

# THE EXPRESSION OF ALTERNATIVE SPLICE VARIANTS OF HUMAN EPIDERMAL GROWTH FACTOR RECEPTOR 2 IN INVASIVE BREAST CANCER AND CELL LINE MODELS

## EMMA ASSAM NKWAM

A thesis submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements of the University of the West of England, Bristol for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Faculty of Health and Life Sciences

May 2015

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

My very sincere appreciation goes to my Director of Studies, Professor Anthony Rhodes, and to my second supervisor Dr Michael Ladomery, for all their invaluable help and support, advice and training. I thank them both also for the opportunity to do this research.

I thank the people who have been very helpful during my time as a PhD student; Dave Corry for teaching me tissue culture; Rachel Hagen for her invaluable help with extraction of RNA from FFPE samples; Patricia Adamo for all her help with my gene analysis; Dann Turner for his help with bioinformatics; and Jonathon Hull for his help with western blotting.

I thank all past and present members of the CRIB lab, as well as the team of technicians, and especially my friends Sarah Dean, Keith Page and Hanan Alabouh for all their help and support.

I thank my family and friends, especially my siblings, for their continued support throughout this journey. I would never have made it this far without the people who love me the most.

My parents believed in me enough to fund this research. For this and so much more I am eternally grateful.

I thank my husband Michael, the wind beneath my wings. Thank you for always telling me this was possible. Words are not enough.

I dedicate this thesis to our little angel and my queen, Olivia-Grace Nkwam.

## **ABBREVIATIONS**

ABC	Avidin-Biotin Complex
АКТ	Protein Kinase B
bp	Base Pair
cDNA	Complementary DNA
CISH	Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization
DAB	Diaminobenzidine
DEPC	Diethylprocarbonate
DMEM	Dolbecco's Modified Eagle Medium
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic Acid
dNTPs	Deoxynucleotide Triphosphates
ECD	Extracellular Domain
ETDA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic Acid
EGFR	Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor
ELISA	Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay
ER	Oestrogen Receptor
ERK	Extracellular Signal-Regulated Kinases
ESS	Exonic Splice Silencers
ESE	Exonic Splice Enhancers
FDA	Food And Drug Agency
FFPE	Formalin-Fixed And Paraffin-Embedded
FISH	Fluorescence In Situ Hybridisation
GRB2	Growth Factor Receptor Bound Protein 2
GTP	Guanosine Triphosphate
HER	Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor
HER2	Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2
hnRNPs	Heterogeneous Nuclear Ribonucleoproteins
HRP	Horseradish Peroxidase
IGFR	Insulin-Like Growth Factor Receptor
IHC	Immunohistochemistry

ISE	Intronic Splice Enhancers
ISS	Intronic Splice Silencers
kDa	Kilodalton
LB	Luria Bertani Medium
ng	Nanogram
NICE	National Institute For Health And Clinical Care
ml	Millilitre
МАРК	Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase
μΙ	Microlitre
μg	Migrogram
mRNA	Messenger Ribonucleic Acid
mTOR	Mammalian Target Of Rapamycin
PARP-1	Poly [ADP-Ribose] Polymerase 1
PBS	Phosphate Buffered Saline
РІЗК	Phosphatoinositide 3-Kinae
PIP3	Phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-Triphosphate
PR	Progesterone Receptor
PTEN	Phosphatease And Tensin Homologue
RNA	Ribonucleic Acid
rpm	Revolutions Per Minute
PCR	Polymerase Chain Reaction
RT	Reverse Transcription
	Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain
RI-PCR	Reaction
siRNA	Small Interfering Ribonucleic Acid
SOS	Sons Of Sevenless
SR protein	Serine And Arginine-Rich Protein
snRNP	Small Nuclear Ribonucleic Particles
TAE	Tris-Acetate-EDTA
TBS	Tris Buffered Saline
TNBC	Triple Negative Breast Cancer

UTR	Untranslated Region
VEGF	Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor
WT1	Wilm's Tumour 1

## CONTENT

CHAPTER	1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION	1
1.1	Breast Cancer	1
1.2	Classification of breast cancers	3
1.2.1	Luminal A	4
1.2.2	Luminal B	4
1.2.3	HER2 overexpressing	5
1.2.4	Basal-like	5
1.2.5	Normal breast-like	6
1.2.6	Claudin-low	6
1.3	Discovery of the Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2	).9
1.4	HER2 signalling pathways	10
1.4.1.	Phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K/AKT) cascade	13
1.4.2.	Mitogen Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) cascade	14
1.5	HER2 as a prognostic factor in breast cancer	16
1.6	Testing for <i>HER2</i> status	17
1.6.1.	Testing for <i>HER2</i> status at the protein level	17
1.	6.1.1. Immunohistochemistry	17
1.	6.1.2. The Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbant Assay (ELISA)	18
1.6.2.	Testing for <i>HER2</i> status at the DNA level	19
1.	6.2.1. Fluorescence In Situ Hybridization (FISH)	19
1.	6.2.2. Chromogenic In Situ Hybridization (CISH)	20
1.	6.2.3. Silver <i>In Situ</i> Hybridization (SISH)	20
1.6.3.	Testing for <i>HER2</i> status at the RNA level	21
1.	6.3.1. Quantitative Real-Time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Cha	in
Re	eaction (qRT-PCR)	21
1.7	Therapies in <i>HER2</i> positive cancer	22

1.7.1.	<i>Trastuzumab</i> treatment for patients with <i>HER2</i> positive invasive	
breast car	ncer	23
1.7.2.	Lapatinib	25
1.7.3. l	Pertuzumab	26
1.8 Cha	allenges and unmet needs in the treatment of <i>HER2</i> positive breas	st
cancer		28
1.9 Alte	ernative Splicing	30
1.9.1.	The role of alternative splicing in the development of cancer	35
1.10 Alte	ernative splicing of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Splice Isoforms	36
1.10.1. I	Herstatin	36
1.10.2.	HER2Δ16	38
1.10.3. I	P100 HER2	40
1.11 Нуј	pothesis and objectives of this Study	41
1.11.1. l	Hypothesis	41
1.11.2. (	Objectives	42
CHAPTER 2.	GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS	44
2.1. Ant	tigen Retrieval Immunohistochemistry	44
2.1.1. I	Buffers used in Immunohistochemistry	44
2.1.2.	Antigen retrieval microwave heating technique	45
2.1.3. I	mmunohistochemical staining methods	46
2.1.4. I	Evaluation of Immunohistochemistry results	47
2.2. Cel	l culture	47
2.3. RN	A extraction	48
2.3.1 I	RNA extraction from cell cultures	48
2.3.2 I	RNA extraction from Formalin Fixed, Paraffin Embedded (FFPE)	
samples .		49
2.3.3	Assessment of RNA yield and quality	51

2.4.	Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)	
2.4.1.	First-Strand Synthesis of cDNA	
2.4.2.	Standard Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (I	₹ <b>T</b> -
PCR)		
2.4.3.	Agarose gel electrophoresis	53
2.5.	Cloning of RT-PCR products for sequencing	
2.5.1.	Gel extraction and purification of PCR products	53
2.5.2.	Preparation of LB broth and LB/Agar plates with ampicillin/II	PTG/X-
GAL .		54
2.5.3.	Ligation into pGEM-T Easy vector	
2.5.4.	Transformation into JM109 High Efficiency Competent E. coli o	cells
		55
2.5.5.	Extraction of plasmid DNA	
2.6.	Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)	
2.6.1.	Quantitative real-time PCR amplifications	
2.6.2.	Calculations	
2.6.3.	Normalisation of real-time qRT-PCR	
2.7.	Protein analysis	61
2.7.1.	Protein extraction	61
2.7.2.	Protein quantification	62
2.7.3.	SDS PAGE	62
2.7.4.	Western blot analysis	63
2.8.	RNAi methods	64
2.8.1.	siRNA transfection of cells	64
CHAPTER	3. DISCOVERY OF <i>HER2</i> AND <i>HER2</i> ALTERNATIVE SPLICE	
VARIANTS	S IN BREAST AND OVARIAN CANCER CELL LINES	
3.1	Introduction	
3.2	Methods	

3.2.1	Antigen Retrieval for Immunohistochemistry	68
3.2.2	<i>HER2</i> primer design for RT-PCR	68
3.2.3	DNA sequencing	70
3.2.4	Analysis of sequencing results	71
3.3	Results	71
3.3.1	Detection of HER2 protein in cell lines by Immunohistochemistry	
		71
3.3.2	Detection of <i>HER2</i> mRNA expression in cell lines by RT- PCR	77
3.3.3	Analysis of <i>HER2</i> cDNA amplicon sequences	85
3.4	Summary	94
CHAPTER	4. BIOINFORMATIC ANALYSIS OF HER2 AND HER2 ALTERNATIV	Έ
SPLICE VA	RIANTS	95
4.1	Introduction	95
4.2	Objectives	96
	,	
4.3.	Methods	96
4.3. 4.3.1	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval	96 96
4.3. 4.3.1 4.3.2	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms	96 96 97
4.3. 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternativ	96 96 97 re
4.3. 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 splice	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants.	96 96 97 re 97
4.3. 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 splice 4.3.4	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants. Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i>	96 96 97 re 97
4.3. 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 splice 4.3.4 prote	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in	96 96 97 'e 97 98
4.3. 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 splice 4.3.4 prote 4.4.	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in Results	96 96 97 re 97 98 98
<ul> <li>4.3.</li> <li>4.3.1</li> <li>4.3.2</li> <li>4.3.3</li> <li>splice</li> <li>4.3.4</li> <li>prote</li> <li>4.4.</li> <li>4.4.1</li> </ul>	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in Results <i>HER2</i> RNA sequence analysis	96 96 97 e 97 98 98 98
<ul> <li>4.3.</li> <li>4.3.1</li> <li>4.3.2</li> <li>4.3.3</li> <li>splice</li> <li>4.3.4</li> <li>prote</li> <li>4.4.</li> <li>4.4.1</li> <li>4.4.2</li> </ul>	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in Results <i>HER2</i> RNA sequence analysis <i>HER2</i> protein sequence analysis	96 96 97 re 97 98 98 98 101
4.3. 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 splice 4.3.4 prote 4.4. 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in Results <i>HER2</i> RNA sequence analysis <i>HER2</i> protein sequence analysis	96 96 97 e 97 98 98 98 101
<ul> <li>4.3.</li> <li>4.3.1</li> <li>4.3.2</li> <li>4.3.3</li> <li>splice</li> <li>4.3.4</li> <li>prote</li> <li>4.4.</li> <li>4.4.1</li> <li>4.4.2</li> <li>4.4.3</li> <li>(isoformatical set of the set of the</li></ul>	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants. Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in Results <i>HER2</i> RNA sequence analysis <i>HER2</i> protein sequence analysis Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> orm 1).	96 96 97 e 97 98 98 101 103
4.3. 4.3.1 4.3.2 4.3.3 splice 4.3.4 prote 4.4. 4.4.1 4.4.2 4.4.3 (isofo 4.4.4	Methods <i>HER2</i> sequence retrieval Alignment of <i>HER2</i> transcript variants and isoforms Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in <i>HER2</i> alternative variants Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in Results <i>HER2</i> RNA sequence analysis <i>HER2</i> protein sequence analysis Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type <i>HER2</i> orm 1) Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites	96 97 re 97 97 98 98 101 103 107

4.4.6	Structural and functional characterisation of novel <i>HER2</i> isoforms
4.4	4.6.1. Additional band produced by primers E15F/E19R give rise to a
los	ss of the <i>HER2</i> ATP binding pocket, and a novel <i>HER2</i> splice variant
HI	<i>ER2</i> ΔATP112
4.4	4.6.2. Additional band produced by primers E12F/E15R gives rise to the
los	ss of the <i>HER2</i> extracellular domain, and a novel <i>HER2</i> splice variant
HI	<i>ER2ΔECD</i>
4.4	4.6.3. Additional bands produced using primer pairs NP1/NP2 and
NI	P5/NP6 give rise to the HER $\Delta 16$ isoform corresponding to the loss of
su	bdomain IV of the <i>HER2</i> extracellular domain
4.5.	Analysis of new 5' splice site boundaries for $HER2\Delta$ ATP 115
4.6.	Summary 116
CHAPTER	5. EXPRESSION OF HER2 AND HER2 ALTERNATIVE SPLICE
VARIANTS	IN NORMAL HUMAN TISSUES AND HUMAN BREAST TUMOURS 118
5.1	Introduction 118
5.2	Objectives
5.3	Methods 120
5.3.1	Analysis of cDNA samples from a normal tissue panel for the
expre	ssion of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants
5.3.2	Analysis of frozen clinical samples from HER2 positive breast
tumou	urs for the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants
5.3.3	Analysis of formalin fixed and paraffin embedded (FFPE) clinical
sampl	es from <i>HER2</i> positive breast tumours for the expression of <i>HER2</i> and
HER2	alternative splice variants
5.4	Results
5.4.1	Expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in cDNA
samp	es from a normal tissue panel

5.4.2	Expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in cDI	NA
obtain	ed from frozen clinical samples	127
5.4.3	Expression of HER2 and HER2 splice variants in formalin fixed	and
paraffi	in embedded (FFPE) clinical samples	132
5.5	Summary	136
CHAPTER 6	6. REGULATION OF <i>HER2</i> AND <i>HER2</i> SPLICE VARIANTS IN CEI	LL
LINE MODE	ELS	138
6.1.	Introduction	138
6.2.	Methods	140
6.2.1	Treatment of cells with protein kinase inhibitors	140
6.2.2	Treatment of cells with hypoxia mimetic factor Cobalt Chloride	ļ
(CoCl <sub>2</sub> )	)	141
6.2.3	siRNA silencing of SRPK1 and SRSF1 in MDA-MB-453 and SKBI	R3 cell
lines		142
6.2.4	Western blot analysis	143
6.2.5	Real-time qPCR analysis of HER2, HER2 alternative splice varia	nts,
SRPK1	and SRSF1	144
6.3.	Results	145
6.3.1	Inhibition of SRPK1 by SRPIN340 modulates the expression of I	HER2
and HI	ER2 alternative splice variants in MDA-MB-453 cell line	145
6.3	8.1.1. MDA-MB-453 cell line	146
	6.3.1.1.1. Changes in the expression of wild-type <i>HER2</i> following	Г Э
1	treatment with protein kinase inhibitors	146
(	6.3.1.1.2. Changes in the expression of $HER2\Delta ECD$ following treated by the treated of the treated by the tre	atment
	with protein kinase inhibitors	148
(	6.3.1.1.3. Changes in the expression of $HER2\Delta 16$ following treats	ment
,	with protein kinase inhibitors	150
	6.3.1.1.4. Changes in the expression of $HER2\Delta$ ATP following treaters	ıtment
,	with protein kinase inhibitors	152
6.3	3.1.2 SKBR3 cell line	154

protein kinase inhibitors155
6.3.1.2.2 The expression of $HER2\Delta ECD$ following treatment with
protein kinase inhibitors156
6.3.1.2.3 The expression of $HER2\Delta 16$ following treatment with
protein kinase inhibitors158
6.3.1.2.4 The expression of $HER2\Delta$ ATP following treatment with
protein kinase inhibitors160
6.3.1.3 BT-20 cell line 162
6.3.1.3.1 The expression of wild-type <i>HER2</i> following treatment with
protein kinase inhibitors163
6.3.1.3.2 The expression of $HER2\Delta ECD$ following treatment with
protein kinase inhibitors165
6.3.1.3.3 Changes in the expression of $HER2\Delta 16$ following treatment
with protein kinase inhibitors167
6.3.1.3.4 Changes in the expression of $HER2\Delta$ ATP following treatment
with protein kinase inhibitors169
6.3.2 Induction of hypoxia by hypoxia mimetic factor Cobalt Chloride
(CoCl <sub>2</sub> ) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in
(CoCl <sub>2</sub> ) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line
<ul> <li>(CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line</li></ul>
<ul> <li>(CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line</li></ul>
<ul> <li>(CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line</li></ul>
(CoCl <sub>2</sub> ) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line
(CoCl <sub>2</sub> ) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line
<ul> <li>(CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line</li></ul>
<ul> <li>(CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line.</li> <li>6.3.2.1. Changes in HIF1-α expression after treatment of SKBR3 cells with Cobalt Chloride for 24 and 48 hours.</li> <li>6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants after treatment of SKBR3 cells with Cobalt Chloride for 24 and 48 hours.</li> <li>174</li> <li>6.3.3. The effects of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown on the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants after treatment of SKBR3 cells with Cobalt Chloride for 24 and 48 hours.</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line.</li> <li>6.3.2.1. Changes in HIF1-α expression after treatment of SKBR3 cells with Cobalt Chloride for 24 and 48 hours.</li> <li>172</li> <li>6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants after treatment of SKBR3 cells with Cobalt Chloride for 24 and 48 hours.</li> <li>174</li> <li>6.3.3. The effects of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown on the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in 174</li> </ul>
<ul> <li>(CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line</li></ul>
(CoCl2) Inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in         SKBR3 cell line.       171         6.3.2.1. Changes in HIF1-α expression after treatment of SKBR3 cells with       172         6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice       172         6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice       174         6.3.3.1. Changes of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown on the expression of       174         6.3.3.1       Confirmation of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown in MDA-MB-453       179         6.3.3.1       Confirmation of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown in MDA-MB-453       179
(CoCl <sub>2</sub> ) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in         SKBR3 cell line.       171         6.3.2.1. Changes in HIF1-α expression after treatment of SKBR3 cells with         Cobalt Chloride for 24 and 48 hours.       172         6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice       172         6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice       174         6.3.3.1. The effects of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown on the expression of       179         6.3.3.1. Confirmation of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown in MDA-MB-453       180         6.3.3.2. Knockdown of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SESF1</i> shows no significant effect on the
<ul> <li>(CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line</li></ul>
(CoCl2) Inhibits the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in         SKBR3 cell line.       171         6.3.2.1. Changes in HIF1-α expression after treatment of SKBR3 cells with       172         6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice       172         6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice       174         6.3.3.2.3. Changes in the expression of <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice       174         6.3.3.3. The effects of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown on the expression of       174         6.3.3.1. Confirmation of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> knockdown in MDA-MB-453       179         6.3.3.2. Knockdown of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SFSF1</i> shows no significant effect on the       180         6.3.3.2. Knockdown of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SFSF1</i> shows no significant effect on the       182

6.3.3.3. Confirmation of SRPK1 and SRSF1 knockdown in SKBR3 cells
6.3.3.4. Knockdown of SRPK1 and SFSF1 affects the expression of HER2
and <i>HER2</i> alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cells at mRNA level 190
6.4. Summary 196
CHAPTER 7. DISCUSSION
REFERENCES
APPENDICES
LIST OF PRESENTATIONS

### TABLES

Table 1.1: Molecular subtypes of <i>HER2</i> and their characteristic features7
Table 2.1 Antibodies used in immunohistochemistry, their specificities and
concentrations
Table 2.2 Cell line models used in cell culture studies and their culture conditions.
Table 2.3: Reference genes used for qRT-PCR and their functions in normalphysiology.61
Table 3.1: RNA concentrations and absorbance at 260/280 for each cell line
Table 3.2: cDNA concentrations and absorbance at 260/280 for each cell line84
Table 4.1 <i>HER2</i> amino acid composition as predicted by ProtParam
Table 5.1 Primer sequences for the detection of <i>HFR2</i> and <i>HFR2</i> solice variants by
qRT-PCR
Table 5.2 Minimum data set for frozen samples from invasive ductal carcinomas
obtained from the Wales cancer bank (Cardiff, UK)
Table 5.3: <i>HER2</i> status, quantification and integrity of RNA obtained from FFPE
samples
Table 6.1: Antibodies used in Western blotting and their specificities         143
Table 6.2: <i>SRPK1, SRSF1</i> and <i>HIF1-</i> $\alpha$ primer sequences
Table 6.3: Normfinder output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in
MDA-MB-453 cells treated with protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340. TG003 and
INDY 145
1101.

Table 6.4: *Normfinder* output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in SKBR3 cells treated with protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY*.. 154

Table 6.5: Normfinder output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in BT-20 cells treated with protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY.163

Table 6.6: Normfinder output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in
SKBR3 cells treated with Cobalt Chloride172
Table 6.7: Normfinder output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in
MDA-MB-453 and SKBR3 cells after siRNA knockdown of SRPK1 and SRSF1 splice
factors
Table A1: List of RT-PCR oligonucleotide sequences

## FIGURES

Figure 1.1 (A) Kaplan–Meier curves of disease-free survival and overall survival
based on UNC337 database
Figure 1.2 Schematic representation of <i>HER2</i> 12
Figure 1.3 Schematic representation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway14
Figure 1.4 Schematic representation of the RAS/RAF/MEK/MAPK cascade
Figure 1.5 Schematic representation of the mechanisms of action of current
therapies for <i>HER2</i> overexpressing breast cancer27
Figure 1.6 Schematic representation of alternative splicing
Figure 1.7 Modes of alternative splicing
Figure 1.8 Schematic representation of Herstatin showing the retention of intron 8. 
Figure 1.9 Schematic representation of <i>HER2</i> Δ16 showing the cassette exon on exon 16
Figure 1.10 Schematic representation of p100 <i>HER2</i> showing the retention of intron 15
Figure 3.1: Design of <i>HER2</i> -specific RT-PCR primers for used to amplify <i>HER2</i>
cDNA. Arrows indicate positions of primers in target exons
Figure 3.2: Immunohistochemical staining of cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and
MCF-7 (C) using SP3 monoclonal antibody73
Figure 3.3: Immunohistochemical staining of cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and
MCF-7 (C) using CB11 monoclonal antibody74
Figure 3.4: Immunohistochemical staining of cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and
MCF-7 (C) using 6F11 monoclonal antibody75

Figure 3.5: Immunohistochemical staining of cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and
MCF-7 (C) using PGR636 monoclonal antibody76
Figure 3.6: Negative controls used in immunohistochemistry showing cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and MCF-7 (C) using SP3, CB11 and 6F11 monoclonal antibodies respectively
Figure 3.7: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 3-6 (primer pair E3F+E6R) using
all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control78
Figure 3.8: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 6-9 (primer pair E6F+E9R) using
Figure 3.9: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 9-12 (primer pair E9F+E12R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control
Figure 3.10: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 12-15 (primer pair E12F+E15R)
using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control
Figure 3.11: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 15-19 (primer pair E15F+E19R)
using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control
Figure 3.12: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 19-22 (primer pair E19F+E22R)
using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control81
Figure 3.13: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 22-25 (primer pair E22F+E25R)
using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control81
Figure 3.14: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 25-27 (primer pair E25F+E27R)
using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control82
Figure 3.15: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 16-18 (primer pair NP1+NP2)
using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control82
Figure 3.16: RT-PCR amplification of <i>HER2</i> exons 16-18 (primer pair NP5+NP6)
using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) contro

Figure 3.17: Sequence alignment of <i>HER2</i> insert with the reference <i>HER2</i> exons 12-
15 using Clustal Omega
Figure 3.18: Sequence alignment of <i>HER2</i> insert with the wild type <i>HER2</i> exons 12-
15 using Clustal Omega
Figure 3.19: Sequence alignment of $HFR2$ insert with the wild type $HFR2$ evons 15-
10 using Clustel Omoge
19 USING CIUStai Omega
Figure 3.20: Sequence alignment of <i>HER2</i> insert with the wild type <i>HER2</i> exons 15-
19 using Clustal Omega
Figure 3.21: Sequence alignment of <i>HER2</i> exons 15-18 using Clustal Omega90
Figure 2.22. Sequence alignment of $HED2$ evens 15, 19 using Clustel Omoge 01
Figure 5.22: Sequence angument of <i>HER2</i> exons 15-16 using clustar Omega
Figure 3.23: Sequence alignment of <i>HER2</i> exons 15-17 using Clustal Omega92
Figure 3.24: Sequence alignment of <i>HER2</i> exons 15-17 using Clustal Omega. The
alignment shows deletions in the gene sequence for the region amplified in the
bottom band using primer pairs NP5 + NP693
Figure 4.1. Schemetic montotion of the leastion of UED2 and an abuse of the
Figure 4.1. Schematic representation of the location of <i>HER2</i> gene on chromosome
17, and flanking genes
Figure 4.2 Schematic of Pfam output showing <i>HER2</i> functional domains and their
positions
P.001400100
Figure 4.3 Phyre <sup>2</sup> output showing the 3D structure of <i>HER2</i> 106
Figure 4.4 SpliceAid output for the analysis of splice factor binding motifs in exon
13 and 50 base pairs into the flanking introns
Figure 4.5 SpliceAid output for the analysis of splice factor binding motifs in exon
16 and 50 base pairs into the flanking introns
16 and 50 base pairs into the flanking introns
16 and 50 base pairs into the flanking introns

Figure 4.7 Analysis of cDNA and amino acid sequences of multiple bands obtained
using primer pair E15F/E19R113
Figure 4.8 Analysis of cDNA and amino acid sequences of multiple bands obtained
using primer pair E12E/E15R 114
Figure 4.9 Analysis of cDNA and amino acid sequences of multiple bands obtained
using primer pairs NP1/NP2 and NP5/NP6115
Figure 5.1: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ ECD (primer pair
E12F+E15R) in normal human tissue cDNA (1-10), using MDA-MB-453 cell line as
a positive control
Figure 5.2: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> ΔATP (primer pair
E15F+E19R) in normal human tissue RNA (1-10), using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a
positive control
Figure 5.3: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ 16 (primer pair
NP5+NP6) in normal human tissue RNA (1-10), using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a
positive control
Figure 5.4: RT-PCR amplification of 18s in normal human tissue cDNA and MDA-
MB-453 cell line
Figure 5.5: qPCR analysis of the expression of wild-type <i>HER2</i> cDNA in clinical
samples
Figure 5.6: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> ΔECD (primer pair
E12F+E15R) in cDNA obtained from frozen tumours, using MDA-MB-453 cell line
as a positive control
•
Figure 5.7: qPCR analysis of the expression of $HER2\Delta$ ECD in clinical samples. Each
histogram bar is representative of one sample and three replicates (n=3)
Figure 5.8: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> ΔATP (primer pair
E15F+E19R) in RNA samples obtained from frozen tumours, using MDA-MB-453
cell line as a positive control

Figure 5.9 qPCR analysis of the expression of $HER2\Delta$ ATP in clinical samples 130
--

Figure 5.10: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ 16 (primer pair
NP1 + NP2) in cDNA samples obtained from frozen tumours, using MDA-MB-453
cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.11: qPCR analysis of the expression of $HER2\Delta 16$ in clinical samples 131
Figure 5.12: RT-PCR amplification of 18s in normal human tissue RNA and MDA-
MB-453 cell line
Figure 5.13: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ ECD (primer pair
E12F+E15R) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell
line as a positive control
Einer F 14 DT DCD and life ation of wild true AUDD2 and UDD24 ATD (animal and a sin
Figure 5.14: RT-PCR amplification of who type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> DATP (primer pair
E15F+E19R) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell
line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ 16 (primer pair
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ 16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type $HER2$ and $HER2\Delta16$ (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
<ul> <li>Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i>Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control.</li> <li>figure 5.16: RT-PCR amplification of 18s in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control.</li> <li>figure 6.1: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors <i>SRPIN340</i>, <i>TG003</i> and <i>INDY</i> on the</li> </ul>
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> Δ16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control
Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type <i>HER2</i> and <i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ 16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control

Figure 6.4: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY on the
<i>HER2D</i> alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after
treatment
Figure 6.5: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY on the
<i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after treatment.
Figure 6.6: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY on the
<i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after treatment.
Figure 6.7: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY on the
<i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after
treatment
Figure 6.8: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY on the
<i>HER2<math>\Delta</math>ECD</i> alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after
treatment
Figure 6.9: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY on the
wild-type HER2 in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment
Figure 6.10: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY on the
wild-type <i>HER2</i> in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment
Figure 6.11: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors <i>SRPIN340</i> , <i>TG003</i> and <i>INDY</i> on the
<i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ <i>ECD</i> alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment 157
Figure 6.12. Effect of protein binage inhibitors CDDIN240, TC002 and INDV on the
<i>HER2AECD</i> alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment 158
Figure 6.13: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors <i>SRPIN340</i> , <i>TG003</i> and <i>INDY</i> on the
HERZO16 alternative splice variant in SKBK3 cells 24 hours after treatment 159
Figure 6.14: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY on the
<i>HER2</i> $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment 160
xxi

Figure 6.15: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment... 161

Figure 6.16: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2*ΔATP alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment.... 162

Figure 6.19: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2ΔECD* alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 24 hours after treatment... 166

Figure 6.20: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2ΔECD* alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 48 hours after treatment. ... 167

Figure 6.21: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 24 hours after treatment. ...... 168

Figure 6.22: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 48 hours after treatment. ...... 169

Figure 6.23: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2*ΔATP alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 24 hours after treatment.... 170

Figure 6.24: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 48 hours after treatment.... 171

Figure 6.25: Effect of Cobalt chloride treatment on <i>HIF1-</i> $\alpha$ gene in SKBR3 cells 24	4
hours after treatment1	173
Figure 6.26: Effect of Cobalt chloride treatment on $HIF1-\alpha$ gene in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment	8 174
Figure 6.27: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in SKBR3	}
cells 24 hours after treatment	175

Figure 6.28: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the $HER2\Delta ECD$ alternative
splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment 175
Figure 6.29: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the $HER2\Delta 16$ alternative splice
variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment
Figure 6.30: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the $HER2\Delta$ ATP alternative
splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment
Figure 6.31: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the wild-type <i>HER2</i> in SKBR3
cells 48 hours after treatment
Figure 6.32: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the $HER2\Delta ECD$ alternative
splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment177
Figure 6.33: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the $HER2\Delta 16$ alternative splice
variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment178
Figure 6.34: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the $HER2\Delta$ ATP alternative
splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment178
Figure 6.35: Knockdown of <i>SRPK1</i> mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells after transfection
with <i>SRPK1</i> smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs
supplied in a single tube
Figure 6.36: Knockdown of SRPK1 mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells after transfection
with SRPK1 smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs
supplied in a single tube
Figure 6.37: Knockdown of SRSF1 mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells after transfection
with SRSF1 smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs
supplied in a single tube
Figure 6.38: Knockdown of SRSF1 mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells after transfection
with SRSF1 smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs
supplied in a single tube

Figure 6.39: Western blot of <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> in MDA-MD-453 cells showing, 0, 24
and 48 hours post transfection
Figure 6.40: Effect of knockdown on wild-type <i>HER2</i> mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells
24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to SRPK1 and
SRSF1 splice factors
Figure 6.41: Effect of knockdown on <i>HER24ECD</i> mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 24
hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to SRPK1 and
SRSF1 splice factors
Figure 6.42: Effect of knockdown on $HER2\Delta 16$ mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 24
hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to SRPK1 and
SRSF1 splice factors
Figure 6.43: Effect of knockdown on <i>HER2</i> ΔATP mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 24
hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to SRPK1 and
SRSF1 splice factors
Figure 6.44: Effect of knockdown on wild-type <i>HER2</i> mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells
48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to SRPK1 and
SRSF1 splice factors
Figure 6.45: Effect of knockdown on <i>HER2ΔECD</i> mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 48
hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to SRPK1 and
SRSF1 splice factors
Figure 6.46: Effect of knockdown on $HER2\Delta 16$ mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 48
hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to SRPK1 and
SRSF1 splice factors
Figure 6.47: Effect of knockdown on <i>HER2</i> ΔATP mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 48
hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to SRPK1 and
SRSF1 splice factors

Figure 6.48: Knockdown of <i>SRPK1</i> mRNA in SKBR3 cell lines after transfection with <i>SRPK1</i> smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube
Figure 6.49: Knockdown of <i>SRPK1</i> mRNA in SKBR3 cell lines after transfection with <i>SRPK1</i> smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube
Figure 6.50: Knockdown of <i>SRSF1</i> mRNA in SKBR3 cell lines after transfection with <i>SRSF1</i> smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube
Figure 6.51: Knockdown of <i>SRSF1</i> mRNA in SKBR3 cell lines after transfection with <i>SRSF1</i> smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube
Figure 6.52: Effect of knockdown on wild-type <i>HER2</i> mRNA in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> splice factors
Figure 6.53: Effect of knockdown on <i>HER2∆ECD</i> mRNA in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> splice factors
Figure 6.54: Effect of knockdown on $HER2\Delta 16$ mRNA in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> splice factors
Figure 6.55: Effect of knockdown on $HER2\Delta$ ATP mRNA in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> splice factors
Figure 6.56: Effect of knockdown on wild-type <i>HER2</i> mRNA in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> splice factors

Figure 6.57: Effect of knockdown on <i>HER2ΔECD</i> mRNA in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> splice factors
Figure 6.58: Effect of knockdown on $HER2\Delta 16$ mRNA in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> splice factors
Figure 6.59: Effect of knockdown on <i>HER2</i> ∆ATP mRNA in SKBR3 cells 48 hours
after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to <i>SRPK1</i> and <i>SRSF1</i> splice factors
Figure A 1: Sequence analysis of the top band amplified using primers E12F + E 15R
Figure A 2: Sequence analysis of the middle band amplified using primers E12F + E 15R
Figure A 3: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers E15F + E 19R. 
Figure A 4: Sequence showing the lower band amplified using primers E15F + E 19R
Figure A 5: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers NP1+NP2 227
Figure A 6: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers NP1+NP2 228
Figure A 7: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers NP5+NP6 228
Figure A 8: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers NP5+NP6 228

Figure A 9: PSIPRED output showing the secondary structure of the HER2 protein
aligned with the amino acid sequenceructures are also indicated by lettering; H –
alpha helix, E -Beta sheet and C -coils
Figure A 10: pGEM-T Easy Vector map 252

#### ABSTRACT

The Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (*HER2*) is an oncogene expressed in 25-30% of invasive breast cancers. The *HER2* gene encodes an 185kDa transmembrane protein with tyrosine kinase activity. Gene amplification or protein expression of *HER2* is a predictor of poor prognosis in women with breast cancer, and also indicates a favourable response to *Trastuzumab* (Herceptin) therapy, or a combinational therapy comprising Herceptin plus chemotherapy. However, resistance to *Trastuzumab* remains the case in approximately 50% of *HER2* amplified/overexpressing tumours. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of *Trastuzumab* resistance is critical in the treatment of patients whose breast cancers express this aggressive disease phenotype. In this study, it is postulated that the abnormal generation of mRNA splice variants may be responsible for the continued tumour growth and progression.

The aim of this study is to investigate the expression of alternative splice variants in invasive breast cancer, and to increase our understanding of the regulation of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants in invasive breast cancer.

The coding region of *HER2* cDNA was PCR amplified in *HER2* positive cell lines (SKOV-3, SKBR-3, and MDA-MB-453). The regulation of *HER2* expression was investigated by siRNA silencing of the splice factor *SRSF1* and its phosphorylating gene *SRPK1*. The role of hypoxia and the inhibition of *SRPK1* via *SRPIN340* were also investigated for its effects of *HER2* expression in cell lines. Human cancer tissues known to be positive for *HER2* were tested for the expression of alternative splice variants of *HER2*.

RT-PCR results reveal new alternative splice variants in invasive breast cancer cells. These new alternative splice variants of *HER2* have also been detected in *HER2*-positive breast cancer samples. Furthermore, the splice factor *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* have shown regulatory effects on the expression of *HER2* in *HER2*-positive cell line MDA-MB-453.

This study identifies for the first time two novel splice variants with deletions in the transmembrane and kinase domains of the *HER2* gene, both with very distinct functional and structural differences. These findings conclude that alternative splicing plays a crucial role in the regulation of *HER2* expression, and possibly in the response of breast cancer patients to current targeted *HER2* therapies.

xxviii

#### CHAPTER 1. GENERAL INTRODUCTION

#### **1.1 Breast Cancer**

Breast cancer is the most common form of cancer in women worldwide, accounting for approximately 16% of all cancers in women (World Health Organisation, 2010). The WHO statistics for breast cancer estimate that nearly 1.7 million women were diagnosed of breast cancer in 2012 and over 400,000 women died from breast cancer worldwide. In the UK, over 49,936 new cases of breast cancer were diagnosed in 2010, with approximately 11,600 patients dying from the disease (Cancer Research UK, 2010). A study of breast cancer cases reported between 2002 and 2006 by Cancer Research, UK showed that based on ethnicity, the incidence of breast cancer in women in the UK is significantly higher in white women (71% incidence rate). This rate is much lower in Asian, Black, and mixed ethnicities (1.4%, 0.14%, and 0.2%, respectively). Studies also show that breast cancer in black and Asian women tend to be have more aggressive phenotypes, and are mostly triple negative (ER-, PR- and HER2-), while white women tend to present a less aggressive phenotype. These differences may be due to differences in genetic predispositions or variations in lifestyle patterns (Anon, 2013).

Breast cancer is caused by malignant tumours arising within the breast epithelia. The progression of breast cancer is believed to be a result of various aberrant transformations which abnormally lead to changes in the breast epithelial cells (Sasso *et al.*, 2011). A number of risk factors have been implicated in the incidence of breast

1

cancer. Research has shown that the risk of developing breast cancer increases significantly with increase in age (Key et al., 2011; Parkin, Boyd & Walker, 2011). Other risk factors include the use of oestrogen-progesterone contraceptives, excessive alcohol intake, tobacco smoking, diet, and mutations in the BRCA1/BRCA2 genes (Eliassen et al., 2006; Key et al., 2011). Breast cancer is not a single disease, but comprises various subtypes which vary in morphology, prognostic profiles, molecular entities and clinical outcomes (Jackson et al., 2013; Eroles et al., 2012). Prognostic factors for breast cancer include tumour grade, histological type, tumour size, lymph node involvement, distant metastasis, expression of steroid and growth factor receptors, oestrogen-inducible genes e.g Cathepsin-D, proto-oncogenes e.g. HER2, mutations in certain genes, e.g. TP53 (Sørlie et al., 2001). Atypical hyperplasia in breast epithelia may lead to ductal or lobular carcinomas in situ; where malignant cells remain in the ducts or lobules respectively, or may lead to invasive ductal or lobular carcinomas; where they become invasive and the carcinoma cells penetrate the basement membrane of the breast epithelia, and spread into surrounding stroma, skin or muscles, or metastasize to surrounding lymph nodes or distant tissues such as the brain, liver or bone (Jackson et al., 2013). In addition, the overexpression or amplification of certain biomarkers such as the Oestrogen Receptor (ER), the Progesterone Receptor (PR), and the Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2/neu/c-erbB-2) is also important in determining the prognosis and treatment of breast cancer (Ciocca et al., 2006).

#### **1.2** Classification of breast cancers

In the past few decades, research into the biology of breast cancer has revolutionised with the focus on new methods of understanding the expression, regulation and function of critical signalling pathways active in the incidence and progression of breast cancers (Eroles et al., 2012; Alvarez & Hortobagyi, 2013). The cellular and molecular heterogeneity of breast cancer contributes to it being a highly complex disease; certain prognostic values are limited in the information that can be obtained about the biology of the disease. Therefore the parameters used to provide prognostic profiles are not self-sufficient in adequately predicting patient outcome in many cases of breast cancer. The model of individualised treatment (based on individual molecular profiles of different patients) has gained much support from the cancer research community, and has led to the identification of different subgroups of patients and the development of various targeted therapies for breast cancer patients (Zagozdzon, Gallagher & Crown, 2011). Examples of these targeted therapies include the successful use of hormonal therapy for women with hormone-sensitive tumour subtypes, and the use of anti-human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 therapies for women with Human Epidermal Growth Factor 2 (HER2)- overexpressing tumours (Alvarez & Hortobagyi, 2013).

