quotes in
111 amongst fitspiration images led to improved body image and reduced negative mood
112 compared to viewing only fitspiration images. Finally, Cohen, Fardouly, Newton-John, and
113 Slater (2019) considered the impact of ‘body positive’ (“bopo”) *Instagram* content – content
114 that aims to challenge mainstream beauty ideals and encourage acceptance and appreciation
115 of all body types. Brief exposure to body positive content was associated with improvement
116 in body satisfaction, body appreciation, and positive mood compared to exposure to both
117 thin-ideal content and neutral content. Thus, the limited findings to date suggest that there
118 may be particular types of *Instagram* content that can have a positive effect on women’s body
119 image and mood.

120 **1.2. Parody Images**

121 A popular public figure on *Instagram* is Celeste Barber, an Australian comedian with
122 over 5.4 million Instagram followers (February 2019). In 2015, Celeste began to parody
123 popular celebrity Instagram posts using the hashtag #CelesteChallengeAccepted. These posts
124 depict Celeste copying celebrity *Instagram* images (e.g., poses, outfits) in a comedic style
125 and are presented alongside the original celebrity post with a humorous caption. An example
126 post features celebrity Kim Kardashian West posing naked in a tree with star emojis covering
127 her nipples and her facial expression looking ‘sexily’ into the distance. Alongside this image
128 Celeste Barber is almost naked (wearing visible flesh coloured underpants), awkwardly
129 dangling in a tree with large paper plates with the word ‘star’ written on them covering her
130 breasts, and a somewhat strained, uncomfortable expression on her face. The post is
131 captioned ‘When there isn’t a star emoji big enough.’ Celeste is an average-sized woman, and
132 her posts underscore the absurdity of popular celebrity posts by highlighting the unrealistic
133 and generally unattainable nature of such images. In this way, they might be considered a

134 form of media literacy, which aims to enhance critical thinking and scepticism about media in
135 an attempt to reduce its persuasive influence (McLean, Wertheim, Masters, & Paxton, 2017).

136 **1.3. Humour and Well-being**

137 While there is no research to date that has examined the impact of humorous stimuli
138 on body image, there is much research demonstrating the broader psychological benefits of
139 humour. Several studies have shown a significant relationship between humour and
140 depression and anxiety in young adults (e.g., Kuiper & McHale, 2009; Martin, Puhlik-Doris,
141 Larsen, Gray, & Weir, 2003) as well as older adults (e.g., Ganz & Jacobs, 2014). Exposure to
142 humour has also been consistently associated with greater pain tolerance (see Proyer & Wolf,
143 2017 for a review). Therapeutically, humour has been proposed as a possible technique for
144 improving well-being and physical health (Cernerud & Olsson, 2004) and indeed, humour-
145 based interventions have shown a positive impact on subjective well-being in both brief, one-
146 off interventions (e.g., Ganz & Jacobs, 2014) and in longer, sustained interventions (e.g.,
147 Falkenberg, Buchkremer, Bartels, & Wild, 2011).

148 There are a number of potential mechanisms through which humour might attenuate
149 negative emotions (see Strick, Holland, Van Baaren, & Van Knippenberg, 2009). Most
150 obviously, negative emotions might be reduced as a result of the positive emotions that
151 accompany the experience of humour. Or, it may be that humour requires one to shift
152 perspective and possibly distance themselves from a negative situation. Alternatively,
153 humour might result in cognitive distraction, which could reduce the potential for
154 experiencing of negative affect. In support of the latter proposal, Strick et al. (2009) found
155 that humorous stimuli that posed greater cognitive demand were more effective in reducing
156 negative emotions than less cognitively demanding stimuli. Extending these proposed
157 mechanisms to the current study, exposure to parody images of thin-ideal celebrity images
158 might plausibly interrupt usual processing (internalisation of the thin ideal), and allow one to

159 shift perspective (appreciate the unrealistic and unattainable nature of thin-ideal images). As
160 suggested above, it may be that the humorous posts act in a comparable way to social media
161 literacy interventions. McLean and colleagues have found that adolescent girls (McLean et
162 al., 2017) and young adult women (Tamplin, McLean, & Paxton, 2018) who received a social
163 media literacy intervention experienced significant improvements in body esteem and
164 disordered eating, and also that high levels of media literacy, particularly high levels of
165 critical thinking, mitigated the negative effects of thin-ideal internalisation on body
166 satisfaction outcomes (McLean, Paxton, & Wertheim, 2016a).

167 **1.4. The Current Study**

168 The overall aim of the current study was to examine the influence of exposure to
169 Celeste Barber's parody images of thin-ideal celebrity *Instagram* posts on women's body
170 satisfaction and mood compared to exposure to the thin-ideal celebrity posts alone.
171 Extrapolating from existing research on humour and social media literacy, we hypothesised
172 that exposure to the parody images (containing a thin-ideal celebrity image alongside the
173 parody of this image) would result in greater body satisfaction, greater body appreciation, and
174 enhanced mood relative to exposure to the thin-ideal celebrity posts alone (Hypothesis 1).
175 Second, given the Celeste Barber posts feature an 'average' sized woman and aim to
176 highlight the unrealistic nature of celebrity social media posts, we also hypothesised that
177 exposure to these images would be associated with lower levels of appearance comparison
178 and increased levels of social media literacy compared to exposure to the celebrity posts
179 alone (Hypothesis 2). Finally, the effect on all outcome measures (body satisfaction, body
180 appreciation, mood, appearance comparison, and social media literacy) was predicted to be
181 moderated by trait levels of thin-ideal internalisation, in that effects would be stronger for
182 women low in internalisation (Hypothesis 3).