New classifications of breast cancers have been proposed with the aim of giving potentially more significant prognostic information and providing guides for treatment options for individual subtypes (Boyle, 2012). Studies have focused on classifying breast cancers based on a combination of changes in gene expression microarrays and

immunohistochemical subtypes, resulting in breast cancers being classified into six distinct phenotypical subtypes (Table 1.1)

#### 1.2.1 Luminal A

The luminal A subtype represents 50-60% of breast cancer subtypes, and based on its histological profile, tumours in this subtype are mostly lobular carcinomas in-situ and infiltrating lobular carcinomas (Eroles *et al.*, 2012). The luminal A subtype is immunohistochemically ER positive and/or PR positive, and *HER2* negative, and is known to have a good prognostic outcome (Eroles *et al.*, 2012; Boyle, 2012; Li *et al.*, 2013). Treatment is mainly based on hormonal receptor modulators such as Tamoxifen (Eroles *et al.*, 2012).

#### 1.2.2 Luminal B

The luminal B subtype represents 10-20% of all breast cancers and is a more aggressive phenotype compared to the luminal A subtype (Eroles *et al.*, 2012). Like the Luminal A subgroup, Luminal B breast cancers are ER positive and/or PR positive. However, this subtype is identified as a tumour subgroup with poorer patient outcome compared to the luminal A subtype, due to the increased expression of proliferation genes such as *MKI67, Cyclin B1* and oncogenes such as *HER2* (Boyle, 2012; Eroles *et al.*, 2012). The luminal B subtype is also characterised by higher histological grade. In combination with tamoxifen treatment, patients in this subgroup respond well to neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Eroles *et al.*, 2012).

#### 1.2.3 *HER2* overexpressing

This molecular subtype accounts for 10-25% of all breast cancers (Eroles *et al.*, 2012). *HER2* overexpressing cancers are characterised by the absence of ER and PR, and a high expression of the *HER2* gene and also exhibit an overexpression of genes associated with cellular proliferation (Boyle, 2012; Eroles *et al.*, 2012; Li *et al.*, 2013). *HER2* overexpressing tumours are histologically high grade tumours and have unfavourable prognostic implications. Treatment is mainly with anti-*HER2* therapies and neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Eroles *et al.*, 2012).

#### 1.2.4 Basal-like

This subtype represents 10-20% of all breast cancers. Basal-like tumours are mainly infiltrating ductal carcinomas with high lymph node involvement and metastatic potential (Eroles *et al.*, 2012). The most important characteristic of this tumour subtype is the absence of all three breast cancer biomarkers *ER*, *PR* and *HER2* (Boyle, 2012; Eroles *et al.*, 2012). This is why they are also often referred to as triple negative breast cancers (TNBC). Triple-negative breast basal-like cancers are also positive for EGFR and CK5/6 (Li *et al.*, 2013). Basal-like cancers are usually histologically high grade tumours, and treatment is usually with chemotherapy. Poly-ADP ribose- polymerase-1 (PARP-1) inhibitors are currently being developed to treat this subset of tumours, but are currently only being used in clinical trials (Eroles *et al.*, 2012).

5

#### 1.2.5 Normal breast-like

About 5-10% of breast carcinomas have been classified under the normal breast-like subtype (Eroles *et al.*, 2012). Normal breast-like breast cancers are negative for *ER*, *PR* and *HER2*, but unlike the triple-negative breast cancer subtype, are also negative for *CK5/6* and *EGFR* (Eroles *et al.*, 2012). Normal breast-like breast cancers are poorly characterised and are very rare (Sørlie *et al.*, 2001; Eroles *et al.*, 2012). Some studies hypothesize that this subtype is only a technical artefact derived from a high contamination of normal tissue during the preparation of tissue microarrays. It is difficult to establish a clinical significance of the normal breast-like breast cancer subtype due to their rarity (Eroles *et al.*, 2012).

#### 1.2.6 Claudin-low

About 12-14% of breast cancers are found under this subtype (Eroles *et al.*, 2012). The claudin-low breast cancer subtype is characterized by a low expression of certain genes which play a crucial role in the formation of tight junctions and in cell adhesion. Examples of these genes are claudin -3, -4, -7 cingulin, occludin and E-cadherin, hence the name claudin-low (Perou, 2011). This subtype is characterised by a high expression of immune cells. Claudin-low tumours also express a low level of genes which are related to cell proliferation, yet this subtype belongs to a poor prognosis group (Eroles *et al.*, 2012; Perou, 2011). Claudin-low breast tumours are relatively high grade infiltrating ductal carcinomas. Immunohistochemically, Claudin-low tumours are mostly triple negative, and treatment of patients is mainly with neoadjuvant chemotherapy. This new classification of breast cancers is still being researched and

has not yet been fully applied in clinical settings due to a lack of standardization for testing of individual tumours (Eroles *et al.*, 2012).

MOLECULAR SUBTYPE	FREQUENCY	ER/PR/ <i>HER2</i> STATUS	HISTOLOGIC GRADE	PROGNOSTIC VALUE
Basal-like	10-20%	ER-, PR-, <i>HER2</i> -	High	Poor
HER2 overexpressing	10-15%	ER-, PR-, <i>HER2</i> +	High	Poor
Normal breast- like	5-10%	ER-/+, <i>HER2</i> -	Low	Intermediate
Luminal A	50-60%	ER+, PR+, <i>HER2</i> -	Low	Excellent
Luminal B	10-20%	ER+/-, PR+/-, HER2-/+	Intermediate/ high	Intermediate/ Poor
Claudin-low	12-14%	ER-, PR-, <i>HER2</i> -	High	Poor

Table 1.1: Molecular subtypes of *HER2* and their characteristic features. Adapted and used with permission from (Eroles *et al.*, 2012).







Figure 1.1 (A) Kaplan–Meier curves of disease-free survival and overall survival based on UNC337 database. Dark blue, luminal A; light blue, luminal B; red, basal-like; pink, *HER2*-enriched; yellow, Claudin-low. (B) Distribution of ER and *HER2* in the different subtypes of breast cancer based on mRNA expression. [Source and permissions: (Eroles *et al.*, 2012)].
# 1.3 Discovery of the Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor2 (*HER2*)

The Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) is a proto-oncogene which belongs to the Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (HER/EGFR) family of transmembrane receptor tyrosine kinases (Dean-Colomb & Esteva, 2008; Normanno et al., 2006). The EGFR family of genes consists of HER1 (EGFR / c-erbB-1), HER2 (c-erbB-2 / verb-B2 / Neu), HER3 (c-erbB-3), and HER4 (c-erbB-4) (Shah & Chen, 2010; Wan, Sazani & Kole, 2009). Aberrant expression or functioning of the epidermal growth factor family has been implicated in the development and evolution of various cancers (Baselga & Swain, 2009). The HER2/Neu oncogene was first characterised in 1981 in experiments using genetically modified mice (Sińczak-Kuta et al., 2007; Siegel et al., 1999). Neu, the rat homologue of HER2, was identified as a transforming gene in transfection experiments using genomic DNA isolated from chemically induced neuroblastoma models (Marchini et al., 2011; Sińczak-Kuta et al., 2007; Siegel et al., 1999; Jackson et al., 2013). Single point mutations in the transmembrane region activate the neu oncogene by converting a valine residue to glutamic acid (Siegel et al., 1999). This mutation in the transmembrane domain results in increased ligandindependent dimerization causing tyrosine kinase activity. The human homolog of the Neu gene (HER2) was identified and isolated due to its homology with the Neu oncogene. ERBB2 is the official name for HER2 provided by the HUGO Gene Nomenclature Committee for the v-erb-b2 erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2 gene (Wolff et al., 2007).

The *HER2* gene is located on the long arm of human chromosome 17 (17 q21-q22) and encodes a 185kDa tyrosine kinase receptor (Freudenberg *et al.*, 2009; Nuti *et al.*, 2011) that is constitutively active as a dimer and shares extensive homology with the other three members of the EGFR family (Castiglioni *et al.*, 2006); all Human Epidermal Growth factor receptors have an extracellular ligand-binding domain, a short hydrophobic transmembrane region and a cytoplasmic domain with intrinsic tyrosine kinase catalytic activity (Sińczak-Kuta *et al.*, 2007; Hynes & Lane, 2005) (Figure 1.2).

About 10-25% of human breast carcinomas are positive for *HER2* (Koletsa *et al.*, 2008; Scaltriti *et al.*, 2007). The overexpression or gene amplification of *HER2* has been observed in many other human epithelial cancers including colorectal, ovarian, endometrial, prostate, pancreatic, oral, lung, and gastric carcinomas (Freudenberg *et al.*, 2009; Gebhardt, Zänker & Brandt, 1998; Nuti *et al.*, 2011; Blok *et al.*, 2013; Fuse, 2011), and is known to be associated with an unfavourable prognosis. *HER2* positivity in breast cancers is associated with a more aggressive tumour phenotype with increased cell proliferation and metastatic potential (Dean-Colomb & Esteva, 2008), earlier recurrence, significantly lower disease-free and overall survival rates, shorter time to relapse, and overall poor prognosis (Doherty *et al.*, 1999a; Freudenberg *et al.*, 2009; Shah & Chen, 2010).

#### **1.4** *HER2* signalling pathways

The Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor (HER/EGFR) tyrosine kinase family of transmembrane proteins are activated following binding with peptide growth factors

of the EGF-family of proteins (Normanno et al., 2006). The EGFRs play a crucial role in normal physiology and evidence also suggests that the EGFR family of receptors is involved in the pathogenesis and progression of different carcinoma types (Normanno et al., 2006; Hynes & MacDonald, 2009). Epidermal growth factor receptors also play a role in embryogenesis, and are important factors in tissue remodelling and renewal throughout adult life. Epidermal Growth Factor Receptors 1 and 2 (EGFRs 1 & 2) in particular are mutated in many epithelial cancers, and clinical studies suggest that they play roles in the development and progression of various cancer types (Hynes & MacDonald, 2009). Thirteen cognate ligands have been characterized that bind to the HER receptors, with the exception of HER2 which has no known ligand. The cellular mechanism of HER2 activation is therefore not completely understood (Shah & Chen, 2010). The HER proteins remain in an inactive form, assuming a tethered conformation until they are activated on ligand binding, but HER2 remains constitutively active and can therefore induce transformation in a ligand-independent way (Alvarez & Hortobagyi, 2013; Nuti et al., 2011). Upon ligand binding to the extracellular domain, homo- or heterodimerization of the HER2 receptor with itself or other members of the EGFR family leads to phosphorylation of residues from the intracellular domain of the HER2 receptor and consequent activation of the HER2 protein resulting in the recruitment of signalling molecules from the cytoplasm and the activation of several signalling pathways (Alvarez & Hortobagyi, 2013). Downstream of HER2, phosphorylation results in the recruitment of signalling molecules from the cytoplasm and the induction of several potent intracellular signalling pathways which result in cell differentiation, cell migration, signal transduction, cell motility, cell adhesion, increased cell proliferation, protease expression and activation, and a cascade of other

events which lead to functional changes in both embryonic and adult tissues (Zagozdzon, Gallagher & Crown, 2011; Gebhardt, Zänker & Brandt, 1998; Nuti *et al.*, 2011; Shah & Chen, 2010). The downstream signalling pathway which is activated following *HER2* dimerization is significantly influenced by the pattern of dimerization (Tai, Mahato & Cheng, 2010). Different signalling cascades can potentially be initiated depending on the dimeric combination of *HER2* with itself or other members of the HER family.



Figure 1.2 Schematic representation of *HER2*. *HER2* (purple) can form heterodimers as pictured with EGFR (orange), HER3 (red), as well as HER4 (not pictured). EGFR (orange) assumes a tethered conformation in the absence of a ligand. *HER2* remains active and is naturally ready for ligand dimerization.

Two of the most studied downstream signalling pathways in EGFR signalling are the phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K/AKT) and the mitogen activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades.

#### 1.4.1. Phosphoinositide-3-kinase (PI3K/AKT) cascade

The PI3K/AKT pathway is arguably the most significant pathway activated downstream of HER2 phosphorylation in cancer. The PI3K/AKT lipid kinase activity is stimulated when *HER2* signals in conjunction with HER3. Apart from the *HER2* + HER3 heterodimer, it is also possible to induce the PI3K/AKT cascade by tyrosinephosphorylated HER3 homodimerization. This is because HER3 possesses numerous binding domains which can interact with the regulatory subunit p85 of PI3K (Tai, Mahato & Cheng, 2010; Hynes & MacDonald, 2009). Homodimers containing HER3 also have the potential to activate the AKT kinase via the PI3K lipid kinase. At the cell membrane, Phosphatidylinositol (3,4,5)-trisphosphate (PIP<sub>3</sub>)-bound AKT becomes phosphorylated, resulting in the activation of the mechanistic target of rapamycin (mTOR) (Emde, Köstler & Yarden, 2012; Pohlmann, Mayer & Mernaugh, 2009). The activation of mTOR induces several intracellular functions, interactions with transcription factors, activation of metabolic pathways, apoptosis and angiogenesis, which result in cell proliferation, invasion and survival (Pohlmann, Mayer & Mernaugh, 2009). Following the activation of AKT, PIP<sub>3</sub> is dephosphorylated by the tumour suppressor gene phosphatase and tensin homolog (PTEN) to PIP<sub>2</sub>, which makes PTEN a negative regulator of the PI3K/AKT signalling cascade (Figure 1.3). PTEN is a protein encoded by the PTEN gene, and functions as a tumour suppressor gene (Nahta & O'Regan, 2010). PTEN is important in the PI3K/AKT pathway because it inhibits the downstream signalling of P13K (Saal et al., 2008). In normal signalling of the EGFR receptors, the PI3K/AKT pathway induces cell survival and inhibits apoptosis (Jackson et al., 2013).



Figure 1.3 Schematic representation of the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway. Following ligand binding, *HER2* dimerizes with activated HER3 resulting in PI3K/AKT/mTOR signalling.

#### 1.4.2. Mitogen Activated Protein Kinase (MAPK) cascade

This is also known as the RAS/RAF/MEK/MAPK pathway. Unlike the PI3K/AKT pathway, the MAPK pathway can be activated by all dimerizations involving *HER2* (HER1/*HER2*, *HER2/HER2*, *HER2/HER3*, and *HER2/HER4*) (Tai, Mahato & Cheng, 2010). In this cascade, the adaptor protein known as Growth Factor Receptor Bound Protein 2 (GRB2) which recognises tyrosine-phosphorylated sites on the activated receptor, binds to the guanine nucleotide exchange factor Son of Sevenless (SOS). The GRB2/SOS complex binding to the receptor activates SOS, resulting in a loss of Guanosine Diphosphate (GPD) from inactive RAS. Free RAS is then activated by binding to Guanosine 5'-Triphosphate (GTP). RAS/GTP complex then binds to activate Raf-1

(MAP3K). Raf-1 can then activate MEK1 (MAP2K1) and MEK2 (MAP2K2) which are essential for downstream signalling of RAS and Raf-1. The activation of MEK results in the phosphorylation of ERK (Figure 1.4). ERK activation is crucial to certain physiological functions in the cell, including cell cycle control, differentiation, migration, apoptosis and angiogenesis (Pohlmann, Mayer & Mernaugh, 2009). The pathway also serves in stimulating cell proliferation (Jackson *et al.*, 2013).



Figure 1.4 Schematic representation of the RAS/RAF/MEK/MAPK cascade. The MAPK pathway can be activated by dimerization of *HER2* with HER1, HER3 or HER4.

#### **1.5** *HER2* as a prognostic factor in breast cancer

Gene amplification of HER2 is the main mechanism by which abnormally high levels of the 185kDa glycoprotein are found in HER2 overexpressed tumours (Wolff et al., 2007). It is now recommended that the HER2 status is determined for all invasive breast cancer patients (Dean-Colomb & Esteva, 2008). Determination of HER2 status is important for a number of reasons. *HER2* positivity is associated with poor prognosis in patients with early breast cancer who do not receive any adjuvant systemic therapy. This makes HER2 status a crucial factor in determining the type of treatment to administer to patients, particularly in cases where adjuvant therapy might be beneficial (Wolff et al., 2007). HER2 positivity is known to be associated with relative resistance to endocrine therapy, e.g. tamoxifen. HER2 status has also been recently associated with resistance or sensitivity to various chemotherapeutic agents such as nonathracycline and nontaxane. Conversely, studies have shown that HER2 positivity predicts a favourable response to *anthracycline*-containing chemotherapy regimens. HER2 status may also play a role in predicting response to paclitaxel (Wolff et al., 2007). More importantly, *HER2* positivity is known to predict a favourable response to Trastuzumab (Herceptin<sup>®</sup>, Genentech, Inc., South San Francisco, CA, USA; Hoffmann-La Roche Ltd., Basel, Switzerland), a humanised monoclonal antibody that targets the extracellular domain of HER2 and inhibits tumour growth in vitro and in vivo (Saini et al., 2011).

#### **1.6** Testing for *HER2* status

Studies have shown a good correlation in the protein overexpression, gene amplification and mRNA levels of *HER2*. This allows for reliable testing for *HER2* status in cancer cells by immunistochemistry and FISH. These two methods are currently recommended for testing *HER2* status in breast cancer patients (Vogel, 2010; Wolff *et al.*, 2007).

#### **1.6.1. Testing for** *HER2* **status at the protein level**

#### **1.6.1.1.** Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry is currently the most widely used primary technique in the determination of *HER2* status (Moelans *et al.*, 2011). The use of immunohistochemical methods in testing for *HER2* in breast cancer allows tumours to be classified based on semi-quantitative methods of evaluation of *HER2* protein expression, such as the mouse monoclonal antibody clone CB11 (Novocastra Laboratories, Newcastle upon Tyne, England), the Rabbit monoclonal antibody clone SP3 (LabVision corporation, Runcorn, England), the Rabbit monoclonal antibody clone 4D5 (Ventana Medical Systems, Tuscon, Arizona), and the HercepTest (Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) which is based on the DAKO A0485 rabbit polyclonal antibody (Rhodes *et al.*, 2010; Vogel, 2010; Wolff *et al.*, 2007; van der Vegt *et al.*, 2009). Tumours are graded 0 to 3+ using the HercepTest score, an algorithm by which tumours are scored based on staining intensity and percentage of tumour cells stained. The HercepTest guidelines are used

to grade tumours as 0 (negative), 1+ (weak and incomplete membrane staining), 2+ (complete non-uniform membrane staining or with a weak intensity in more than 10% of the tumour cells) or 3+ (complete strong-positive membrane staining in more than 30% of tumour cells) (Moelans et al., 2011). Patients with IHC scores of 0 and 1+ are considered negative for *HER2* and therefore not eligible for *Trastuzumab* therapy. Tumours with IHC scores of 2+ are considered to be equivocal cases, as several studies have shown that such tumours can be found to have *HER2* gene amplification. Patients with an IHC score of 3+ are considered to be a definite HER2 positivity, and patients in this group are considered eligible for *Trastuzumab* treatment (Moelans et al., 2011). The use of immunohistochemistry in testing for *HER2* status in patients is however laden with a number of drawbacks. Quality control and standardization of tests is critical in eliminating inter-observer variability. In contrast to FISH, IHC is prone to staining artefacts which may be caused by inappropriate tissue handling. Subjective interpretation of the intensity of membrane staining may also pose a major obstacle in the interpretation of IHC results (Moelans et al., 2011; Vogel, 2010). In cases with equivocal IHC 2+ score, determination of treatment approach is usually after further analysis of the tumour by other methods of testing such as Fluorescence in situ Hybridization (FISH) and chromogenic in situ hybridization (CISH).

#### **1.6.1.2.** The Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbant Assay (ELISA)

The Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbant Assay (ELISA) is used to measure protein levels in serum. The use of ELISA in testing for *HER2* status measures the amount of *HER2* in serum extracellular domain. Matrix metalloproteinases cleaved to the *HER2* receptor

protein can be detected in the extracellular domain that is released into the circulation. Elevated serum ECD levels ( $\geq 15$ ng/ml) is associated with poor prognosis, progressive metastasis, and a poor response to treatment. However, not all patients with *HER2* positive breast cancers appear to have elevated serum ECD levels, therefore the use of this method of testing in determination of treatment is not recommended in clinical settings (Moelans *et al.*, 2011).

#### **1.6.2. Testing for** *HER2* **status at the DNA level**

#### **1.6.2.1.** Fluorescence *In Situ* Hybridization (FISH)

Fluorescence *in situ* hybridization is a cytogenetic technique in which fluorescently labelled DNA probes are used to detect and visualise a specific DNA sequence with which they share a high degree of complementarity. Dual-colour FISH (Vysis Pathvysion, DAKO PharmDx) is the FDA-approved FISH testing kit, which hybridizes complementary *HER2* DNA on slides, and the resulting probes are visualised using a fluorescence microscope. In the current guidelines for *HER2* testing by FISH, a *HER2* gene signals to chromosome 17 signals ratio (FISH ratio) of  $\leq 2:2$  is considered a normal *HER2* expression (Wolff *et al.*, 2007). A FISH ratio of  $\geq 2.2$  counted in a minimum of 20 tumour cells, and in at least 2 invasive tumour areas, is considered positive for gene amplification. In equivocal cases of FISH assay, it is recommended that additional cells are counted or the test is repeated. In some cases, an IHC test may be required to confirm true equivocality. Due to the complexity of the FISH technique, and the fact that the scoring process is very time consuming, it is not a very practical primary

screening tool. In many clinical settings, the FISH technique is only used to determine treatment decisions in patients with equivocal IHC 2+ score (Moelans *et al.*, 2011).

#### **1.6.2.2.** Chromogenic *In Situ* Hybridization (CISH)

The Chromogenic in situ hybridization technique is a method of *HER2* testing developed by Tanner et al. as an alternative to FISH. CISH was approved by the FDA in 2008. CISH detects the *HER2* gene copies using a conventional immunoperoxidase reaction. This method of visualization of *HER2* allows scoring with a conventional light microscope. Using this method, a scoring system has been established where an average copy number of >10 or with big clusters in more than 50% of the tumour nuclei, is considered *HER2* amplification (Tanner *et al.*, 2000). A minimum of 30 tumour cells are counted. The CISH method has also been shown to correlate well with FISH and IHC methods (Moelans *et al.*, 2011). Most available CISH assays only score the *HER2* copy number, but recently, a new dual-colour CISH (Dako duo CISH kit) assay has been developed which allows for the detection of a *HER2* probe (red) and a chromosome 17 probe (blue), therefore allowing for the assessment of the *HER2* gene ratio relative to chromosome 17 signals (Moelans *et al.*, 2011).

#### **1.6.2.3.** Silver *In Situ* Hybridization (SISH)

The SISH technique is used for determination of *HER2* gene expression (Jacquemier *et al.*, 2013). SISH combines the accuracy of the FISH technique and the morphological control of the IHC technique, with the use of opaque silver, instead of fluorescent spot-

like signals. The resulting signal is a permanent result that can be visualised by an ordinary light microscope. The SISH technique is relatively new, and though studies have shown a high concordance between FISH and CISH techniques, further independent validation is recommended before the SISH test can be used in routine clinical settings (Gómez-Martin *et al.*, 2012; Jacquemier *et al.*, 2013; Shousha *et al.*, 2009).

#### 1.6.3. Testing for *HER2* status at the RNA level

### 1.6.3.1. Quantitative Real-Time Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (qRT-PCR)

Due to the relative instability of RNA compared to DNA, and the severe degradation caused by cross-linking during fixation, RNA samples isolated from Formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) samples, the qRT-PCR method is not often used in *HER2* testing in clinical settings. This method, however, has been shown to correlate well with IHC and FISH techniques, even in RNA templates isolated from FFPE material. A very important use of qRT-PCR in cancer testing is the Oncotype DX assay (Genomic health, USA), a method by which the likelihood of disease recurrence in women with breast cancer is predicted. A recurrence score is obtained after analysing tumour cells using a panel of 21 genes, which include *HER2*, ER and PR (Moelans *et al.*, 2011).

The optimal method of testing for *HER2* in breast cancer remains controversial despite the development of various reliable tests. Most organisations rely on *HER2* 3+ positivity by immunohistochemistry as the preferred method for selecting patients for *Trastuzumab* therapy. (Vogel, 2010). The IHC technique has been shown to correlate well with the FISH technique, with only equivocal cases needing confirmatory tests, usually carried out by FISH (Meijer *et al.*, 2011). Therefore screening of newly diagnosed breast cancers is mostly performed by immunohistochemistry (Vogel, 2010). Accurate testing for *HER2* is important as false positive tests could lead to the administration of an expensive (£25,000 - £35,000 per patient per year) and ineffective treatment with very serious side effects; due to the cardiotoxicity of the drug, some patients who undergo *Trastuzumab* treatment are likely to develop cardiac dysfunction (Minami, Matsumoto & Horiuchi, 2010; Moelans *et al.*, 2011). A false negative test on the other hand, would deprive the patient of an important therapeutic option (Moelans *et al.*, 2011).

#### **1.7** Therapies in *HER2* positive cancer

The current therapy for breast cancer patients with early and metastatic disease, involves the use of multiple agents. Endocrine therapies are administered to patients with hormone receptor-positive disease, anti-*HER2* therapies for *HER2*-overespressing tumours, and the poly-ADP-ribose polymerase (PARP) inhibitors are currently being developed in clinical trials, to treat patients with breast cancer gene 1 or 2 (BRCA1/2)-mutated tumours (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012; Eroles *et al.*, 2012). Accurate assessment of the *HER2* status of all invasive ductal breast carcinomas (IDC) is essential in determining the appropriate treatment regimen for individual cases. The inhibition of growth factor receptors can be achieved either by the use of monoclonal

antibodies which bind to the extracellular epitopes found in tumour cells, or the use of small tyrosine kinase inhibitors (TKIs) directed to extracellular epitopes and intracellular signalling pathways (Alvarez & Hortobagyi, 2013). These two complementary approaches have become part of the standard of care for patients with *HER2* positive breast cancer. A number of chemotherapeutic targets have been approved by the US Food and Drug agency (FDA) for use in the treatment of *HER2*-positive breast cancer.

### 1.7.1. *Trastuzumab* treatment for patients with *HER2* positive invasive breast cancer

Perhaps the most important reason for determining *HER2* status in patients is for the appropriate administration of the humanized anti-*HER2* monoclonal antibody therapy *Trastuzumab* to patients with invasive breast cancer that overexpress *HER2* (Bartlett *et al.*, 2001; Vogel, 2010). *Trastuzumab* was approved for use in September 1998, in the treatment of metastatic *HER2*-positive breast cancer. In January 2008, *Trastuzumab* was approved for use as first-line treatment for patients with early stage *HER2*-positive breast cancer (Piccart-Gebhart *et al.*, 2005; Romond *et al.*, 2005). More recently, *Trastuzumab* is also used in in the treatment of metastatic, unresectable *HER2*-positive gastric and gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (Bang *et al.*, 2010). *Trastuzumab* is also administered in both metastatic and adjuvant settings and is currently administered with chemotherapies involving paclitaxel and docetaxel which results in increased time to disease progression, and overall survival compared with Herceptin therapy alone (Kang *et al.*, 2008).

The *Trastuzumab* antibody consists of two antigen-specific sites that bind to the extracellular domain of *HER2*, resulting in the inhibition of *HER2* and apoptosis of tumour cells overexpressing *HER2* (Vogel, 2010; Geyer *et al.*, 2006), and the inhibition of the intracellular pathways involved in *HER2* activation (Stern, 2012) (Figure 1.5). The exact mechanism by which *Trastuzumab* exerts its antitumor activity has still not been fully elucidated. Several possible mechanisms have been proposed; these include the activation of antibody-dependent cellular cytotoxicity, inhibition of angiogenesis, blockage of proteolytic cleavage of the *HER2* extracellular domain and consequent downregulation of *HER2* receptors, disruption of signal transduction in the intracellular domain of *HER2*, and the inhibition of repair of DNA damage caused by cancer treatment (Spector & Blackwell, 2009; Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012).

The Initial approval of *Trastuzumab* in the treatment of *HER2* overexpressing breast cancers, was based on studies in patients with metastatic breast cancer (Dean-Colomb & Esteva, 2008). A phase III clinical trial by Slamon *et al.* (2001) compared the use of *Trastuzumab* plus various chemotherapeutic agents as a first-line treatment, with the use of chemotherapy alone in metastatic breast cancer. This study found that there was a significant improvement in overall survival (25.1 vs. 20.3 months) and overall response rate (50 vs. 32%), and a significantly longer median progression-free survival (6.9 vs. 3.0 months). These findings resulted in a 62% reduction in the risk of disease progression (Slamon *et al.*, 2001). Based on the results of the study, *Trastuzumab* was approved with paclitaxel as a first-line treatment of *HER2*-overexpressing metastatic breast cancer (Alvarez & Hortobagyi, 2013). Several independent randomized studies

have also shown that the addition of *Trastuzumab* to chemotherapy reduced the rate of recurrence by 50% in women with *HER2*-positive early breast cancer. In 2005, four clinical trials in patients with *HER2* overexpressing early breast cancer compared the effects administration of *Trastuzumab* as an adjuvant versus observation. The results showed that the recurrence rate of patients was reduced by a third, and the mortality rate was reduced by half (Wolff *et al.*, 2007). On the basis of these results, the UK National Institute for Health and Care Excellence (NICE) and the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) and the European Medicines Agency (EMA) approved the adjuvant use of *Trastuzumab* with chemotherapy in patients with *HER2* overexpressing early breast cancer (Pivot *et al.*, 2013; Wolff *et al.*, 2007; Emde, Köstler & Yarden, 2012; Goddard *et al.*, 2012).

#### 1.7.2. Lapatinib

In March 2007, *Lapatinib* (Tykerb, GlaxoSmithKline, Philadelphia, PA, USA) was approved for use in the metastatic *HER2*-positive breast cancers. *Lapatinib* is a small molecule reversible dual EGFR/HER1 and *HER2* tyrosine kinase inhibitor administered in combination with capecitabine for the treatment of advanced metastatic *HER2*positive breast cancers where the patients had been given prior therapy including anthracycine, a taxane, and *Trastuzumab*, and where these therapies have failed (Geyer *et al.*, 2006; Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012; Wolff *et al.*, 2007). In January 2010, *Lapatinib*, in combination with Letrozole (Femara®), was also approved for the treatment of *HER2*-positive breast cancer patients whose tumours were also hormone receptor-positive, and for whom hormonal therapy is indicated (Alvarez & Hortobagyi, 2013). *Lapatinib* binds to the intracellular tyrosine kinase domains of HER1

and *HER2*, and selectively inhibits HER1 or *HER2* overexpressing tumour cells, resulting in the inhibition of phosphorylation, and the inhibition of downstream pathways which lead to cell proliferation and cell survival (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012) (figure 1.5).

#### 1.7.3. Pertuzumab

In June 2012, pertuzumab was approved for use in combination with *Trastuzumab* and docetaxel, in the treatment of patients with *HER2* positive breast cancer who had not received prior *HER2* therapy or chemotherapy for metastatic disease (Baselga *et al.*, 2012).

Pertuzumab is an anti *HER2* antibody that binds to subdomain II of the *HER2* extracellular domain (Franklin *et al.*, 2004). Pertuzumab functions in the prevention of *HER2* dimerization with ligand-activated *HER2* receptors, mostly HER3 (Baselga & Swain, 2009; Agus *et al.*, 2002). *Trastuzumab* is directed towards ligand-independent *HER2* signalling (*HER2/HER2* interactions), while Pertuzumab interferes with activation of *HER2* via ligand-dependent HER3-mediated signalling (Scheuer *et al.*, 2009) (figure 1.5). A combinatorial therapy involving *Trastuzumab* and pertuzumab in *HER2* overexpressed breast cancer has been associated with significant antitumor activity (Scheuer *et al.*, 2009; Nahta, Hung & Esteva, 2004).

Other chemotherapeutic agents including *Trastuzumab* Emtansine (T-DM1), and Neratinib, have shown significant activity in the inhibition of cell membrane receptors

in clinical trials, but have not yet been approved for clinical practice (Alvarez & Hortobagyi, 2013).



Figure 1.5 Schematic representation of the mechanisms of action of current therapies for *HER2* overexpressing breast cancer. Downstream signalling is inhibited by monoclonal antibodies *Trastuzumab* and pertuzumab, which inhibit dimerization by binding to subdomains IV and II of HER receptors respectively. *Lapatinib*, a small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor, inhibits phosphorylation by binding to the intracellular kinase domain of *HER2* receptors.

## **1.8** Challenges and unmet needs in the treatment of *HER2* positive breast cancer

Although the administration of *Trastuzumab*-based treatment has become the standard of care for patients with *HER2* positive metastatic breast cancer, for reasons that are unclear, many patients will either not respond to *Trastuzumab* treatment, or eventually progress despite treatment (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012; Koletsa *et al.*, 2008). It is estimated that up to 40% of patients with metastatic breast cancer do not respond to *Trastuzumab* or combinatorial therapies which include *Trastuzumab* (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012). Patients who achieve an initial response to Herceptin plus chemotherapy generally acquire resistance within 1 year (Freudenberg *et al.*, 2009; Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012; Kang *et al.*, 2008). As a result, significant efforts have been applied to elucidating the mechanisms underlying Herceptin resistance, and finding other therapies besides Herceptin for the treatment of *HER2* positive breast cancer.

Development of resistance to *HER2* therapies poses a serious concern, and ultimately results in shorter time to tumour progression, and limited overall survival. There are several mechanisms by which *HER2* overexpressing tumour cells may develop resistance to *HER2* therapies. Cross-talk between intracellular signalling pathways and redundancy in growth factor receptors have been implicated in the development of resistance in most patients with *HER2*-positive breast cancer. For example, *Trastuzumab* may be ineffective in inhibiting PI3K due to lateral activation of the pathway by other members of the HER family (i.e. HER1 and HER3), thereby leading to

continuing cell proliferation (Kerbel, 2009). Alterations in receptor-antibody interactions may lead to resistance, either through mutations in HER2 that disrupt binding, the masking of antigens on the tumour cell surface through glycoproteins such as MUC-4, or the overexpression of truncated isoforms of *HER2*, e.g. p95 that lacks the extracellular domain, and therefore does not have a Trastuzumab binding site (Sperinde et al., 2010). In addition, mutations in PTEN which result in loss of function, or activating mutations in PI3K, may lead to enhanced phosphorylation and signalling of AKT which is downstream of HER2, therefore bypassing any HER2-directed therapy, and resulting in cellular proliferation of *HER2* (Coughlin et al., 2010). Mutations or conditions that lead to the loss of tumour suppressor gene Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 1B (p27) may contribute to Trastuzumab resistance. The phosphorylation of the p27 gene prevents the degradation of Trastuzumab and leads to cell cycle arrest (Bedard, de Azambuja & Cardoso, 2009). The p27 gene is therefore crucial in the efficiency of *Trastuzumab* via the inhibition of *HER2*. In addition, the amplification or overexpression of cyclin E will result in increased proliferation and increased tumour growth, which may subsequently result in resistance and decreased sensitivity to Trastuzumab (Scaltriti et al., 2011).

Due to shortcomings with current targeted therapies such as *Trastuzumab* and *Lapatinib*, a variety of novel and improved targets are being investigated for the treatment of *HER2* positive breast cancer, many of which have the potential to address the unmet needs of current treatment regimens (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012). Some characteristics of ideal novel targets would include significant antitumor activity, good tolerability, a limited propensity for the development of drug resistance,

good selectivity for the chosen therapeutic targets, potent inhibition of commonly expressed molecular targets, and such treatments would display irreversible binding to its molecular targets, thereby producing longer-lasting effects (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012). Recently, several agents have been developed which have the potential to inhibit HER2 in breast tumours, either as monotherapy or in combination with other therapies. These novel therapies include the tyrosine kinase inhibitors neratinib (HKI-272) and afatinib (bibw-2992), and the anti-HER2 monoclonal antibodies pertuzumab and Trastuzumab-MCC-DM1 (T-DM1)(Jones & Buzdar, 2009). The use of agents that target molecular pathways such as the Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor (VEGF) receptor, mammalian target of rapamycin (mTOR), PI3 kinase, insulin growth factor receptors (IGFRs), HSP-90 and other important kinases (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012) may also be useful as alternative targets for HER2 therapy. However, innovative clinical studies using well characterised clinical subjects will be required in order to establish the true clinical value of these potential novel anti-HER2 targets (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012).

#### **1.9** Alternative Splicing

RNA splicing is a process in the nucleus by which introns are removed from pre-mRNA and exons are ligated together, converting pre-mRNA to mature mRNA (Watson et al., 2008). Some pre-mRNAs in individual genes may be differentially spliced, generating multiple alternative mature mRNA products from a common mRNA precursor, known as isoforms. Alternative splicing is the process by which more than one mRNA is produced by a single pre-mRNA, leading to the production of several structurally

distinct protein isoforms, which can have diverse functions (Garcia-Blanco, 2005). Alternative splicing is a key post-transcriptional mechanism by which the expression of multiple protein products from a single gene can be controlled (Li *et al.*, 2006) and is considered as one of the key generators of proteomic diversity (Ladomery, Harper & Bates, 2007); alternative splicing increases the protein diversity without increasing genome size, and therefore forms one of the most significant components of the complexity of the human genome (Modrek & Lee, 2002). It is estimated that alternative splicing can occur in up to 75% of all human genes (Watson et al., 2008). The number of different variants a given gene can encode by alternative splicing varies from two to thousands. For example, the rat *Slo* gene encodes a potassium channel which is expressed in neurons, and has the capacity to encode up to 500 alternative transcripts of the gene, and one *Drosphilia melanogaster* gene has the potential to encode up to 38,000 products as a result of alternative splicing (Watson, et al., 2008).

In the majority of human genes, alternative splicing may give rise to transcript variants and/or protein isoforms that can vary distinctly in structure and functional properties (Figure 1.6). For example, an alternative splice variant of *VEGF* gives rise to multiple protein isoforms, which display either pro-angiogenic or anti-angiogenic activities (Nowak *et al.*, 2008; Ladomery, Harper & Bates, 2007).

Alternative splicing is the most plausible solution for the miscorrelation between the number of genes transcribed in eukaryotic cells and the number of proteins translated in the same signalling pathway (Kim, Goren & Ast, 2008; Pal, Gupta & Davuluri, 2012).



Figure 1.6 Schematic representation of alternative splicing. Due to alternative splicing, a single gene can produce more than one transcript, which can be translated into different protein isoforms.

Alternative splicing plays various important roles in the cell, chief of which is to increase the diversity of the proteome and transcriptome by allowing the generation and expression of multiple mRNA products from a single gene. Due to alternative splicing, the coding capacity of the human genome is greatly increased (Tazi, Bakkour & Stamm, 2009), leading to an increased complexity of the transcriptome and proteome (Stamm *et al.*, 2005). This increased complexity of the human genome has clear repercussions for the regulation of gene expression in many organisms and for the balance between human health and disease (Garcia-Blanco, 2005; Stamm *et al.*, 2005). Today, alternative splicing is increasingly linked with the aetiology of cancer (Ladomery, Harper & Bates, 2007).

#### **Regulation of alternative splicing**

A number of factors are known to play a crucial role in alternative splicing. Of these known factors, the most studied are RNA-binding proteins and transcription factors. RNA-binding proteins, also known as regulatory proteins, play a wide role in mRNA biogenesis (David & Manley, 2008). When bound to exons, Serine and Arginine-rich (SR) proteins tend to promote exon inclusion, while heterogeneous nuclear ribonuclear proteins (hnRNPs) modulate exon skipping (David & Manley, 2008). Pre-mRNA splicing is catalysed by the spliceosome, a ribonuclearprotein complex composed of five small nuclear ribonuclearprotein particles (hnRNPs), and a number of accessory polypeptides, in a sequential and highly coordinated pathway (Smith & Valcárcel, 2000).