183 **2. Method**

184 2.1. Participants

185 Participants were 102 women aged 18-30 years old ($M = 23.55$, $SD = 2.33$), recruited
186 through social media via convenience sampling. They had a mean body mass index (BMI;
187 kg/m^2) of 22.97 ($SD = 3.91$). The majority of participants identified as White ($n = 95$,
188 93.1%), 5 identified as mixed race (4.9%), and 2 identified as Asian (2.0%). There was no
189 difference in age, $t(98) = -1.31$, $p = .19$, BMI, $t(95) = -0.91$, $p = .37$, ethnicity, $\chi^2(2) = 1.95$, p
190 $= .38$, or trait internalisation of the thin ideal, $t(94) = 0.02$, $p = .98$, for participants randomly
191 assigned to the thin-ideal ($n = 48$) or parody ($n = 54$) conditions.

192 2.2. Procedure

193 The University of the West of England's ethics committee approved this study. The
194 study was advertised via social media as an online study examining the effects of *Instagram*
195 usage on life satisfaction. Only women, aged 18-30 years old, who used *Instagram* were
196 eligible to take part. Participants completed the study online at a location and time of their
197 choice. After providing informed consent, participants completed a series of demographic
198 questions (age, gender, ethnicity, height and weight [to calculate BMI]), *Instagram* use
199 questions, and pre-exposure state measures of body satisfaction, body appreciation, and
200 mood. Then, participants were randomly assigned to one of the two image conditions (thin-
201 ideal, parody). They viewed 16 images for 15 seconds each. Only one image appeared on the
202 screen at a time. To enhance attendance to the images, participants were required to rate
203 whether each image looked like a typical image that would be found on *Instagram* (1 = *not at*
204 *all*, 7 = *very much*). After viewing the *Instagram* images, participants completed post-
205 exposure state measures of body satisfaction, body appreciation, and mood, as well as
206 measures of state appearance comparisons, social media literacy, and trait internalisation of
207 the thin ideal. At the end of the study, participants were presented with debrief information.

208 2.3. Study Images

209 Two sets of visual stimuli were created for the study, each containing 16 images. The
210 parody images were sourced from Celeste Barber's *Instagram* account. The thin-ideal images
211 were sourced from celebrities' public *Instagram* accounts. The parody set contained images
212 of Celeste Barber's recreations of celebrity *Instagram* posts, paired with the original photo.
213 The thin-ideal set contained only the images of the celebrities used in Celeste Baber's posts.
214 All female models were front facing with tight-fitting clothing or clothing that revealed arms,
215 legs, and the abdomen (e.g., two-piece swimsuits). Original hashtags, likes, and captions
216 were included in both conditions.

217 These images were selected from an original sample of 80 images, which were rated
218 by a small panel ($n = 12$) of women (18-30 years old). To select the images for the thin-ideal
219 condition, participants rated the physical attractiveness and the thinness of the models on a 7-
220 point Likert scale (1 = *not at all*, 7 = *very much*). They used another 7-point scale to evaluate
221 how funny they perceived the parody images to be (1 = *not at all funny*, 7 = *extremely funny*).
222 Any image that had an overall mean score less than 4.5 was discarded. This resulted in 16
223 images per condition, which is similar to the number of images used in previous media
224 exposure research (Groesz, Levine, & Murnen, 2002). In the thin-ideal condition, the final
225 images had a mean perceived attractiveness rating of 5.14 ($SD = 0.39$) and a mean thinness
226 rating of 5.54 ($SD = 0.40$). In the parody condition images had a mean funniness rating of
227 5.07 ($SD = 0.36$).

228 **2.4. Measures**

229 **2.4.1. Instagram usage.** Participants were asked general questions about their
230 *Instagram* use. More specifically, how long they spent using *Instagram* per day [*no time* (1);
231 *< 10 mins* (2); *10-30 mins* (3); *31-60 mins* (4); *1-2 hrs* (5); *> 2 hrs* (6)], how many people
232 they followed and their followers, how often they posted in *Instagram* [*never* (1); *once a*
233 *month* (2); *2-3 times a month* (3); *once a week* (4); *2-3 times a week* (5); *daily* (6)], what type

234 of images they posted in *Instagram* (selfies; fashion; food; animals; scenery/places; other
235 people; quotes/memes; possessions; other), and what device they use to interact with
236 *Instagram* (Mobile phone; tablet/iPad; computer/laptop).

237 **2.4.2. State body satisfaction and mood.** Similar to previous media exposure studies
238 (Heinberg & Thompson, 1995), visual analogue scales (VAS) were used to measure
239 participants' state body satisfaction and mood. Participants indicated how they were feeling
240 "right now" by moving a vertical marker to the appropriate place on a horizontal line, with
241 end points labelled *not at all* (0) and *extremely* (10). Three items were used to measure state
242 body satisfaction: "satisfied with my weight," "satisfied with my overall appearance," and
243 "satisfied with my body shape." Responses were averaged with higher scores indicating
244 higher body satisfaction. Internal reliability for the combined body satisfaction measure was
245 high (pre-exposure $\alpha = .94$; post-exposure $\alpha = .96$). Four items were used to measure state
246 mood: "anxious," "depressed," "confident," and "happy." When scores were averaged to
247 form a combined measure of negative mood (positive mood items were reverse coded), the
248 internal reliability of that measure was inadequate (pre-exposure $\alpha = .70$; post-exposure $\alpha =$
249 $.68$). Further, removing a particular item did not improve the internal reliability of the
250 combined score. Therefore, similar to previous media research using VAS (e.g., Prichard &
251 Tiggemann, 2012) each mood item was examined independently in the analyses. Filler
252 statements (e.g., satisfied with my friendships, satisfied with my romantic relationship) were
253 also included to disguise the purpose of the study and reduce the salience of body-related
254 questions.

255 **2.4.3. State body appreciation.** Body appreciation was also measured using VAS
256 with end points labelled *not at all* (0) and *extremely* (10). Three items from the Body
257 Appreciation Scale (BAS; Avalos, Tylka, & Wood-Barcalow, 2005) were selected ("Despite
258 my flaws, I accept my body for what it is," "My feelings towards my body are positive for

259 the most part,” and “My self-worth is independent of my body shape or weight.” The items
260 were adapted to state measures by asking participants to indicate how they were feeling
261 “right now.” Responses were averaged to form an overall measure of body appreciation.
262 Internal reliability was adequate (pre-exposure $\alpha = .76$; post-exposure $\alpha = .84$). Filler items
263 related to life satisfaction (e.g., “I feel positive about the future for the most part,” “In most
264 ways my life is close to my ideal”) were also included to disguise the purpose of the study.

265 **2.4.4. State appearance comparisons.** Three items were adapted from the State
266 Appearance Comparison Scale (Tiggemann & McGill, 2004) to measure appearance
267 comparisons made to the women in the study images. Using 7-point Likert-type scales,
268 participants indicated (a) how often they had thought about their appearance when viewing
269 the images (1 = *no thought*, 7 = *a lot of thought*), (b) the extent to which they compared their
270 overall appearance to the people they saw in the images (1 = *no comparisons*, 7 = *a lot of*
271 *comparisons*), and (c) the extent to which they compared their specific body parts to the
272 people they saw in the images (1 = *no comparisons*, 7 = *a lot of comparisons*). Items were
273 averaged to form an overall score of state appearance comparisons. Internal reliability for the
274 combined measure was high ($\alpha = .95$).

275 **2.4.5. Social media literacy.** Three items were selected from the Critical Thinking
276 about Media Messages Scale (Scull, Kupersmidt, Parker, Elmore, & Benson, 2010) to
277 measure social media literacy. Participants were asked to think about visual material that
278 other people post on social media and then respond to each statement (“I think about what the
279 person who posted the image/video wants me to think about him/her,” “I think about how
280 true or false the person’s presentation of themselves is,” “When I see images of thin
281 celebrities I think about whether these images are good for me”) on a 5-point Likert scale (1=
282 *never*, 5 = *very often*). Items were averaged, with higher scores indicated greater social media
283 literacy. Internal reliability for the combined measure was adequate ($\alpha = .72$).

309 of scenery, 51% posted images of other people, 48% posted images of food, 44% posted
 310 images of animals, 25% posted quotes or memes, 22% posted images of fashion, 17% posted
 311 images of their possessions, and 7% posted ‘other’ content. For the devices on which
 312 participants checked *Instagram*, 99% used their mobile phone, 14% used a tablet or iPad, and
 313 7% used a computer.

314 **3.3. Impact of Study Images on Body Satisfaction, Body Appreciation, and Mood**

315 Repeated measures analyses of variance (ANOVAs) were conducted to examine any
 316 condition (thin-ideal, parody) by time (pre-exposure, post-exposure) interactions separately
 317 for body satisfaction, body appreciation, and each of the mood items. Results of the repeated
 318 measures ANOVAs and mean scores for each condition pre-and post-exposure to the study
 319 images are reported in Table 1. There was a significant condition by time interaction for body
 320 satisfaction. Post hoc simple effects analyses showed that participants’ body satisfaction
 321 increased in the parody condition from pre- to post-exposure to the study images, $F(1, 92) =$
 322 $8.88, p = .004, d = 0.21$, but there was no change in body satisfaction over time for
 323 participants in the thin-ideal condition, $F(1, 92) = 2.66, p = .11, d = 0.11$. Body satisfaction
 324 did not significantly differ between conditions at Time 1 (pre-exposure), $F(1, 92) = 0.04, p =$
 325 $.84, d = 0.04$, or Time 2 (post-exposure), $F(1, 92) = 1.68, p = .20, d = 0.27$. There was also a
 326 significant condition by time interaction for happiness. Post hoc analyses showed that
 327 participants in the thin-ideal condition reported being less happy from pre- to post-exposure
 328 to the study images, $F(1, 97) = 6.40, p = .01, d = 0.26$, but there was no change in happiness
 329 over time for participants in the parody condition, $F(1, 97) = 0.31, p = .58, d = 0.05$.
 330 Happiness did not significantly differ between conditions at Time 1 (pre-exposure), $F(1, 97)$
 331 $= 0.82, p = .37, d = 0.18$, or Time 2 (post-exposure), $F(1, 97) = 0.33, p = .57, d = 0.12$. There
 332 was no significant time by condition interactions for body appreciation, confidence, or
 333 anxiety. There were also no main effects of condition or time for any of the outcome

334 measures. Thus, Hypothesis 1 was partially confirmed, with exposure to the parody images
335 resulting in greater body satisfaction relative to exposure to the thin-ideal images, and
336 exposure to the thin-ideal images resulting in reduced mood (happiness) relative to the
337 parody images.