The spliceosome begins its function by recognising the consensus elements on both ends of the intron; the U1 Small nuclear ribonucleoprotein (snRNP) recognises the 5' splice site, while the 65 kDa subunit of the U2 snRNP (U2AF65) binds to the polypyrimidine tract, and the 35kDa subunit of the U2 (U2AF35) binds to the 3' splice site. Bridging interactions between U1 snRNP bound to the 5' splice site and U2AF bound to the 3' splice-site region are known to be modulated by SR proteins (Smith & Valcárcel, 2000). The SR proteins are involved in both constitutive and regulated splicing (Ladomery, Harper & Bates, 2007). SR proteins contain N-terminal RNA recognition motifs, which mediate binding to the pre-mRNA. Their C-terminal recognise exonic splice enhancers (ESEs) and through protein-protein interactions, bind to the snRNP U2AF35 and U1 snRNP, therefore promoting U1 and U2AF binding

to splice sites (Smith & Valcárcel, 2000; Ladomery, Harper & Bates, 2007). Smaller regulatory complexes also play a role in achieving cell-type-specific splicing; exonic splice enhancers (ESEs) or silencers (ESSs) promote or inhibit exon inclusion of proximal exons, while intronic splice enhancers (ISEs) or silencers (ISSs) enhance or inhibit the use of exon from an intronic location, respectively (Wang & Burge, 2008; Smith & Valcárcel, 2000). Apart from the functional role of regulatory proteins, changes in their expression levels or post-transcriptional changes may alter their activities, providing a means for the regulation of alternative splicing (David & Manley, 2008). For example, hnRNPA1 which functions in the inclusion of many alternative exons, may become phosphorylated upon osmotic shock, resulting in its cytoplasmic accumulation, which may in turn lead to changes in alternative splicing (Allemand *et al.*, 2005).

Transcription factors have also been studied, and may have a potential influence on alternative splicing, either by influencing the concentration of direct regulators of alternative splicing (e.g. SR proteins and snRNPs), or by altering the rate of RNA polymerase II elongation, leading to indirect effects on alternative splicing. It is therefore important to identify the tissue-specific changes in transcription factor expression during splicing, and the instances in which these changes alter patterns of alternative splicing.

#### 1.9.1. The role of alternative splicing in the development of cancer

The abnormal generation of mRNA splice variants has been implicated in the oncogenic tendencies of several important biomarkers to include vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and Wilms Tumour 1 (WT1); with evidence accumulating to support the theory that some splice variants are more oncogenic than others. This evidence is used to explain why in some studies WT1 appears to act as a tumour suppressor, whilst in others it appears to be operating as an oncogene. Many protein isoforms produced via alternative splicing are tightly regulated during normal development, but may be misregulated in cancer cells. Aberrant expression of alternative splice variants in many genes has been linked with disease progression and prognosis, and cancer cells may manipulate the mechanisms that regulate drug resistance and patient survival (Pal, Gupta & Davuluri, 2012). Recent studies have also demonstrated that the modulation of alternative transcript expression in various genes may impede tumour growth and act as a model for targeting disease at the isoform level (Pal, Gupta & Davuluri, 2012). There are various modes of alternative splicing. The most common types include competing 5' splice sites, competing 3' splice sites, cassette exons, mutually exclusive exons, and retained introns (Ladomery, Harper & Bates, 2007; Scaltriti *et al.*, 2007) (Figure 1.7).



Figure 1.7 Modes of alternative splicing showing exon skipping, alternative 5' and 3' splice sites, intron retention and mutually exclusive exons (adapted from Ladomery, Harper & Bates, 2007 and used with permission of the author).

#### 1.10 Alternative splicing of *HER2* and *HER2* Splice Isoforms

#### 1.10.1. Herstatin

In 1999, *Doherty et al.* described a truncated alternative *HER2* transcript which is a secreted protein of ~68kDa with growth inhibitory properties. Herstatin is a naturally occurring *HER2* protein and is generated from alternative *HER2* mRNA transcripts that retain the intron 8 (Doherty *et al.*, 1999b). Herstatin is a soluble protein, which can be secreted from cells it has been produced by, and lacks a transmembrane domain (Koletsa *et al.*, 2008). Herstatin, also described as p68 *HER2*, and dimercept, delineates 340 amino acid residues identical to subdomain I and II of the extracellular domain of p185 *HER2*. The extracellular domain precedes a unique C-terminal sequence of 79 amino acids encoded by intron 8, which functions as a receptor binding domain (Figure 1.8).

Herstatin is expressed in foetal kidney and liver, and in normal tissues, and is considered as a growth regulatory factor during normal development (Kolesta *et al.*, 2008). Herstatin mRNA and protein have been shown to be expressed in the noncancerous breast tissue, in areas adjacent to breast carcinomas (Kolesta *et al.*, 2008). Recent evidence suggests that Herstatin has a potential significance in the regulation of the wild type (p185) *HER2* in normal and malignant development, due to its specific inhibitory effect (Doherty *et al.*, 1999). When bound to p185*HER2*, Herstatin disrupts the dimerization of *HER2* with itself and other *HER2* full length receptor homologues, and results in a noticeably reduced tyrosine phosphorylation of *HER2* (Wang *et al.*, 2013). Herstatin may therefore have tumour-supressing activity of down-regulating the expression of p185*HER2* through the inhibition of receptor dimerization and the consequent inhibition of tumour formation (Jackson *et al.*, 2013).

Herstatin has also been shown to bind to EGFR, HER3 and HER4, and in a way similar to pertuzumab, blocks homomeric and heteromeric receptor interactions (Justman & Clinton, 2002; Koletsa *et al.*, 2008). More so, In a similar manner to *Trastuzumab*, binding of Herstatin to the extracellular domain of *HER2* leads to degradation of *HER2* receptor and endocytosis (Wang *et al.*, 2013). Herstatin specifically blocks dimer phosphorylation by disrupting *HER2*/HER3 and EGFR/*HER2* dimers. Although the majority of tumours express Herstatin mRNA, the Herstatin protein is absent in 75% of breast cancers. This may be because the cancer cells are protected by an intrinsic mechanism against the growth-inhibitory effects of Herstatin (Koletsa *et al.*, 2008).



Figure 1.8 Schematic representation of Herstatin showing the retention of intron 8.

#### **1.10.2.** *HER2*Δ16

An alternative splice form of the human *HER2* containing an in-frame skipping of exon 16, a 48bp cassette exon, has been detected in human breast cancers (Kwong & Hung, 1998; Castiglioni *et al.*, 2006). This splice variant, designated *HER2* $\Delta$ 16encodes a receptor that lacks the amino-acids encoded by exon 16, which is a small region of the extracellular domain of HER2 (Jackson et al., 2013; Mitra et al., 2009) (Figure 1.9). Exon 16 immediately precedes the transmembrane domain and contains two cysteine residues, and lacks the amino acids 634 - 649 in domain IV of the HER2 extracellular domain (Wang et al., 2013; Kwong & Hung, 1998). The resultant loss of these cysteine residues in the extracellular domain of HER2 leads to a change in the conformation of the HER2 receptor extracellular domain promoting the homodimerization of stable receptors capable of transforming cells, via the formation of disulphide bonds (Jackson et al., 2013; Castiglioni et al., 2006). HER2A16 is purported to constitute a more aggressive HER2 variant compared to the wild type, p185 HER2, and is said to play a crucial role in the malignant transformation and disease progression of HER2 positive breast cancers. This suggests that patients expressing HER2Δ16 may benefit from more aggressive therapy. HER2∆16 has also been linked to Trastuzumab resistance, advocating the use of tyrosine kinase inhibitors as an alternative therapy. Studies by

Castiglioni *et al.* show that the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 splice variant comprises about 9% of the total HER2 mRNA of a collection of 46 breast carcinomas tested, with HER2 expression levels ranging from 0 to 3+, as determined by the HercepTest. These studies showed the exon 16 skipped HER2 variant to have much stronger transformation activity than the wild type HER2 (Castiglioni et al., 2006). Mitra et al. report that HER2 $\Delta$ 16 expression promotes cell invasion and Trastuzumab resistance through direct coupling of *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 to Src kinase (Mitra *et al.*, 2009). There is evidence that alternative splicing leading to cassette exons within the extracellular domain of some growth factor receptors provides a unique mechanism for the generation of novel isoforms which may encode potentially active molecules (Baek et al., 2004; Collesi et al., 1996; Li et al., 1995). For this reason, the HER2 $\Delta$ 16 variant represents a constitutively active form of HER2 similar to the mutated gene (Marchini et al., 2011). This provides further evidence to the theory that mutations in splice variants affect the progression from normal breast cells to invasive cells, rather than increase in receptor numbers alone (Castiglioni *et al.*, 2006). Therefore, a better understanding of the involvement of *HER2* splice variants in the response or resistance to current therapies targeting the HER2 receptor might be crucial in improving response rates in patients with HER2 overexpressing cancers (Castiglioni et al., 2006).



Figure 1.9 Schematic representation of  $HER2\Delta16$  showing the cassette exon on exon 16.

#### 1.10.3. P100 *HER2*

The p100 HER2 transcript was first described by Scott et al. as a spliced variant of HER2 which encodes a 2.3kb protein constituting only the extracellular domain of the full length protein (Scott et al., 1993; Jackson et al., 2013). P100 HER2 arises via an inframe stop codon which results from the retention of intron 15, and has been found to interfere with oncogenic activity, with the capacity to inhibit cell proliferation (Jackson et al., 2013) (Figure 1.10). The 5' end of the p100 HER2 gene is a 2.1kb segment of the truncated HER2 transcript homologous to the 5' end of the full length HER2 transcript, while the 3' end diverges to reveal an exonic extension with an in-frame stop codon and a poly(A) addition site (Scott et al., 1993). The alternative polyadenylaton signal permits reading into the intron which results in the translation of a 100kDa isoform of HER2. The truncated HER2 transcript encodes a 100-kDa variant of the full length 185kDa transmembrane receptor that, with the exception of 20 C-terminal amino acids, contains the entire HER2 extracellular domain (Scott et al., 1993). Further exploration into the role of p100 HER2 revealed that this secreted truncated form of HER2 gives rise to a decrease in the downstream signal transduction pathway such as the MAPK cascade. Being a secreted protein, the p100 HER2 isoform may also serve as a serum biomarker, and may be crucial in making treatment decisions.



Figure 1.10 Schematic representation of p100 *HER2* showing the retention of intron 15.

#### 1.11 Hypothesis and objectives of this Study

#### 1.11.1. Hypothesis

Studies have shown the existence of alternative splice variants of *HER2*, and in addition, that *HER2* splice variants may serve various crucial functions in continuing disease progression of *HER2* overexpressed or amplified breast carcinomas despite current therapies. The lack of activating mutations in *HER2* positive breast cancers and the high proportion of patients who do not respond to current therapies, suggests that it is not only the total number of *HER2* receptors that is responsible for malignant transformations in the cell. The expression of alternative splice variants of *HER2* resulting in protein isoforms with potent cellular functions, may lead to the development of specific assays to include splice-variant status of certain important isoforms, in the aim of improving diagnosis and treatment. Mutations in the oncoprotein, in particular small deletions in the extracellular domain, may also result in the formation of disulphide bonds. Current investigations into the three identified naturally occurring *HER2* splice variants (*HER2*\Delta16, Herstatin and p100 *HER2*) has been geared on developing new therapeutic strategies to tackle issues with treatment

resistance and to further understand impact of *HER2* overexpression with particular focus on these splice variants (Jackson *et al.*, 2013).

The hypothesis of this study is that in addition to the previously identified roles of *HER2* alternative splice variants in the progression and continued transformation of invasive breast cancer, currently unidentified alternative splice variants may be a contributing factor in the poor response of patients to current treatment regimes. The discovery of novel alternative splice variants may lead to identification of *HER2* isoforms which play crucial roles in the induction or inhibition of *HER2* signalling. The use of these splice variants in the manipulation of *HER2* signalling may be of therapeutic benefit to patients diagnosed with *HER2* positive breast cancers.

#### 1.11.2. Objectives

- 1. To develop specific standard RT-PCR primers for the detection of novel splice isoforms of *HER2* in invasive breast cancer cell lines.
- 2. To verify the identity of amplified PCR products by DNA sequencing.
- To identify the functions of novel splice variants, relating splice variant expression to clinical parameters, using bioinformatics analysis.
- 4. To identify the expression of novel splice variants of *HER2* in clinical breast cancer samples categorised with 0 to 3+ by immunohistochemistry.
- 5. To develop double dye (Taqman) probes for the detection of novel alternative *HER2* splice variants by quantitative real-time PCR.
- 6. To study the regulation of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants by manipulating cell culture conditions, e.g. induction of hypoxia.

- 7. To study the effects of tyrosine kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the regulation of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants.
- 8. To develop siRNAs to knockdown certain splice factors which may be known to play a role in *HER2* splicing, and to relate the effects of gene silencing on the expression and regulation of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants.

#### CHAPTER 2. GENERAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

#### 2.1. Antigen Retrieval Immunohistochemistry

#### 2.1.1. Buffers used in Immunohistochemistry

Sodium Citrate buffer (pH 6.0) was used as the antigen retrieval buffer. 0.1M Sodium Citrate buffer was freshly prepared by adding 29.4g of Sodium Citrate and 54ml of 1M HCl to 10L of distilled water and stirring vigorously. The pH value of the buffer solution was adjusted using a pH meter by adding a few drops of freshly prepared 1M HCl or 0.1M NaOH solution to obtain the desired value. The buffer was then stored at 4°C (Kong *et al.*, 2006).

TRIS Buffered Saline (TBS) pH7.4 was used as the washing buffer. 0.005M TBS was prepared by adding 80g of Sodium Chloride, 6.05g of TRIS and 44ml of 1M HCl to 10L distilled water. All antibodies were diluted in TBS (Kong *et al.*, 2006) (Table 2.1).
ANTIBODY CLONE	SPECIFICITY	SOURCE	CONCENTRATION
SP3	HER2	Labvision Corporation, UK	0.6 μg/mL
6F11	ER	Novocastra Laboratories, UK	0.8µg/mL
CB11	HER2	Novocastra Laboratories, UK	0.5µg/mL
PGR636	PR	Dako Uk Ltd	0.5 μg/mL

Table 2.1 Antibodies used in immunohistochemistry, their specificities and concentrations.

## 2.1.2. Antigen retrieval microwave heating technique

Tissue sections 5 microns thick mounted on slides were prepared for antigen retrieval by deparaffinising in two changes of Histoclear (5 minutes each), rehydrating in two changes of 100% Industrial methylated spirit (3 minutes each) and rinsing in tap water for a few minutes. The sections were treated with 3% hydrogen peroxide to block endogenous peroxidise for 5 minutes, and rinsed thoroughly in distilled water. The slides were then placed in a plastic slide rack and transferred into a plastic jar containing the antigen retrieval buffer solution and the slide rack was completely covered in antigen retrieval buffer solution. The plastic jar was covered with a loosefitting cap and the slides were heated in a microwave oven at a temperature of 100°C. The duration of heating was 25 minutes in Sodium Citrate buffer (pH 6.0). After heating the sections were washed and cooled to room temperature in running tap water and rinsed in TBS before immunostaining (Hayat, 2002).

## 2.1.3. Immunohistochemical staining methods

All immunohistochemical assays were performed at room temperature (20-25°C). Although most automated immonhistochemical systems suggest that conducting immunohistochemical staining at 4°C may improve antigenicity, in most instances, the use of room temperature is generally acceptable and has been known to give highly reproducible results (Vogel, 1901). Antibodies used for immunohistochemical staining are listed in table 2.1. The Vector Elite ABC system for immunohistochemistry, with a peroxidase label (Vector Labs, Uk) was used as the detection kit. Diaminobenzidine -DAB (Dako Uk Ltd) was used to visualise the labelled antibodies.

The sections were incubated in normal goat serum for 5 minutes to prevent nonspecific binding of the antibodies, and then incubated in primary antibody for 60 minutes. After staining with the primary antibody, sections were then incubated with a linking secondary biotinyilated antibody for 30 minutes. Sections were washed after each stage with TBS at pH 7.4. The slides were then incubated in the enzymeconjugated ABC label for 30 minutes, rinsed in TBS and washed in distilled water. Conjugation of the primary antibody with biotin is a method of labelling which, due to the high affinity of avidin to biotin, enables the visualization of proteins when bound to fluorescent or enzyme-labelled avidin. The resulting avidin-biotin (ABC) complex forms a stable complex, and is a highly sensitive method for detection of the primary

antibody. Finally the sections were treated with the chromogen 3,3' diaminobenzidine (DAB) for 10 minutes, washed in running tap water, counterstained in Harris' haematoxylin for 2 minutes, differentiated in 1% acid alcohol for a few seconds, left to blue in running tap water and dehydrated in alcohol, cleared and mounted in DPX for examination under a microscope (Hayat, 2002).

## 2.1.4. Evaluation of Immunohistochemistry results

Slides were examined using Nikon Eclipse 50i microscope. Photomicrographs were taken with a Nikon Digital Sight DS-UI camera.

## 2.2. Cell culture

Human breast and ovarian cancer cell lines were obtained from the European Collection of Cell Cultures (ECACC; Salisbury, UK) and the American Type Culture Collections (ATCC; Middlesex, UK). In order to eliminate contaminants arising from high passage numbers, all six cell line stocks were frozen down at passages 5 to 10, and cells were cultured up to a maximum of 10 passages before being replaced by frozen stocks (van Staveren *et al.*, 2009). The cell lines were cultured in their respective growth media in cell culture flasks according to their manufacturers' recommendations, in a humidified atmosphere.

All cell culture media were supplemented with 10% Foetal Bovine Serum (Biosera, UK), 2mM L-Glutamine (Lonza, UK) and 100u/ml penicillin-streptomycin solution (Lonza, UK). All cells lines were adhering cells. Upon reaching confluence, cells were washed in

Phosphate Buffered Saline (PBS) and removed from the bottom of the flasks by trypsinization in 1x trypsin/EDTA solution (Lonza, UK) (Goren *et al.*, 2010).

CELL LINE	ORIGIN	HER2	CULTURE	CULTURE
		STATUS	MEDIA	CONDITIONS
SKOV3	Ovarian Cancer	HER2 3+	McCoy's 5A	37°C, 5% CO <sub>2</sub>
			Medium	
			(Lonza, UK)	
SKBR3	Breast Cancer	HER2 3+	McCoy's 5A	37°C, 5% CO <sub>2</sub>
			Medium	
			(Lonza, UK)	
BT-20	Breast Cancer	HER2 -	DMEM	37°C, 5% CO <sub>2</sub>
			(Lonza, UK)	
MCF-7	Breast Cancer	HER2 -	DMEM	37°C, 5% CO <sub>2</sub>
			(Lonza <i>,</i> UK)	
MDA-MB-453	Breast Cancer	HER2 2+	DMEM	37°C, 5% CO <sub>2</sub>
			(Lonza, UK)	
MDA-MB-361	Breast Cancer	HER2 2+	DMEM	37°C, 5% CO₂
			(Lonza, UK)	

Table 2.2 Cell line models used in cell culture studies and their culture conditions.

The cell lines used in this study represent samples with varying levels of *HER2* expression, and were therefore considered a good model for *HER2* expression analysis, based on the repository of cells available for selection.

## 2.3. RNA extraction

## 2.3.1 RNA extraction from cell cultures

Total RNA was extracted from cells using TRI reagent (Ambion, UK), a monophasic solution of phenol, guanidine isothiocyanate, and other proprietary components which

facilitate the isolation of a variety of RNA species of large or small molecular size (Mita et al., 2007). Cells were washed twice in PBS, and 1ml TRI reagent was added to the cells. The cells were removed from the flasks or plates by scraping to one side; the resulting lysate was then removed and placed in a 1.5ml eppendorf and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature, 0.2ml chloroform (Sigma, UK) was added to the lysates and the suspension was mixed by inversion and incubated at room temperature for 15 minutes. The suspension was then centrifuged at 12,000xg for 15 minutes at 4°C, after which the aqueous phase was transferred into fresh micro centrifuge tubes. To pellet the RNA 0.5ml of isopropanol was added to each micro centrifuge tube and mixed thoroughly by vortexing. The mixture was incubated at room temperature for 10 minutes and then centrifuged at 12,000xg for 8 minutes at room temperature. The resulting supernatant was carefully removed and the RNA pellet was washed by adding 1ml of 75% ethanol and centrifuged at 7,500xg for 5 minutes (Doherty et al., 1999b). After removal of the supernatant, the RNA was air dried and resuspended in 20-50µl of nuclease free water, depending on the size of the pellet. The RNA suspension was incubated for 5 minutes at 55°C on a heating block to completely dissolve the RNA pellet. The resulting RNA was then stored at -80°C.

# 2.3.2 RNA extraction from Formalin Fixed, Paraffin Embedded (FFPE) samples

Fourteen FFPE samples were kindly supplied by Dr Muhammed Sohail (Department of Histopathology, United Hospitals Bristol Foundation Trust, Bristol Royal Infirmary, UK). The department of histopathology holds a general pathology accreditation awarded by the Clinical Pathology Accreditation (UK) Ltd. The criteria for selection of breast cancer tissue were based on the tissues being *HER2* 2+ or 3+ as determined by HercepTest.

10µm thick sections were cut from selected blocks of breast cancer which were confirmed to be HER2 2+ and 3+ by immunohistochemistry, as determined by HercepTest carried out by the Bristol Royal infirmary laboratory. Total RNA was extracted from FFPE samples using the RNEasy FFPE kit (Qiagen, UK) according to manufacturer's protocols, with the following modifications: Four 10µm thick sections were placed in 1.5ml eppendorf tubes and deparaffinised by adding 1ml of Histoclear, vortexing for 10 seconds and centrifuging at full speed for 2 minutes to bring the samples to the bottom of the tubes. The supernatant was then removed and discarded. To remove the Histoclear, 1ml of 100% ethanol was added to the pellets, mixed by vortexing and centrifuged at full speed for 2 minutes. The supernatant was removed and discarded, and the pellets were incubated at room temperature for 10-15 minutes to completely dry the pellet, thereby removing residual ethanol.  $150\mu$ L of buffer PKD was added to the tubes; the solution was mixed by vortexing, and centrifuged for 1 minute at 11,000xg. 10µl of Proteinase K was then added to the lower, clear phase containing the RNA, mixed by gently pipetting, incubated overnight at 15°C, and then for 15 minutes at 80°C. This step is crucial for reversal of cross links to ensure optimal performance in downstream applications such as qRT-PCR. The lower, clear phase was then transferred to new 2ml microcentrifuge tubes, incubated on ice for 3 minutes, and then centrifuged for 15 minutes at 20,000xg. The resulting supernatant was transferred into fresh microcentrifuge tubes. To treat for genomic DNA contamination, 16µL DNAse booster and 10µL DNAse I solution were added to the tubes and incubated for 15minutes at room temperature. 320ml of buffer RBC was added to the tubes to adjust the binding conditions, and mixed by vortexing. 700ml of ethanol was added to the tubes, and mixed by pipetting. The sample was then passed through an RNeasy MinElute spin column placed in a 2 ml collection tube. The spin column was then centrifuged at 8,000xg for 15 seconds and the flow-through was discarded. This step was repeated until the entire sample was passed through the MinElute spin column. 500ml of buffer RPE was then added to the spin columns and centrifuged at 8,000xg for 15 seconds and the flow-through was discarded. 500ml of buffer RPE was again added to the spin columns to wash the spin column membrane, centrifuged at 8,000xg for 2 minutes, and the flow-through discarded along with the collection tube. The spin column was then transferred into fresh collection tube and centrifuged at full speed for 5 minutes. This step is necessary to ensure that the residual ethanol on the membranes of the spin columns is removed. The spin columns were then placed in fresh 1.5ml microcentrifuge tubes and 20µL of RNase-free water was added to the column membrane. After incubating for 5 minutes at room temperature, the spin columns were centrifuged for 1 minute at full speed to elute the RNA (Abramovitz *et al.*, 2008).

## 2.3.3 Assessment of RNA yield and quality

The yield and quality of isolated RNA was determined using a Nanodrop 1000A UV spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). RNA concenttrations for the cell lines used in this study can be found in Table 3.1. The Nanodrop UV spectrophotometer is used for analysing nucleic acid concentrations and the purity of nucleic acid samples with high accuracy using as small as 1µl of sample volume. A ratio of ~1.8 of sample absorbance at 260/280 nm was considered pure for RNA samples.

## 2.4. Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

## 2.4.1. First-Strand Synthesis of cDNA

Prior to first strand synthesis total RNA was treated for genomic DNA contamination with RQ1 RNAse-free DNAse (Promega, UK). 1µg of RNA was diluted up to 8µl in nuclease free water and incubated with 1µl RQ1 RNAse-free DNAse 10x reaction buffer and 1µl RQ1 RNAse-free DNAse for 30 minutes at 37°C. The DNAse reaction was terminated by the addition of RQ1 DNAse stop solution and heating to 65°C for 10 minutes. First-strand cDNA synthesis was then performed using equal amounts of total RNA by incubating with random hexamers (Qiagen UK) at 65°C for 5 minutes and then cooling immediately on ice. The reverse transcriptase buffer, dNTP mix, RNase inhibitor and Omniscript reverse transcriptase (Qiagen, UK) were then added to the reaction and incubated at 37°C for 60 minutes. To ensure that subsequent PCR amplification was derived from RNA and not genomic DNA or other contaminants, a no-RT control was included in every reverse transcription experiment (Bustin et al., 2009). The yield and quality of cDNA synthesized was determined using a Nanodrop 1000A UV spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). A ratio of ~1.8 of sample absorbance at 260/280nm was considered pure for cDNA samples.

# 2.4.2. Standard Reverse Transcription Polymerase Chain Reaction (RT-PCR)

Standard PCR reactions of 20μl volumes consisted of 1x green GoTaq<sup>®</sup> Flexi Buffer; 2μM MgCL<sub>2</sub>; 0.2μM of each dNTP; 0.5μM of each primer; 1.25U GoTaq<sup>®</sup> Hotstart DNA polymerase and ~200ng template cDNA. All standard PCR reagents were supplied by Promega UK. For control amplifications cDNA was replaced by nuclease free water. Cycling was performed using a PTC200 Peltier Thermal Cycler (MJ research, USA) using the following conditions: hotstart at 95°C for 2 minutes followed by 35 cycles of 95°C for 1 minute (denaturing), 54-64°C for 1 minute (annealing), 72°C for 1 minute (extending), and a final extension of 5 minutes at 72°C. A soaking cycle of 4°C was included to hold the tubes after amplification, prior to storage at -20°C (Hillig *et al.*, 2012).

### 2.4.3. Agarose gel electrophoresis

RT-PCR products were separated using molecular grade Agarose (Bioline UK). A 2% Agarose gel was prepared by dissolving Agarose powder in 1x TAE buffer and heating in a microwave until the Agarose powder was dissolved in the buffer. Ethidium bromide (10mg/ml; Sigma-Aldrich, UK) was added to a final concentration of 0.5µg/ml and the molten Agarose solution was poured into a gel tray and left to set at room temperature for 15 minutes. DNA samples were loaded onto the gel and subjected to electrophoresis at 120V for 1 hour 20 minutes. DNA hyperladder (Bioline UK) was run simultaneously to allow estimation of DNA fragment sizes. DNA was visualised under UV light on a MiniBis gel documentation system (Berthold Technologies, Germany).

## 2.5. Cloning of RT-PCR products for sequencing

## 2.5.1. Gel extraction and purification of PCR products

100µl reactions of PCR products destined for cloning were separated on a 3% Agarose gel and the bands excised from the gel prior to purification using a sterile scalpel. PCR products were purified using Qiaquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen UK) (Lukas *et al.*, 2001). The excised gel bands were transferred to micro centrifuge tubes and weighed.

Three volumes of buffer QG were added to one gel volume, incubated at 50°C for 10 minutes and vortexed every 2-3 minutes until the gel was completely dissolved. To increase the DNA yield, 1 gel volume of isopropanol was added to the sample and mixed by inverting the tube several times. To bind the DNA, the sample was then transferred to a Qiaquick spin column, placed in a collection tube and centrifuged at 10,000xg for 1 minute and the flow-through was discarded, 500µl of buffer QG was added to the spin column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 minute and the flow-through was discarded to the column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 minute and the flow-through discarded. To wash the DNA, 750µl of buffer PE was added to the column and centrifuged at 10,000 x g. To elute the DNA the Qiaquick column was transferred to a fresh 1.5ml micro centrifuge tube and 10µl of buffer EB was added to the column and incubated for about 1 minute and centrifuged at 10,000 x g for 1 minute. The purified DNA was stored at 4°C.

## 2.5.2. Preparation of LB broth and LB/Agar plates with ampicillin/IPTG/X-GAL

LB broth medium was prepared by suspending 20g of LB power (Sigma UK) in 1L of distilled water and sterilized by autoclaving. LB/Agar plates were prepared by suspending 20g of LB powder (Sigma UK) and 15g of Agar (Sigma UK) in 1L of distilled water and sterilized by autoclaving. The LB + Agar mixture was cooled to approximately 50°C and stock solutions of IPTG, X-GAL and ampicillin (bioline, UK) were added to the LB + Agar mixture to a final concentration of 100µg/ml each, and then mixed by inversion (Doherty *et al.*, 1999b). The mixture was then poured into petri dishes and cooled until solid.

### 2.5.3. Ligation into pGEM-T Easy vector

The pGEM<sup>®</sup>-T Easy Vector system (Promega, UK) is a convenient system for cloning of PCR products. The pGEM-T Easy vector is prepared by digestion with EcoRV and adding a 3' terminal thymidine terminal overhang to both ends to prevent recircularisation of the vector and enable insertion of PCR products generated using thermostable polymerases that add a deoxyadenosine to the 3' end of amplified PCR products. The high copy number pGEM<sup>®</sup>T Easy Vector contains T7 and SP6 RNA polymerase promoters flanking an alpha peptide multiple cloning region, which when inactivated, allows recombinant clones to be identified by blue/white screening on indicator plates.

The ligation reaction consisted of 1µl (50ng) pGEM-T<sup>®</sup> Easy Vector, 5µl 2x T4 DNA Ligase rapid ligation buffer, 1µl (3 Weiss units/µl) T4 DNA Ligase and 3µl gel purified PCR product. The reactions were incubated overnight at 4°C to produce a maximum number of transformants.

# 2.5.4. Transformation into JM109 High Efficiency Competent E. coli cells

PCR ligation reactions were inserted into JM109 High Efficiency Competent E. Coli cells (Promega, UK). 50µl of cells were added to fresh microcentrifuge tubes and incubated on ice for 20 minutes with 2µl of each ligation reaction. Cells were heat-shocked in a water bath at 42°C for 50 seconds and then placed on ice for 2 minutes. 950µl of LB broth was added to the cells then incubated at 37°C for 1.5 hours with shaking. Transformed cells were then grown overnight on LB plates with ampicillin/IPTG/X-GAL at 37°C. Single white E. coli colonies were selected and used to inoculate 5ml LB medium containing 100µg/ml ampicillin and incubated overnight at 37°C with shaking.

## 2.5.5. Extraction of plasmid DNA

Plasmids were extracted from E. coli cells using The PureYield<sup>™</sup> Plasmid miniprep system (Promega UK). The PureYield<sup>™</sup> Plasmid Miniprep System is designed to purify plasmid DNA from an overnight culture of bacteria transformed with a high-copy number plasmid. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000xg for 5 minutes and the supernatant discarded. Cells were resuspended in 600µl of nuclease free water, lysed by adding 100µl of cell lysis buffer, and mixed by inverting the tube several times. Lysis was terminated by adding 350µl of neutralization solution and the solution was thoroughly mixed by inversion. The reaction was then centrifuged at 10,000xg for 3 minutes to pellet the debris resulting from lysis. The supernatant was then transferred to a PureYield<sup>™</sup> Mini column and centrifuged at 10,000xg for 15 seconds and the flow through discarded. The column was then washed by adding 200µl of Endotoxin Removal Wash (ERB) to the mini column and centrifuged at 10,000xg for 15 seconds, and then adding 400µl of column wash solution (CWS) to the mini column and centrifuging at 10,000xg for 30 seconds. The resulting DNA was eluted by adding 30µl of Elution Buffer directly to the mini column matrix and incubating for 1 minute. The column was then transferred to a fresh 1.5ml tube and centrifuged at 10,000xg for 30 seconds. The eluted purified plasmid DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop 1000A UV spectrophotometer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA) and then stored at -20°C.

## 2.6. Quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

### 2.6.1. Quantitative real-time PCR amplifications

Primers and probes for qRT-PCR were designed by Primer Deisgn UK. The amplicon lengths for all primer sets were 90-150 base pairs. All PCR reactions were performed using a Step One Plus<sup>™</sup> Real-Time PCR system (Life Technologies, UK). Real-time PCR reactions were set up using Sensifast Probe Hi-Rox and Sensifast SYBR Hi-Rox kits (Bioline, UK). 25ng cDNA was used for each reaction containing 1x Sensifast master mix, primers (400nM each), probe (100nM) and nuclease free water (up to 20ul). All reactions were set up in triplicate in a 96 well plate. The cycling conditions comprised polymerase activation for 2 minutes at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95°C for 5 minutes, and annealing at 60°C for 15 seconds. For SYBR green chemistry, the specificity of the primers was determined using a melt curve analysis by increasing the cycling temperature in one degree increments, starting at 50°C, for 40 cycles of 10 seconds each. The efficiency of the primers was also determined using a standard curve. Six serial doubling dilutions of pooled cDNA samples were run in triplicate alongside the main reaction. C<sub>T</sub> values were plotted against arbitrary log values on an excel spreadsheet using a scatter plot.  $R^2$  values of  $\geq 0.96$  were accepted for correlation efficiency (Derveaux, Vandesompele & Hellemans, 2010).

## 2.6.2. Calculations

 $C_T$  values were automatically determined by the Step One Plus<sup>™</sup> Real-Time PCR system (Life Technologies, UK). Relative expression of target mRNA in different samples were normalised to a set of reference genes using the Relative Standard Curve method. A standard curve was set up for each primer set by running six serial doubling dilutions of pooled cDNA in triplicate alongside the main reactions. The standard curve was determined using the equation:

y = mx + c.

The  $C_T$  (y) values generated from the real-time PCR reaction was then used to derive the following equation:

x = [(y-c)/-m)]

Once the x values were derived, they were then converted to real numbers by antilogging, normalised against a set of reference genes, and the fold difference between control and experimental samples were calculated (Emir, 2011). The statistical significance of the fold difference between two samples was determined by student's t-test.

## 2.6.3. Normalisation of real-time qRT-PCR

The use of quantitative real-time PCR in gene expression analysis has become increasingly important in biological research. Due to the sensitivity of the qRT-PCR assay, accurate normalization of data is necessary to ensure that changes in gene expression from sample to sample are not due to errors like variations in sample size, pipetting errors or errors in the reverse transcription step. The use of reference genes as endogenous controls in qRT-PCR is one of the most common methods used in the normalization of qRT-PCR data. However, the expression of reference genes may vary considerably between experiments as no single gene is stably expressed from sample to sample or under all experimental conditions. Randomly selecting a reference gene of choice may lead to large errors in data analysis; therefore it is essential to identify a reference gene or a set of genes from a set of candidate genes, that are most stably expressed in every experimental model (Derveaux, Vandesompele & Hellemans, 2010; Vandesompele *et al.*, 2002; Romanowski *et al.*, 2007; Andersen, Jensen & Ørntoft, 2004; Bustin *et al.*, 2009).

Two different methods of reference gene selection were used in this project:

- Genorm<sup>PLUS</sup> (Ghent, Belgium): the Genorm<sup>PLUS</sup> algorithm calculates the most stably expressed reference gene or set of reference genes from a set of genes based on the geometric mean of a number of housekeeping genes (Vandesompele *et al.*, 2002).
- Normfinder (Molecular Diagnostics Laboratory, Denmark): The normfinder algorithm ranks a set of candidate reference genes according to their stability in a given experimental design (Andersen, Jensen & Ørntoft, 2004).

A set of 12 candidate reference genes were chosen for use in the selection of optimal reference genes for the normalisation of qRT-PCR (Table 2.3).

GENE NAME	DESIGNATION	ACCESSION	FUNCTION
18s Ribosomal RNA	185	NM_10098	Protein translation
Beta actin	АСТВ	NM_001101	Cytoskeletal protein involved in cell motility, structure, and integrity.
Homo sapiens ATP synthase, H+ transporting, mitochondrial F1 complex, beta polypeptide	ATP5B	NM_001686	ATP synthesis
Beta-2-microglobulin	B2M	NM_004048	Beta-chain of major histocompatibility complex class I molecules involved in the presentation of peptide antigens to the immune system.
Cytochrome c-1	CYC1	NM_001916	Electron transport in mitochondrial respiratory chain
Eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A2	E1F4A2	NM_001967	Initiation of translation

GENE NAME	DESIGNATION	ACCESSION	FUNCTION
Glyceraldehyde-3- phosphate dehydrogenase	GAPDH	NM_002046	Oxidoreductase in glycolysis
Ribosomal protein L13A	RPL13A	NM_012423	Protein synthesis
Succinate dehydrogenase complex, subunit A, flavoprotein	SDHA	NM_004168	Mitochondrial respiration
Topoisomerase DNA I	TOP1	NM_003286	Control and alteration of DNA topology during transcription
Ubiquitin C	UBC	NM_021009	Ubiquitination
Tyrosine 3- monooxygenase/tryptop han 5-monooxygenase activation protein, zeta	YWHAZ	NM_145690	Mediation of signal transduction

Table 2.3: Reference genes used for qRT-PCR and their functions in normal physiology.

## 2.7. Protein analysis

## 2.7.1. Protein extraction

Protein extraction was carried out using Radioimmunoprecipitation assay (RIPA) lysis buffer (Fisher, UK). Cells grown in monolayer were washed twice with cold PBS. 500 $\mu$ L of RIPA buffer, supplemented with protease and phosphatase inhibitors (sigma, UK) was added to a 75cm<sup>2</sup> flask or 5x10<sup>6</sup> cells and kept on ice for 5 minutes with occasional swirling of the flask for uniform spreading. The lysate was then removed from the flask by scraping to gather to one side, and transferred into a microcentrifuge tube. To increase yield, the lysates ware homogenised by taking the homogenate up and down 20 times using a 21G needle. The homogenate was then centrifuged at 13,000 rpm for 15 minutes at 4°C to pellet the cell debris. The supernatant was then transferred to a fresh microcentrifuge tube and stored at -80°C.

### 2.7.2. Protein quantification

Protein concentration was determined using the Cooomassie Blue dye binding method for protein estimation (Bradford Method) (Siegel *et al.*, 1999). This assay is based on an absorbance shift of the coomassie blue G dye when it binds to proteins. The increase in absorbance at 595nm is proportional to the amount of bound dye and thus, the concentration of protein present in the sample.

A stock dye solution was prepared dissolving 330mg of coomassie blue G dye in 100ml phosphoric acid/ethanol (2:1) mixture. The working dye solution was prepared by mixing 1.5ml of stock dye reagent, 4ml of phosphoric acid, 1.9ml of ethanol and making up to 50ml in distilled water. A protein standard was prepared by dissolving albumin in deionised water to a final concentration of  $1\mu g/\mu L$ . Reactions were carried out in triplicate in a 96 well plate and the absorbance was measured at 560nm.