338 **3.4. Impact of Study Images on Appearance Comparisons and Social Media Literacy**

339 Separate independent samples *t*-tests were conducted to test for differences in state
340 appearance comparisons and social media literacy post-exposure to the study images for
341 participants in each condition. There was no significant difference in state appearance
342 comparisons following exposure to the thin-ideal images ($M = 3.74, SD = 1.92$) or parody
343 images ($M = 3.65, SD = 1.78$), $t(99) = -0.23, p = .82, d = 0.05$. Similarly, there was no
344 significant difference in social media literacy following exposure to the thin-ideal images (M
345 $= 2.82, SD = 0.92$) or parody images ($M = 3.12, SD = 0.99$), $t(99) = 1.61, p = .11, d = 0.31$.
346 Thus, Hypothesis 2 was not confirmed.

347 **3.5. Moderation by Thin-Ideal Internalisation**

348 Moderation analyses were calculated using the PROCESS macro for SPSS (Hayes,
349 2013) to test whether thin-ideal internalisation moderated the effect of study condition on any
350 of the outcome measures (body satisfaction, body appreciation, mood, state appearance
351 comparisons, social media literacy). Each outcome measure was tested separately, and the
352 relevant pre-exposure measure was added as a covariate for the body satisfaction, body
353 appreciation, and mood analyses. There were no significant interactions between the study
354 conditions and thin-ideal internalisation for any of the outcome measures ($ps > .07$). Thus,
355 thin-ideal internalisation did not moderate the effect of the study conditions on any outcome
356 measure, and Hypothesis 3 was not confirmed.

357

4. Discussion

358 The present study aimed to examine the effect of brief exposure to parody images of
359 thin-ideal celebrity *Instagram* posts on women’s body image, mood, appearance comparisons
360 and social media literacy compared to exposure to thin-ideal posts alone. In support of
361 Hypothesis 1, it was found that exposure to the parody images resulted in greater body
362 satisfaction and happiness compared to exposure to the celebrity thin-ideal images alone.
363 There were no group differences on appearance comparisons or social media literacy, and the
364 findings were not shown to be moderated by trait thin-ideal internalisation. To our
365 knowledge, this is the first study to examine the effect of exposure to humorous, parody
366 images on women’s body image and mood.

367 While some previous studies have highlighted the negative impact of exposure to
368 *Instagram* fitspiration images (Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015), and to thin-ideal celebrity and
369 peer *Instagram* images (Brown & Tiggemann, 2016), the current study highlights the
370 potential *positive* impact of exposure to a particular form of *Instagram* content – humorous
371 parody images. Celeste Barber’s #CelesteChallengeAccepted posts are a unique way of
372 underscoring the absurdity of many celebrity social media posts, from the generally
373 unattainable bodies, to the often ludicrous and unrealistic poses. It is possible that the parody
374 images provided a “relief” effect from exposure to thin-ideal celebrity images. Similar to
375 effects observed in previous studies of ‘average-sized’ models (Diedrichs & Lee, 2011;
376 Dittmar & Howard, 2004a, 2004b; Halliwell & Dittmar, 2004; Halliwell, Dittmar, & Howe,
377 2005), exposure to Celeste’s ‘average’ sized body may have resulted in greater body
378 satisfaction because participants may have judged her body to be more similar to their own.
379 Thus, participants in the parody condition may have had the opportunity to make upward
380 comparisons (i.e., judging someone to be more attractive) to the celebrity image as well as
381 lateral (i.e., judging someone to be the same as you) or downward (i.e., judging someone to
382 be less attractive than you) comparisons during exposure to the parody image. Having the

383 ability to make lateral and downward comparisons to the parody image may have overridden
384 the effect of making upward comparisons to the thin-ideal image.

385 The current findings also add to the body of research on the effect of humour and
386 psychological well-being more generally. Whilst the results regarding the effect of humorous
387 images on body satisfaction are novel, the findings regarding humour and positive mood are
388 somewhat in line with previous research (Falkenberg et al., 2011; Martin, 2001), and the
389 suggestion that humour can encourage individuals to change their perspective of a
390 phenomenon (Gelkopf & Kreitler, 1996). Here, like previous research, viewing thin-ideal
391 images reduced participants' happiness (e.g., Harper & Tiggemann, 2008), but the addition of
392 the parody images appeared to ameliorate this negative effect. The parody posts may have
393 required greater cognitive processing than the thin-ideal posts, possibly reducing the potential
394 for negative affect. Further research is needed, however, to understand the potential
395 mechanisms at work (e.g., disruption of thin-ideal internalisation or appearance comparison
396 processes, cognitive distraction), and to explore whether humour might be usefully employed
397 as a strategy for sustained improvements in body image and well-being.

398 We postulated that the parody posts might serve to remind the viewer of the
399 unrealistic and contrived nature of thin-ideal celebrity posts on *Instagram*, and as such
400 possibly reduce their relevance as a source of appearance comparison. However, the present
401 findings did not show any group differences on measures of appearance comparisons nor on
402 social media literacy (i.e., critical thinking about content on social media). The lack of
403 difference on appearance comparison may have been due to the fact that the parody images
404 were presented alongside the original thin-ideal celebrity images (necessary to make the
405 parody images 'work'), and thus upward appearance comparisons to the celebrity may still
406 have been occurring. These findings are consistent with those examining the effects of
407 disclaimer labels attached to idealised media images (Bury, Tiggemann, & Slater, 2016;

408 Frederick, Sandhu, Scott, & Akbari, 2016; Tiggemann & Brown, 2018). Those studies
409 consistently find that being informed about the unrealistic and edited nature of thin-ideal
410 images does not change the amount of appearance comparisons made to the images nor does
411 it decrease women's perceptions of how realistic thin-ideal images are. These findings
412 suggest that social comparison processes may be automatic in nature (Bocage-Barthélémy et
413 al., 2018), and that it may be difficult to change a person's perception of how real idealised
414 images are in a brief period of time. Alternatively, as discussed above, the absence of group
415 differences in appearance comparison may be due to participants in the experimental
416 condition making *both* upward comparisons (to the celebrity) and lateral or downward
417 comparisons (to Celeste Barber). Future research could examine the direction of appearance
418 comparisons (not just the frequency of comparisons) to better understand the current findings.

419 The lack of significant group difference on social media literacy was a somewhat
420 surprising finding given our conjecture that the parody posts would heighten media literacy.
421 It is possible that the parody images, while influencing mood and body satisfaction, were not
422 explicit enough or the quantity was not sufficient to influence social media literacy attitudes.
423 Alternatively, it is plausible that the measurement of social media literacy in the current study
424 was not sufficiently nuanced to detect changes. A measure of 'realism scepticism' may be
425 more appropriate, as this assesses the extent to which media images are perceived as realistic
426 portrayals of social reality (McLean, Paxton, & Wertheim, 2016b). In addition, a more
427 specific social media literacy measure (that requires participants to think about their
428 responses to the specific experimental images, rather than social media images in general)
429 may be necessary to detect changes in social media literacy. Future research is necessary to
430 understand whether exposure to such images is capable of influencing critical thinking about
431 media.

432 **4.1. Practical Implications**

433 The findings do suggest a practical avenue (exposure to humour and parody social
434 media content) that might help to assuage the known negative effects of social media on
435 women’s body satisfaction and mood (Holland & Tiggemann, 2016). It is noteworthy that the
436 findings were not moderated by thin-ideal internalisation. That is, exposure to the parody
437 posts increased women’s body satisfaction regardless of their level of thin-ideal
438 internalisation, suggesting that parody posts could be beneficial for all women. Alongside the
439 findings of Slater, Varsani, and Diedrichs (2017), and Cohen et al. (2019), the current
440 findings help to inform possible constructive suggestions for social media use in terms of
441 future prevention and intervention efforts. Given it is not likely to be practical, feasible, or
442 appealing to encourage young people to reduce their social media usage, or to stop following
443 particular types of accounts, the encouragement of the inclusion of humorous, body positive,
444 and self-compassionate content into women’s social media feeds offers a promising, concrete
445 suggestion. *Instagram* accounts like that of Celeste Barber, and ‘body positive’ influencers
446 such as ‘BodyPosiPanda’ have very large online followings (4.5 million and 1 million,
447 respectively), and have general appeal. However, these accounts may not be followed by
448 individuals who could potentially benefit the most from their content, such as those high in
449 body dissatisfaction. Creative strategies are likely needed to encourage young people to
450 follow a diverse range of social media content, and to consume content that enhances positive
451 body image and mood. School-based social media literacy programmes for adolescents have
452 shown initial promising results (McLean et al., 2017), and this is likely the ideal forum to
453 challenge young people to think critically about the content of the social media that they
454 choose to consume.

455 **4.2. Limitations and Future Directions**

456 As with all research, the findings of the present study should be considered in light of
457 possible limitations. Like the majority of experimental studies in this area, the participants

458 were young primarily White women, thus limiting the generalisability of the findings to other
459 groups of women. Second, the study was conducted online, which when compared to
460 laboratory-based experiments potentially limits the ability to ensure participants adequately
461 attend to the images. However, like other studies that have used a similar approach (e.g.,
462 Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015), the participants were required to answer a question about
463 each image to increase the likelihood that they attended to the image. Third, consistent with
464 other research (Slater et al., 2017; Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015), the experimental exposure
465 was short in duration (3 mins) and the images were consumed passively, with no opportunity
466 to ‘interact’ as per more naturalistic *Instagram* usage (e.g., ‘like’ and comment on photos).
467 Ideally, future research will investigate the impact of exposure to social media content
468 utilising more realistic and naturalistic approaches. Fourth, only one parody account was
469 examined in the current study and more research is needed to examine whether these findings
470 generalise to other parody accounts and content. Finally, there was no neutral condition in the
471 current study which has been utilised in some previous research (e.g., Slater et al., 2017;
472 Tiggemann & Zaccardo, 2015), and so the present findings cannot inform whether parody
473 images increase body satisfaction and positive mood over and above exposure to neutral
474 images. Future research might also expand upon these initial findings with experimental
475 designs that aim to further disentangle the impact of the parody images (e.g., to understand
476 the comparative influence of the ‘average’ size body and the ‘parody’ element). Research that
477 examines whether comparison direction and/or cognitive demand are mechanisms that help
478 explain the effects observed in the current study will also be beneficial.

479 **4.3. Conclusions**

480 Despite these limitations, the findings of the current study provide preliminary
481 evidence that parody images of thin-ideal celebrity *Instagram* posts may provide a novel and
482 useful approach for increasing body satisfaction and positive mood in young women. As

483 such, the findings extend previous research examining the broader effects of new media, and
484 more specifically, add to the small but growing body of research highlighting potentially
485 positive effects of social media use. Young women might usefully be encouraged to follow
486 humorous content on social media designed to explicitly challenge the traditional narrow
487 beauty ideals in an attempt to improve mood and body satisfaction.