## 2.7.3. SDS PAGE

Proteins were separated using a criterion XT system (Bio-rad, UK). Samples were thawed on ice from frozen and 20µg of protein lysates were mixed with 4x NuPAGE LDS sample buffer diluted to 1x in distilled water (Life Technologies, UK), and made up

to 20µl volume in distilled water. The concentration of protein for SDS was determined following the general protocols for western blotting by the Bio-rad systems. The mixture was heated to 95°C for 5 minutes prior to being loaded onto a criterion XT 4%-12% Bis-Tris pre-cast gel (Bio-rad, UK). The Criterion gel system (Bio-rad, UK) was assembled according to manufacturer's instructions and filled with 1 litre of 1x XT-MES running buffer (Life Technologies, UK). The criterion XT pre-cast gel was inserted into the gel tank and 10µl HyperPAGE II broad range pre stained protein marker (Bioline, UK) was loaded to the first well. Samples were loaded to subsequent wells and run at 120 volts for 1-2 hours.

## 2.7.4. Western blot analysis

Following SDS PAGE, Polyvinylidene fluoride (PVDF) membrane (GE Healthcare, UK) was cut to appropriate size and activated by immersing in methanol for 2 minutes. The membrane was then rinsed in distilled water and then left to equilibrate in 1x transfer buffer (20x Nupage transfer buffer, 5% methanol in distilled water). The gel and membrane were then transferred onto a Criterion blotter (Bio-Rad, UK) and the proteins were transferred at 50 volts at 4°C for 1 hour. Following transfer the membranes were transferred to blocking solution [5% (w/v) non-fat dry milk solution, 0.02% (v/v) Tween 20 (Life Technologies, UK) in PBS] for 1 hour at room temperature with rocking. After blocking, the membranes were incubated in primary antibody (in 5% non-fat dry milk solution) at 4°C overnight. Following incubation in primary antibody, the membrane was washed 3 times with PBS-T at room temperature for 15 minutes each with rocking. The PBS-T was then removed and the membranes were incubated in HRP-linked secondary antibody (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, UK) for 45

minutes at room temperature. The membranes were then washed 3 times with PBS-T at room temperature for 15 minutes each with rocking, and then rinsed in distilled water (Al Okail, 2010). Table 6.1 shows a list of antibodies used in western blotting and their specificities.

After washing the membranes, HRP-chemiluminescent substrate was applied to the membranes and the bands were visualised in a dark room by developing on a film (Amersham Hyperfilm ECL; GE Healthcare).

## 2.8. RNAi methods

## 2.8.1. siRNA transfection of cells

Cells were prepared for transfection by seeding in 2ml antibiotic free media in 6-well plates at a density of 0.6x10<sup>6</sup> for 24 hours. On the day of transfection, cells were serum starved in Opti-MEM<sup>®</sup> I Reduced Serum Media (Life Technologies, UK) for 2 hours. siRNA transfection was then carried out using siGENOME siRNA smartpool *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* (Thermoscientific, UK) and siGENOME Non-Targeting siRNA Pool #2 as a negative control. Dharmafect 2 transfection reagent (Thermoscientific, UK) was used (Sahlberg *et al.*, 2013). siRNA transfection was carried out according to the manufacturer's guidelines with the following modifications:

A 2mM siRNA solution was prepared by diluting in 1X siRNA Buffer (Thermoscientific, UK). In separate tubes, 100nM siRNA and Dharmafect 2 transfection reagent were diluted using Opti-Mem 1 medium. Contents of each tube were carefully mixed by pipetting up and down and incubated for 5 minutes at room temperature. The content

of both tubes were then carefully mixed together by carefully pipetting up and down. The final mixture was then incubated for 20 minutes at room temperature to allow the formation of transfection complexes. Prior to adding the transfection reagents to the cells, cell culture medium was removed from the cells and fresh opti-Mem I was added to the cells, and then the appropriate transfection mix was added to the cells at a final concentration of 100nM siRNA and 6µl Dharmafect 2 reagent per well. Cells were then incubated for 6 hours to allow the transfection reagents to permeate the cell membranes. After 6 hours of transfection, the transfection reagent was removed from the cells and replaced with antibiotic free medium, and the cells were then incubated for 24-48 hours before protein or RNA extraction. All knockdowns were carried out in triplicate, with each replicate performed on a different passage of cells. A mock transfection and a non-treated control was added to each experiment.

## CHAPTER 3. DISCOVERY OF *HER2* AND *HER2* ALTERNATIVE SPLICE VARIANTS IN BREAST AND OVARIAN CANCER CELL LINES

## 3.1 Introduction

Amplification of the HER2 oncogene is one of the genetic abnormalities in breast tissue associated with the progression from normal breast epithelia to invasive cancer cells (Castiglioni et al., 2006). HER2 testing is essential for the appropriate administration of the humanized anti-HER2 monoclonal antibody therapy Trastuzumab (Herceptin, Genetech, South San Francisco, CA) to invasive breast cancer patients with HER2 overexpression or gene amplification (Bartlett et al., 2001; Dean-Colomb & Esteva, 2008). However, the discovery of alternative splice variants of *HER2* potentially adds extra complexity in mediating patient response to HER2 therapies as different HER2 splice variants may have differing biological properties (Mitra et al., 2009; Marchini et al., 2011). Research studies suggest that expression and secretion of aberrant HER2 splice variants can interfere with the oncogenic HER2 activity (Aigner et al., 2001). Whereas the wild type p185 HER2 is associated with pro-oncogenic receptor activity, resulting in poor prognosis and disease progression if overexpressed or over amplified in breast cancer, the HER2 isoforms Herstatin and p100 HER2 are thought to have antioncogenic function by inhibiting receptor dimerization and subsequently inactivating signal transduction pathways (Wang et al., 2013). Also, the HER2 exon 16 immediately precedes the transmembrane domain and contains two cysteine residues. The loss of exon 16 in  $HER2\Delta 16$  therefore leads to a change in the conformation of HER2 receptor extracellular domain that promotes intermolecular disulphide bonding, thereby promoting the formation of constitutively activated *HER2* homodimers capable of transforming cells (Castiglioni *et al.*, 2006). Therefore it may be of significant prognostic value to determine the *HER2* variant status of patients with invasive breast cancer. The recommended methods for testing *HER2* status in breast cancer patients are Immunohistochemistry and Fluorescence In-situ Hybridization (FISH) (Wolff *et al.*, 2007), and more recently, Silver in-situ hybridization (SISH) is also being used as an alternative to the FISH technique (Moelans *et al.*, 2011). With growing evidence of the potential involvement of alternative splice variants as biomarker candidates in cancer diagnosis (Lukas *et al.*, 2001; Marchini *et al.*, 2011; Omenn, Yocum & Menon, 2010), the discovery of novel alternative splice variants of *HER2* and their potential role in disease progression or resistance to *Trastuzumab* may be crucial in developing potential novel methods of testing and treatment of *HER2* in patients with invasive breast cancer.

#### Objectives

- **1.** To detect *HER2* protein expression in breast cancer cell lines by immunohistochemistry.
- 2. To detect *HER2*<sub>Δ16</sub> in *HER2* overexpressing breast and ovarian cancer cell lines.
- **3.** To design PCR primers for the detection of *HER2* and possibly novel *HER2* splice variants in cell lines by RT-PCR.
- **4.** To sequence the *HER2* cDNA and *HER2* splice variants following RT-PCR amplification.

#### 3.2 Methods

## **3.2.1 Antigen Retrieval for Immunohistochemistry**

Antigen retrieval was performed prior to Immunohistochemical staining in SKBR3 (*HER2* 3+; ER-; PR-), BT-20 (*HER2*-; ER-; PR-) and MCF-7 (*HER2*-, ER+; PR+) cell lines. Breast cancer cells mounted on slides were stained with monoclonal antibodies to *HER2* (SP3; CB11), Oestrogen Receptors (6F11), and Progesterone Receptors (PGR636) (Table 3.1).

## 3.2.2 *HER2* primer design for RT-PCR

The polymerase chain reaction (PCR) is a reliable method used to amplify specific genes of interest, or sections of a gene (Schrader *et al.*, 2012). Specific primer sequences corresponding to a specific gene of interest are used to amplify sections of DNA, with the use of DNA polymerase, enabling the generation of unlimited copies of a small fragment of DNA (Joshi & Deshpande, 2011; Schrader *et al.*, 2012).

The open reading frame of *HER2* mRNA as predicted by the ExPASY translate tool was amplified by PCR using 12 sets of sequence-specific primers (Figure 3.1). The primers were designed using OligoPerfect<sup>TM</sup> Designer (Invitrogen, UK). The nucleotide sequences used for the primer design were based on *HER2* ncbi GenBank<sup>®</sup> accession number NM\_004448 (NCBI, 2010). NP1, NP2, NP5 and NP6 primer sequences for the amplification of *HER2*\Delta16 were obtained from Kwong & Hung (1998). Primers were synthesized by Eurofins MWG Operon (Ebesberg, Germany). Primer sequences for all primers used in *HER2* amplification are listed in Appendix A.



Figure 3.1: Design of *HER2*-specific RT-PCR primers for used to amplify *HER2* cDNA. Arrows indicate positions of primers in target exons.

## **3.2.3 DNA sequencing**

All DNA products isolated and purified from RT-PCR analysis were sequenced using the Sanger method of DNA sequencing to confirm that the insert sequences were from *HER2* mRNA. DNA sequencing was performed at three independent locations:

- University of the West of England: Plasmid DNA templates were supplied at a concentration of 200ng/μl in a total volume of 10μl. The sequencing reactions consisted of 8 μl of 1 x BigDye Terminator v. 3.1 ready reaction mix (Applied Biosystems, UK), 3 μl of dilution buffer (Applied Biosystems), 3.2 pmol of primer, and 0.2 μg of template DNA in a final reaction volume of 20 μl. Cycling conditions included an initial one minute denaturation step at 96°C, followed by 25 cycles at 96°C for 10 seconds, 50°C for 5 seconds, and 60°C for 4 minutes, and a final extension at 15°C for 10 minutes. Samples were electrophoresed on an Applied Biosystems 3730xl automated DNA sequencing instrument, using 37 cm capillary arrays and POP-7 polymer. Sequencing of plasmids was performed using T7 and SP6 promoter primers. Data were analyzed using PE-Biosystems version 3.7 of Sequencing Analysis.
- MWG operon: 50-100ng/µl of plasmid DNA in a final volume of 15µl was sent to MWG operon for commercial single strand sequencing using T7 and SP6 promoter primers.
- University of Exeter: following agarose gel electrophoresis, DNA was obtained directly from the agarose gel by stabbing the bands with a p20 pipette tip while being visualised with the UV transilluminator. The DNA was then re-amplified

by RT-PCR, using the same primers used for the initial PCR amplification, tagged with M13 primers (primer sequences are listed in appendix A), under the same cycling conditions as the initial PCR reaction. The resulting re-PCR products were then sequenced at the University of Exeter.

## **3.2.4 Analysis of sequencing results**

All sequenced plasmid DNA templates were analysed by first identifying SP6 or T7 promoter primer sequences, and M13 primer sequences, and then identifying the insert sequences. The plasmid insert sequences were entered into NCBI Nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTN) to confirm that they were actual *HER2* mRNA sequences (NCBI, 2010). After sequences were confirmed to be *HER2*, insert sequences were then aligned using Clustal Omega Multiple Sequence Alignment Tool (European Bioinformatics Institute, 2010) with the reference *HER2* sequence to determine homology between sequences obtained from GenBank and sequences obtained from cloned inserts of PCR amplified products.

## 3.3 Results

## 3.3.1 Detection of *HER2* protein in cell lines by

## Immunohistochemistry

Immunohistochemistry was performed to give a general overview of *HER2* expression in cell lines. Three breast cancer cell lines; SKBR3 (*HER2* 3+; ER-; PR-), BT-20 (*HER2*-; ER-; PR-) and MCF-7 (*HER2*-, ER+; PR+) were used for immunohistochemical analysis. The antibodies used for detection of *HER2* bind to the extracellular domain while the ER and PR antibodies bind to the nucleus. *HER2* antibodies are not known to bind to a specific *HER2* isoform, and may therefore be positive to the generic wild-type *HER2*, regardless of any isoforms which may be co expressed in the same cells. Monoclonal antibodies used in this study and their specificities are listed in Table 3.1.

**SKBR-3 cell line:** Immunohistochemical analysis of SKBR3 cell line showed the presence of membrane staining with SP3 and CB11 monoclonal antibodies (Figures 3.2 and 3.3), which is indicative of *HER2* positivity. The absence of nuclear staining of SKBR3 cells with 6F11 and PGR636 antibodies (Figures 3.4 and 3.5) show negative results for Oestrogen and Progesterone receptors respectively.

**BT-20 cell line:** Immunohistochemical analysis of BT-20 cell line showed the absence of membrane staining with SP3 and CB11 antibodies (Figures 3.2 and 3.3), which is indicative of *HER2* negativity. The absence of nuclear staining of BT-20 cell line with 6F11 and PGR636 antibodies (Figures 3.4 and 3.5) is also indicative of negativity of oestrogen and progesterone receptors respectively.

**MCF-7 cell line:** Immunohistochemical analysis of MCF-7 cell line showed the absence of membrane staining with SP3 and CB11 antibodies (Figures 3.2 and 3.3), which is indicative of *HER2* negativity. The presence of nuclear staining in MCF-7 cell line with CB11 and PGR636 antibodies (Figures 3.4 and 3.5) is indicative of oestrogen and progesterone receptor positivity, respectively. Negative controls used in immunohistochemistry, stained negative for SP3 antibody (Figure 3.6).

The results obtained from immunohistochemistry correlate well with previous *HER2* studies on the cell lines used in this study (Rhodes *et al.*, 2010) However, overall protein expression as determined by IHC may not be conclusive in determining the patients' splice variant status, and therefore may not be sufficient in predicting patients' response to treatment.



Figure 3.2: Immunohistochemical staining of cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and MCF-7 (C) using SP3 monoclonal antibody. Membrane staining of SKBR3 indicates *HER2* positivity (magnification: x 40).



Figure 3.3: Immunohistochemical staining of cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and MCF-7 (C) using CB11 monoclonal antibody. Membrane staining of SKBR3 indicates *HER2* positivity (magnification: x 40).



Figure 3.4: Immunohistochemical staining of cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and MCF-7 (C) using 6F11 monoclonal antibody. Nuclear staining of MCF-7 indicates ER positivity (magnification: x 40).



Figure 3.5: Immunohistochemical staining of cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and MCF-7 (C) using PGR636 monoclonal antibody. Nuclear staining of MCF-7 indicates PR positivity (magnification: x 40).



Figure 3.6: Negative controls used in immunohistochemistry showing cell lines SKBR3 (A), BT-20 (B) and MCF-7 (C) using SP3, CB11 and 6F11 monoclonal antibodies respectively (magnification: x 40).

## 3.3.2 Detection of HER2 mRNA expression in cell lines by RT-PCR

The Polymerase Chain Reaction provides a rapid and sensitive method for amplifying a specific segment of complementary DNA (cDNA) produced by reverse-transcription of RNA extracted from cells or tissues, making it possible to delineate a template sequence or a specific region of a gene of interest. The specified sequence corresponds to the amplicon size of the PCR product. PCR has also been used to identify alternative

splice isoforms in genes where products which do not correspond to the expected amplicon size have been obtained.

RT-PCR analysis of cell lines shows that there are numerous potential alternative splice variants in *HER2*. In addition to the already published exon 16 deleted *HER2* isoform using primer pairs NP1/NP2 and NP5/NP6 (Figures 3.15 and 3.16) (Kwong & Hung, 1998), multiple bands were observed in exons 12-15 with primers E12F/E15R (Figure 3.10), exons 15-19 with primers E15F/E19R, and exons 19-22 with primers E19F/E22R (Figure 3.12). To ensure that the RT-PCR results were from actual *HER2* mRNA and not genomic DNA, all RNA templates were treated with RQ1 RNAse-Free DNAse (Promega, UK). As an added control, a no RT template was added to each reverse transcription reaction, and the template was PCR amplified along with the experimental cDNA samples. RT-PCR results are representative of three biological repeats.



Figure 3.7: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 3-6 (primer pair E3F+E6R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-453 show expected band sizes at 478 base pairs. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 3.8: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 6-9 (primer pair E6F+E9R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-453 show expected band sizes at 441bp base pairs. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 3.9: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 9-12 (primer pair E9F+E12R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-453 show expected band sizes at 454 base pairs. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 3.10: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 12-15 (primer pair E12F+E15R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-453 show expected band sizes at 432 base pairs, and MDA-MB-453 shows two unexpected additional bands, indicative of potential novel alternative splice variants. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 3.11: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 15-19 (primer pair E15F+E19R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-453 show expected band sizes at 480 base pairs; SKOV-3, SKBR-3, and MDA-MB-453 show one unexpected additional band, indicative of potential novel alternative splice variants. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.


Figure 3.12: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 19-22 (primer pair E19F+E22R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3, SKBR3 and MDA-MB-453 show expected band sizes at 450 base pairs; SKOV3 and MDA-MB-453 shows two unexpected additional bands, indicative of potential novel alternative splice variants. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 3.13: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 22-25 (primer pair E22F+E25R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3 and MDA-MB-453 show expected band sizes at 489 base pairs. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 3.14: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 25-27 (primer pair E25F+E27R) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3 and MDA-MB-453 show expected band sizes at 450 base pairs. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 3.15: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 16-18 (primer pair NP1+NP2) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3, SKBR3, MDA-MB-453 and MDA-MB-361 show expected band sizes at 266 base pairs; MDA-MB-453 and MDA-MB-361 show one expected additional band at approx. 224bp. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 3.16: RT-PCR amplification of *HER2* exons 16-18 (primer pair NP5+NP6) using all six cell lines, and a negative (no RT) control. SKOV3, SKBR3, MDA-MB-453 and MDA-MB-361 show expected band sizes at 146 base pairs; SKBR3 shows one additional band at approximately 104bp. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.

Quality control results for cDNA and RNA templates used for the assessment of HER2

mRNA expression are shown in tables 3.1 and 3.2.

SAMPLE	ng/µl	260/280
SKOV3	1019.6	1.99
SKBR3	696.8	1.95
BT-20	441.2	1.90
MCF-7	501.7	1.93
MDA-MB-453	494.3	1.94
MDA-MD-361	432.2	1.92

Table 3.1: RNA concentrations and absorbance at 260/280 for each cell line. The ratio of absorbance at 260/280 is used to assess the purity of RNA and DNA. A ratio of ~2.0 and ~1.8 is generally accepted as 'pure' for RNA and DNA respectively.

SAMPLE	ng/µL	260/280
SKOV3	1718.3	1.8
SKBR3	1709.9	1.8
BT-20	2036.4	1.77
MCF-7	1764.7	1.78
MDA-MB-453	1666.8	1.79
MDA-MD-361	1661.3	1.78

Table 3.2: cDNA concentrations and absorbance at 260/280 for each cell line. The ratio of absorbance at 260/280 is used to assess the purity of RNA and DNA. A ratio of ~2.0 and ~1.8 is generally accepted as 'pure' for RNA and DNA respectively.

#### 3.3.3 Analysis of *HER2* cDNA amplicon sequences

To ensure that the results obtained from the sequences were actual *HER2* mRNA, the sequences were entered into NCBI Nucleotide Basic Local Alignment Search Tool (BLASTN) after which the insert sequences were then aligned to the full *HER2* sequence using Clustal Omega to determine homology between sequences obtained from GenBank and sequences obtained from cloned inserts of PCR amplified products. Bioinformatic analysis was then carried out on the regions of the *HER2* gene with potential alternative splice variants observed as loss of entire exons (cassette exons) or loss of part of an exon (alternative 3' or 5' splice sites). Full sequence alignment of the rest of the *HER2* exons resulting from the RT-PCR products which did not show multiple bands, or which did not have alternative splice variants, are found in Appendix A.

#### HER2 Exons 12-15; top band (Primers E12F + E15R)

HER2FL INSERT	CTTCGTGCACACGGTGCC-CTGGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCC TGCAGGCGGCCGCGAATTCACTAGT-GATTGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCC **** .*** * .: ** ** :* ***************
HER2FL INSERT	ACACTGCCAACCGGCCAGAGGACGAGTGTGTGGGGCGAGGGCCTGGCCTGCCACCAGCTGT ACACTGCCAACCGGCCAGAGGGACGAGTGTGTGGGGCGAGGGCCTGGCCTGCCACCAGCTGT **********************************
HER2FL INSERT	GCGCCCGAGGGCACTGCTGGGGTCCAGGGCCCACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCC GCGCCCGAGGGCACTGCTGGGGTCCAGGGCCCACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCC
HER2FL INSERT	TTCGGGGCCAGGAGTGCGTGGAGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATG TTCGGGGCCCAGGAGTGCGTGGAGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATG
HER2FL INSERT	TGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGCCGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGA TGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGCCGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGA
HER2FL	**************************************
HER2FL	TCTGCGTGGCCCGCTGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGA
INSERT	TCTGCGTGGCCCGCTGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGA ***********************************
HER2FL INSERT	AGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCT AGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCAAATCGAATTCCC ********************************

Figure 3.17: Sequence alignment of *HER2* insert with the reference *HER2* exons 12-15 using Clustal Omega. The alignment shows the expected gene sequence for the region amplified in the top band using primer pairs E12F + E15R.

#### HER2 Exons 12-15; bottom band (Primers E12F + E15R)

HER2FL INSERT	TGGCGCCTACTCGCTGACCCTGCAAGGGCTGGGCATCAGCTGGCTG
HER2FL	GAGGGAACTGGGCAGTGGACTGGCCCTCATCCACCATAACACCCCACCTCTGCTTCGTGCA
INSERT	GAGGGAACTGGGCAGTGGACTGGCCCTCATCCACCATAACACCCCACCTCTGCTTCGTGCA
HER2FL	CACGGTGCCCTGGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAA
INSERT	CACGGTGCCCTGGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAA
HER2FL INSERT	CCGGCCAGAGGACGAGTGTGTGGGGCGAGGGCCTGGCCT
HER2FL INSERT	GCACTGCTGGGGTCCAGGGCCCACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCCTTCGGGGCCA
HER2FL INSERT	GGAGTGCGTGGAGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAG GCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAG **********************************
HER2FL	GCACTGTTTGCCGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGG
INSERT	GCACTGTTTGCCGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGG
HER2FL INSERT	ACCGGAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT
HER2FL	CCGCTGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGA
INSERT	CCGCTGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGA
HER2FL	TGAGGAGGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTC
INSERT	TGAGGAGGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTC

Figure 3.18: Sequence alignment of *HER2* insert with the wild type *HER2* exons 12-15 using Clustal Omega. The alignment shows the deletions in the gene sequence for the region amplified in the top band using primer pairs E12F + E15R. The missing sequence corresponds with the skipping of exon 13.

#### HER2 Exons 15-19; top band (Primers E15F + E19R)

HER2	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT
INSERT	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCTTCTGCGTGGCCCGC
	***************************************
HER2	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG
INSERT	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG
	*****************
HER2	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC
INSERT	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC
	******************
HER2	AAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT
INSERT	AAGGGCTGCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT
	*******
HER2	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG
INSERT	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG
	*****************
HER2	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCG
INSERT	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCG
	*******
HER2	CTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAG
INSERT	CTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAG
	*******
HER2	CTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAGGGCATCTGG
INSERT	CTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAGGGCATCTGG
	*******
HER2	ATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACACA
INSERT	ATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACACA
	*********
HER2	TCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGAC
INSERT	TCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGAC
	*****

Figure 3.19: Sequence alignment of *HER2* insert with the wild type *HER2* exons 15-19 using Clustal Omega. The alignment shows the expected gene sequence for the region amplified in the top band using primer pairs E15F + E19R.

#### HER2 Exons 15-19; lower band (Primers E15F + E19R)

and the second second		
HER2FL	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT	60
INSERT	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT	60
	***************************************	
HER2FL	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG	120
INSERT	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG	120
	***********	
HER2FL	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC	180
INSERT	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC	180
	***********	
HER2FL	AAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT	240
INSERT	AAGGGCTGCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT	240
	*******	
HER2FL	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG	300
INSERT	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG	300
	***********	
HER2FL	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCG	360
INSERT	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCG	360
	********	
HER2FL	CTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAG	420
INSERT	CTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAG	420
	**********	
HER2FL	CTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAGGGCATCTGG	480
INSERT	CTGAGGAAGGGCATCTGG	438
	********	
HER2FL	ATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACACA	540
INSERT	ATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACACA	498
	****************	
HER2FL	TCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGAC 570	
INSERT	TCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGAC 528	
	****	

Figure 3.20: Sequence alignment of *HER2* insert with the wild type *HER2* exons 15-19 using Clustal Omega. The alignment shows the deletions in the gene sequence for the region amplified in the top band using primer pairs E15F + E19R. This deletion corresponds with the use of an alternative 3' splice site in exon 18.

### HER2 Exons 15-18; top band (Primers NP1 + NP2)

HER2 INSERT	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT	60 60
HER2	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG	120
INSERT	***************************************	120
HER2	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC	180
INSERT	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC	180
HER2	AAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT	240
INSERT	AAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT *************************	240
HER2	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG	300
INSERT	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG **************************	300
HER2	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCG	360
INSERT	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCG ********************************	360
HER2	CTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAG	420
INSERT	CTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGAGGGAG	420
HER2	CTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAG 471	
INSERT	CTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAG 471	
	*********	

Figure 3.21: Sequence alignment of *HER2* exons 15-18 using Clustal Omega. The alignment shows the expected gene sequence for the region amplified in the top band using primer pairs NP1 + NP2.

#### HER2 Exons 15-18; bottom band (Primers NP1 + NP2)

HER2 INSERT	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT	60 60
	*****	
HER2	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG	120
INSERT	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG	120
UFD)	C3CCCCC27CC3CCC77CCCCC27CC3CCC3CCC3CCC7CC7CC2CC7CC23CC7CC3CC23CC	180
INSERT	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCGAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCC	162
	*******	
HER2	AAGGGCTGCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT	240
INSERT	CCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT	192
	*************************************	
HER2	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG	300
INSERT	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG	252
	***************************************	
HER2	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCG	360
INSERT	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCG	312
	***************************************	
HER2	CTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAG	420
INSERT	CTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAG	372
	************	
HER2	CTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAG 471	
INSERT	CTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAG 423	
	***************************************	

Figure 3.22: Sequence alignment of *HER2* exons 15-18 using Clustal Omega. The alignment shows deletions in the gene sequence for the region amplified in the bottom band using primer pairs NP1 + NP2. This deletion corresponds to the skipping of exon 16.

#### HER2 Exons 15-17; Top band (Primers NP5 + NP6)

HER2	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT
INSERT	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT
	***************************************
HER2	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG
INSERT	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG
	*****************
HER2	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC
INSERT	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC
	***************************************
HER2	AAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT
INSERT	AAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT
	***************************************
HER2	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG
INSERT	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG
	***************************************
HER2	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAG
INSERT	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAG
	************

Figure 3.23: Sequence alignment of *HER2* exons 15-17 using Clustal Omega. The alignment shows the expected gene sequence for the region amplified in the top band using primer pairs NP5 + NP6.

#### HER2 Exons 15-17; Bottom band (Primers NP5 + NP6)

HER2	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT
INSERT	GAGGCTGACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCT
	************
HER2	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG
INSERT	TGCCCCAGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAG
	***********
HER2	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGAC
INSERT	GAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTC
	*********
HER2	AAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT
INSERT	CCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTT
	***********************
HER2	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG
INSERT	GGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAG
	***************************************
HER2	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAG
INSERT	CAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAG
	*********

Figure 3.24: Sequence alignment of *HER2* exons 15-17 using Clustal Omega. The alignment shows deletions in the gene sequence for the region amplified in the bottom band using primer pairs NP5 + NP6. This deletion corresponds to the skipping of exon 16.

Of all the additional bands observed in RT-PCR experiments, only the bands obtained with E12F+E15R, E15F+E19R, NP1+NP2 and NP5+NP6 were successfully sequenced. Additional bands were observed with primer pairs E19F+E22R, and E12F+E15R. Sequencing of these bands, however, did not produce reliable results. This may have been as a result of inadequate template concentrations required for the reliable sequencing of plasmids or artefacts produced in the cDNA amplification process. RT-PCR amplification of exons 1 and 2 did not produce bands after agarose gel electrophoresis. Sequence alignments for all other primer pairs for the *HER2* gene where additional bands were not observed, can be found in Appendix A.

#### 3.4 Summary

Analyses of SKBR3, BT-20 and MCF-7 cell lines by immunohistochemistry show that *HER2* is expressed in SKBR-3 cell lines, and not in BT-20 and MCF-7 cell lines. This is consistent with published studies on the *HER2* expression in these cell lines (Figures 3.2-3.6). Immunohistochemical testing of breast tumours is a reliable method of testing for *HER2* status in breast cancer patients. However, overall protein expression as determined by IHC may not be conclusive in determining a patient's response to treatment.

RT-PCR analysis of cell lines shows that there are novel alternative splice variants in *HER2*. In addition to the already published exon 16 deleted *HER2* isoform, two novel splice variants of *HER2* have been successfully characterised; a cassette exon in exon 13, in which the entire exon is skipped, and an alternative 5' splice site in exon 18, in which the 42 bases corresponding with 14 amino acids at the 3' end of the exon have been skipped.

## CHAPTER 4. BIOINFORMATIC ANALYSIS OF *HER2* AND *HER2* ALTERNATIVE SPLICE VARIANTS

#### 4.1 Introduction

The Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) gene (accession number NM 004448) was first isolated in 1985 (Coussens et al., 1985; Semba et al., 1985; Bargmann, Hung & Weinberg, 1986) in rat NIH3T3 cells as an oncogene called neu, and shown to reside on chromosome 17 (Figure 4.1). HER2 is classified as one of the most important genes in human cancer, because of its frequent amplification in cancers such as breast carcinomas (Shih et al., 1981). Schechter et al (1985) showed that the neu gene encoded a protein of relative molecular mass 185,000 (p185) (Schechter et al., 1985). The neu gene was found to share significant similarity to the avian erythroblastosis virus (v-erbB), and was homologous with the cellular gene (c-erbB) which encodes EGFR (Downward et al., n.d.; Vennström & Bishop, 1982; Yamamoto et al., 1983). Schechter et al (1985) also demonstrated that the homology between the proteins encoded by the *neu* and *c-erbB* genes were limited to the kinase domain of the EGFR protein, and the human v-erbB-related sequence was then identified, and shown to be distinct from EGFR (Yamamoto et al., 2011). The HER2 nucleic acid sequence is approximately 4.8kb long, the open reading frame encodes a 1255 amino acid protein approximately 185kDa in mass. An extensive bioinformatic analysis of the HER2 gene and protein structure is available on databases such as ScanProsite, UniprotKB and NCBI. With the discovery of novel splice variants of *HER2* as described in chapter 2, the use of bioinformatics was interrogated to investigate the potential functional and structural differences between alternative splice variants of *HER2*, and the regulation of splicing in the *HER2* gene.

#### 4.2 Objectives

- 1. To review the literature and databases on the bioinformatics of *HER2* gene and protein.
- 2. To use bioinformatics to analyse the functional properties of the wild-type *HER2* gene.
- 3. To use bioinformatics to understand the role and function of *HER2* alternative splice variants in comparison to the wild-type *HER2*.

#### 4.3. Methods

#### 4.3.1 *HER2* sequence retrieval

The *HER2* mRNA sequence was obtained using NCBI Refseq<sup>®</sup>, a genetic sequence database which contains an annotated collection of all publicly available DNA sequences. *HER2* exons were configured using the Friendly Alternative Splicing and Transcripts DataBase (FastDB; GenoSplice technology, Paris). The *HER2* protein sequence was obtained using the UniprotKB blast tool, a database which consists of high quality and freely accessible resource of protein sequences and their functional information. The *HER2* protein sequence was also derived from the *HER2* RNA sequence using the ExPASy translate tool (Swiss Institute of Bioinformatics). The *HER2* 

open reading frame was predicted using ExPASy Translate Tool and the NCBI ORF finder.

#### 4.3.2 Alignment of *HER2* transcript variants and isoforms

*HER2* transcript variants and *HER2* isoforms obtained from the NCBI database were aligned using the Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool (Appendix B). Novel *HER2* splice variants and their isoforms characterised during this study were aligned in comparison with the *HER2* transcript variant 1 mRNA (Accession number NM\_004448) using the Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool (Appendix B).

# 4.3.3 Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites in *HER2* alternative splice variants.

SpliceAid, a database of experimentally assessed human RNA target sequences (introni.it, 2013), was used to identify motifs that may predict the pattern of RNA splicing by identifying splice factors which are involved in *HER2* splicing. The SpliceAid database was used to predict binding motifs for exonic splice enhancers (ESE), Intronic splice silencers (ISS), exonic splice silencers (ESS) and Intronic splice enhancers (ISE) within the alternatively spliced exons and their flanking introns.

# 4.3.4 Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type *HER2* protein

Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type *HER2* protein was carried out by entering the amino acid sequence of *HER2* isoform 1 (accession number P02464) into various bioinformatic databases; this variant encodes the longest protein isoform, and has been chosen as the 'canonical' *HER2* sequence. All comparative analyses in this study have been made in reference to it. The *HER2* protein sequence analysis was carried out using UniprotKB, and the prediction of *HER2* domain structure and function was obtained using ScanProsite. The Protein Families (Pfam) database (Wellcome trust, Sanger Institute, Cambridge) was used to predict the functional domains of *HER2* and their amino acid sequences. The secondary structure of *HER2* was predicted using the PSIPRED programme. The *HER2* protein sequence was then entered into the Protein Homology/Analogy Recognition Engine V 2.0 (Phyre<sup>2</sup>) programme to predict its tertiary structure. ProtParam was used to predict the molecular weight, half-life and amino acid composition of *HER2*.

#### 4.4. Results

#### 4.4.1 *HER2* RNA sequence analysis

The NCBI Genbank<sup>®</sup> nucleotide search using the search term '*HER2*' returned the following results:

- Definition: *V-erb-b2* avian erythroblastic leukemia viral oncogene homolog 2 (*ERBB2*), transcript variant 1, mRNA.
- Accession: NM\_004448.
- Source organism: Homo sapiens.
- Location: 17q12.
- Genomic sequence: 17; NC\_000017.11 (39688140...39728662) reference
  GRCH38 p13 primary assembly.

*HER2* is flanked upstream by post-*GPI* attachment to proteins 3 (*PGAP3*) and migration and invasion enhancer 1 (*MIEN1*), and downstream by titin-cap (*TCAP*), Phenylethanolamine N-methyltransferase (*PNMT*), microRNA 4728 (*MIR4728*), and growth factor receptor-bound protein 7 (*GRB7*) (Figure 4.1).



Figure 4.1. Schematic representation of the location of *HER2* gene on chromosome 17, and flanking genes.

Six transcript variants of *HER2* mRNA were obtained from the NCBI database;

- Homo sapiens v-erb-b2 Avian Erythroblastic Leukaemia Viral Oncogene Homolog 2 (ERBB2), Transcript Variant 1, mRNA (Accession number NM\_004448): This 4,664 base pair transcript encodes a protein known as HER2 isoform a, which represents the longest HER2 protein isoform.
- 2. Homo sapiens v-erb-b2 Avian Erythroblastic Leukaemia Viral Oncogene Homolog 2 (ERBB2), Transcript Variant 2, mRNA (Accession number NM\_001005862): The HER2 transcript variant 2 is 4,889 base pairs long and encodes a protein known as HER2 isoform b. The HER2 transcript variant 2 lacks a portion of the 5' coding region, and initiates translation at a downstream start codon, resulting in a shorter N terminus, compared to isoform a.
  - 3. Homo sapiens v-erb-b2 Avian Erythroblastic Leukaemia Viral Oncogene Homolog 2 (ERBB2), Transcript Variant 3, mRNA (Accession number NM\_001289936; XM\_006721766): The HER2 transcript variant 3 is 4,940 base pairs long and encodes a protein known as HER2 isoform c. Unlike the transcript variant 1, the HER2 transcript variant 3 has an alternative 5' UTR and 5' coding region, resulting in an isoform with a shorter N-terminus compared to isoform a.
  - 4. Homo sapiens v-erb-b2 Avian Erythroblastic Leukaemia Viral Oncogene Homolog 2 (ERBB2), Transcript Variant 4, mRNA (Accession number NM\_001289937): The HER2 transcript variant 4 is 4,411 base pairs long and encodes a protein known as the HER2 isoform d. this variant lacks an exon in

the 3' coding region, resulting in a translational frame shift. The resulting protein isoform has a distinct and shorter c-terminus compared to isoform a.

- 5. Homo sapiens v-erb-b2 Avian Erythroblastic Leukaemia Viral Oncogene Homolog 2 (ERBB2), Transcript Variant 5, mRNA (Accession number NM\_001289938): The HER2 transcript variant 5 is 2,590 base pairs long and encodes a protein known as the HER2 isoform e. this variant has multiple coding differences, and differs in the 5' and 3' UTRs, compared to variant 1. The resulting isoform has a shorter N-terminus and a truncated C-terminus, compared to isoform a.
- 6. Homo sapiens v-erb-b2 Avian Erythroblastic Leukaemia Viral Oncogene Homolog 2 (ERBB2), Transcript Variant 6, long non-coding RNA (Accession number NR\_110535): This 4,998 base pair transcript variant has an alternative 5' splice site compared to the HER2 transcript variant 1. The HER2 variant 6 is designated as a non-coding RNA because use of the 5'-most expected translation start codon renders the transcript a candidate for nonsense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD).

The RNA sequences of all six *HER2* transcript variants were compared using Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool (Appendix B).

#### 4.4.2 *HER2* protein sequence analysis

Four isoforms of *HER2* were obtained from the NCBI database. The protein sequences of the above *HER2* transcripts were also derived from their mRNA sequences using the Expasy Translate tool.

- HER2 isoform 1 (accession number P042626; P04626-1) is a 1255 amino acid protein, encoded by Homo sapiens v-erb-b2 Avian Erythroblastic Leukaemia Viral Oncogene Homolog 2 (ERBB2), Transcript Variant 1, mRNA (Accession number NM\_004448). This isoform is chosen as the putative (wild-type) HER2 sequence. All positional and comparative analyses of HER2 and HER2 splice isoforms are made with reference to this isoform.
- HER2 isoform 2 (accession number P04626-2) is 611 amino acids long, and is also known as CTF-611. This isoform is produced by alternative initiation at Met-611 of isoform 1, and is missing amino acids 1-610 of the canonical HER2 isoform 1 sequence.
- 3. *HER2* isoform 3 (accession number P04626-3) is 569 amino acids long, and is also known as CTF-687. This isoform is produced by alternative initiation at Met-687 of isoform 1, and is missing amino acids 1-687 compared to *HER2* isoform 1.
- HER2 isoform 4 (accession number P04626-4) is a 1240 amino acid protein produced by alternative splicing of the 5' end of isoform 1. The alternative splicing of this HER2 isoform produces replaces amino acids 1-23 (MELAALCRWGLLLALLPPGAAST...) with a shorter, 8-amino acid sequence (MPRGSWKP...).

The protein sequences of all *HER2* isoforms obtained from NCBI, as well as sequences derived from *HER2* transcript variants, were compared using the Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool (Appendix B).