488

489

490

491

492

493

494 Funding: This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public,
495 commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

496

497

498

References

- 499
500 Avalos, L., Tylka, T. L., & Wood-Barcalow, N. (2005). The body appreciation scale:
501 Development and psychometric evaluation. *Body Image*, 2, 285-297.
502 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2005.06.002>
- 503 Bair, C., Kelly, N., Serdar, K., & Mazzeo, S. (2012). Does the internet function like
504 magazines? An exploration of image-focused media, eating pathology, and body
505 dissatisfaction. *Eating Behaviours*, 13, 398-401.
506 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2012.06.003>
- 507 Bocage-Barthélémy, Y., Chatard, A., Jaafari, N., Tello, N., Billieux, J., Daveau, E., &
508 Selimbegović, L. (2018). Automatic social comparison: Cognitive load facilitates an
509 increase in negative thought accessibility after thin ideal exposure among women.
510 *Plos One*, 13, e0193200. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0193200>
- 511 Brown, Z., & Tiggemann, M. (2016). Attractive celebrity and peer images on instagram:
512 Effect on women's mood and body image. *Body Image*, 19, 37-43.
513 <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.08.007>
- 514 Bury, B., Tiggemann, M., & Slater, A. (2016). Disclaimer labels on fashion magazine
515 advertisements: Impact on visual attention and relationship with body dissatisfaction.
516 *Body Image*, 16, 1-9. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2015.09.005>
- 517 Cernerud, L., & Olsson, H. (2004). Humour seen from a public health perspective.
518 *Scandinavian journal of public health*, 32, 396-398.
519 <https://doi.org/10.1080%2F14034940410026895>
- 520 Cohen, R., & Blaszczynski, A. (2015). Comparative effects of Facebook and conventional
521 media on body image dissatisfaction. *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 3, 1-11.
522 <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-015-0061-3>

- 523 Cohen, R., Fardouly, J., Newton-John, T., & Slater, A. (2019). #BoPo on Instagram: An
524 experimental investigation of the effects of viewing body positive content on young
525 women's mood and body image. *New Media & Society*.
526 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1461444819826530>
- 527 Cohen, R., Newton-John, T., & Slater, A. (2017). The relationship between Facebook and
528 Instagram appearance-focused activities and body image concerns in young women.
529 *Body Image*, 23, 183-187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2017.10.002>
- 530 Cohen, R., Newton-John, T., & Slater, A. (2018). 'Selfie'-objectification: The role of selfies
531 in self-objectification and disordered eating in young women. *Computers in Human*
532 *Behavior*, 79, 68-74. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2017.10.027>
- 533 Diedrichs, P. C., & Lee, C. (2011). Waif goodbye! Average-size female models promote
534 positive body image and appeal to consumers. *Psychology & Health*, 26, 1273-1291.
535 <https://doi.org/10.1080/08870446.2010.515308>
- 536 Dittmar, H., & Howard, S. (2004a). Professional hazards? The impact of models' body size
537 on advertising effectiveness and women's body-focused anxiety in professions that do
538 and do not emphasize the cultural ideal of thinness. *British Journal of Social*
539 *Psychology*, 43, 477-497. <https://doi.org/10.1348/0144666042565407>
- 540 Dittmar, H., & Howard, S. (2004b). Thin-ideal internalization and social comparison
541 tendency as moderators of media models' impact on women's body-focused anxiety.
542 *Journal of Social Clinical Psychology*, 23, 768-791.
543 <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.23.6.768.54799>
- 544 Falkenberg, I., Buchkremer, G., Bartels, M., & Wild, B. (2011). Implementation of a manual-
545 based training of humor abilities in patients with depression: A pilot study. *Psychiatry*
546 *Research*, 186, 454-457. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2010.10.009>

- 547 Fardouly, J., Diedrichs, P. C., Vartanian, L. R., & Halliwell, E. (2015). Social comparisons
548 on social media: The impact of facebook on young women's body image concerns and
549 mood. *Body Image, 13*, 38-45. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.12.002>
- 550 Fardouly, J., Pinkus, R. T., & Vartanian, L. R. (2017). The impact of appearance comparisons
551 made through social media, traditional media, and in person in women's everyday
552 lives. *Body Image, 20*, 31-39. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.11.002>
- 553 Fardouly, J., & Vartanian, L. R. (2015). Negative comparisons about one's appearance
554 mediate the relationship between facebook usage and body image concerns. *Body*
555 *Image, 12*, 82-88. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2014.10.004>
- 556 Frederick, D. A., Sandhu, G., Scott, T., & Akbari, Y. (2016). Reducing the negative effects of
557 media exposure on body image: Testing the effectiveness of subvertising and
558 disclaimer labels. *Body Image, 17*, 171-174.
559 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.03.009>
- 560 Ganz, F. D., & Jacobs, J. M. (2014). The effect of humor on elder mental and physical health.
561 *Geriatric Nursing, 35*, 205-211. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gerinurse.2014.01.005>
- 562 Gelkopf, M., & Kreitler, S. (1996). Is humor only fun, an alternative cure or magic? The
563 cognitive therapeutic potential of humor. *Journal of Cognitive Psychotherapy, 10*,
564 235.
- 565 Grabe, S., Ward, L., & Hyde, J. S. (2008). The role of the media in body image concerns
566 among women: A meta-analysis of experimental and correlational studies.
567 *Psychological Bulletin, 134*, 460-476. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.134.3.460>
- 568 Groesz, L. M., Levine, M. P., & Murnen, S. K. (2002). The effect of experimental
569 presentation of thin media images on body satisfaction: A meta-analytic review.
570 *International Journal of Eating Disorders, 31*, 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.10005>