102

# 4.4.3 Structural and functional characterisation of the wild-type *HER2* (isoform 1)

The analyses of *HER2* isoform 1 (P04626) sequence for structural and functional properties predicted a 1255 amino acid protein with a protein kinase region at residues 720-987aa; 2417-2438nt, an ATP nucleotide binding region at residues 726-734; 2438-2461nt, an ATP binding site at 735aa; 2462-2465nt, and an active (proton acceptor) site at 845aa; 2794-2797nt. Further analysis of *HER2* protein structure using the UniprotKB analysis tool also predicted the signal peptide at 1-22aa; 260-325nt, the receptor tyrosine kinase domain at 23-1255aa; 326-4664nt, the transmembrane domain at 653-675aa; 2215-2284nt, and the cytoplasmic domain at 676-1255aa, 2285-4664nt. The nuclear localisation signalling region is predicted at 676-689aa; 2285-2326nt (KPNB1 and EEA1 activation site), and a PIK3C2B activation site at 1195-1197aa; 3842-3851nt.

Inputting the *HER2* RNA sequence into the Pfam programme returned four distinct domains in the extracellular region of *HER2* and one domain in the tyrosine kinase region (Figure 4.2).

- Receptor L domain: This domain constitutes subdomains I and III of the HER2
  ECD (residues 52-173; 336-468, respectively). The HER2 receptor L domain makes up the bilobal ligand binding site, each domain consisting of a single-stranded right hand β-helix (Garrett *et al.*, 1998).
- Furin-like cysteine rich domain: This domain constitutes subdomain III of the *HER2* ECD (residues 183-343), and is usually found in eukaryotic proteins that

are involved in signal transduction by receptor tyrosine kinases (Raz, Schejter & Shilo, 1991).

- Growth factor receptor domain: This domain constitutes subdomain IV of the HER2 ECD (residues 510-643). Interaction between the growth factor receptor domain and the furin-like domain regulates the binding of ligands to the receptor L domains (Cho & Leahy, 2002).
- Protein tyrosine kinase domain: Tyrosine kinases are a subclass of protein kinases. This domain (residues 720-976) constitutes an enzyme that can transfer a phosphate group from ATP to a protein in the cell, functioning as an 'on' or 'off switch in a variety of cellular functions (Hanks & Quinn, 1991; Hanks & Hunter, 1995; Hunter & Plowman, 1997).



Figure 4.2 Schematic of Pfam output showing HER2 functional domains and their positions.

The PSIPRED programme predicted the following *HER2* secondary structure:  $\alpha$ -helix (2-14aa),  $\beta$ -strand (26-27aa),  $\alpha$ -helix (39-48aa),  $\beta$ -strand (54-57aa, 60-64aa, 78-81aa, 83-88aa, 100-103aa, 112-117aa, 139-140aa),  $\alpha$ -helix (147-148aa),  $\beta$ -strand (153-155aa, 181-184aa),  $\alpha$ -helix (237-241aa),  $\beta$ -strand (272-273aa, 279-281aa, 304-305aa, 312-313aa, 321-323aa, 354-356aa),  $\alpha$ -helix (360-362aa),  $\beta$ -strand (368-371aa, 374-377aa,

404-414aa, 429-432aa, 434-437aa, 440-446aa, 451-453aa, 460-461aa, 466-468aa, 493-495aa, 655-660aa, 663-667aa), α-helix (671-687aa, 709-714aa), β-strand (720-726aa, 732-741aa, 747-755aa), α-helix (761-775aa), β-strand (781-789aa, 794-799aa), α-helix (805-812aa, 819-832aa, 842-849aa), β-strand (858-861aa, 877-879aa,884-885aa, 887-888aa), α-helix (889-895aa), β-strand (911-916), α-helix (933-936aa, 949-959aa, 969-980aa), β-strand (987-988aa). The intervening sequences are random coils (appendix A).

The Phyre<sup>2</sup> programme predicted the 3D structure of the *HER2* protein, showing the positions of the  $\alpha$ -helices and the  $\beta$ -strands (Figure 4.3).

ProtParam predicted *HER2* to have a molecular weight of 137910.5Da and an estimated half-life of 30 hours. The amino acid composition of the *HER2* protein as predicted by ProtParam is detailed in Table 4.1.



Figure 4.3 Phyre<sup>2</sup> output showing the 3D structure of *HER2*. The image is coloured by rainbow from  $N \rightarrow C$  terminus.  $\alpha$ -helices are represented by coils and  $\beta$ -strands are represented by arrowed regions.

AMINO ACID	OCCURRENCE	PERCENTAGE
Ala (A)	83	6.60%
Arg (R)	71	5.70%
Asn (N)	41	3.30%
Asp (D)	65	5.20%
Cys (C)	59	4.70%
Gln (Q)	62	4.90%
Glu (E)	77	6.10%
Gly (G)	101	8.00%
His (H)	35	2.80%
lle (I)	44	3.50%
Leu (L)	138	11.00%
Lys (K)	39	3.10%
Met (M)	23	1.80%
Phe (F)	35	2.80%
Pro (P)	109	8.70%
Ser (S)	73	5.80%
Thr (T)	67	5.30%
Trp (W)	15	1.20%
Tyr (Y)	35	2.80%
Val (V)	83	6.60%

Table 4.1 *HER2* amino acid composition as predicted by ProtParam.

## 4.4.4 Analysis of potential splice factor binding sites

*HER2* DNA sequences representing alternative spliced exons and their flanking introns were analysed for potential splice factor binding motifs. The results are shown in Figures 4.5-4.7.





Figure 4.4 SpliceAid output for the analysis of splice factor binding motifs in exon 13 and 50 base pairs into the flanking introns. In exon 13 skipping, binding motifs that facilitate exon skipping are considerably more than those which facilitate exon inclusion. Positive scores represent target sequences that facilitate exon definition; exonic splice enhancer (ESE) and intronic splice silencer (ISS) motifs, and negative scores represent target sequences that facilitate intron definition; exonic splice silencers (ESS) and intronic splice enhancer (ISE) motifs. Target RNA sequences for splice factors are represented by histogram. Bars have variable heights and widths related to the binding affinity. The missing exon is highlighted in the DNA sequence.





Figure 4.5 SpliceAid output for the analysis of splice factor binding motifs in exon 16 and 50 base pairs into the flanking introns. In exon 16 skipping, binding motifs that facilitate exon skipping are present in equal numbers as those which facilitate exon inclusion. Positive scores represent target sequences that facilitate exon definition; exonic splice enhancer (ESE) and intronic splice silencer (ISS) motifs, and negative scores represent target sequences that facilitate intron definition; exonic splice silencers (ESS) and intronic splice enhancer (ISE) motifs. Target RNA sequences for splice factors are represented by histogram. Bars have variable heights and widths related to the binding affinity. The missing exon is highlighted in the DNA sequence.



Figure 4.6 SpliceAid output for the analysis of splice factor binding motifs in exon 16 and 50 base pairs into the flanking introns. In exon 13 skipping, binding motifs that facilitate exon skipping are relatively more than those which facilitate exon inclusion. Positive scores represent target sequences that facilitate exon definition; exonic splice enhancer (ESE) and intronic splice silencer (ISS) motifs, and negative scores represent target sequences that facilitate intron definition; exonic splice silencers (ESS) and intronic splice enhancer (ISE) motifs. Target RNA sequences for splice factors are represented by histogram. Bars have variable heights and widths related to the binding affinity. The missing part of exon 18 is highlighted in the DNA sequence.

#### 4.4.5 Post-translational modification of *HER2* protein

Post-translational modification is an enzymatic process of covalently altering one or more amino acids in a protein by either addition of functional groups or proteins, proteolytic cleavage of regulatory subunits or degradation of entire proteins. Posttranslational modifications occur after translation from mRNA, and after the protein has been released from the ribosome. Post-translational modifications increase the functional diversity of the proteome and are therefore critical in cell biology. Various modifications phosphorylation/autophosphorylation, post-translational include glycosylation, ubiquitination, nitrosylation, methylation, acetylation, lipidation and proteolysis. The only researched post-translational modification of HER2 that was found at this time was Autophosphorylation. In HER2, phosphorylation increases on the tyrosine residues following dimerisation. Autophosphorylation of HER2 occurs in trans; receptor dimerisation occurs when one subunit of the dimeric receptor phosphorylates tyrosine residues on the other subunit (Deng et al., 2007; Li et al., 2007).

# 4.4.6 Structural and functional characterisation of novel *HER2* isoforms

In order to predict the structural and functional differences between the wild-type *HER2* and novel *HER2* transcript variants identified in this study, a comparative bioinformatic analysis was carried out on individual transcripts and their resulting protein isoforms. The previously identified *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 transcript (Kwong & Hung, 1998)

was also analysed. All three splice variants of *HER2* are similar to the full length *HER2* transcript except for the skipping of exons 13 and 16, and an alternative 5' splice site in exon 18, respectively. Analysis of the protein isoforms of these splice variants will predict structural differences which may lead to functional changes in the *HER2* isoforms.

## 4.4.6.1. Additional band produced by primers E15F/E19R give rise to a loss of the *HER2* ATP binding pocket, and a novel *HER2* splice variant *HER2*ΔATP

The cDNA sequence of the multiple bands obtained using primer pairs E15F/E19R (Figures 3.22 and 3.24) were aligned using Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool, and revealed a deletion of 42 base pairs (lower band) compared to the wild-type *HER2* (top band) (Figure 3.11). The amino acid sequences of both bands were obtained using ExPASy translate tool, and revealed an in-frame deletion of 14 amino acids. The structural and functional changes were compared to the *HER2* isoform 1 (P04626), and revealed the deletions in the lower *HER2* amplicon to be the loss of amino acids 724-737 in the kinase domain of the *HER2* protein, which corresponds to the ATP binding domain (the ATP binding domain is represented by amino acids 726-734). This analysis revealed a novel splice isoform containing a deletion of the 3' end of exon 18, and more specifically, the deletion of the entire ATP binding pocket (Figure 4.8). This novel alternative splice variant of *HER2* has been designated *HER2*ΔATP.



Figure 4.7 Analysis of cDNA and amino acid sequences of multiple bands obtained using primer pair E15F/E19R.

# 4.4.6.2. Additional band produced by primers E12F/E15R gives rise to the loss of the *HER2* extracellular domain, and a novel *HER2* splice variant *HER2* $\Delta ECD$ .

The cDNA sequence of the multiple bands obtained using primer pairs E12F/E15R (Figures 3.18 and 3.20) were aligned using Clustal Omega multiple sequence alignment tool, and revealed a deletion of 133 base pairs (lower band) compared to the wild-type *HER2* (top band) (Figure 3.10). The amino acid sequence of the additional band was obtained using ExPASy, and revealed a truncated 645 amino acid *HER2* isoform. This truncated *HER2* shows a loss in amino acids 1-610 in the extracellular domain of *HER2*. Further analysis using ScanProsite and uniprotKB revealed that this novel alternative splice variant encodes a *HER2* protein with conserved active binding sites in the transmembrane domain of *HER2*, but with a loss of 644 amino acids upstream of *HER2* (*HER2*Δ*ECD* consists 652 amino acids). This novel *HER2* alternative splice variant has been designated *HER2*Δ*ECD* (Figure 4.9).



Figure 4.8 Analysis of cDNA and amino acid sequences of multiple bands obtained using primer pair E12F/E15R.

4.4.6.3. Additional bands produced using primer pairs NP1/NP2 and NP5/NP6 give rise to the HERΔ16 isoform corresponding to the loss of subdomain IV of the *HER2* extracellular domain

Both NP1/NP2 and NP5/NP6 primer sets have been used previously to identify the *HER2*Δ16 splice variant (Kwong & Hung, 1998). Although this isoform has been identified in previous studies, the present study identifies the expression of this isoform in SKOV3, SKBR3, and MDA-MB-453 and MDA-MB-361 cell lines. Expression in these cell lines has not been previously documented. Also, the splicing mechanisms underlying the deletion of exon 16 have not been elucidated. The alignment of cDNA sequences of both primer pairs confirms the expression of an alternative *HER2* isoform in addition to the wild-type *HER2*. This isoform shows a loss of exon 16, and has been shown to have increased transformation activity when expressed in *HER2* positive breast cancers (Kwong & Hung, 1998). Bioinformatics analysis using scanprosite and

uniprotkb revealed a 1239 conserved peptide with active structural functions (ATB binding domain, and tyrosine kinase domain). The cassette exon occurs in amino acids 634-649, which constitute a portion of subdomain IV of the extracellular domain of the wild-type *HER2* protein (Figure 4.10).



Figure 4.9 Analysis of cDNA and amino acid sequences of multiple bands obtained using primer pairs NP1/NP2 and NP5/NP6.

Using the information generated from the analyses of the *HER2* splice variants, a schematic was drawn comparing cDNA and protein sequences generated by the different splice variants.

#### 4.5. Analysis of new 5' splice site boundaries for $HER2\Delta ATP$

The loss of 42 nucleotides gives rise to the loss of exactly 14 amino acids. The resulting new 5' splice site boundaries for *HER2*∆ATP were analysed in relation to the vertebrate splice site consensus.

In the full length HER2 mRNA, exon 18 splices into exon 19 in this order:

...TCTACAAG**GGCATCTGG**...

In the new *HER2*<sup>Δ</sup>ATP mRNA, exon 18 splices into exon 19 in this order:

...GAGGAAG**GGCATCTGG**...

The vertebrate 5' splice site consensus is:

...MAGGURAGU...

Where:

M=A or C

R=A or G

U=C or U (T) (Elliot & Ladomery, 2011)

Therefore, the alternative 5' splice site AAG fits the consensus MAG, which indicates that the new 5' splice site in  $HER2\Delta$ ATP is a true isoform with conserved vertebrate 5' splice site.

#### 4.6. Summary

The structural and functional characterisation of *HER2* gives a better understanding of the function of the *HER2* protein, as well as the effects of alternative splicing in changing the function of the protein through change in structure.

• The loss of exon 13 gives rise to  $HER2\Delta ECD$ , a 645 amino acid protein with a loss of the entire HER2 extracellular binding domain, and consequently a
potential loss of all signalling properties, as the *HER2* signalling domains have been lost in translation. This loss may potentially result in the loss of the binding sites of *HER2*, therefore conferring resistance of *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* to *HER2* therapies targeted at the *HER2* extracellular domain.

- The loss of exon 16 constitutes the loss of *HER2* to translate amino acids 634 to 649, which is predicted to be within the region containing domain IV of the *HER2* extracellular domain. The *HER2* ECD domain IV is predicted to start and end at amino acids 510 and 643, respectively, and is designated as the growth factor receptor binding domain of *HER2* isoform 1 (P04626). The loss of exon 16 is therefore likely to alter the binding sites of *HER2*, conferring resistance to *HER2* ECD-targeted therapies.
- The utilization of the alternative 5' splice site of HER2ΔATP results in the loss of amino acids 722-735 in the protein tyrosine kinase domain of HER2 (the HER2 protein tyrosine kinase domain spans amino acids 720-976). As the HER2 ECD remains intact, HER2ΔATP would still be capable of dimerization; however, the loss of amino acids in the tyrosine kinase region may inhibit phosphorylation and subsequent activation of downstream signalling pathways.
- HER2△ATP is identified here as a true isoform with an alternative 5' splice site in exon 18 with a conserved 5' splice site.

### CHAPTER 5. EXPRESSION OF *HER2* AND *HER2* ALTERNATIVE SPLICE VARIANTS IN NORMAL HUMAN TISSUES AND HUMAN BREAST TUMOURS

#### 5.1 Introduction

Established human cancer cell lines derived from tumours are frequently used as in vitro tumour models for human cancers, and have been used to significantly advance the understanding of cancer biology (Domcke et al., 2013). Human lesions obtained at surgery represent the real state of the tumour in vivo, and can be used to derive certain useful information such as their pathology, gene or biomarker expression, and metabolism. However, they only represent one time point in the evolution of the tumour, and therefore do not lend themselves to much experimentation (van Staveren et al., 2009). Human cell lines are an example of good experimental models as they are known to retain the hallmarks of cancer cells, are easy to propagate and genetically manipulate, and can produce reproducible results when used under well-defined experimental conditions, even after numerous passages (van Staveren et al., 2009). The use of cell lines in breast cancer studies has resulted in a wealth of information about deregulation of proliferation, migration and apoptosis, as well as the genes and signalling pathways that regulate these processes (Vargo-Gogola & Rosen, 2007; Neve et al., 2006). However, gene expression profiles may sometimes be altered by activating mutations of kinases in cell lines which may not be present in primary breast tumours (van Staveren et al., 2009).

The discovery of new alternative splice variants of *HER2* in *HER2* positive breast cancer cell lines in this study gives rise to a need for further exploration of these splice variants in human samples from a normal tissue panel and clinical cases of *HER2* positive breast cancer.

The use of a normal tissue panel and *HER2* positive breast tumour samples to test for *HER2* expression in this study was in order to investigate tumour-specificity of *HER2* alternative splice variants, particularly *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP and *HER2* $\Delta$ ECD. In a study by Mitra *et al* (2009), a panel of 18 normal tissues showed no expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ 16. However *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 was detected in 51% of a cohort of 85 primary invasive breast tumours. *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 is therefore said to be a tumour-specific *HER2* oncogene (Mitra *et al.*, 2009).

For the benefit of this chapter, only the exons which have been confirmed to have alternative splice variants were analysed for the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variant expression in human samples. qPCR probes were designed to target the wild-type *HER2* gene and to detect expression of *HER2*\DeltaECD, *HER2*\Delta16 and *HER2*\DeltaATP.

#### 5.2 Objectives

- 1. To investigate the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in normal tissues.
- 2. To investigate the expression of *HER2* and novel *HER2* alternative splice variants in *HER2* positive human breast cancer tissues which have been processed by freezing, and samples which have been processed by formalin fixation and embedded in paraffin wax (FFPE).

3. To compare the expression of *HER2* and novel *HER2* splice variants in normal tissues and human breast cancer tissues.

#### 5.3 Methods

Standard RT-PCR primers listed in Table A 1 were used in the amplification of exons 12-15, exons 15-19, and exons 16-18. Taqman probes for the detection of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants by quantitative real-time PCR were designed by Primer Design (Southampton,UK). Primer sequences are listed in Table 5.1.

PRIMER NAM	ИE	SENSE PRIMER	ANTISENSE PRIMER	PRODUCT
				LENGTH
ERBB2 (Glob	al)	ACCTTCCTTCCTGCTTGAGT	GCCTCAGAATCCACAAAGACT	94
ERBB2_ex13	del	CCAGAGGACGAGTGTGGAG	CGGTCCAAAACAGGTCACTG	120
ERBB2_ex16	del	CAACTGCACCCACTCCCCT	CCAAGACCACGACCAGCAG	71
ERBB2_ex18	del	GGAGCTGAGGAAGGGCAT	GGCTTTGGGGGGATGTGTTTT	94

Table 5.1 Primer sequences for the detection of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants by qRT-PCR.

### **5.3.1** Analysis of cDNA samples from a normal tissue panel for the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants

A panel of ten BioBank human cDNA samples consisting of normal tissues was obtained from Primer Design (Southampton, UK). The BioBank is a high quality source of cDNA validated for use in real-time PCR experiments. The cDNA is reverse transcribed from high quality, DNAse treated RNA, from a variety of tissues or cell cultures, using an optimised blend of oligo-dT and random nonamer primers. BioBank cDNA is therefore free of genomic DNA and PCR inhibitors and covers the widest possible range of RNA and mRNA transcripts in the specified tissue or cell line. BioBank cDNA is useful for expression profiling of newly identified genes, and also as a positive control for real-time PCR. The normal tissue panel consisted of the following tissues:

- Adipose
- Cervix
- Colon
- Kidney
- Liver
- Lung
- Ovary
- Placenta
- Prostate
- Spleen

The panel of normal tissues for used *HER2* testing was based on the repository of cDNA samples available for selection. To eliminate variations in results, tissues used were all treated from RNA extraction to reverse transcription, using the same protocol and processed at the same time. Positive control primers were also supplied with the tissue samples, which detect 18s ribosomal RNA. The tissue samples from the normal tissue panel were tested for the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants by standard PCR.

### 5.3.2 Analysis of frozen clinical samples from *HER2* positive breast tumours for the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants

RNA samples from three matched invasive ductal carcinomas and adjacent normal tissues were obtained from the Wales cancer bank (Cardiff, UK). RNA extracted from frozen blocks is of high quality for use in techniques such as expression microarray systems. The RNA extraction was carried out by the Wales cancer bank using a Qiagen kit or Trizol® method. RNA was supplied as 5µg in 50µl aliquots. RNA quality was assessed by 260/230 and 260/280 ratio using a nanospectrophotometer, and then subjected to quality assurance by Agilent Bioanalyser. Table 5.2 shows a minimum data set for all three frozen samples, which includes the age of the patient, tumour type and grade, size of tumour and *HER2* status. For the purpose of this study, the samples were designated 01A, 02A and 03A for the breast tumours and 01B, 02B and 02B for the respective normal breast tissue obtained from each patient.

WCB No.	Age	Gender	Tumour Type	Tumour IHC Grade	Max Diameter of Invasive Tumour	Whole Size of Tumour	Receptor S	Status
RR6BL0 000141 (01)	48	F	Invasive Ductal Carcinoma	3+	60	80	ER-/PGR-	HER2 +
RR6BL0 000198 (02)	51	М	Invasive Ductal Carcinoma	3+	25	300	ER+/PGR-	HER2 +
RR6BL0 000409 (03)	43	F	Invasive Ductal Carcinoma	3+	50	54	ER+/PGR +	HER2 +

Table 5.2 Minimum data set for frozen samples from invasive ductal carcinomas obtained from the Wales cancer bank (Cardiff, UK). For anonymity and data protection, samples were designated numeric codes for the purpose of identification.

Following gDNA treatment and reverse transcription, the RNA samples obtained from the frozen breast tumours were tested for the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants by standard PCR and real-time PCR.

# 5.3.3 Analysis of formalin fixed and paraffin embedded (FFPE) clinical samples from *HER2* positive breast tumours for the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants.

Total RNA was extracted from FFPE samples using the RNEasy FFPE kit (Qiagen, UK) according to manufacturer's protocols. After RNA extraction and quantification, 700ng of RNA was reverse transcribed to cDNA using Maxima H Minus Reverse Transcriptase (ThermoScientific, UK) according to the manufacturer's guidelines. The resulting cDNA was then diluted 1:10 and RT-PCR was performed using GoTaq Hotstart Taq Polymerase (Promega, UK) using the following thermal cycler program: hotstart at 95°C for 2 minutes followed by 39 cycles of 95°C for 1 minute (denaturing), 56°C for 1 minute (annealing), 72°C for 30 seconds (extending), and a final extension of 5 minutes at 72°C. A soaking cycle of 4°C was included to hold the tubes after amplification, prior to agarose gel electrophoresis, or storage at -20°C.

#### 5.4 Results

### 5.4.1 Expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in cDNA samples from a normal tissue panel

**Amplification of HER2** $\Delta$ **ECD:** Figure 5.1 shows RT-PCR amplification of HER2 $\Delta$ ECD in normal human tissues. All tissue types (1-4, 6-10) except liver (5), express the wild-type HER2, but not HER2 $\Delta$ ECD. Liver tissue (5) does not appear to express either the wild-type HER2 or HER2 $\Delta$ ECD.



Figure 5.1: RT-PCR amplification of wild type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ ECD (primer pair E12F+E15R) in normal human tissue cDNA (1-10), using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. Hyperladder IV was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ ECD were 432 and 299 base pairs respectively. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.

**Amplification of HER2** $\Delta$ **ATP:** Figure 5.2 shows RT-PCR amplification of HER2 $\Delta$ ATP in normal tissues. All tissue types (1-4, 6-10) except liver (5), appear to express the wild type HER2, but not HER2 $\Delta$ ATP. In addition to the top band which represents the wild type HER2, Adipose, cervix, colon, kidney, ovary and placenta also show the smaller, lower bands which represent HER2 $\Delta$ ATP. Liver tissue (5) does not appear to express either the wild type HER2 or HER2 $\Delta$ ATP.



Figure 5.2: RT-PCR amplification of wild type *HER2* and *HER2*ΔATP (primer pair E15F+E19R) in normal human tissue RNA (1-10), using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. Hyperladder IV was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2*ΔATP were 480 and 438 base pairs respectively. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.

**Amplification of HER2** $\Delta$ **16**: Figure 5.3 shows RT-PCR amplification of HER2 $\Delta$ 16 in normal tissues. All tissue types (1-4, 6-10) except liver (5), express the wild type HER2, but not HER2 $\Delta$ 16. Liver tissue (5) does not appear to express either the wild type HER2 or HER2 $\Delta$ 16.



Figure 5.3: RT-PCR amplification of wild type HER2 and  $HER2\Delta16$  (primer pair NP5+NP6) in normal human tissue RNA (1-10), using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. Hyperladder V was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type HER2 and  $HER2\Delta16$  were 146 and 104 base pairs respectively.



Figure 5.4: RT-PCR amplification of 18s in normal human tissue cDNA and MDA-MB-453 cell line. Amplicon size is approximately 88bp. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.

A negative (no RT) control was also included in all PCR experiments to ensure that PCR amplification was derived from RNA and not genomic DNA or other contaminants. All experiments were run in triplicate to ensure reproducibility.

# 5.4.2 Expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in cDNA obtained from frozen clinical samples

#### Amplification of wild-type *HER2*:

Figure 5.5 shows the relative expression of the wild-type *HER2* in frozen clinical samples. qPCR analysis shows *HER2* expression to be generally higher in the tumours than in the matched normal tissues.



Figure 5.5: qPCR analysis of the expression of wild-type *HER2* cDNA in clinical samples. Each histogram bar is representative of one sample and three replicates (n=3). The x axis represents the individual samples (01A, 02A and 03A), and their matched normal breast tissue (01B, 02B and 03B). The error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.

**Amplification of HER2** $\Delta$ **ECD:** Figure 5.6 shows RT-PCR amplification of the wild-type HER2 and HER2 $\Delta$ ECD in cDNA obtained from frozen clinical samples. All three tumours samples express the wild type HER2, but not HER2 $\Delta$ ECD. Figure 5.7 shows the relative expression of HER2 $\Delta$ ECD in the clinical samples by qRT-PCR.



Figure 5.6: RT-PCR amplification of wild type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ ECD (primer pair E12F+E15R) in cDNA obtained from frozen tumours, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. The samples named 01A, 02A and 03A represent breast tumours, while 01B, 02B and 03B represent the matched normal breast tissue from the same patient, respectively. Hyperladder IV was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ ECD were 432 and 299 base pairs respectively. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 5.7: qPCR analysis of the expression of  $HER2\Delta$ ECD in clinical samples. Each histogram bar is representative of one sample and three replicates (n=3). The x axis represents the individual samples (01A, 02A and 03A), and their matched normal breast tissue (01B, 02B and 03B). The error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

Amplification of HER2AATP: Figure 5.8 shows RT-PCR amplification of the wild-type

HER2 and HER2DATP in cDNA obtained from frozen clinical samples. All three tumours

samples express the wild type HER2, but not HER2DATP. Figure 5.9 shows the relative

expression of  $HER2\Delta$ ATP in the clinical samples by qRT-PCR.



Figure 5.8: RT-PCR amplification of wild type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP (primer pair E15F+E19R) in RNA samples obtained from frozen tumours, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. The samples named 01A, 02A and 03A represent breast tumours, while 01B, 02B and 03B represent the matched normal breast tissue from the same patient, respectively. Hyperladder IV was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP were 480 and 438 base pairs respectively. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 5.9 qPCR analysis of the expression of  $HER2\Delta ATP$  in clinical samples. Each histogram bar is representative of one sample and three replicates (n=3). The x axis represents the individual samples (01A, 02A and 03A), and their matched normal breast tissue (01B, 02B and 03B). The error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

Amplification of HER2Δ16: Figure 5.10 shows RT-PCR amplification of the wild-type

*HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 in cDNA obtained from frozen clinical samples. All three tumours samples express the wild type *HER2*, but not *HER2* $\Delta$ 16. Figure 5.9 shows the relative

expression of  $HER2\Delta$ ATP in the clinical samples.



Figure 5.10: RT-PCR amplification of wild type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 (primer pair NP1 + NP2) in cDNA samples obtained from frozen tumours, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. The samples named 01A, 02A and 03A represent breast tumours, while 01B, 02B and 03B represent the matched normal breast tissue from the same patient, respectively. Hyperladder IV was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 were 266 and 218 base pairs respectively. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.



Figure 5.11: qPCR analysis of the expression of  $HER2\Delta 16$  in clinical samples. Each histogram bar is representative of one sample and three replicates (n=3). The x axis represents the individual samples (01A, 02A and 03A), and their matched normal breast tissue (01B, 02B and 03B). The error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 5.12: RT-PCR amplification of 18s in normal human tissue RNA and MDA-MB-453 cell line. Each rung of the hyperladder IV represents 100bp.

#### 5.4.3 Expression of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants in formalin

SAMPLE	HER2 STATUS	ng/µl	260/280
11	2+	19.09	1.79
15B	2+	284.52	1.94
21B	3+	86.69	1.93
24B	3+	140.99	1.89
27B	3+	367.41	1.93
28C	3+	359.44	1.95
31A	2+	179.75	1.98
34B	3+	135.91	1.94
35	2+	367.66	1.92
36B	3+	289.44	1.94
43A	2+	535.65	2
53B	3+	385.82	1.91
75A	2+	144.81	1.91
86	2+	111.84	1.93

fixed and paraffin embedded (FFPE) clinical samples.

Table 5.3: *HER2* status, quantification and integrity of RNA obtained from FFPE samples. For anonymity and data protection, samples were designated numeric codes for the purpose of identification.

**Amplification of HER2** $\Delta$ **ECD:** Figure 5.13 shows RT-PCR amplification of the wildtype HER2 and HER2 $\Delta$ ECD in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples. When matched against MDA-MB-453 as a positive control, PCR amplification shows wild-type HER2 expressed in samples 21B, 28C, 35 and 36B, and HER2 $\Delta$ ECD expressed in samples 15B, 21b, 28C and 36B.



Figure 5.13: RT-PCR amplification of wild type *HER2* and *HER2*ΔECD (primer pair E12F+E15R) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. The numbers above the lanes (11, 15B, 21B, 24B, 27B, 28C, 31A, 34B, 35, 36B, 43A, 53B, 75A, and 86) represent individual patient samples. The red lines are to aid in identification of expected amplicons. Hyperladder V was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2*ΔECD were 432 and 299 base pairs respectively.

**Amplification of HER2ΔATP:** Figure 5.14 shows RT-PCR amplification of the wildtype HER2 and HER2ΔATP in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples. When matched against MDA-MB-453 as a positive control, PCR amplification shows wild-type HER2 expressed in samples 28C, 34B, 35 and 36B, and HER2ΔATP expressed in sample 36B.



Figure 5.14: RT-PCR amplification of wild type *HER2* and *HER2*ΔATP (primer pair E15F+E19R) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. The numbers above the lanes (11, 15B, 21B, 24B, 27B, 28C, 31A, 34B, 35, 36B, 43A, 53B, 75A, and 86) represent individual patient samples. The red lines are to aid in identification of expected amplicons. Hyperladder V was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2*ΔATP were 480 and 438 base pairs respectively.

**Amplification of HER2** $\Delta$ **16:** Figure 5.15 shows RT-PCR amplification of the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples. When matched against MDA-MB-453 as a positive control, PCR amplification shows wild-type *HER2* expressed in samples 15B, 21B, 27B, 28C, 34B, 35, 36B, 43A, 53B and 75A, and *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 expressed in samples 15B, 21B, 22B, 28C, 34B, 35, 36B, 43A and 53B.



Figure 5.15: RT-PCR amplification of wild type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 (primer pair NP1 and NP2) in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control. The numbers above the lanes (11, 15B, 21B, 24B, 27B, 28C, 31A, 34B, 35, 36B, 43A, 53B, 75A, and 86) represent individual patient samples. The red lines are to aid in identification of expected amplicons. Hyperladder V was used as the DNA marker. The expected amplicon sizes for the wild-type *HER2* and *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 were 266 and 218 base pairs respectively.



Figure 5.16: RT-PCR amplification of 18s in cDNA obtained from FFPE clinical samples, using MDA-MB-453 cell line as a positive control.

#### 5.5 Summary

The use of cell line models in the investigation of alternative splicing in invasive breast and ovarian cancer cell lines in this study has led to the discovery of novel splice variants in invasive cancer. However, it is also important to correlate these findings with expression in human samples. The discovery of *HER2* alternative splice variants in human tissues particularly *HER2* positive cases of breast cancer, may lead to establishing a potential clinical significance of these new alternative splice variants.

The use of qRT-PCR in this study was in order to give a general overview of the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in tissue samples, alongside the standard RT-PCR. Due to the absence of a control sample, it is not conclusive in this study the tissue-specific changes in the expression levels of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants. It is also important to note that the detection by qRT-PCR of *HER2* expression and tissue-specific changes in *HER2* expression, though they may seem statistically significant, may only be minute changes which can only be verified by the use of a tissue control and absolute quantification of *HER2* expression in the controls to compare to the expression in the tissues tested. To summarise:

In this study, alternative splice variant HER2Δ16 was not detected in normal human tissues (Figure 5.3). This finding is in accordance with current reports (Mitra *et al.*, 2009). The newly identified HER2Δ13 splice variant was also not detected in normal human tissues. However, the HER2ΔATP splice variant appears to be expressed in normal tissues.

- The newly identified alternative splice variants *HER2*ΔECD and *HER2*ΔATP were also found to be expressed in clinical samples of breast carcinomas which were processed by formalin fixation (Figures 5.13 and 5.14).
- In accordance with findings by Mitra *et al* (2009), this study has identified the expression of the *HER2*Δ16 splice variant in *HER2* positive breast cancer, and for the first time, in FFPE tissues (Figure 5.15).

### CHAPTER 6. REGULATION OF *HER2* AND *HER2* SPLICE VARIANTS IN CELL LINE MODELS

#### 6.1. Introduction

The regulation of normal mRNA splicing is dependent on the recognition of intronexon boundaries, the removal of intervening introns, and the ligation of exons by the spliceosome (Fackenthal & Godley, 2008). In cancer cells, the fidelity of this process may be altered by defects in several splicing mechanisms (Skotheim & Nees, 2007). In some cases of misregulation of splicing, the aberrant mRNAs and their encoded proteins confer unique functions to the expressing cancer cells, and have unique properties that alter the growth and differentiation properties, and other cellular characteristics of the cell (Kim, Goren & Ast, 2008) . The regulation of alternative splicing is still being widely investigated and remains incompletely understood. It is suggested that the disruption of splicing regulatory proteins may play a role in alternative splicing (Kim, Goren & Ast, 2008). Serine and arginine-rich (SR) proteins control the functions of exonic splice enhancers (ESEs), which are purine-rich cis-acting elements that promote splicing of nearby sequences (Fackenthal & Godley, 2008). High levels of phosphorylation of SR proteins are also thought to play a role in inhibiting splicing (Gui et al., 1994). Bioinformatic analysis of binding sites for novel HER2 splice variants and HER $\Delta$ 16 in chapter 4 revealed potential splice factor binding motifs for ASF/SF2 (SRSF2), which is a splice factor in the cytoplasm phosphorylated by the SR protein SRPK1. The SRPK1 protein kinase is also involved in RNA transcript processing, and increased levels of SRPK1 have been known to play a role in the development of certain cancers such as chronic myelogenous leukemia (CML), colonic and pancreatic

carcinomas (Salesse, Dylla & Verfaillie, 2004; Hayes, Carrigan & Miller, 2007). There is also evidence that *SRPK1* plays an important role in the regulation and of Vascular Endothelial Growth Factor Receptor (VEGF), and is one of the factors responsible for the balance between the pro- and anti- angiogenic isoforms of VEGF (Nowak *et al.*, 2008). In this chapter the SR protein *SRSF1* (*ASF/SF2*) and it phosphorylating protein kinase *SRPK1* were investigated by siRNA knockdown, to determine their involvement in the regulation of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants using cell line models. Other factors were also investigated, including the role of Hypoxia Inducible Factor 1α (HIF1- $\alpha$ ), and the role of Splice factor kinases. HIF1- $\alpha$  is known to function as a tumour suppressor in breast cancer cells (Chiavarina *et al.*, 2010), and can be induced by the use of hypoxia mimetic factors such as Cobalt Chloride (Vengellur & LaPres, 2004). The HIF1- $\alpha$  protein is a transcription factor subunit with intrinsic cellular response to hypoxia. HIF1- $\alpha$  is known to be upregulated by hypoxia, and is known as a gold standard in the detection of hypoxia (Vordermark, Brown & Phil, 2003; Lekas *et al.*, n.d.; Ke & Costa, 2006).

The role of splice factor kinases was investigated by the use of protein kinase inhibitors to the protein kinase inhibitors of interest. The selection protein kinases tested in this study were based on an available repository of protein kinases which have been shown to be related to certain mammalian cancers, or have been shown to regulate certain factors which play a role in cancer. Current group research interests have been focused on investigating the roles of these protein kinase inhibitors in various cancer types including prostate cancer and leukaemia. The kinase inhibitors investigated in this study include *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY*. *SRPIN340* is a selective inhibitor of *SRPK1*.

*TG003* is a CDC2-like kinase inhibitor. The CDC2-like kinase is a member of an evolutionary conserved family of dual-specificity kinases belonging to the Cyclindependent (CDK), Mitogen-activated (MAPK), Glycogen synthase (GSK) and CDK-like kinases (CMGC) (Jain *et al.*, 2014; Rodgers *et al.*, 2010). CDC2-like kinases have been shown to alter the regulation of SR proteins both *in vitro* and *in vivo* (Rodgers *et al.*, 2010). Though a high level regulator of alternative splicing via phosphorylation of SR domains on splice factors, the connection between CDC2-like kinases and breast cancer has not been previously studied.

*INDY* is an inhibitor of the Dual-specificity tyrosine-(Y)-phosphorylation regulated kinase 1A (DYRK1A), a protein kinase that is a member of the highly conserved dual-specificity tyrosine phosphorylation regulated kinase (DYRK) family (Courcet *et al.*, 2012). DYRK1A modulates alternative splicing by phosphorylating splice factor SRSF6. It is also known to phosphorylate serine, threonine and tyrosine residues in its sequence. In addition, DYRK1A participates in multiple biological pathways, including the phosphorylation of ASF and the splicing factor SF3b1/SAP155 (Courcet *et al.*, 2012).

#### 6.2. Methods

#### 6.2.1 Treatment of cells with protein kinase inhibitors

To study the effect of protein kinases on *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants, MDA-MB-453, SKBR3 and BT-20 cells were used to investigate the effects of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY*. Stock solutions were prepared by diluting in DMSO. 1µl of DMSO was also added to each well of the untreated cells to ensure that the changes in the cells after treatments are not due to DMSO in treated cells.

Protein kinase inhibitors were added to cells according to the manufacturer's guidelines at a final concentration of  $10\mu$ M, in complete cell culture media, and incubated for 24 and 48 hours, prior to RNA extraction, reverse transcription, and qPCR analysis. In addition to untreated cells, two negative controls were also added to the treatment; *SRPIN349* was used as a negative control for *SRPIN340*, and *TG009* was used as a negative control for *TG003*.