- 571 Halliwell, E., & Dittmar, H. (2004). Does size matter? The impact of model's body size on
572 women's body-focused anxiety and advertising effectiveness. *Journal of Social and*
573 *Clinical Psychology, 23*, 104-122. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.23.1.104.26989>
- 574 Halliwell, E., Dittmar, H., & Howe, J. (2005). The impact of advertisements featuring ultra-
575 thin or average-size models on women with a history of eating disorders. *Journal of*
576 *Community & Applied Social Psychology, 15*, 406-413.
577 <https://doi.org/10.1002/casp.831>
- 578 Harper, B., & Tiggemann, M. (2008). The effect of thin ideal media images on women's self-
579 objectification, mood, and body image. *Sex Roles, 58*, 649-657.
580 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-007-9379-x>
- 581 Hayes, A. (2013). *Introduction to mediation, moderation, and conditional process analysis:*
582 *A regression-based approach*. New York, NY: Guilford Press.
- 583 Heinberg, L. J., & Thompson, J. (1995). Body image and televised images of thinness and
584 attractiveness: A controlled laboratory investigation. *Journal of Social and Clinical*
585 *Psychology, 14*, 325-338. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.1995.14.4.325>
- 586 Holland, G., & Tiggemann, M. (2016). A systematic review of the impact of the use of social
587 networking sites on body image and disordered eating outcomes. *Body Image, 17*,
588 100-110. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2016.02.008>
- 589 Kuiper, N. A., & McHale, N. (2009). Humor styles as mediators between self-evaluative
590 standards and psychological well-being. *The Journal of Psychology, 143*, 359-376.
591 <https://doi.org/10.3200/JRLP.143.4.359-376>
- 592 Levine, M. P., & Murnen, S. K. (2009). Everybody knows that mass media are/are not [pick
593 one] a cause of eating disorders: A critical review of evidence for a causal link
594 between media, negative body image, and disordered eating in females. *Journal of*
595 *Social and Clinical Psychology, 28*, 9-42. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.2009.28.1.9>

- 596 Mabe, A. G., Forney, K. J., & Keel, P. K. (2014). Do you “like” my photo? Facebook use
597 maintains eating disorder risk. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 47, 516-
598 523. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22254>
- 599 Martin, R. A. (2001). Humor, laughter, and physical health: methodological issues and
600 research findings. *Psychological Bulletin*, 127, 504-519. [https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-
601 2909.127.4.504](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.127.4.504)
- 602 Martin, R. A., Puhlik-Doris, P., Larsen, G., Gray, J., & Weir, K. (2003). Individual
603 differences in uses of humor and their relation to psychological well-being:
604 Development of the Humor Styles Questionnaire. *Journal of Research in Personality*,
605 37, 48-75. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566\(02\)00534-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0092-6566(02)00534-2)
- 606 McLean, S. A., Paxton, S. J., & Wertheim, E. H. (2016a). Does Media Literacy Mitigate Risk
607 for Reduced Body Satisfaction Following Exposure to Thin-Ideal Media? *Journal of
608 Youth and Adolescence*, 45, 1678-1695. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-016-0440-3>
- 609 McLean, S. A., Paxton, S. J., & Wertheim, E. H. (2016b). The measurement of media literacy
610 in eating disorder risk factor research: psychometric properties of six measures.
611 *Journal of Eating Disorders*, 4, 1-12. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s40337-016-0116-0>
- 612 McLean, S. A., Paxton, S. J., Wertheim, E. H., & Masters, J. (2015). Photoshopping the
613 selfie: Self photo editing and photo investment are associated with body
614 dissatisfaction in adolescent girls. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 48,
615 1132-1140. <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22449>
- 616 McLean, S. A., Wertheim, E. H., Masters, J., & Paxton, S. J. (2017). A pilot evaluation of a
617 social media literacy intervention to reduce risk factors for eating disorders.
618 *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 50, 847-851.
619 <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.22708>

- 620 Meier, E. P., & Gray, J. (2014). Facebook photo activity associated with body image
621 disturbance in adolescent girls. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking*,
622 17, 199-206. <https://doi.org/10.1089/cyber.2013.0305>
- 623 Mills, J. S., Musto, S., Williams, L., & Tiggemann, M. (2018). “Selfie” harm: Effects on
624 mood and body image in young women. *Body Image*, 27, 86-92.
625 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2018.08.007>
- 626 Perloff, R. M. (2014). Social media effects on young women’s body image concerns:
627 Theoretical perspectives and an agenda for research. *Sex Roles*, 71, 363-377.
628 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-014-0384-6>
- 629 Perrin, A., Duggan, M., Rainie, L., Smith, A., Greenwood, S., Porteus, M., & Page, D.
630 (2015). *Social media usage: 2005-2015*. Pew research center. Retrieved from
631 <http://www.pewinternet.org/2015/10/08/social-networking-usage-2005-2015/>
- 632 Pew Research Centre. (2018). *Social media use in 2018*. Retrieved from
633 <http://www.pewinternet.org/2018/03/01/social-media-use-in-2018/>
- 634 Prichard, I., & Tiggemann, M. (2012). The effect of simultaneous exercise and exposure to
635 thin-ideal music videos on women’s state self-objectification, mood and body
636 satisfaction. *Sex Roles*, 67, 201-210. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11199-012-0167-x>
- 637 Proyer, R. T., & Wolf, A. (2017). Humor and Well-Being. In *Reference Module in*
638 *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Psychology*: Elsevier.
- 639 Robinson, L., Prichard, I., Nikolaidis, A., Drummond, C., Drummond, M., & Tiggemann, M.
640 (2017). Idealised media images: The effect of fitpiration imagery on body
641 satisfaction and exercise behaviour. *Body Image*, 22, 65-71.
642 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2017.06.001>
- 643 Scull, T. M., Kupersmidt, J. B., Parker, A. E., Elmore, K. C., & Benson, J. W. (2010).
644 Adolescents’ media-related cognitions and substance use in the context of parental