### 6.2.2 Treatment of cells with hypoxia mimetic factor Cobalt Chloride (CoCl<sub>2</sub>)

Cells were treated with CoCl<sub>2</sub> to investigate the effects of hypoxia stimulated by CoCl<sub>2</sub> in breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-453 and SKBR3. A 100mM stock solution was prepared by dissolving CoCl<sub>2</sub> powder in sterile distilled water. CoCl<sub>2</sub> was added to complete cell culture media at final concentrations of 100 $\mu$ M, 200 $\mu$ M, 300 $\mu$ M, 400 $\mu$ M and 500 $\mu$ M. Cells were incubated for 24 hours under normal cell culture conditions before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. HIF1- $\alpha$  accumulation in cells following CoCl<sub>2</sub> treatment have been seen to increase within only 6-12 hours in western blot experiments (Ke & Costa, 2006; Al Okail, 2010). Moreover, treatment with CoCl<sub>2</sub> for longer than 24 hours in this study resulted in a high level of cell death which may be due to the high toxicity of CoCl<sub>2</sub> to the cells (Al Okail, 2010). The 24 hour time point was chosen for all CoCl<sub>2</sub> treatments. However the effects of different time points have not been carried out within the scope of this project.

### 6.2.3 siRNA silencing of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* in MDA-MB-453 and SKBR3 cell lines

MDA-MB-453 and SKBR3 cell lines were used to investigate the effects of siRNA knockdown of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* on the expression of *HER2* and the *HER2* alternative splice variants of interest. MDA-MB-453 cell line was used for *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* knockdown because it showed reliable expression of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants and is a reliable source of DNA material for sequencing. SKBR3 cell line was used for *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* knockdowns because it is *HER2* 3+ by immunohistochemistry, and represents the highest clinical expression of *HER2* based on tests used in *HER2* diagnosis.

Cells were seeded onto 6-well tissue culture plates at a density of 0.6x10<sup>6</sup> the day before transfection, and allowed to adhere overnight in their respective media + 10% Foetal Bovine Serum (FBS) + 2mM L-Glutamine, without antibiotics. On the day of transfection, cells were serum-starved for two hours in Opti-MEM I reduced-serum medium (Life Technologies, UK). Transfection was carried out using Dharmafect transfection reagent (Dharmacon, UK) at a volume of 6µl per well. Smartpool siGENOME siRNAs targeted against *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* were used at a final concentration of 100nM. Smartpool siGENOME siRNA consists of a set of 4 siRNAs provided as a single reagent, providing the advantaged of high specificity and potency. Total RNA was extracted from the cells 24 and 48 hours post transfection, followed by reverse transcription and qRT-PCR.

#### 6.2.4 Western blot analysis

To confirm changes in protein expression following transfection, siRNA knockdowns of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* in MDA-MB-453 cells were studied using western blot analysis. MDA-MB-453 cells were used for this assay due to its reliability in expressing *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants earlier in this study. Cells were transfected for 24 and 48 hours prior to protein extraction. *SRPK1* mouse monoclonal antibody clone EE-13:sc100443 and *ASF/SF2* (*SRSF1*) mouse monoclonal antibody clone 96:sc33652 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) were used as primary antibodies to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1*, respectively.  $\beta$ -actin was used as a loading control.

Antibody Clone	Specificity	Source	Concentration
EE-13:sc100443	SRPK1	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	1μg/mL
96:sc33652	SRSF1	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	1μg/mL
sc-47778	β-actin	Santa Cruz Biotechnology	0.1μg/mL

Table 6.1: Antibodies used in Western blotting and their specificities

## 6.2.5 Real-time qPCR analysis of *HER2*, *HER2* alternative splice variants, *SRPK1* and *SRSF1*.

Real-time qPCR analysis was performed following treatment of cells with protein kinase inhibitors and Cobalt Chloride, and following transfection of cells with *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* siRNAs. Double-dye probes were used for the detection of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variant expression (Table 5.1). Primers for the detection of *SRPK1*, *SRSF1* and *HIF1-* $\alpha$  by sybr green chemistry were designed by primer design UK (Table 6.2). Real-time qPCR assays were normalised against the most stable of 12 reference genes, using the *Normfinder* algorithm.

Primer name	5'-3' sequence	Product length
SRSF1 sense	GATGGAATTGTGTTTTGCGTTTT	
SRSF1 antisense	CATCTACTCGTGCTGAATCCTT	101bp
SRPK1 sense	ACAAGCAAGAAGAATCAGAGAGT	
SRPK1 antisense	CGTTCCATAAGCGTTTGATCC	124bp
HIF1-α sense	TGCCACATCATCACCATATAGAG	
HIF1- $\alpha$ antisense	TGACTCAAAGCGACAGATAACA	132bp

Table 6.2: *SRPK1, SRSF1* and *HIF1-* $\alpha$  primer sequences.

#### 6.3. Results

6.3.1 Inhibition of *SRPK1* by *SRPIN340* modulates the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in MDA-MB-453 cell line

Gene name	Stability value		
18S	0.072	Best gene	GAPDH
		Stability	
АСТВ	0.079	value	0.035
ATP5B	0.113		
B2M	0.138		
CYC1	0.119		
E1F4A2	0.155		
GAPDH	0.035		
RPLI3A	0.079		
SDHA	0.171		
TOP1	0.073		
UBC	0.119		
YWHAZ	0.153		

Table 6.3: *Normfinder* output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in MDA-MB-453 cells treated with protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY*.

#### 6.3.1.1. MDA-MB-453 cell line

The untreated samples were used as a calibrator to measure the fold change at 24 and 48 hours post-treatment, using *GAPDH* as a normalisation factor (Table 6.3).

### 6.3.1.1.1. Changes in the expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression wild-type *HER2* after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 0.94; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.07; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 1.07; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.97; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.12; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.1). After 48 hours, a significant increase was observed in the expression of the wild-type *HER2* following *SRPIN340* treatment (fold change = 0.26; p<0.05). No significant change was observed in the wild-type *HER2* after treatment with *TG003* and *INDY* (fold change = 0.93; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.28; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 1.22; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.70; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.2).



Figure 6.1: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the wild-type *HER2* in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.



Figure 6.2: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the wild-type *HER2* in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.

### 6.3.1.1.2. Changes in the expression of *HER2ΔECD* following treatment with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 1.21; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.92; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.14; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.3). After 48 hours, a significant increase was observed in the expression of the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* following *SRPIN340* treatment (fold change = 0.13; p<0.05). A slight reduction in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* was observed after treatment with *TG003* and *INDY* (fold change = 0.4; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.42; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively). These changes, however, are not statistically significant. Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 1.06; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.7; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.4).



Figure 6.3: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.4: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

### 6.3.1.1.3. Changes in the expression of $HER2\Delta 16$ following treatment with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.12; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *TG003* (fold change = 1.1; p $\geq$ 0.05). A slight reduction was observed following treatment with *INDY* (fold change = 1.53; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.98; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.23; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.5). After 48 hours, a significant increase was observed in the expression of the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 following *SRPIN340* treatment (fold change = 0.13; p<0.01). A slight reduction in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 was observed after treatment with *TG003* and *INDY* (fold change = 0.5; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.42; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively). These changes, however, are not statistically significant. Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.6).



Figure 6.5: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.6: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

# 6.3.1.1.4. Changes in the expression of $HER2\Delta$ ATP following treatment with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *TG003* (fold change = 0.96; p $\geq$ 0.05). A slight increase was observed following treatment with *INDY* (fold change = 1.31; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.96; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.16; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.7). After 48 hours, a significant increase was observed in the expression of the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP following *SRPIN340* treatment (fold change = 0.13; p<0.01). A slight increase in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP was observed after treatment with *TG003* and *INDY* (fold change = 0.4; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.42; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively). These changes, however, are not statistically significant. Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.8).


Figure 6.7: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.8: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* alternative splice variant in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

### 6.3.1.2 SKBR3 cell line

The untreated cells were used as a calibrator to measure the fold change at 24 and 48 hours post-treatment, using *ATP5B* as a normalisation factor (Table 6.4).

-			
Gene name	Stability value		
18S	0.099	Best gene	ATP5B
		Stability	
АСТВ	0.110	value	0.067
ATP5B	0.067		
B2M	0.080		
CYC1	0.098		
E1F4A2	0.119		
GAPDH	0.102		
RPLI3A	0.151		
SDHA	0.178		
TOP1	0.085		
UBC	0.170		
YWHAZ	0.080		

Table 6.4: Normfinder output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in SKBR3 cells treated with protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY*.

## 6.3.1.2.1 The expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression wild-type *HER2* after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 0.94; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.09; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 0.87; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.91; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.9). After 48 hours, there was also no significant change in the expression of the wild-type *HER2* following treatment with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.1; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.10).



Figure 6.9: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the wild-type *HER2* in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.



Figure 6.10: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the wild-type *HER2* in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.

#### 6.3.1.2.2 The expression of *HER2ΔECD* following treatment with

### protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.2; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 1.2; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.2; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.11). After 48 hours, there was also no significant change in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* following treatment with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 0.75; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 0.75; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the

expression of  $HER2\Delta ECD$  following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.1; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.12).



Figure 6.11: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.12: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

### 6.3.1.2.3 The expression of $HER2\Delta 16$ following treatment with

### protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.13). After 48 hours, there was also no significant change in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 following treatment with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.1; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the

expression of  $HER2\Delta 16$  following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.14).



Figure 6.13: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.14: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

### 6.3.1.2.4 The expression of *HER2*ΔATP following treatment with

### protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 0.96; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.1; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 1.1; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.15). After 48 hours, there was also no significant change in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP following treatment with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the

expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.0; p $\geq$ 1.0, respectively) (Figure 6.16).



Figure 6.15: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.16: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

### 6.3.1.3 BT-20 cell line

The untreated cells were used as a calibrator to measure the fold change at 24 and 48 hours post-treatment, using *B2M* as a normalisation factor (Table 6.5). Due to the significant changes observed in MDA-MB-453 cells, triple-negative BT-20 cells were treated with protein kinase inhibitors to investigate whether the changes in expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants were specific to MDA-MB-453 cells or breast cancer cells in general.

Gene name	Stability value		
18S	0.160	Best gene	B2M
		Stability	
АСТВ	0.141	value	0.100
ATP5B	0.160		
B2M	0.100		
CYC1	0.176		
E1F4A2	0.118		
GAPDH	0.171		
RPLI3A	0.151		
TOP1	0.346		
UBC	0.214		
YWHAZ	0.115		

Table 6.5: *Normfinder* output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in BT-20 cells treated with protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY*.

### 6.3.1.3.1 The expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment

### with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression wild-type HER2 after treatment for

24 hours with SRPIN340 (fold change = 0.82;  $p \ge 0.05$ ). An increased expression in wild-

type HER2 expression was observed following treatment with TG003 and INDY (fold

change = 0.04; p<0.01; 0.03; p<0.01, respectively). Negative controls SRPIN340 and

*TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.8; p $\ge$ 0.05; and 0.7; p $\ge$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.17). After 48 hours, there was no significant change in the expression of the wild-type *HER2* following treatment with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.1; p $\ge$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.1; p $\ge$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 0.9; p $\ge$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the expression of wild-type *HER2* following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 1.2; p $\ge$ 0.05; and 0.9; p $\ge$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.18).



Figure 6.17: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the wild-type *HER2* in BT-20 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.



Figure 6.18: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the wild-type *HER2* in BT-20 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.

# 6.3.1.3.2 The expression of *HER2∆ECD* following treatment with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.3; p $\ge$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 0.8; p $\ge$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 0.7; p $\ge$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 1.0; p $\ge$ 0.05; and 1.0; p $\ge$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.19). After 48 hours, there was also no significant change in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* following treatment with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 0.7; p $\ge$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 0.9; p $\ge$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 0.8; p $\ge$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the

expression of  $HER2\Delta ECD$  following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 1.1; p $\ge$ 0.05; and 1.0; p $\ge$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.20).



Figure 6.19: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.20: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

## 6.3.1.3.3 Changes in the expression of $HER2\Delta 16$ following treatment with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.3; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *TG003* (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05). An increase in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 was seen after 24 hour treatment with *INDY* (fold change = 0.6; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 0.8; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.21). After 48 hours, there was no significant change in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 following treatment with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 1.1; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant change in the expression of

*HER2* $\Delta$ 16 following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.22).



Figure 6.21: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.22: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

## 6.3.1.3.4 Changes in the expression of *HER2*ΔATP following treatment with protein kinase inhibitors

There was no significant change in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP after treatment for 24 hours with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *TG003* (fold change = 0.98; p $\geq$ 0.05). An increase in the expression *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP was observed after 24 hour treatment with *INDY* (fold change = 0.058; p $\geq$ 0.05) Negative controls *SRPIN340* and *TG009* also showed no change in expression after 24 hours (fold change = 1.1; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.9; p $\geq$ 0.05, respectively) (Figure 6.23). After 48 hours, there was also no significant change in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP following treatment with *SRPIN340* (fold change = 1.0; p $\geq$ 0.05), *TG003* (fold change = 0.98; p $\geq$ 0.05) and *INDY* (fold change = 0.92; p $\geq$ 0.05). Negative controls *SRPIN349* and *TG009* also showed no significant

change in the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP following treatment for 48 hours of MDA-MB-453 cells (fold change = 0.97; p $\geq$ 0.05; and 0.84; p $\geq$ 1.0, respectively) (Figure 6.24).



Figure 6.23: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340*, *TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.24: Effect of protein kinase inhibitors *SRPIN340, TG003* and *INDY* on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in BT-20 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

6.3.2 Induction of hypoxia by hypoxia mimetic factor Cobalt Chloride (CoCl<sub>2</sub>) inhibits the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cell line.

The untreated cells were used as a calibrator to measure the fold change at 24 and 48 hours post-treatment, using *ACTB* as a normalisation factor (Table 6.6). No results are shown for the CoCl<sub>2</sub> induction of hypoxia in MDA-MB-453 cell lines because the MDA-MB-453 cells did not thrive during this experiment.

Gene name	Stability value		
18S	0.355	Best gene	АСТВ
АСТВ	0.203	Stability value	0.203
АТР5В	0.398		
B2M	0.233		
CYC1	0.207		
E1F4A2	0.289		
GAPDH	0.438		
RPLI3A	0.284		
SDHA	0.494		
TOP1	0.258		
UBC	0.482		
YWHAZ	0.281		

Table 6.6: Normfinder output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in SKBR3 cells treated with Cobalt Chloride.

# 6.3.2.1. Changes in HIF1- $\alpha$ expression after treatment of SKBR3 cells with Cobalt Chloride for 24 and 48 hours

After 24 hours, and taking the untreated cells as 1, a significant increase was observed in the expression of HIF1- $\alpha$  with 100 $\mu$ M (fold change = 0.59; p<0.001), 200 $\mu$ M (fold change = 0.59; p<0.001), 300 $\mu$ M (fold change = 0.58; p<0.001), 400 $\mu$ M (fold change = 0.6; p<0.01), and 500 $\mu$ M (fold change = 0.7; p<0.01) concentrations of CoCl<sub>2</sub> (Figure 6.25). After 48 hours, a significant reverse of this effect is observed; HIF1- $\alpha$  expression significantly reduces after treatment with 100 $\mu$ M (fold change = 2.15; p<0.0001), 200 $\mu$ M (fold change = 2.0; p<0.0001), 300 $\mu$ M (fold change 2.9; p<0.0001), 400 $\mu$ M (fold change = 4.9; p<0.0001), and 500 $\mu$ M (fold change = 5.3; p<0.0001) concentrations of CoCl<sub>2</sub> (Figure 6.26).



Figure 6.25: Effect of Cobalt chloride treatment on  $HIF1-\alpha$  gene in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.26: Effect of Cobalt chloride treatment on *HIF1-* $\alpha$  gene in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

# 6.3.2.2. Changes in the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants after treatment of SKBR3 cells with Cobalt Chloride for 24 and 48 hours

Treatment of *HER2*-positive SKBR3 cells with hypoxia mimetic  $CoCl_2$  resulted in a significant reduction in the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants. At 24 hours post-treatment, a significant decrease in expression was observed in the wild-type *HER2* (fold change = 6.38; p<0.0001) (

Figure 6.27),  $HER2\Delta ECD$  (fold change = 4.39; p<0.001) (Figure 6.28),  $HER2\Delta 16$  (fold change = 5.14; p<0.001) (Figure 6.29), and  $HER2\Delta ATP$  (fold change = 5.13; p<0.001) (Figure 6.30). At 48 hours post-treatment, the same significant decrease in expression

was observed in the wild-type *HER2* (fold change = 6.49; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.31), *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* (fold change = 2.76; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.32), *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 (fold change = 2.9; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.33), and *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP (fold change = 2.9; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.34).



Figure 6.27: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the wild-type *HER2* in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the Ct values.



Figure 6.28: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the *HER2* decD alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples

consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.



Figure 6.29: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.30: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.31: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the wild-type *HER2* in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.



Figure 6.32: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the  $HER2\Delta ECD$  alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.33: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the  $HER2\Delta16$  alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.



Figure 6.34: Effect of Cobalt Chloride treatment on the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP alternative splice variant in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after treatment. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

# 6.3.3. The effects of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* knockdown on the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in *HER2*-positive MDA-MB-453 and SKBR3 breast cancer cell lines.

Cells transfected with a non-targeting siRNA were used as a calibrator to measure the fold change at 24 and 48 hours post-treatment, using *RPLI3A* as a normalisation factor (Table 6.7).

Gene name	Stability value		
18S	0.217	Best gene	<b>RPLI3A</b>
АСТВ	0.119	Stability value	0.030
ATP5B	0.334		
B2M	0.269		
CYC1	0.184		
E1F4A2	0.168		
GAPDH	0.760		
RPLI3A	0.030		
SDHA	0.179		
TOP1	0.093		
UBC	0.048		
YWHAZ	0.089		

Table 6.7: Normfinder output for the selection of an optimal reference gene in MDA-MB-453 and SKBR3 cells after siRNA knockdown of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors.

### 6.3.3.1 Confirmation of SRPK1 and SRSF1 knockdown in MDA-

### MB-453 cells

At both 24 and 48 hours post-transfection, a significant knockdown was observed for both *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* in MDA-MB-453 cells. Using non-targeting siRNA as a calibrator, a 24 hour transfection produced a reduction in *SRPK1* mRNA of 1.7fold ( $\pm$  0.42; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.35), and a reduction in *SRSF1* mRNA of 0.76 fold ( $\pm$  0.63; p<0.01) (Figure 6.37), and a 48 hour transfection produced a reduction in *SRPK1* mRNA of 2.0 fold ( $\pm$ 0.05; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.36), and in *SRSF1* of 1.8 fold ( $\pm$ 0.34; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.38).



Figure 6.35: Knockdown of *SRPK1* mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells after transfection with *SRPK1* smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube. MDA-MB-453 cells were transfected with 100nM of either a non-targeting siRNA or *SRPK1*-specific siRNA for 24 hours before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicates was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.36: Knockdown of *SRPK1* mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells after transfection with *SRPK1* smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube. MDA-MB-453 cells were transfected with 100nM of either a non-targeting siRNA or *SRPK1*-specific siRNA for 48 hours before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicates are biological replicates. Each biological replicates of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.37: Knockdown of *SRSF1* mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells after transfection with *SRSF1* smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube. MDA-MB-453 cells were transfected with 100nM of either a non-targeting siRNA or *SRSF1*-specific siRNA for 24 hours before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.38: Knockdown of *SRSF1* mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells after transfection with *SRSF1* smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube. MDA-MB-453 cells were transfected with 100nM of either a non-targeting siRNA or *SRSF1*-specific siRNA for 48 hours before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.39: Western blot of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* in MDA-MD-453 cells showing, 0, 24 and 48 hours post transfection. MDA-MB-453 cells were transfected with 100nM siGENOME siRNA targeting *SRPK1* or *SRSF1*. Western blot was performed using *SRPK1* mouse monoclonal antibody clone EE-13:sc100443 and *ASF/SF2* (*SRSF1*) mouse monoclonal antibody clone 96:sc33652 (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and  $\beta$ -actin was used as a loading control Protein bands indicate that *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* expression is lowest 48 hours post-transfection.(representative of n-3).

# 6.3.3.2. Knockdown of *SRPK1* and *SFSF1* shows no significant effect on the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in MDA-MB-453 cells at mRNA level

In MDA-MB-453 cells, slight changes in the expression of HER2 and HER2 splice variants were observed after SRPK1 and SRSF1 transfection. After 24 hours of transfection with SRPK1, MDA-MB-453 cells showed a slight reduction in the expression of wild-type HER2 (fold change = 1.23; p≥0.05) (Figure 6.40), HER2∆ECD (fold change = 1.28; p≥0.05) (Figure 6.41), *HER2*∆16 (fold change = 1.5; p≥0.05) (Figure 6.42), and HER2 $\Delta$ ATP (fold change = 1.59; p $\geq$ 0.05) (Figure 6.43); and a slight reduction in the expression of wild-type *HER2* (fold change = 1.45; p $\geq 0.05$ ) (Figure 6.40) and *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* (fold change = 1.54; p $\geq$ 0.05) (Figure 6.41) after 24 hours of transfection with SRSF1. These changes, however, are not statistically significant. HER2 $\Delta$ 16 and HER2DATP remain unchanged after siRNA transfection of SRPK1 and SRSF1 for 24 hours (Figure 6.42 and Figure 6.43). There were also no significant changes in the expression of wild-type HER2, HER2 AECD , HER2 A16 and HER2 ATP after transfection with *SRPK1* for 48 hours [fold difference =  $(1.4; p \ge 0.05)$ ;  $(1.35; p \ge 0.05)$ ;  $(1.0; p \ge 0.05)$ ; (1.26;  $p \ge 0.05$ ), respectively] (Figures 6.44-6.47), nor in the expression of wild-type HER2, HER2ΔECD , HER2Δ16 and HER2ΔATP after transfection with SRSF1 for 48 hours [fold difference =  $(1.56; p \ge 0.05); (1.02; p \ge 0.05); (1.17; p \ge 0.05); (0.85; p \ge 0.05),$ respectively] (Figures 6.44-6.47).



Figure 6.40: Effect of knockdown on wild-type *HER2* mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* mRNA after transfection for 24 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.41: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* mRNA after transfection for 24 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.42: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 mRNA after transfection for 24 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.43: Effect of knockdown on *HER2*ΔATP mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2*ΔATP mRNA after transfection for 24 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.



Figure 6.44: Effect of knockdown on wild-type *HER2* mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in wild-type *HER2* mRNA after transfection for 48 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.45: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* mRNA after transfection for 48 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.46: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 mRNA after transfection for 48 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.47: Effect of knockdown on *HER2*ΔATP mRNA in MDA-MB-453 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2*ΔATP mRNA after transfection for 48 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.

### 6.3.3.3. Confirmation of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* knockdown in SKBR3 cells

At both 24 and 48 hours post-transfection, a significant knockdown was observed for both *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* in SKBR3 cells. Using non-targeting siRNA as a calibrator, a 24 hour transfection produced a reduction in *SRPK1* mRNA of 4.1 fold ( $\pm$  0.08; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.48), and a reduction in *SRSF1* mRNA of 4.8 fold ( $\pm$ 0.34; p<0.01) (Figure 6.50), and a 48 hour transfection produced a reduction in *SRPK1* mRNA of 4.5 fold (p<0.0001) (Figure 6.49), and in *SRSF1* of 3.7 fold ( $\pm$ 0.56; p<0.0001) (Figure 6.51).



Figure 6.48: Knockdown of *SRPK1* mRNA in SKBR3 cell lines after transfection with *SRPK1* smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube. SKBR3 cells were transfected with 100nM of either a non-targeting siRNA or *SRPK1*-specific siRNA for 24 hours before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicates was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  values.


Figure 6.49: Knockdown of *SRPK1* mRNA in SKBR3 cell lines after transfection with *SRPK1* smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube. SKBR3 cells were transfected with 100nM of either a non-targeting siRNA or *SRPK1*-specific siRNA for 48 hours before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_T$  Ct values.



Figure 6.50: Knockdown of *SRSF1* mRNA in SKBR3 cell lines after transfection with *SRSF1* smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube. SKBR3 cells were transfected with 100nM of either a non-targeting siRNA or *SRSF1*-specific siRNA for 24 hours before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.51: Knockdown of *SRSF1* mRNA in SKBR3 cell lines after transfection with *SRSF1* smartpool siGENOME siRNA; a mixture of four separate siRNAs supplied in a single tube. SKBR3 cells were transfected with 100nM of either a non-targeting siRNA or *SRSF1*-specific siRNA for 48 hours before RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR analysis. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

# 6.3.3.4. Knockdown of *SRPK1* and SFSF1 affects the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cells at mRNA level

Transfection of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* siRNAs in SKBR3 cells shows a reduction in the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants. After 24 hours of transfection with *SRPK1*, SKBR3 cells showed a slight reduction in the expression of wild-type *HER2* (fold change = 1.02; p $\ge$ 0.05) (Figure 6.52), *HER2*\Delta*ECD* (fold change = 1.16; p $\ge$ 0.05) (Figure 6.53), *HER2*\Delta16 (fold change = 1.13; p $\ge$ 0.05) (Figure 6.54), and *HER2*\DeltaATP (fold change = 1.75; p $\ge$ 0.05) (Figure 6.55). A slight reduction is observed in the expression of wild-type *HER2* (fold change = 1.47; p $\ge$ 0.05) (Figure 6.52), *HER2*\Delta*ECD* (fold change = 1.26; p $\ge$ 0.05) (Figure 6.53), and *HER2*\DeltaATP (fold change = 1.26; p $\ge$ 0.05) (Figure 6.53), and *HER2*\DeltaATP (fold change = 1.83; p $\ge$ 0.05) (Figure 6.55) after 24 hour transfection with *SRSF1*. A more significant change is observed in the reduction of

expression of *HER2*Δ16 after transfection with *SRSF1* for 24 hours (fold change = 1.61; p<0.05) (Figure 6.54). Transfection of *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* siRNAs in SKBR3 cells shows a significant reduction in the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants after 48 hours. Transfection of *SRPK1* in SKBR3 cells showed a reduction in the expression of wild-type *HER2* (fold change = 1.81; p<0.05) (Figure 6.56), *HER2*Δ16 (fold change = 1.44; p<0.05) (Figure 6.58), and *HER2*ΔATP (fold change = 2.67; p<0.05) (Figure 6.59). At 48 hours post-transfection, there is no significant change in the expression of *HER2*Δ*ECD* following *SRPK1* knockdown (fold change = 1.42; p≥0.05) (Figure 6.57). A reduction is observed in the expression of wild-type *HER2* (fold change = 2.02; p<0.05) (Figure 6.56), *HER2*Δ16 (fold change = 1.9; p<0.05) (Figure 6.58), and *HER2*ΔATP (fold change = 3.44; p<0.05) (Figure 6.59) after 48 hour transfection with *SRSF1*. A less significant change is observed in the reduction of expression of *HER2*Δ16 after transfection with *SRSF1* and in the expression of *HER2*Δ*ECD* after transfection for 48 hours with *SRPK1* (fold change = 1.61; p≤0.05) (Figure 6.57).



Figure 6.52: Effect of knockdown on wild-type *HER2* mRNA in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in wild-type *HER2* mRNA after transfection for 24 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.53: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* mRNA in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* mRNA after transfection for 24 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.54: Effect of knockdown on *HER2*Δ16 mRNA in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2*Δ16 mRNA after transfection for 24 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the  $C_{T}$  values.



Figure 6.55: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP mRNA in SKBR3 cells 24 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP mRNA after transfection for 24 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.56: Effect of knockdown on wild-type *HER2* mRNA in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in wild-type *HER2* mRNA after transfection for 48 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.57: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* mRNA in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* mRNA after transfection for 48 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.58: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 mRNA in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 mRNA after transfection for 48 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.



Figure 6.59: Effect of knockdown on *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP mRNA in SKBR3 cells 48 hours after transfection with smartpool siGENOME siRNA specific to *SRPK1* and *SRSF1* splice factors. Non-targeting siRNA is used as a calibrator to measure the fold difference in *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP mRNA after transfection for 48 hours. Each histogram bar is representative of an average of 9 samples consisting of three technical repeats of three biological replicates. Each biological replicate was run on a different passage of cells. Error bars represent the standard deviations of the C<sub>T</sub> values.

#### 6.4. Summary

The study of the regulation of splicing in *HER2* has not been well researched to date. With the identification of alternative splice variants of *HER2*, and the identification of splice factor binding motifs for *SRSF1* in chapter 4, the roles of certain potential regulatory factors was investigated. In summary:

- Inhibition of SRPK1 via SRPIN340 increases the expression of HER2 and HER2 alternative splice variants in MDA-MB-453 cells. This regulatory effect is not seen after treatment of SKBR3 and BT-20 cells with SRPIN340.
- Inhibition of CDC2-like kinase and DYRK1A via *TG003* and *INDY*, respectively, does not show any significant effects on the regulation of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants MDA-MB-453, SKBR3, and BT-20 cells.
- Induction of HIF1- $\alpha$  by Cobalt Chloride treatment has inhibitory effects on the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in SKBR3 cells.
- Knockdown of SR proteins SRPK1 and SRSF1 in MDA-MB-453 shows an inhibitory effect on the expression of HER2 and HER2 alternative splice variants. This inhibitory effect is not seen after SRPK1 or SRSF1 knockdown in SKBR3 cells.
- The MDA-MB-453 cell line is a good model for the investigation of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants in breast cancer.
- *SRSF1* and its phosphorylating protein *SRPK1* are potential regulators of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splicing.

#### CHAPTER 7. DISCUSSION

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease, and with a wide range of histological and molecular subtypes, poses a challenge for diagnosis and prognosis in the clinical settings (Jackson *et al.*, 2013).

The *HER2* gene is an important biomarker in predicting the prognosis and outcome of patients with invasive breast cancer. *HER2* overexpression or gene amplification as determined by IHC or FISH is indicative of a more aggressive disease phenotype and low survival rates in patients with advanced breast cancer. It is well established that the *HER2* status in all breast cancer patients is crucial in identifying high risk groups and for the administration of appropriate therapies (Eroles *et al.*, 2012).

*Trastuzumab*, a recombinant monoclonal antibody targeted against the *HER2* transmembrane receptor binds with high affinity to the extracellular domain of *HER2*, providing substantial clinical benefits to patients with *HER2*-overexpressing advanced breast cancer, and improving overall survival when administered as an adjuvant in early breast cancer (Scaltriti *et al.*, 2007). *Trastuzumab* is currently the most important therapy in *HER2*-positive breast cancer. Several clinical trials have shown that *Trastuzumab* improves relapse-free survival and overall survival in patients with HER-2 overexpressing early breast cancer (Castiglioni *et al.*, 2006; Dean-Colomb & Esteva, 2008). However, due to findings that many patients with *HER2* positive breast cancer do not respond well to *Trastuzumab* therapy, recent studies have been aimed at elucidating and overcoming the mechanisms of *Trastuzumab* resistance. The

mechanisms underlying *Trastuzumab* resistance are not yet well understood, and elucidating the resistance of *HER2*-positive breast cancer to *Trastuzumab* therapy is critical in the diagnosis and treatment of patients whose cancers express this aggressive disease phenotype.

Several efforts have been made to overcome *Trastuzumab* resistance and to improve overall clinical outcome in targeted breast cancer treatment. These include the use of kinase antibodies such as *Pertuzumab* (Kolesta *et al.,* 2008). Pertuzumab binds the *HER2* extracellular domain in an area other than *Trastuzumab*, and interferes with the activation of *HER2*. An increasing body of evidence indicates that diverse adaptive and genetic changes within the transformed cell give rise to resistant phenotypes of the *HER2* protein, which allow them to survive in the presence of *HER2*-targeting antibodies (Freudenberg *et al.,* 2009). Khoury *et al.,* (2011) established that mutations in the juxtamembrane domain (*Trastuzumab* binding site) of *HER2* is a rare event, and would therefore not account for the relatively high frequency of *Trastuzumab* resistance encountered clinically (Khoury *et al.,* 2011).

This thesis establishes an overview of *HER2* expression in *HER2*-positive breast and ovarian cancer cell lines by immunohistochemistry. The results obtained correlate well with previous *HER2* studies on the cell lines used in this study (Wolff *et al.*, 2007). However, overall protein expression as determined by IHC may not be conclusive in determining a patient's true *HER2* status, and may therefore not be adequate as a single method of testing, to predict patients' response to *Trastuzumab* treatment. The antigen-antibody interaction of *Trastuzumab* and *HER2* is mediated by a portion of the

*HER2* subdomain IV which consists of amino acid residues 510 - 643. The *Trastuzumab* antigen has affinity to amino acids 557 - 603. The coding regions of these amino acid residues are present in exons 17-18. The resistance of *HER2* breast cancer to *Trastuzumab* might be an indication that *Trastuzumab* binds differentially to different *HER2* splice isoforms. To be efficacious, the antibody must be able to bind to the *HER2* receptor, and consequently exact its growth inhibitory properties. This binding to the *HER2* receptor is entirely dependent on non-covalent interactions, therefore small changes in the antigen structure such as the loss of a single hydrogen bond can significantly reduce the strength of the antigen antibody interaction by over a 1000-fold (Khoury *et al.*, 2011).

This study hypothesized that factors other than the total copy number of *HER2* may be responsible for disease progression in *HER2*-overexpressing invasive breast cancers, and that currently unidentified alternative splice variants of *HER2* may give rise to protein isoforms with potent cellular functions, which can potentially alter the binding efficiency of *Trastuzumab* to the *HER2* receptor.

Bioinformatic analysis of the reference *HER2* gene revealed four binding sites on the cell surface receptor of the *HER2* protein which consists of two ligand binding sites, a signal transduction site, and a growth factor receptor domain; and an active binding site in the transmembrane domain which is responsible for tyrosine kinase phosphorylation, acting as an 'on' or 'off' switch in a variety of cellular functions.

This thesis demonstrates for the first time, the expression of two novel *HER2* alternative splice variants, *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP and *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD*, which, due to their very distinct structural and functional differences from the wild-type *HER2*, have the potential to alter the binding affinity of *Trastuzumab* to the *HER2* receptor, thereby contributing to the cellular changes that might affect patient response to *Trastuzumab* therapy.

Analysis of the sequences obtained from the smaller band produced by primer pair E15F/E19R revealed a loss of 42 base pairs downstream of the *HER2* mRNA sequence, indicative of an alternative 5' splice site in exon 18. This alternative 5' splice site resulted in the novel *HER2* isoform *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP. The presence of a vertebrate splice site consensus sequence at the new splice boundaries suggest that this is not a splicing event mediated as a result of a mutation, but a true isoform resulting in an in-frame loss of 14 amino acids from the *HER2* protein.

Analysis of the resulting predicted protein revealed the deletions of this new *HER2* splice variant to be the loss of amino acids 724 - 737 in the tyrosine kinase domain of the *HER2* protein. The direct consequence of the loss of amino acids 724 - 737 is the loss of the entire ATP binding pocket of the *HER2* protein, which is represented by amino acids 726 - 734.

In the *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP isoform, the predicted *HER2* extracellular domain remains unchanged. Since *HER2* dimerization occurs on subdomain II of the *HER2* extracellular domain, *HER2* $\Delta$ ATP would still be capable of dimerization; however, the loss of amino acids in the tyrosine kinase region may inhibit phosphorylation and subsequent

activation of downstream signalling pathways. The activation of the ligand-binding domain of the *HER2* receptor triggers conformational changes within the *HER2* cytoplasmic domain, and the resulting phosphorylation of ATP to tyrosine residues on target substrates can only occur when the crucial key loops within the kinase domain are appropriately positioned (Telesco & Radhakrishnan, 2009). These functional loops form the molecular regulatory mechanisms in *HER2* phosphorylation; amino acid residues 884 – 850 form the catalytic loop (C-loop), which is crucial in the transfer of phosphoryl groups. Amino acid residues 761-775 and 727 – 732 form the  $\alpha$ C helix and the nucleotide binding loop (N-loop), respectively, and these two loops are responsible for the coordination of the ATP substrate tyrosine. Amino acid residues 863 – 884 form the activation loop (A loop), which coordinates the activation of the tyrosine kinase by regulating accessibility of the target substrate to the C-loop. It is predicted that the *HER2*ΔATP splice variant translates a protein which is incapable of phosphorylation, as a portion of the nucleotide binding loop is lost in translation.

Analysis of the sequences obtained from the smaller band produced by primer pairs E12F/E15R revealed a loss of 133 nucleotides corresponding to the loss of the entire exon 13. Bioinformatic analysis of this novel alternative splice variant revealed a cassette exon in exon 13. Further analysis using exPASY translate tool revealed a truncated 645 amino acid protein which lacks amino acids 1-610 of the wild-type *HER2* isoform, but has conserved active binding sites in the transmembrane domain of the *HER2* protein.

Previous studies have demonstrated a HER2 receptor termed p95HER2 similar in structure to the HER2 DECD alternative splice variant. P95 HER2 is an amino-terminally truncated carboxyl-terminal fragment (CTF) of HER2 with kinase activity that lacks the Trastuzumab binding site, which is frequently found in HER2 overexpressing breast cancer cell lines and tumours (Scaltriti et al., 2007; Sperinde et al., 2010; Sasso et al., 2011). The p95HER2 isoform is often cited as a contributing cause of Trastuzumab resistance. Studies have demonstrated that p95HER2-expressing tumours may be sensitive to the effects of *Lapatinib*, a small-molecule tyrosine kinase inhibitor which inhibits HER2 phosphorylation by binding to the intracellular kinase domain of HER2 (Awada, Bozovic-Spasojevic & Chow, 2012). The generation of p95HER2 has been speculated to be by several mechanisms; the proteolytic shedding of the HER2 extracellular domain at a site proximal to the transmembrane domain; alternative initiation of translation at the mRNA encoding HER2 from methionine 611 located before the transmembrane domain, or alternative initiation of translation at the mRNA encoding HER2 from methionine 687 located after the transmembrane domain (Scaltriti et al., 2007; Sasso et al., 2011). Interestingly, an mRNA precursor of the p95*HER2* has not been discovered to date.

This thesis predicts for the first time, a novel alternative splice variant of *HER2*, the *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* splice variant, potentially corresponding to p95*HER2* protein.

In a previous study, Sperinde *et al.*, (2010) demonstrated a novel antibody that measures p95HER2 *in vitro*. Due to the  $HER2\Delta ECD$  splice variant not being previously discovered, a method of detecting p95HER2 in HER2 mRNA has not been previously

demonstrated. In this thesis, for the first time, a method of detecting *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD* in genetic material has been demonstrated using RT-PCR priming, as well as a double-dye probe (Taqman) assay to detect the relative expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ *ECD*, a predicted precursor of p95*HER2*.

Due to the significant findings leading to the discovery of novel HER2 splice variants in this study, the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants was investigated in a small cohort of human samples, to determine whether the splice variants identified are only tumour-specific. It has been shown here that despite the challenges of reversal of cross-linking in FFPE tissue samples, RNA can be successfully obtained from FFPE samples and for RT-PCR amplification of HER2 and HER2 splice variants. RNA from FFPE sample is known to be highly degraded, and results in RNA fragments approximately 200 nucleotides in length (Abramovitz et al., 2008). It is reported here that HER2 fragments with amplicon sizes of ~400 nucleotides in length and above were detected in the FFPE samples. Of the fourteen samples in the FFPE cohort,  $HER2\Delta$ ECD was detected in three samples,  $HER2\Delta$ ATP was detected in one sample, and  $HER2\Delta 16$  was detected in six samples. Although the expression of *HER2* $\Delta$ 16 has been previously identified in human samples (Castiglioni *et al.*, 2006), it is reported here for the first time in human tissue samples preserved by formalin fixation. P95HER2, which is predicted in this study to be the protein encoded by the novel *HER2* splice variant *HER2* $\Delta$ ECD, has been previously identified in human samples as a protein. This HER2 isoform is being identified in human tissue mRNA. In addition, the  $HER2\Delta$ ATP splice variant which corresponds with the loss of the ATP binding site of the *HER2* protein has also been detected here in FFPE samples.

The regulation of HER2 and HER2 splice variants was also investigated in cell line models. The role of splice factor kinases was investigated by the use of protein kinase inhibitors SRPIN340, TG003 and INDY in HER2 3+ SKBR3, HER2 2+ MDA-MB-453, and HER2- BT-20 cell lines. Of all three cell lines, only MDA-MB-453 showed any changes in the expression of *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants. The inhibition of *SRPK1* by the use of splice factor kinase SRPIN340 greatly increased the expression of HER2 and HER2 alternative splice variants. Bioinformatic analysis of splice factor motifs in chapter 4 revealed potential binding sites for the SR kinase SRSF1 (ASF/SF2), a splice factor potent regulated by the protein kinase SRPK1. SRPIN340 is a selective inhibitor of SRPK1, and following SRPIN340 treatment of MDA-MB-453 cells, levels of HER2 were significantly elevated in the cells. Interestingly, direct siRNA knockdown of SRPK1 and SRSF1 and SRSF1 were shown to have inhibitory effects on HER2 and HER2 alternative splice variants in MDA-MB-453 cells. Little is known about the regulation of HER2 expression, nor the splice factors directly involved in HER2 splicing. The up regulation of HER2 following SRPK1 inhibition via SRPIN340, and the down regulation of HER2 following direct knockdown of SRPK1 and SRSF1 in MDA-MB-453 cells present a novel prediction that SRPK1 and SRSF1 may be potent regulators of HER2 splicing.

Hypoxia Inducible Factor (HIF1- $\alpha$ ), a potent physiologic marker for hypoxia in the cells, has been known to act as a tumour suppressor in certain cancers (Chiavarina *et al.*, 2010). The induction of HIF1- $\alpha$  in SKBR3 cells showed significantly reduced levels of *HER2* and *HER2* splice variants after as short as 24 hours following treatment with hypoxia mimetic factor CoCl<sub>2</sub>. This finding confirms that HIF1- $\alpha$  may play also a crucial

role in driving down cancer cells, and reports for the first time that HIF1- $\alpha$  may be a positive factor in the regulation of *HER2* expression and splicing.

There are areas in this research which may benefit from further investigation. For example, there is not much information available on the exact protein sequences that *HER2* antibodies bind to. With findings of new alternative splice variants which are potentially capable of altering the conformation of the *HER2* protein with serious clinical implications, targeted treatment should focus on detecting more than one *HER2* protein, as a combination of different *HER2* isoforms may contribute in giving patients a *HER2* profile, thereby making it easier to determine what treatment will be beneficial to individual patients. For example, retrospective research has found that p95*HER2* is expressed in a large number of *HER2* positive breast cancers, and co expression of p95*HER2* with the wild-type *HER2* has been found to give rise to *Trastuzumab* resistant *HER2* tumours. These studies were carried out in retrospect, and thereforE did not directly benefit the patients at the time of diagnosis. Findings of this type would inform the use of other therapies like *Lapatinib* in combination with *trasuzumab* in clinical trials, with the aim of potentially inhibiting *HER2* at the extracellular level at the same time as the intracellular.

The discovery of *HER2* splice variants in breast tissues in this study leads to the recommendation that a larger cohort be tested, to give more significant information on the expression of *HER2* alternative splice variants in women who suffer from the disease.

It is also suggested that further work be carried out on the regulation of expression of *HER2* alternative splice variants, particularly the expression of *HER2* splice variants in non-*HER2* positive cell line models, to further the investigations into the role of these splice factors in breast cancer, and the role of SR proteins and splice factor kinases in the regulation of splicing in *HER2*. The wild-type *HER2* is often coexpressed with the known *HER2* splice variants, it may be beneficial to isolate the wild-type *HER2* in cell line models, and to investigate whether resistance to *Trastuzumab* may due to de novo resistance of *HER2*, or due to the coexpression of *HER2* splice variants which may have intrinsic resistance to *Trastuzumab*.

In conclusion this thesis demonstrates for the first time two novel alternative splice variants of *HER2*, and also has predicted potential regulators of splicing in *HER2* and *HER2* alternative splice variants, including the *HER2*Δ16 variant. The detection of these splice variants in human samples indicates a potential clinical significance, which can be established through further research and replication of these findings. The wild-type *HER2* and the *HER2* alternative splice variants appear to show similar changes in expression levels following treatments that have been administered to investigate their effects in cell line models. It might therefore be beneficial, for example, to look into the expression of HER2ΔATP *in vivo*. HER2ΔATP, being a potentially less active *HER2* isoform, may intrinsically inhibit phosphorylation with a higher potency than antibodies being administered. The findings of this study put alternative splicing of *HER2* at a focal point, in a hypothesis that the effects of alternative splicing in invasive breast cancer may be the continuing cause of resistance to existing therapies and

consequently disease progression in patients with *HER2* overexpressing or gene amplified invasive breast cancer.

## REFERENCES

- Abramovitz, M., Ordanic-Kodani, M., Wang, Y., Li, Z., Catzavelos, C., Bouzyk, M., Sledge, G.W., Moreno, C.S. & Leyland-Jones, B. (2008) Optimization of RNA extraction from FFPE tissues for expression profiling in the DASL assay. [online]. *BioTechniques*. 44 (3), pp. 417–423. [Accessed 23 October 2012].
- Agus, D.B., Akita, R.W., Fox, W.D., Lewis, G.D., Higgins, B., Pisacane, P.I., Lofgren, J.A., Tindell, C., Evans, D.P., Maiese, K., Scher, H.I. & Sliwkowski, M.X. (2002) Targeting ligand-activated ErbB2 signaling inhibits breast and prostate tumor growth. [online]. *Cancer cell*. 2 (2), pp. 127–137. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Aigner, A., Juhl, H., Malerczyk, C., Tkybusch, A., Benz, C.C. & Czubayko, F. (2001) Expression of a truncated 100 kDa HER2 splice variant acts as an endogenous inhibitor of tumour cell proliferation. [online]. *Oncogene*. 20 (17), pp. 2101–2111. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Allemand, E., Guil, S., Myers, M., Moscat, J., Cáceres, J.F. & Krainer, A.R. (2005) Regulation of heterogenous nuclear ribonucleoprotein A1 transport by phosphorylation in cells stressed by osmotic shock. [online]. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 102 (10), pp. 3605– 3610. [Accessed 15 January 2014].
- Alvarez, R. & Hortobagyi, G. (2013) Dual human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 blockade for the treatment of HER2-positive breast cancer. [online]. *Breast cancer Tokyo Japan*. 20 (2), pp. 103–110.
- Andersen, C.L., Jensen, J.L. & Ørntoft, T.F. (2004) Normalization of real-time quantitative reverse transcription-PCR data: a model-based variance estimation approach to identify genes suited for normalization, applied to bladder and colon cancer data sets. [online]. *Cancer research*. 64 (15), pp. 5245–5250. [Accessed 31 January 2013].
- Anon (2013) Breast Cancer Facts and Figures 2013-2014. American Cancer Society. [online]. Available from: http://www.cancer.org/acs/groups/content/@research/documents/document/ac spc-042725.pdfdoi:10.14496/dia.6104460190.12.
- Awada, A., Bozovic-Spasojevic, I. & Chow, L. (2012) New therapies in HER2-positive breast cancer: a major step towards a cure of the disease? [online]. *Cancer treatment reviews*. 38 (5), pp. 494–504. [Accessed 20 September 2013].
- Baek, C.-M., Jeon, S.-H., Jang, J.-J., Lee, B.S. & Lee, J.-H. (2004) Transforming variant of Met receptor confers serum independence and anti-apoptotic property and could be involved in the mouse thymic lymphomagenesis. [online]. *Experimental & molecular medicine*. 36 (4), pp. 283–291. [Accessed 17 January 2014].

- Bang, Y.-J., Van Cutsem, E., Feyereislova, A., Chung, H.C., Shen, L., Sawaki, A., Lordick, F., Ohtsu, A., Omuro, Y., Satoh, T., Aprile, G., Kulikov, E., Hill, J., Lehle, M., et al. (2010) Trastuzumab in combination with chemotherapy versus chemotherapy alone for treatment of HER2-positive advanced gastric or gastro-oesophageal junction cancer (ToGA): a phase 3, open-label, randomised controlled trial. [online]. *Lancet.* 376 (9742), pp. 687–697. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Bargmann, C.I., Hung, M.C. & Weinberg, R.A. (1986) Multiple independent activations of the neu oncogene by a point mutation altering the transmembrane domain of p185. [online]. *Cell*. 45 (5), pp. 649–657. [Accessed 6 March 2014].
- Bartlett, J.M., Going, J.J., Mallon, E.A., Watters, A.D., Reeves, J.R., Stanton, P., Richmond, J., Donald, B., Ferrier, R. & Cooke, T.G. (2001) Evaluating HER2 amplification and overexpression in breast cancer. [online]. *The Journal of pathology*. 195 (4), pp. 422–428. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Baselga, J., Cortés, J., Kim, S.-B., Im, S.-A., Hegg, R., Im, Y.-H., Roman, L., Pedrini, J.L., Pienkowski, T., Knott, A., Clark, E., Benyunes, M.C., Ross, G. & Swain, S.M. (2012) Pertuzumab plus trastuzumab plus docetaxel for metastatic breast cancer.
  [online]. *The New England journal of medicine*. 366 (2), pp. 109–119. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Baselga, J. & Swain, S.M. (2009) Novel anticancer targets: revisiting ERBB2 and discovering ERBB3. [online]. *Nature reviews. Cancer.* 9 (7), pp. 463–475. [Accessed 31 January 2013].
- Bedard, P.L., de Azambuja, E. & Cardoso, F. (2009) Beyond trastuzumab: overcoming resistance to targeted HER-2 therapy in breast cancer. [online]. *Current cancer drug targets*. 9 (2), pp. 148–162. [Accessed 14 January 2014].
- Blok, E.J., Kuppen, P.J., Van Leeuwen, J.E. & Sier, C.F. (2013) Cytoplasmic
   Overexpression of HER2: a Key Factor in Colorectal Cancer. [online]. *Clinical Medicine Insights. Oncology.* 7pp. 41–51. [Accessed 6 January 2014].
- Boyle, P. (2012) Triple-negative breast cancer: epidemiological considerations and recommendations. [online]. Annals of oncology : official journal of the European Society for Medical Oncology / ESMO. 23 Suppl 6 (Supplement 6), pp. vi7–vi12. [Accessed 19 November 2012].
- Bustin, S. a, Benes, V., Garson, J.A., Hellemans, J., Huggett, J., Kubista, M., Mueller, R., Nolan, T., Pfaffl, M.W., Shipley, G.L., Vandesompele, J. & Wittwer, C.T. (2009) The MIQE guidelines: minimum information for publication of quantitative real-time PCR experiments. [online]. *Clinical chemistry*. 55 (4), pp. 611–622. [Accessed 26 October 2012].
- Castiglioni, F., Tagliabue, E., Campiglio, M., Pupa, S.M., Balsari, A. & Ménard, S. (2006)
   Role of exon-16-deleted HER2 in breast carcinomas. [online]. *Endocrine-related cancer*. 13 (1), pp. 221–232. [Accessed 16 October 2012].

- Chiavarina, B., Whitaker-Menezes, D., Migneco, G., Martinez-Outschoorn, U.E., Pavlides, S., Howell, A., Tanowitz, H.B., Casimiro, M.C., Wang, C., Pestell, R.G., Grieshaber, P., Caro, J., Sotgia, F. & Lisanti, M.P. (2010) HIF1-alpha functions as a tumor promoter in cancer associated fibroblasts, and as a tumor suppressor in breast cancer cells: Autophagy drives compartment-specific oncogenesis. [online]. *Cell cycle (Georgetown, Tex.).* 9 (17), pp. 3534–3551. [Accessed 28 May 2014].
- Cho, H.-S. & Leahy, D.J. (2002) Structure of the extracellular region of HER3 reveals an interdomain tether. [online]. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*. 297 (5585), pp. 1330–1333. [Accessed 10 April 2014].
- Ciocca, D.R., Gago, F.E., Fanelli, M.A. & Calderwood, S.K. (2006) Co-expression of steroid receptors (estrogen receptor alpha and/or progesterone receptors) and Her-2/neu: Clinical implications. [online]. *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology*. 102 (1-5), pp. 32–40. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Collesi, C., Santoro, M.M., Gaudino, G. & Comoglio, P.M. (1996) A splicing variant of the RON transcript induces constitutive tyrosine kinase activity and an invasive phenotype. [online]. *Molecular and cellular biology*. 16 (10), pp. 5518–5526. [Accessed 17 January 2014].
- Coughlin, C.M., Johnston, D.S., Strahs, A., Burczynski, M.E., Bacus, S., Hill, J., Feingold, J.M., Zacharchuk, C. & Berkenblit, A. (2010) Approaches and limitations of phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase pathway activation status as a predictive biomarker in the clinical development of targeted therapy. [online]. *Breast cancer research and treatment*. 124 (1), pp. 1–11. [Accessed 14 January 2014].
- Courcet, J.-B., Faivre, L., Malzac, P., Masurel-Paulet, A., Lopez, E., Callier, P., Lambert, L., Lemesle, M., Thevenon, J., Gigot, N., Duplomb, L., Ragon, C., Marle, N., Mosca-Boidron, A.-L., et al. (2012) The DYRK1A gene is a cause of syndromic intellectual disability with severe microcephaly and epilepsy. [online]. *Journal of medical genetics*. 49 (12), pp. 731–736. [Accessed 28 May 2014].
- Coussens, L., Yang-Feng, T.L., Liao, Y.C., Chen, E., Gray, A., McGrath, J., Seeburg, P.H., Libermann, T.A., Schlessinger, J. & Francke, U. (1985) Tyrosine kinase receptor with extensive homology to EGF receptor shares chromosomal location with neu oncogene. [online]. *Science (New York, N.Y.)*. 230 (4730), pp. 1132–1139. [Accessed 4 March 2014].
- David, C.J. & Manley, J.L. (2008) The search for alternative splicing regulators: new approaches offer a path to a splicing code. [online]. *Genes & development*. 22 (3), pp. 279–285. [Accessed 1 February 2013].
- Dean-Colomb, W. & Esteva, F.J. (2008) Her2-positive breast cancer: herceptin and beyond. [online]. *European journal of cancer (Oxford, England : 1990)*. 44 (18), pp. 2806–2812. [Accessed 16 October 2012].

- Deng, S., Hirschberg, A., Worzfeld, T., Penachioni, J.Y., Korostylev, A., Swiercz, J.M., Vodrazka, P., Mauti, O., Stoeckli, E.T., Tamagnone, L., Offermanns, S. & Kuner, R. (2007) Plexin-B2, but not Plexin-B1, critically modulates neuronal migration and patterning of the developing nervous system in vivo. [online]. *The Journal of neuroscience : the official journal of the Society for Neuroscience*. 27 (23), pp. 6333–6347. [Accessed 26 April 2014].
- Derveaux, S., Vandesompele, J. & Hellemans, J. (2010) How to do successful gene expression analysis using real-time PCR. [online]. *Methods (San Diego, Calif.)*. 50 (4), pp. 227–230. [Accessed 31 January 2013].
- Doherty, J.K., Bond, C., Jardim, A., Adelman, J.P. & Clinton, G.M. (1999a) The HER-2/neu receptor tyrosine kinase gene encodes a secreted autoinhibitor. [online].
   Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America. 96 (19), pp. 10869–10874. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Doherty, J.K., Bond, C.T., Hua, W., Adelman, J.P. & Clinton, G.M. (1999b) An alternative HER-2/neu transcript of 8 kb has an extended 3'UTR and displays increased stability in SKOV-3 ovarian carcinoma cells. [online]. *Gynecologic oncology*. 74 (3), pp. 408–415. [Accessed 31 January 2013].
- Domcke, S., Sinha, R., Levine, D.A., Sander, C. & Schultz, N. (2013) Evaluating cell lines as tumour models by comparison of genomic profiles. [online]. *Nature communications*. 4pp. 2126. [Accessed 2 May 2014].
- Downward, J., Yarden, Y., Mayes, E., Scrace, G., Totty, N., Stockwell, P., Ullrich, A., Schlessinger, J. & Waterfield, M.D. (n.d.) Close similarity of epidermal growth factor receptor and v-erb-B oncogene protein sequences. [online]. *Nature*. 307 (5951), pp. 521–527. [Accessed 6 March 2014].
- Eliassen, A.H., Missmer, S.A., Tworoger, S.S., Spiegelman, D., Barbieri, R.L., Dowsett, M. & Hankinson, S.E. (2006) Endogenous steroid hormone concentrations and risk of breast cancer among premenopausal women. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 98 (19), pp. 1406–1415.
- Elliot, D. & Ladomery, M. (2011) Molecular Biology of RNA. Oxford University Press.
- Emde, A., Köstler, W.J. & Yarden, Y. (2012) Therapeutic strategies and mechanisms of tumorigenesis of HER2-overexpressing breast cancer. [online]. *Critical reviews in* oncology/hematology. 84 Suppl 1pp. e49–57. [Accessed 7 October 2013].
- Emir, H.D.V.. (2011) *RT-qPCR Guidelines : From designing to publishing your data qPCR 2011.*
- Eroles, P., Bosch, A., Pérez-Fidalgo, J.A. & Lluch, A. (2012) Molecular biology in breast cancer: intrinsic subtypes and signaling pathways. [online]. *Cancer treatment reviews*. 38 (6), pp. 698–707. [Accessed 22 September 2013].

- Fackenthal, J.D. & Godley, L. a (2008) Aberrant RNA splicing and its functional consequences in cancer cells. [online]. *Disease models & mechanisms*. 1 (1), pp. 37–42. [Accessed 1 February 2013].
- Franklin, M.C., Carey, K.D., Vajdos, F.F., Leahy, D.J., de Vos, A.M. & Sliwkowski, M.X. (2004) Insights into ErbB signaling from the structure of the ErbB2-pertuzumab complex. [online]. *Cancer cell*. 5 (4), pp. 317–328. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Freudenberg, J.A., Wang, Q., Katsumata, M., Drebin, J., Nagatomo, I. & Greene, M.I. (2009) The role of HER2 in early breast cancer metastasis and the origins of resistance to HER2-targeted therapies. [online]. *Experimental and molecular pathology*. 87 (1), pp. 1–11. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Fuse, N. (2011) Relation of HER2 status and prognosis in gastric cancer patients. *Gan to kagaku ryoho Cancer chemotherapy*. 38 (7), pp. 1073–1078.
- Garcia-Blanco, M.A. (2005) Methods for the study of alternative splicing. [online]. *Methods (San Diego, Calif.).* 37 (4), pp. 289–291. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Garrett, T.P., McKern, N.M., Lou, M., Frenkel, M.J., Bentley, J.D., Lovrecz, G.O.,
  Elleman, T.C., Cosgrove, L.J. & Ward, C.W. (1998) Crystal structure of the first
  three domains of the type-1 insulin-like growth factor receptor. [online]. *Nature*.
  394 (6691), pp. 395–399. [Accessed 26 April 2014].
- Gebhardt, F., Zänker, K.S. & Brandt, B. (1998) Differential expression of alternatively spliced c-erbB-2 mRNA in primary tumors, lymph node metastases, and bone marrow micrometastases from breast cancer patients. [online]. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*. 247 (2), pp. 319–323. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Geyer, C.E., Forster, J., Lindquist, D., Chan, S., Romieu, C.G., Pienkowski, T., Jagiello-Gruszfeld, A., Crown, J., Chan, A., Kaufman, B., Skarlos, D., Campone, M., Davidson, N., Berger, M., et al. (2006) Lapatinib plus capecitabine for HER2-positive advanced breast cancer. [online]. *The New England journal of medicine*. 355 (26), pp. 2733–2743. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Goddard, K.A.B., Weinmann, S., Richert-Boe, K., Chen, C., Bulkley, J. & Wax, C. (2012) HER2 evaluation and its impact on breast cancer treatment decisions. [online]. *Public health genomics*. 15 (1), pp. 1–10.
- Gómez-Martin, C., Garralda, E., Echarri, M.J., Ballesteros, A., Arcediano, A., Rodríguez-Peralto, J.L., Hidalgo, M. & López-Ríos, F. (2012) HER2/neu testing for anti-HER2-based therapies in patients with unresectable and/or metastatic gastric cancer.
   [online]. Journal of clinical pathology. 65 (8), pp. 751–757. [Accessed 8 May 2014].
- Goren, A., Kim, E., Amit, M., Vaknin, K., Kfir, N., Ram, O. & Ast, G. (2010) Overlapping splicing regulatory motifs—combinatorial effects on splicing [online]. *Nucleic Acids Research*. 38 (10), pp. 3318–3327.

- Gui, J.F., Tronchère, H., Chandler, S.D. & Fu, X.D. (1994) Purification and characterization of a kinase specific for the serine- and arginine-rich pre-mRNA splicing factors. [online]. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 91 (23), pp. 10824–10828. [Accessed 28 May 2014].
- Hanks, S.K. & Hunter, T. (1995) Protein kinases 6. The eukaryotic protein kinase superfamily: kinase (catalytic) domain structure and classification. [online]. FASEB journal : official publication of the Federation of American Societies for Experimental Biology. 9 (8), pp. 576–596. [Accessed 26 April 2014].
- Hanks, S.K. & Quinn, A.M. (1991) Protein kinase catalytic domain sequence database: identification of conserved features of primary structure and classification of family members. [online]. *Methods in enzymology*. 200pp. 38–62. [Accessed 10 April 2014].
- Hayat, M.A. (2002) *Microscopy, Immunohistochemistry, and Antigen Retrieval Methods: For Light and Electron Microscopy*. 2nd edition. Springer US.
- Hayes, G.M., Carrigan, P.E. & Miller, L.J. (2007) Serine-arginine protein kinase 1 overexpression is associated with tumorigenic imbalance in mitogen-activated protein kinase pathways in breast, colonic, and pancreatic carcinomas. [online]. *Cancer research*. 67 (5), pp. 2072–2080. [Accessed 28 May 2014].
- Hillig, T., Thode, J., Breinholt, M.F., Franzmann, M.-B., Pedersen, C., Lund, F., Mygind, H., Sölétormos, G. & Rudnicki, M. (2012) Assessing HER2 amplification by IHC, FISH, and real-time polymerase chain reaction analysis (real-time PCR) following LCM in formalin-fixed paraffin embedded tissue from 40 women with ovarian cancer. [online]. *APMIS : acta pathologica, microbiologica, et immunologica Scandinavica*. 120 (12), pp. 1000–1007. [Accessed 26 October 2012].
- Hunter, T. & Plowman, G.D. (1997) The protein kinases of budding yeast: six score and more. [online]. *Trends in biochemical sciences*. 22 (1), pp. 18–22. [Accessed 26 April 2014].
- Hynes, N.E. & Lane, H.A. (2005) ERBB receptors and cancer: the complexity of targeted inhibitors. [online]. *Nature reviews. Cancer*. 5 (5), pp. 341–354. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Hynes, N.E. & MacDonald, G. (2009) ErbB receptors and signaling pathways in cancer. [online]. *Current opinion in cell biology*. 21 (2), pp. 177–184. [Accessed 6 January 2014].
- Jackson, C., Browell, D., Gautrey, H. & Tyson-Capper, A. (2013) Clinical Significance of HER-2 Splice Variants in Breast Cancer Progression and Drug Resistance. [online]. International journal of cell biology. 2013pp. 973584.
- Jacquemier, J., Spyratos, F., Esterni, B., Mozziconacci, M.-J., Antoine, M., Arnould, L., Lizard, S., Bertheau, P., Lehmann-Che, J., Fournier, C.B., Krieger, S., Bibeau, F.,

Lamy, P.-J., Chenard, M.P., et al. (2013) SISH/CISH or qPCR as alternative techniques to FISH for determination of HER2 amplification status on breast tumors core needle biopsies: a multicenter experience based on 840 cases. [online]. *BMC cancer*. 13pp. 351. [Accessed 8 May 2014].

- Jain, P., Karthikeyan, C., Moorthy, N.S.H.N., Waiker, D.K., Jain, A.K. & Trivedi, P. (2014) Human CDC2-like kinase 1 (CLK1): a novel target for Alzheimer's disease. [online]. *Current drug targets*. 15 (5), pp. 539–550. [Accessed 28 May 2014].
- Jones, K.L. & Buzdar, A.U. (2009) Evolving novel anti-HER2 strategies. [online]. *The lancet oncology*. 10 (12), pp. 1179–1187. [Accessed 30 September 2013].
- Joshi, M. & Deshpande, J.. (2011) POLYMERASE CHAIN REACTION : METHODS, PRINCIPLES AND. 2 (1), .
- Justman, Q.A. & Clinton, G.M. (2002) Herstatin, an autoinhibitor of the human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 tyrosine kinase, modulates epidermal growth factor signaling pathways resulting in growth arrest. [online]. *The Journal of biological chemistry*. 277 (23), pp. 20618–20624. [Accessed 16 January 2014].
- Kang, S.H., Kang, K.W., Kim, K.-H., Kwon, B., Kim, S.-K., Lee, H.-Y., Kong, S.-Y., Lee, E.S., Jang, S.-G. & Yoo, B.C. (2008) Upregulated HSP27 in human breast cancer cells reduces Herceptin susceptibility by increasing Her2 protein stability. [online]. BMC cancer. 8pp. 286. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Ke, Q. & Costa, M. (2006) Hypoxia-inducible factor-1 (HIF-1). *Molecular pharmacology*. 70 (5), pp. 1469–1480.
- Kerbel, R.S. (2009) Issues regarding improving the impact of antiangiogenic drugs for the treatment of breast cancer. [online]. *Breast (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 18 Suppl 3pp. S41–7. [Accessed 14 January 2014].
- Key, T.J., Appleby, P.N., Reeves, G.K., Roddam, A.W., Helzlsouer, K.J., Alberg, A.J., Rollison, D.E., Dorgan, J.F., Brinton, L.A., Overvad, K., Kaaks, R., Trichopoulou, A., Clavel-Chapelon, F., Panico, S., et al. (2011) Circulating sex hormones and breast cancer risk factors in postmenopausal women: reanalysis of 13 studies. *British journal of cancer*. 105 (5), pp. 709–722.
- Khoury, T., Mojica, W., Hicks, D., Starostik, P., Ademuyiwa, F., Janarthanan, B. & Cheney, R.T. (2011) ERBB2 juxtamembrane domain (trastuzumab binding site) gene mutation is a rare event in invasive breast cancers overexpressing the ERBB2 gene. [online]. *Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc.* 24 (8), pp. 1055–1059. [Accessed 29 May 2014].
- Kim, E., Goren, A. & Ast, G. (2008) Insights into the connection between cancer and alternative splicing. [online]. *Trends in genetics : TIG*. 24 (1), pp. 7–10. [Accessed 1 February 2013].

- Koletsa, T., Kostopoulos, I., Charalambous, E., Christoforidou, B., Nenopoulou, E. & Kotoula, V. (2008) A Splice Variant of HER2 Corresponding to Herstatin Is Expressed in the Noncancerous Breast and in Breast Carcinomas1 [online]. Neoplasia (New York, N.Y.). 10 (7), pp. 687–696. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Kong, S.-Y., Nam, B.-H., Lee, K.S., Kwon, Y., Lee, E.S., Seong, M.-W., Lee, D.H. & Ro, J. (2006) Predicting tissue HER2 status using serum HER2 levels in patients with metastatic breast cancer. [online]. *Clinical Chemistry*. 52 (8), pp. 1510–1515.
- Kwong, K.Y. & Hung, M.C. (1998) A novel splice variant of HER2 with increased transformation activity. [online]. *Molecular carcinogenesis*. 23 (2), pp. 62–68. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Ladomery, M.R., Harper, S.J. & Bates, D.O. (2007) Alternative splicing in angiogenesis: the vascular endothelial growth factor paradigm. [online]. *Cancer letters*. 249 (2), pp. 133–142. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Lekas, A., Lazaris, A.C., Deliveliotis, C., Chrisofos, M., Zoubouli, C., Lapas, D., Papathomas, T., Fokitis, I. & Nakopoulou, L. (n.d.) The expression of hypoxiainducible factor-1alpha (HIF-1alpha) and angiogenesis markers in hyperplastic and malignant prostate tissue. *Anticancer research*. 26 (4B), pp. 2989–2993.
- Li, X., Park, W.J., Pyeritz, R.E. & Jabs, E.W. (1995) Effect on splicing of a silent FGFR2 mutation in Crouzon syndrome. [online]. *Nature genetics*. 9 (3), pp. 232–233. [Accessed 17 January 2014].
- Li, Y.-Q., Liu, F.-F., Zhang, X.-M., Guo, X.-J., Ren, M.-J. & Fu, L. (2013) Tumor secretion of CCL22 activates intratumoral Treg infiltration and is independent prognostic predictor of breast cancer. [online]. *PloS one*. 8 (10), pp. e76379. [Accessed 9 January 2014].
- Li, Z., Mei, Y., Liu, X. & Zhou, M. (2007) Neuregulin-1 only induces transphosphorylation between ErbB receptor heterodimer partners. [online]. *Cellular signalling*. 19 (3), pp. 466–471. [Accessed 26 April 2014].
- Lukas, J., Gao, D.Q., Keshmeshian, M., Wen, W.H., Tsao-Wei, D., Rosenberg, S. & Press, M.F. (2001) Alternative and aberrant messenger RNA splicing of the mdm2 oncogene in invasive breast cancer. [online]. *Cancer research*. 61 (7), pp. 3212– 3219. [Accessed 26 October 2012].
- Marchini, C., Gabrielli, F., Iezzi, M., Zenobi, S., Montani, M., Pietrella, L., Kalogris, C., Rossini, A., Ciravolo, V., Castagnoli, L., Tagliabue, E., Pupa, S.M., Musiani, P., Monaci, P., et al. (2011) The human splice variant Δ16HER2 induces rapid tumor onset in a reporter transgenic mouse. Maria G Castro (ed.) [online]. *PloS one*. 6 (4), pp. e18727. [Accessed 26 October 2012].
- Meijer, S.L., Wesseling, J., Smit, V.T., Nederlof, P.M., Hooijer, G.K.J., Ruijter, H., Arends, J.W., Kliffen, M., van Gorp, J.M., Sterk, L. & van de Vijver, M.J. (2011) HER2 gene

amplification in patients with breast cancer with equivocal IHC results. [online]. *Journal of clinical pathology*. 64 (12), pp. 1069–1072. [Accessed 6 January 2014].

- Minami, M., Matsumoto, S. & Horiuchi, H. (2010) Cardiovascular Side-Effects of Modern Cancer Therapy [online]. *Circulation Journal*. 74 (9), pp. 1779–1786. [Accessed 14 January 2014].
- Mita, K., Zhang, Z., Ando, Y., Toyama, T., Hamaguchi, M., Kobayashi, S., Hayashi, S., Fujii, Y., Iwase, H. & Yamashita, H. (2007) Prognostic significance of insulin-like growth factor binding protein (IGFBP)-4 and IGFBP-5 expression in breast cancer. [online]. *Japanese journal of clinical oncology*. 37 (8), pp. 575–582. [Accessed 31 January 2013].
- Mitra, D., Brumlik, M.J., Okamgba, S.U., Zhu, Y., Duplessis, T.T., Parvani, J.G., Lesko, S.M., Brogi, E. & Jones, F.E. (2009) An oncogenic isoform of HER2 associated with locally disseminated breast cancer and trastuzumab resistance. [online]. *Molecular cancer therapeutics*. 8 (8), pp. 2152–2162. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Modrek, B. & Lee, C. (2002) A genomic view of alternative splicing. [online]. *Nature genetics*. 30 (1), pp. 13–19. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Moelans, C.B., de Weger, R.A., Van der Wall, E. & van Diest, P.J. (2011) Current technologies for HER2 testing in breast cancer. [online]. *Critical reviews in oncology/hematology*. 80 (3), pp. 380–392. [Accessed 22 October 2012].
- Nahta, R., Hung, M.-C. & Esteva, F.J. (2004) The HER-2-targeting antibodies trastuzumab and pertuzumab synergistically inhibit the survival of breast cancer cells. [online]. *Cancer research*. 64 (7), pp. 2343–2346. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Nahta, R. & O'Regan, R.M. (2010) Evolving strategies for overcoming resistance to HER2-directed therapy: targeting the PI3K/Akt/mTOR pathway. [online]. *Clinical breast cancer*. 10 Suppl 3 (November), pp. S72–8. [Accessed 7 October 2013].
- Neve, R.M., Chin, K., Fridlyand, J., Yeh, J., Baehner, F.L., Fevr, T., Clark, L., Bayani, N., Coppe, J.-P., Tong, F., Speed, T., Spellman, P.T., DeVries, S., Lapuk, A., et al. (2006) A collection of breast cancer cell lines for the study of functionally distinct cancer subtypes. [online]. *Cancer cell*. 10 (6), pp. 515–527. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Normanno, N., De Luca, A., Bianco, C., Strizzi, L., Mancino, M., Maiello, M.R., Carotenuto, A., De Feo, G., Caponigro, F. & Salomon, D.S. (2006) Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) signaling in cancer. [online]. *Gene*. 366 (1), pp. 2– 16. [Accessed 17 December 2013].
- Nowak, D.G., Woolard, J., Amin, E.M., Konopatskaya, O., Saleem, M.A., Churchill, A.J., Ladomery, M.R., Harper, S.J. & Bates, D.O. (2008) Expression of pro- and antiangiogenic isoforms of VEGF is differentially regulated by splicing and growth

factors. [online]. *Journal of cell science*. 121 (Pt 20), pp. 3487–3495. [Accessed 31 January 2013].

- Nuti, M., Bellati, F., Visconti, V., Napoletano, C., Domenici, L., Caccetta, J., Zizzari, I.G., Ruscito, I., Rahimi, H., Benedetti-Panici, P. & Rughetti, A. (2011) Immune Effects of Trastuzumab [online]. *Journal of Cancer*. 2pp. 317–323.
- Al Okail, M.S. (2010) Cobalt chloride, a chemical inducer of hypoxia-inducible factor-1α in U251 human glioblastoma cell line [online]. *Journal of Saudi Chemical Society*. 14 (2), pp. 197–201. [Accessed 25 March 2015].
- Omenn, G.S., Yocum, A.K. & Menon, R. (2010) Alternative splice variants, a new class of protein cancer biomarker candidates: findings in pancreatic cancer and breast cancer with systems biology implications. [online]. *Disease Markers*. 28 (4), pp. 241–251.
- Pal, S., Gupta, R. & Davuluri, R. V (2012) Alternative transcription and alternative splicing in cancer. [online]. *Pharmacology & therapeutics*. [Accessed 22 October 2012].
- Parkin, D.M., Boyd, L. & Walker, L.C. (2011) 16. The fraction of cancer attributable to lifestyle and environmental factors in the UK in 2010. *British journal of cancer*. 105 Supplpp. S77–81.
- Perou, C.M. (2011) Molecular stratification of triple-negative breast cancers. [online]. *The oncologist*. 16 Suppl 1pp. 61–70. [Accessed 17 May 2015].
- Piccart-Gebhart, M.J., Procter, M., Leyland-Jones, B., Goldhirsch, A., Untch, M., Smith, I., Gianni, L., Baselga, J., Bell, R., Jackisch, C., Cameron, D., Dowsett, M., Barrios, C.H., Steger, G., et al. (2005) Trastuzumab after adjuvant chemotherapy in HER2-positive breast cancer. [online]. *The New England journal of medicine*. 353 (16), pp. 1659–1672. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Pivot, X., Romieu, G., Debled, M., Pierga, J.-Y., Kerbrat, P., Bachelot, T., Lortholary, A., Espié, M., Fumoleau, P., Serin, D., Jacquin, J.-P., Jouannaud, C., Rios, M., Abadie-Lacourtoisie, S., et al. (2013) 6 months versus 12 months of adjuvant trastuzumab for patients with HER2-positive early breast cancer (PHARE): a randomised phase 3 trial. [online]. *The lancet oncology*. 14 (8), pp. 741–748. [Accessed 11 May 2014].
- Pohlmann, P.R., Mayer, I. a & Mernaugh, R. (2009) Resistance to Trastuzumab in Breast Cancer. [online]. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*. 15 (24), pp. 7479–7491. [Accessed 5 October 2012].
- Raz, E., Schejter, E.D. & Shilo, B.Z. (1991) Interallelic complementation among DER/flb alleles: implications for the mechanism of signal transduction by receptor-tyrosine kinases. [online]. *Genetics*. 129 (1), pp. 191–201. [Accessed 26 April 2014].

- Rhodes, A., Sarson, J., Assam, E.E., Dean, S.J.R., Cribb, E.C. & Parker, A. (2010) The reliability of rabbit monoclonal antibodies in the immunohistochemical assessment of estrogen receptors, progesterone receptors, and HER2 in human breast carcinomas. [online]. *American journal of clinical pathology*. 134 (4), pp. 621–632. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Rodgers, J.T., Haas, W., Gygi, S.P. & Puigserver, P. (2010) Cdc2-like kinase 2 is an insulin-regulated suppressor of hepatic gluconeogenesis. [online]. *Cell metabolism.* 11 (1), pp. 23–34. [Accessed 28 May 2014].
- Romanowski, T., Markiewicz, A., Bednarz, N. & Bielawski, K.P. (2007) [Housekeeping genes as a reference in quantitative real-time RT-PCR]. [online]. *Postępy higieny i* medycyny doświadczalnej (Online). 61pp. 500–510. [Accessed 25 November 2013].
- Romond, E.H., Perez, E.A., Bryant, J., Suman, V.J., Geyer, C.E., Davidson, N.E., Tan-Chiu, E., Martino, S., Paik, S., Kaufman, P.A., Swain, S.M., Pisansky, T.M., Fehrenbacher, L., Kutteh, L.A., et al. (2005) Trastuzumab plus adjuvant chemotherapy for operable HER2-positive breast cancer. [online]. *The New England journal of medicine*. 353 (16), pp. 1673–1684. [Accessed 10 January 2014].
- Saal, L.H., Gruvberger-Saal, S.K., Persson, C., Lövgren, K., Jumppanen, M., Staaf, J.,
   Jönsson, G., Pires, M.M., Maurer, M., Holm, K., Koujak, S., Subramaniyam, S.,
   Vallon-Christersson, J., Olsson, H., et al. (2008) Recurrent gross mutations of the
   PTEN tumor suppressor gene in breast cancers with deficient DSB repair. [online].
   Nature genetics. 40 (1), pp. 102–107. [Accessed 24 October 2012].
- Sahlberg, K.K., Hongisto, V., Edgren, H., Mäkelä, R., Hellström, K., Due, E.U., Moen Vollan, H.K., Sahlberg, N., Wolf, M., Børresen-Dale, A.-L., Perälä, M. & Kallioniemi, O. (2013) The HER2 amplicon includes several genes required for the growth and survival of HER2 positive breast cancer cells. [online]. *Molecular oncology*. 7 (3), pp. 392–401. [Accessed 9 January 2014].
- Saini, K.S., Azim, H.A., Metzger-Filho, O., Loi, S., Sotiriou, C., de Azambuja, E. & Piccart, M. (2011) Beyond trastuzumab: new treatment options for HER2-positive breast cancer. [online]. *Breast (Edinburgh, Scotland)*. 20 Suppl 3pp. S20–7. [Accessed 22 October 2012].
- Salesse, S., Dylla, S.J. & Verfaillie, C.M. (2004) p210BCR/ABL-induced alteration of premRNA splicing in primary human CD34+ hematopoietic progenitor cells. [online]. *Leukemia*. 18 (4), pp. 727–733. [Accessed 28 May 2014].
- Sasso, M., Bianchi, F., Ciravolo, V., Tagliabue, E. & Campiglio, M. (2011) HER2 splice variants and their relevance in breast cancer [online]. *Journal of Nucleic Acids Investigation*. 2 (1), . [Accessed 29 May 2014].
- Scaltriti, M., Eichhorn, P.J., Cortés, J., Prudkin, L., Aura, C., Jiménez, J., Chandarlapaty, S., Serra, V., Prat, A., Ibrahim, Y.H., Guzmán, M., Gili, M., Rodríguez, O., Rodríguez,

S., et al. (2011) Cyclin E amplification/overexpression is a mechanism of trastuzumab resistance in HER2+ breast cancer patients. [online]. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 108 (9), pp. 3761–3766. [Accessed 14 January 2014].