- 645 and peer influences. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 39, 981-998.
646 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10964-009-9455-3>
- 647 Slater, A., Varsani, N., & Diedrichs, P. C. (2017). #fitspo or #loveyourself? The impact of
648 fitspiration and self-compassion Instagram images on women's body image, self-
649 compassion, and mood. *Body Image*, 22, 87-96.
650 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2017.06.004>
- 651 Smock, A. D., Ellison, N. B., Lampe, C., & Wohn, D. Y. (2011). Facebook as a toolkit: A
652 uses and gratification approach to unbundling feature use. *Computers in Human*
653 *Behavior*, 27, 2322-2329. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chb.2011.07.011>
- 654 Strick, M., Holland, R. W., Van Baaren, R. B., & Van Knippenberg, A. (2009). Finding
655 comfort in a joke: Consolatory effects of humor through cognitive distraction.
656 *Emotion*, 9, 574-578. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0015951>
- 657 Strubel, J., Petrie, T. A., & Pookulangara, S. (2018). "Like" me: Shopping, self-display, body
658 image, and social networking sites. *Psychology of Popular Media Culture*, 7, 328-
659 344. <https://doi.org/10.1037/ppm0000133>
- 660 Tamplin, N. C., McLean, S. A., & Paxton, S. J. (2018). Social media literacy protects against
661 the negative impact of exposure to appearance ideal social media images in young
662 adult women but not men. *Body Image*, 26, 29-37. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2018.05.003>
- 664 Thompson, J. K., Berg, P., Roehrig, M., Guarda, A. S., & Heinberg, L. J. (2004). The
665 sociocultural attitudes towards appearance scale-3 (SATAQ-3): Development and
666 validation. *International Journal of Eating Disorders*, 35.
667 <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.10257>

- 668 Thompson, J. K., Heinberg, L. J., Altabe, M., & Tantleff-Dunn, S. (1999). *Exacting beauty:*
669 *Theory, assessment, and treatment of body image disturbance.* Washington, DC:
670 American Psychological Association; US.
- 671 Tiggemann, M. (2011). Sociocultural perspectives on human appearance and body image. In
672 *Body Image: A Handbook Of Science, Practice, And Prevention* (2nd ed., pp. 12-19).
673 New York, NY: Guilford Press; US.
- 674 Tiggemann, M., & Brown, Z. (2018). Labelling fashion magazine advertisements:
675 Effectiveness of different label formats on social comparison and body dissatisfaction.
676 *Body Image, 25*, 97-102. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2018.02.010>
- 677 Tiggemann, M., & McGill, B. (2004). The role of social comparison in the effect of magazine
678 advertisements on women's mood and body dissatisfaction. *Journal of Social and*
679 *Clinical Psychology, 23*, 23-44. <https://doi.org/10.1521/jscp.23.1.23.26991>
- 680 Tiggemann, M., & Zaccardo, M. (2015). “Exercise to be fit, not skinny”: The effect of
681 fitspiration imagery on women's body image. *Body Image, 15*, 61-67.
682 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2015.06.003>
- 683 Want, S. C. (2009). Meta-analytic moderators of experimental exposure to media portrayals
684 of women on female appearance satisfaction: Social comparisons as automatic
685 processes. *Body Image, 6*, 257-269. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bodyim.2009.07.008>
686

Table 1.

The Impact of Condition and Time on Participants' Body Satisfaction, Body Appreciation, and Mood

Measure	Pre-Exposure Mean (<i>SD</i>)	Post-Exposure Mean (<i>SD</i>)	Main Effect of Condition	Main Effect of Time	Condition × Time Interaction
<i>Body Satisfaction</i>			$F(1, 92) = 0.34, \eta_p^2 = .004$	$F(1, 92) = 0.72, \eta_p^2 = .01$	$F(1, 92) = 10.42, \eta_p^2 = .10^{**}$
Thin Ideal	5.01 (2.69)	4.70 (2.77)			
Parody	4.90 (2.35)	5.42 (2.57)			
<i>Body Appreciation</i>			$F(1, 89) = 0.01, \eta_p^2 < .001$	$F(1, 89) = 0.07, \eta_p^2 = .001$	$F(1, 89) = 2.36, \eta_p^2 = .03$
Thin Ideal	5.57 (2.62)	5.31 (2.75)			
Parody	5.29 (2.04)	5.47 (2.31)			
<i>Happy</i>			$F(1, 97) = 0.03, \eta_p^2 < .001$	$F(1, 97) = 2.24, \eta_p^2 = .02$	$F(1, 97) = 5.03, \eta_p^2 = .05^*$
Thin Ideal	7.20 (2.08)	6.64 (2.19)			
Parody	6.80 (2.30)	6.91 (2.31)			
<i>Confident</i>			$F(1, 96) = 0.02, \eta_p^2 < .001$	$F(1, 96) = 1.02, \eta_p^2 = .01$	$F(1, 96) = 1.02, \eta_p^2 = .01$
Thin Ideal	5.57 (2.48)	5.26 (2.56)			
Parody	5.48 (2.36)	5.48 (2.38)			
<i>Anxious</i>			$F(1, 92) = 0.38, \eta_p^2 = .004$	$F(1, 92) = 1.14, \eta_p^2 = .01$	$F(1, 92) = 2.24, \eta_p^2 = .02$
Thin Ideal	4.87 (2.97)	4.96 (3.07)			
Parody	4.84 (2.48)	4.31 (2.87)			

Note. $**p < .01$, $*p < .05$