- Scaltriti, M., Rojo, F., Ocaña, A., Anido, J., Guzman, M., Cortes, J., Di Cosimo, S., Matias-Guiu, X., Ramon y Cajal, S., Arribas, J. & Baselga, J. (2007) Expression of p95HER2, a truncated form of the HER2 receptor, and response to anti-HER2 therapies in breast cancer. [online]. *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*. 99 (8), pp. 628– 638. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Schechter, A.L., Stern, D.F., Vaidyanathan, L., Decker, S.J., Drebin, J.A., Greene, M.I. & Weinberg, R.A. (1985) The neu oncogene: an erb-B-related gene encoding a 185,000-Mr tumour antigen. [online]. *Nature*. 312 (5994), pp. 513–516. [Accessed 6 March 2014].
- Scheuer, W., Friess, T., Burtscher, H., Bossenmaier, B., Endl, J. & Hasmann, M. (2009) Strongly enhanced antitumor activity of trastuzumab and pertuzumab combination treatment on HER2-positive human xenograft tumor models. [online]. *Cancer research*. 69 (24), pp. 9330–9336. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Schrader, C., Schielke, a, Ellerbroek, L. & Johne, R. (2012) PCR inhibitors occurrence, properties and removal. [online]. *Journal of applied microbiology*. 113 (5), pp. 1014–1026. [Accessed 1 November 2013].
- Scott, G.K., Robles, R., Park, J.W., Montgomery, P.A., Daniel, J., Holmes, W.E., Lee, J., Keller, G.A., Li, W.L. & Fendly, B.M. (1993) A truncated intracellular HER2/neu receptor produced by alternative RNA processing affects growth of human carcinoma cells. [online]. *Molecular and cellular biology*. 13 (4), pp. 2247–2257. [Accessed 26 October 2012].
- Semba, K., Kamata, N., Toyoshima, K. & Yamamoto, T. (1985) A v-erbB-related protooncogene, c-erbB-2, is distinct from the c-erbB-1/epidermal growth factorreceptor gene and is amplified in a human salivary gland adenocarcinoma. [online]. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. 82 (19), pp. 6497–6501. [Accessed 4 March 2014].
- Shah, S. & Chen, B. (2010) Testing for HER2 in Breast Cancer: A Continuing Evolution [online]. *Pathology research international*. 2011pp. 903202.
- Shih, C., Padhy, L.C., Murray, M. & Weinberg, R.A. (1981) Transforming genes of carcinomas and neuroblastomas introduced into mouse fibroblasts [online]. *Nature*. 290 (5803), pp. 261–264. [Accessed 6 March 2014].
- Shousha, S., Peston, D., Amo-Takyi, B., Morgan, M. & Jasani, B. (2009) Evaluation of automated silver-enhanced in situ hybridization (SISH) for detection of HER2 gene amplification in breast carcinoma excision and core biopsy specimens. [online]. *Histopathology*. 54 (2), pp. 248–253. [Accessed 8 May 2014].

- Siegel, P.M., Ryan, E.D., Cardiff, R.D. & Muller, W.J. (1999) Elevated expression of activated forms of Neu/ErbB-2 and ErbB-3 are involved in the induction of mammary tumors in transgenic mice: implications for human breast cancer. [online]. *The EMBO journal*. 18 (8), pp. 2149–2164.
- Sińczak-Kuta, A., Tomaszewska, R., Rudnicka-Sosin, L., Okoń, K. & Stachura, J. (2007) Evaluation of HER2/neu gene amplification in patients with invasive breast carcinoma. Comparison of in situ hybridization methods. [online]. *Polish journal of pathology : official journal of the Polish Society of Pathologists*. 58 (1), pp. 41–50.
- Skotheim, R.I. & Nees, M. (2007) Alternative splicing in cancer: noise, functional, or systematic? [online]. *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology*. 39 (7-8), pp. 1432–1449. [Accessed 27 May 2014].
- Slamon, D.J., Leyland-Jones, B., Shak, S., Fuchs, H., Paton, V., Bajamonde, A., Fleming, T., Eiermann, W., Wolter, J., Pegram, M., Baselga, J. & Norton, L. (2001) Use of chemotherapy plus a monoclonal antibody against HER2 for metastatic breast cancer that overexpresses HER2. [online]. *The New England journal of medicine*. 344 (11), pp. 783–792. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Smith, C.W. & Valcárcel, J. (2000) Alternative pre-mRNA splicing: the logic of combinatorial control. [online]. *Trends in biochemical sciences*. 25 (8), pp. 381– 388. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Sørlie, T., Perou, C.M., Tibshirani, R., Aas, T., Geisler, S., Johnsen, H., Hastie, T., Eisen, M.B., Rijn, M. Van De, Jeffrey, S.S., Thorsen, T., Quist, H., Matese, J.C., Brown, P.O., et al. (2001) *Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications*. 98 (19), .
- Spector, N.L. & Blackwell, K.L. (2009) Understanding the mechanisms behind trastuzumab therapy for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2-positive breast cancer. [online]. Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology. 27 (34), pp. 5838–5847. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Sperinde, J., Jin, X., Banerjee, J., Penuel, E., Saha, A., Diedrich, G., Huang, W., Leitzel, K., Weidler, J., Ali, S.M., Fuchs, E.-M., Singer, C.F., Köstler, W.J., Bates, M., et al. (2010) Quantitation of p95HER2 in paraffin sections by using a p95-specific antibody and correlation with outcome in a cohort of trastuzumab-treated breast cancer patients. [online]. *Clinical cancer research : an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research*. 16 (16), pp. 4226–4235. [Accessed 14 January 2014].
- Stamm, S., Ben-Ari, S., Rafalska, I., Tang, Y., Zhang, Z., Toiber, D., Thanaraj, T.A. & Soreq, H. (2005) Function of alternative splicing. [online]. *Gene*. 344pp. 1–20. [Accessed 10 October 2012].

- Van Staveren, W.C.G., Solís, D.Y.W., Hébrant, A., Detours, V., Dumont, J.E. & Maenhaut, C. (2009) Human cancer cell lines: Experimental models for cancer cells in situ? For cancer stem cells? [online]. *Biochimica et biophysica acta*. 1795 (2), pp. 92–103. [Accessed 2 May 2014].
- Stern, H.M. (2012) Improving treatment of HER2-positive cancers: opportunities and challenges. [online]. *Science translational medicine*. 4 (127), pp. 127rv2. [Accessed 13 January 2014].
- Tai, W., Mahato, R. & Cheng, K. (2010) The role of HER2 in cancer therapy and targeted drug delivery. [online]. *Journal of controlled release : official journal of the Controlled Release Society*. 146 (3), pp. 264–275. [Accessed 22 October 2012].
- Tanner, M., Gancberg, D., Di Leo, A., Larsimont, D., Rouas, G., Piccart, M.J. & Isola, J. (2000) Chromogenic in situ hybridization: a practical alternative for fluorescence in situ hybridization to detect HER-2/neu oncogene amplification in archival breast cancer samples. [online]. *The American journal of pathology*. 157 (5), pp. 1467–1472. [Accessed 11 January 2014].
- Tazi, J., Bakkour, N. & Stamm, S. (2009) Alternative splicing and disease. [online]. Biochimica et biophysica acta. 1792 (1), pp. 14–26. [Accessed 13 October 2012].
- Telesco, S.E. & Radhakrishnan, R. (2009) Atomistic insights into regulatory mechanisms of the HER2 tyrosine kinase domain: a molecular dynamics study. [online]. *Biophysical journal*. 96 (6), pp. 2321–2334. [Accessed 29 May 2014].
- Vandesompele, J., De Preter, K., Pattyn, F., Poppe, B., Van Roy, N., De Paepe, A. & Speleman, F. (2002) Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. [online]. *Genome biology*. 3 (7), pp. RESEARCH0034. [Accessed 31 January 2013].
- Vargo-Gogola, T. & Rosen, J.M. (2007) Modelling breast cancer: one size does not fit all. [online]. *Nature reviews. Cancer*. 7 (9), pp. 659–672. [Accessed 7 May 2014].
- Van der Vegt, B., de Bock, G.H., Bart, J., Zwartjes, N.G. & Wesseling, J. (2009) Validation of the 4B5 rabbit monoclonal antibody in determining Her2/neu status in breast cancer. [online]. *Modern pathology : an official journal of the United States and Canadian Academy of Pathology, Inc.* 22 (7), pp. 879–886. [Accessed 8 May 2014].
- Vengellur, A. & LaPres, J.J. (2004) The role of hypoxia inducible factor 1alpha in cobalt chloride induced cell death in mouse embryonic fibroblasts. [online]. *Toxicological sciences : an official journal of the Society of Toxicology*. 82 (2), pp. 638–646. [Accessed 28 May 2014].
- Vennström, B. & Bishop, J.M. (1982) Isolation and characterization of chicken DNA homologous to the two putative oncogenes of avian erythroblastosis virus. [online]. *Cell*. 28 (1), pp. 135–143. [Accessed 6 March 2014].

- Vogel, J. (1901) *Histology and Immunohistochemistry: A Practical Guide* [online]. (October), pp. 1–11. [Accessed 17 May 2015].
- Vogel, U.F. (2010) Confirmation of a low HER2 positivity rate of breast carcinomas limitations of immunohistochemistry and in situ hybridization [online]. *Diagnostic Pathology*. 5pp. 50.
- Vordermark, D., Brown, J.M. & Phil, D. (2003) Evaluation of hypoxia-inducible factor-1?? (HIF-1??) as an intrinsic marker of tumor hypoxia in U87 MG human glioblastoma: In vitro and xenograft studies. *International Journal of Radiation* Oncology Biology Physics. 56 (4), pp. 1184–1193.
- Wan, J., Sazani, P. & Kole, R. (2009) Modification of HER2 pre-mRNA alternative splicing and its effects on breast cancer cells. [online]. *International journal of cancer. Journal international du cancer*. 124 (4), pp. 772–777. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Wang, J., Willumsen, N., Zheng, Q., Xue, Y., Karsdal, M.A. & Bay-Jensen, A.C. (2013) Bringing cancer serological diagnosis to a new level: focusing on HER2, protein ectodomain shedding and neoepitope technology. [online]. *Future oncology* (London, England). 9 (1), pp. 35–44. [Accessed 25 October 2013].
- Wang, Z. & Burge, C.B. (2008) Splicing regulation: from a parts list of regulatory elements to an integrated splicing code. [online]. *RNA (New York, N.Y.)*. 14 (5), pp. 802–813. [Accessed 1 February 2013].
- Wolff, A.C., Hammond, M.E.H., Schwartz, J.N., Hagerty, K.L., Allred, D.C., Cote, R.J., Dowsett, M., Fitzgibbons, P.L., Hanna, W.M., Langer, A., McShane, L.M., Paik, S., Pegram, M.D., Perez, E. a, et al. (2007) American Society of Clinical Oncology/College of American Pathologists guideline recommendations for human epidermal growth factor receptor 2 testing in breast cancer. [online]. *Journal of clinical oncology : official journal of the American Society of Clinical Oncology*. 25 (1), pp. 118–145. [Accessed 16 October 2012].
- Yamamoto, T., Nishida, T., Miyajima, N., Kawai, S., Ooi, T. & Toyoshima, K. (1983) The erbB gene of avian erythroblastosis virus is a member of the src gene family. [online]. *Cell*. 35 (1), pp. 71–78. [Accessed 6 March 2014].
- Yamamoto, T., Saito, M., Kumazawa, K., Doi, A., Matsui, A., Takebe, S., Amari, T., Oyama, M. & Semba, K. (2011) ErbB2/HER2: Its Contribution to Basic Cancer Biology and the Development of Molecular Targeted Therapy, Breast Cancer -Carcinogenesis, Cell Growth and Signalling Pathways. Mehmet Gunduz (ed.). [online]. InTech.
- Zagozdzon, R., Gallagher, W.M. & Crown, J. (2011) Truncated HER2: implications for HER2-targeted therapeutics. [online]. *Drug discovery today*. 16 (17-18), pp. 810– 816. [Accessed 22 October 2012].

# APPENDICES

## **APPENDIX A:**

# *HER2* sequence (Accession Number NM\_004448)

## EXON 1 (238bp)

GGGACCGGAGAAACCAGGGGAGCCCCCGGGCAGCCGCGCGCCCCTTCCCACGGGGCCCTTT ACTGCGCCGCGCCCCGGCCCCCACCCCTCGCAGCACCCCGCGCCCCGCGCCCTCCCAGCCGG GTCCAGCCGGAGCCATGGGGCCGGAGCCGCAGTGAGCACCATGGAGCTGGCGGCCTTGTGC CGCTGGGGGGCTCCTCCTCGCCCTCTTGCCCCCGGAGCCGCGAGCACCCAAG

## EXON 2 (152bp)

TGTGCACCGGCACAGACATGAAGCTGCGGCTCCCTGCCAGTCCCGAGACCCACCTGGACATGC CCAATGCCAGCCTGTCCTTCCTGCAG

## EXON 3 (214bp)

GATATCCAGGAGGTGCAGGGCTACGTGCTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACT GCAGAGGCTGCGGATTGTGCGAGGCACCCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGC TAGACAATGGAGACCCGCTGAACAATACCACCCCTGTCACAGGGGCCTCCCCAGGAGGCCTG CGGGAGCTGCAGCTTCGAAGCCTCACAG

## EXON 4 (135bp)

AGATCTTGAAAGGAGGGGTCTTGATCCAGCGGAACCCCCAGCTCTGCTACCAGGACACGATTT TGTGGAAGGACATCTTCCACAAGAACAACCAGCTGGCTCTCACACTGATAGACACCAACCGCT CTCGGGCCT

## EXON 5 (69bp)

GCCACCCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCTCCCGCTGCTGGGGAGAGAGTTCTGAGGATTGTC AGAGCC

## EXON 6 (116bp)

GCCATGAGCAGTGTGCTGCCGGCTGCACGGGCCCCAAGCACTCTGACTGCCTG

## EXON 7 (142bp)

GCCTGCCTCCACTTCAACCACAGTGGCCATCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACA ACACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCATGCCCAATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTG TGACTGCCTGTCCCT

## EXON 8 (120bp)

ACAACTACCTTTCTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGG TGACAGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAG

## EXON 9 (127bp)

TGTGCTATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAGGGCAGTTACCAGTGCCAATATC CAGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTGAT GG

## EXON 10 (74bp)

GGACCCAGCCTCCAACACTGCCCCGCTCCAGCCAGAGCAGCTCCAAGTGTTTGAGACTCTGGA AGAGATCACAG

## EXON 11 (91bp)

GTTACCTATACATCTCAGCATGGCCGGACAGCCTGCCTGACCTCAGCGTCTTCCAGAACCTGCA AGTAATCCGGGGACGAATTCTGCACAA

## EXON 12 (200bp)

TGGCGCCTACTCGCTGACCCTGCAAGGGCTGGGCATCAGCTGGGCTGGGGCTGCGCTCACTGA GGGAACTGGGCAGTGGACTGGCCCTCATCCACCATAACACCCCACCTCTGCTTCGTGCACACGG TGCCCTGGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAACCGGCCAG AGGACGAGTGTG

## EXON 13 (133bp)

TGGGCGAGGGCCTGGCCTGCCACCAGCTGTGCGCCCGAGGGCACTGCTGGGGTCCAGGGCC CACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCCTTCGGGGCCAGGAGTGCGTGGAGGAATGCCGAG TACTGCAGGG

## EXON 14 (91bp)

GCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGCCGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCA GAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGGACCG

## EXON 15 (161bp)

## EXON 16 (48bp)

CTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACAAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAG

## EXON 17 (139bp)

CCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTTGGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGT CTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGC TGCAGGAAACGGAG

## EXON 18 (123bp)

CTGGTGGAGCCGCTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAA AGAGACGGAGCTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAG EXON 19 (99bp)

GGCATCTGGATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGA AAACACATCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGAC

## EXON 20 (186bp)

GAAGCATACGTGATGGCTGGTGTGGGCTCCCCATATGTCTCCCGCCTTCTGGGCATCTGCCTG ACATCCACGGTGCAGCTGGTGACACAGCTTATGCCCTATGGCTGCCTCTTAGACCATGTCCGG GAAAACCGCGGACGCCTGGGCTCCCAGGACCTGCTGAACTGGTGTATGCAGATTGCCAAG **EXON 21 (156bp)** 

## EXON 22 (76bp)

## EXON 23 (147bp)

GTGTGACTGTGTGGGAGCTGATGACTTTTGGGGGCCAAACCTTACGATGGGATCCCAGCCCGG GAGATCCCTGACCTGCTGGAAAAGGGGGGAGCGGCTGCCCCAGCCCCCATCTGCACCATTGA TGTCTACATGATCATGGTCAAAT

#### EXON 24 (98bp)

GTTGGATGATTGACTCTGAATGTCGGCCAAGATTCCGGGAGTTGGTGTCTGAATTCTCCCGCA TGGCCAGGGACCCCCAGCGCTTTGTGGTCATCCAG
#### EXON 25 (189bp)

AATGAGGACTTGGGCCCAGCCAGTCCCTTGGACAGCACCTTCTACCGCTCACTGCTGGAGGAC GATGACATGGGGGGACCTGGTGGATGCTGAGGAGTATCTGGTACCCCAGCAGGGCTTCTTCTG TCCAGACCCTGCCCCGGGCGCTGGGGGGCATGGTCCACCACAGGCACCGCAGCTCATCTACCA GG

#### EXON 26 (253bp)

AGTGGCGGTGGGGACCTGACACTAGGGCTGGAGCCCTCTGAAGAGGAGGCCCCCAGGTCTC CACTGGCACCCTCCGAAGGGGCTGGCTCCGATGTATTTGATGGTGACCTGGGAATGGGGGCA GCCAAGGGGCTGCAAAGCCTCCCCACACATGACCCCAGCCCTCTACAGCGGTACAGTGAGGA CCCCACAGTACCCCTGCCCTCTGAGACTGATGGCTACGTTGCCCCCCTGACCTGCAGCCCCCAG CCTG

#### EXON 27 (969bp)

AATATGTGAACCAGCCAGATGTTCGGCCCCAGCCCCCTTCGCCCCGAGAGGGCCCTCTGCCTG CTGCCCGACCTGCTGGTGCCACTCTGGAAAGGCCCAAGACTCTCCCCCAGGGAAGAATGGG GTCGTCAAAGACGTTTTTGCCTTTGGGGGGTGCCGTGGAGAACCCCGAGTACTTGACACCCCAG GGAGGAGCTGCCCCTCAGCCCCACCCTCCTGCCTTCAGCCCAGCCTTCGACAACCTCTATT ACTGGGACCAGGACCCACCAGAGCGGGGGGGCTCCACCCAGCACCTTCAAAGGGACACCTACG GCAGAGAACCCAGAGTACCTGGGTCTGGACGTGCCAGTGTGAACCAGAAGGCCAAGTCCGCA GAAGCCCTGATGTGTCCTCAGGGAGCAGGGAAGGCCTGACTTCTGCTGGCATCAAGAGGTGG GAGGGCCCTCCGACCACTTCCAGGGGAACCTGCCATGCCAGGAACCTGTCCTAAGGAACCTTC CTTCCTGCTTGAGTTCCCAGATGGCTGGAAGGGGTCCAGCCTCGTTGGAAGAGGAACAGCAC TGGGGAGTCTTTGTGGATTCTGAGGCCCTGCCCAATGAGACTCTAGGGTCCAGTGGATGCCAC AGCCCAGCTTGGCCCTTTCCTTCCAGATCCTGGGTACTGAAAGCCTTAGGGAAGCTGGCCTGA GAGGGGAAGCGGCCCTAAGGGAGTGTCTAAGAACAAAGCGACCCATTCAGAGACTGTCCCT GAAACCTAGTACTGCCCCCCATGAGGAAGGAACAGCAATGGTGTCAGTATCCAGGCTTTGTAC GGGGGAGAATGGGTGTTGTATGGGGAGGCAAGTGTGGGGGGGTCCTTCTCCACACCCACTTTG TCCATTTGCAAATATATTTTGGAAAAC

Sequences of HER2 cDNA obtained from RT-PCR assays which

showed additional bands and subsequent differences in cDNA

## sequence

Figure A 1: Sequence analysis of the top band amplified using primers E12F + E 15R, showing exon 12, exon 13, exon 14, and exon 15. In Italics – forward and reverse primers. <u>Underlined</u> – actual cDNA sequence obtained from excised band.

Figure A 2: Sequence analysis of the middle band amplified using primers E12F + E 15R, showing exon 12, exon 13, exon 14, and exon 15. In Italics – forward and reverse primers. <u>Underlined</u> – actual gene sequence obtained from excised band.

Figure A 3: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers E15F + E 19R, showing exon 15, exon 16, exon 17, exon 18, and exon 19. In Italics – forward and reverse primers. <u>Underlined</u> – actual gene sequence obtained from excised band.

Figure A 4: Sequence showing the lower band amplified using primers E15F + E 19R, showing <mark>exon 15</mark>, exon 16, exon 17, exon 18, and exon 19. In Italics – forward and reverse primers. <u>Underlined</u> – actual gene sequence obtained from excised band.

Figure A 5: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers NP1+NP2, showing exon 15, exon 16, exon 17, and exon 18. In Italics – forward and reverse primers. <u>Underlined</u> – actual gene sequence obtained from excised band.

*Figure A 6*: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers NP1+NP2, showing exon 15, exon 16, exon 17, and exon 18. In Italics – forward and reverse primers. <u>Underlined</u> – actual gene sequence obtained from excised band.

*Figure A 7*: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers NP5+NP6, showing exon 15, exon 16, and exon 17. In Italics – forward and reverse primers. <u>Underlined</u> – actual gene sequence obtained from excised band.

*Figure A 8*: Sequence showing the top band amplified using primers NP5+NP6, showing exon 15, exon 16, and exon 17. In Italics – forward and reverse primers. <u>Underlined</u> – actual gene sequence obtained from excised band.

# **RT-PCR PRIMER SEQUENCES**

	EVON	CENCE	
		PRIMFR	ANTI-SENSE PRIMFR
E1F1 +	EXON 1	5' CCAGTAGAATGGCCGGAGGA '3	5' TTTCTCCGGTCCCAATGGAG '3
E1R			
E1F2 +	EXONS 1-	5' GTGGAGGAGGAGGGCTGCTT '3	5' GGAGCCGCAGCTTCATGTCT '3
E2R	2		
E1F3 +	EXONS 1-	5' CTTCCCACGGGGCCCTTTAC '3	5' AGCACGTAGCCCTGCACCTC '3
E3R	3		
E3F + E6R	EXONS 3-	5' TCCAGGAGGTGCAGGGCTAC '3	5' CAGCAGTCAGTGGGCAGTGG '3
	6		
E6F + E9R	EXONS 6-	5' CAGCAGTCAGTGGGCAGTGG '3	5' AAATGCCAGGCTCCCAAAGA '3
	9		
E9F +	EXONS 9-	5' TGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTG '3	5' TTGGCAGTGTGGAGCAGAGC'3
E12R	12		
E12F +	EXONS	5' GGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCT '3	5' TGCAGTTGATGGGGCAAGG '3
E15R	12-15		
E15F +	EXONS	5' AGCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTC '3	5' GGGGGATGTGTTTTCCCTCA '3
E19R	15-19		
E19F +	EXONS	5'	5' CCACACATCACTCTGGTGGGTGAA '3
E22R	19-22	GAAAACACATCCCCCAAAGCCAAC	
5005 ·	EVONC	'3 5' CATOCOCOTOCACTOCATTO()	
E22F +		5 GAIGGUGUIGGAGIUUAIIU 3	5 GATGAGCTGCGGTGCCTGT 3
E25 +	FXONS		
E27R	25-27		
E27F +	EXON 27	5' GAGAACCCCGAGTACTTGACAC	5' GCAAATGGACAAAGTGGGTGTG'3
E27R1		3	
NP1 +	EXONS	5' CATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTC '3	5' GCTCCACCAGCTCCGTTTCCTG
NP2	16-18		'3
NP5 +	EXONS	5′	5'
NP6	16-18	ATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGC	ACCACCCCCAAGACCACGACCAG
		'3	'3

Table A 1: List of RT-PCR oligonucleotide sequences

# RAW VALUES FOR RT-PCR SEQUENCES (INCLUDING SEQUENCES WHICH DID NOT PROCUDE MULTIPLE BANDS, AND FOR WHICH ALTERNATIVE SPLICING WAS NOT OBSERVED)

> 001\_T7 -- 12..1051 of sequence

CATGCTCCGGCCGCCTGGCGGCGCGCGGGAATTCGATTCACCGGCACAGACATGAAGCTGCGG CTCCCTGCCAGTCCCGAGACCCACCTGGACATGCTCCGCCACCTCTACCAGGGCTGCCAGGTG ATCCAGGAGGTGCAGGGCTACGTGCTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACTGCA GAGGCTGCGGATTGTGCGAGGCACCCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGCTAG ACAATGGAGACCCGCTGAACAATACAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACC ATATGGGAGAGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATA GCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACA CATTAATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTA ATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCT TAATACGGTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCA GCAAAAGGCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCC TGACGAGCATCACAAAAATCGACGCTCAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAA GATACCAGGCGTTTCCCCCTGGAAGCTCCCTCGTGCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTAC CGGATACCTGTCCGCCTTTCTCCCTTCGGGAAGCGTGC

EXON 1-3

## > 002\_T7 -- 14..1010 of sequence

#### EXON 3-7 (REVERSE)

#### > 003\_T7 -- 14..1007 of sequence

ATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCGCGCGGGAATTCGATTAGGGACAGGCAGTCACACAGCTGGC GCCGAATGTATACCGGCCCTCGGGATTGGGCATGGACTCAAACGTGTCTGTGTTGTAGGTGA GTGGCCCCTTGCAGCGGGCACAGCCACCGGCACAGACAGTGCGCGTCAGGCTCTGACAATCC TCAGAACTCTCTCCCCAGCAGAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATG GGAGAGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTG GCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACA ATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGA ATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACT ACGGTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAA AAGGCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGAC GAGCATCACAAAAATCGACGCTCAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATA CCAGGCGTTTCCCCCTGGAAGCTCCCTCGTGCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTA

EXON 3-7 (REVERSE)

#### > 004\_T7 -- 12..954 of sequence

CGCATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCGCGCGGGAATTCGATTCCAGGAGGTGCAGGGCTACGTG CTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACTGCAGAGGCTGCGGATTGTGCGAGGCAC CCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGCTAGACAATGGAGACCCGCTGAACAATAC CACCCCTGTCACAGGGGCCTCCCCAGGAGGCCTGCGGGAGCTGCAGCTTCGAAGCCTCACAG AGATCTTGAAAGGAGGGGTCTTGATCCAGCGGAACCCCCAGCTCTGCTACCAGGACACGATTT TGTGGAAGGACATCTTCCACAAGAACAACCAGCTGGCTCTCACACTGATAGACACCAACCGCT CTCGGGCCTGCCACCCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCTCCCGCTGCTGGGGAGAGAGTTCTG AGGATTGTCAGAGCCTGACGCGCACTGTCTGTGCCGGTGGCTGTGCCCGCTGCAAGGGGCCA CTGCCCACTGACTGCTGAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAG AGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGT AATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATACG CGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCG GCCAACGCGCGGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACT TTA

#### EXON 3-6

TCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACAACACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCATGCCCA ATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCT

### > 005\_T7 -- 14..1056 of sequence

TGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCCGCGGGAATTCGATTAAATGCCAGGCTCCCAAAGATCTTCTT GCAGCCAGCAAACTCCTGGATATTGGCACTGGTAACTGCCCTCACCTCTCGCAAGTGCTCCAT GCCCAGACCATAGCACACTCGGGCACAGGGCTTGCTGCACTTCTCACACCGCTGTGTTCCATC AAAGGTAGTTGTAGGGACAGGCAGTCACACAGCTGGCGCCGAATGTATACCGGCCCTCGGGA TTGGGCATGGACTCAAACGTGTCTGTGTGTAGGTGACCAGGGCTGGGCAGTGCAGCTCACA GATGCCACTGTGGTTGAAGTGGAGGCAGGCCAGGCAGTCAGAGTGCTTGGGGCCCGTGCAG CCGGCAGCACTGCTCATGGCAGCAGTCAGTGGGCAGTGGAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGC CGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAGAGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTA TAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATC CGCTCACAATTCCACAACATACGAGCCGGAAGCATAAAGTGTAAAGCCTGGGGTGCCTAAT GAGTGAGCTAACTCACATTAATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTC GTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCT CTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTCGCTGCGCTCGGTCGTTCGGCTGCGGCGAGCGGTATCAG CTCACTCAAAGGCGGTAATACGGTTATCCACAGAATCACGGGATAACGCACGAAAGAACATG TGAGCAAAAGGCAGCAAAAGGCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTCCATA GGCTCCGCCCCTGACGAGCATCACAAAAATCGACGCTCAGT

## EXON 5-8 (REVERSE)

GCCACCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCTCCCGCTGCTGGGGAGAGAGTTCTGAGGATTGTC AGAGCCTGACGCGCACTGTCTGTGCCGGTGGCTGTGCCCGCTGCAAGGGGC<u>CACTGCCCACT</u> GACTGCTGCCATGAGCAGTGTGCTGCCGGCTGCACGGGCCCCAAGCACTCTGACTGCCTG CTGCCTCCACTTCAACCACAGTGGCATCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACAAC ACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCATGCCCAATCCCGAGGGCCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTG ACTGCCTGTCCCTACAACTACCTTTCTACGGAACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCTGC ACAACCAAGAGGTGACAGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTG TGCCCGAGTGTGTCATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAGGGCAGTTACCAGTG CCAATATCCAGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGA GCTTTGATGG

## > 006\_T7 -- 15..1032 of sequence

GGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCG TATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTCGCTGCGCTCGGTCGTTCGGCTGCGGCGA GCGGTATCAGCTCACTCAAAGGCGGTAATACGGTTATCCACAGAATCACGGGATAACGCACG AAAGAACATGTGAGCAAACGCCAGCAAAGGCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGC GTTTTCCATAGGCTC

#### **EXONS 8-10**

#### > 010\_T7 -- 13..946 of sequence

#### > 011b\_T7 -- 12..918 of sequence

CGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTCGCTGCGCTCGGTCGTTCGGCTGCGGCGAGCGGTATCAGCTCA CTCAAAGGCGGTAATACGGTTATCCA

### > 013\_T7 -- 12..1020 of sequence

CATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCCGCGGGGAATTCGATTCATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGAT GAGGAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGGGACCTGGATGAC TAGGGCTGCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCGTCTCTGCGGTGGTTGG CATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAA GATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCAATCACTA GTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAGAGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATA GCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGT GTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACAACATACGAGCCGGAAGCATAAAGTGTAAAGC CTGGGGTGCCTAATGAGTGAGCTAACTCACATTAATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAG TCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGAGAGGCGGTTT GCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCGCTCACTGACTCGCTGCGCTCGGTCGTTCGGCTGCGG CGAGCGGTATCAGCTCACTCAAAGGCGGTAATACGGTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGGATAACGC AGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAGGCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTG CTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAGCATCACAAAAATCGACGCTCAAGTCAG AGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCAGGCGTTTCCCCCTGGAAGCTCCCTCGT GCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTACCGGATACCTGTCCGCCTTTCTCCCTTCGGGAAGC GTGGCG

## > 014\_T7 -- 13..977 of sequence

CATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCCGCGGGGAATTCGATTCATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGAT AAGGAGGGTGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCCCTCTGACGTCCATCGTCT CTGCGGTGGTTGGCATTCAGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGAATCCTCATCAAGC GACGGCAGCAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGT GGAGCAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAGAGCTCCCAACG CGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCAT AGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACAACATACGAGCCGGAAGCAT AAAGTGTAAAGCCTGGGGTGCCTAATGAGTGAGCTAACTCACATTAATTGCGTTGCGCTCACT GCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGG GGAGAGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTCGCTGCGCTCGG TCGTTCGGCTGCGGCGAGCGGTATCAGCTCACTCAAAGGCGGTAATACGGTTATCCACAGAAT CAGGGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAGGCCAGGAACCGTAA AAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAGCATCACAAAAATCG ACGCTCAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCAGGCGTTTCCCCCTG GAAGCTCCCTCGTGCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTACCGGATACCTGTCCGCCTTTCTC CCTTCGGGAAGCGTGGCGCTTC

## > 015\_T7 -- 13..1039 of sequence

ATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCCGCGGGAATTCGATTCATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATG AGGAGGGCGCATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACA AGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATG CGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGC AGGTCGACCATATGGGAGAGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTC ACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCAC

#### > 017\_T7 -- 12..980 of sequence

CATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCCGCGGGATTAGACCACCCCCAAGACCACGACCAGCAGAATGC GCATAATCACTAGTGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAGAGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGA TGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTT TCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATACGAGCCGGAAGCATAAAGTG TAAAGCCTGGGGTGCCTAATGAGTGAGCTAACTCACATTAATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGC TTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGCGGGGAGAG GCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTCGCTGCGCTCGGTCGTTCG GCTGCGGCGAGCGGTATCAGCTCACTCAAAGGCGGTAATACGGTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGG ATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAGGCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGC CGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAGCATCACAAAAATCGACGCTC AAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCAGGCGTTTCCCCCTGGAAGCT CCCTCGTGCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTACCGGATACCTGTCCGCCTTTCTCCCTTCG GGAAGCGTGGCGCTCTCTCATAGCTCACGCTGTAGGTATCTCAGTTCGGTGTAGGTCGTTCGC TCCAAGCTGGGCTGTGTGCACGAACCCCCCGTTCAGCCCGACCGCTGCGCCTTATCCCGGTAA CTATCGTCTTGAGTCCAACCCGGTAGACA

#### > 018\_T7 -- 14..1063 of sequence

TCGGTGTAGGTCGTTCGCTCCAAGCTGGGCTGTGTGCACGAACCCCCGTTCAGCCCGACCGC TGCGCCTTATCCGGTAACTATCGTCTTGAGTCCACCCGGTAGACACG

## > 019\_T7 -- 14..1086 of sequence

ATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCGCGCGGGAATTCGATTAGCTGTGTCACTGCCTGTCCCTACAA CTACCTTTCTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGGTGAC AGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGGTACCCAC TCACTGCCCCCGAGGAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAGA GCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGTA ATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATACGA GTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGG CCAACGCGCGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTC TATCCACAGAATCAGGGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAGGC CAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAGCA TCACAAAAATCGACGCTCAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCAGG CGTTTCCCCCTGGAAGCTCCCTCGTGCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTACCGGATACCT GTCCGCCTTTCTCCCTTCGGGAAGCGTGGCGCTTTCTCATAGCTCACGCTGTAGGTATCTCAGT TCGGTGTAGGTCGTTCGCTCCAAGCTGGGCTGTGTGCACGAACCCCCCGTTCAGCCCGACCGC TGCGCCTTATCCGGTAACTATCGTCTTGAGTCCACCCGGTAGACACGACTTATCGCACTGGCA GCAGCAC

## > 019b\_T7 -- 9..1063 of sequence

ACCTCGGGCACAGGGCTTGCTGCACCTTCTCACACCGCTGTGTTCCATCCTCTGCTGTCACCTCTT GGTTGTGCAGGGGGGCAGACGAGGGTGCAGGATCCCACGTCCGTAGAAAGGTAGTTGTAGGG ACAGGCAGTGACACAGCTAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGG AGAGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGC GTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATA TGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATC GGCCAACGCGCGGGGGGGGGGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGAC GTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAG GCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAG CATCACAAAAATCGACGCTCAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCA GGCGTTTCCCCCTGGAAGCTCCCTCGTGCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTACCGGATAC CTGTCCGCCTTTCTCCCTTCGGGAAGCGTGGCGCTTTCTCATAGCTCACGCTGTAGGTATCTCA GTTCGGTGTAGGTCGTTCGCTCCAAGCTGGGCTGTGTGCACGAACCCCCCGTTCAGCCCGACC GCTGCGCCTTATCCGGTAACTATCGTCTTGAGTCCAACCCGGTAAGACAC

#### > 020\_T7 -- 16..1050 of sequence

TGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCCGCGGGGAATTCGATTGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGT GCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGTGTGCTATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAG GGCAGTTACCAGTGCCAATATCCAGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGG CAAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAGAGCTCCCAACGCGTT

#### > 021\_T7 -- 15..987 of sequence

CATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCCGCGGGAATTCGATTCACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCATGCCC AATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCTACAACTACCTTT CTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGGTGACAGCAGAG CCCGAGGCCAGCTGCAGTTCCTGTCCCTCTGCGCATGCAGCCTGGCCCAGCCCACCCTGTCCTA TCCTTCCTCAGACCCAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAGAG CTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGTAAT CATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATACGAGC GCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCA ACGCGCGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTCGCT CCACAGAATCAGGGGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAGGCCAG GAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAGCATCA CAAAAATCGACGCTCAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCAGGCGT TTCCCCTGGAAGCTCCCTCGTGCGCTTCTC

#### > 021b\_T7 -- 14..1028 of sequence

GCCTTTCTCCCTTCGGGAAGCGTGGCGCTTTCTCATAGCTCACGCTGTAGGTATCTCAGTTCGG TGTAGGTCGTTCGCTCCAAGCTGGGCTGTGTGCACGAACCCCCCGTTCAGCCCGACCGCTGCG CCTTATCCG

### > 024\_T7 -- 14..1069 of sequence

ATGCTCCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCGCGGGGAATTCGATTCACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCATGCCCA ATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCTACAACTACCTTTC TACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGGTGACAGCAGAGG ATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGTGTGCTATGATCTGGGC CAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAAATCACTAGTGAATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGA GAGCTCCCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCTTGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCG TAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTGAAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATAC GCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCCGCTTTCCAGTCGGGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATC GGCCAACGCGCGGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCGTATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGAC GTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGGGATAACGCAGGAAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAG GCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGCGTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAG CATCACAAAAATCGACGCTCAAGTCAGAGGTGGCGAAACCCGACAGGACTATAAAGATACCA GGCGTTTCCCCCTGGAAGCTCCCTCGTGCGCTCTCCTGTTCCGACCCTGCCGCTTACCGGATAC CTGTCCGCCTTTCTCCCTTCGGGAAGCGTGCGCTTTCTCATAGCTCACGCTGTA

## >4a\_T7\_G01\_0266.ab1

## EXON2 15-19

TGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACACATCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAA ATCTTAGAC

FOLDER NAME: 2010-02-01\_ABI55\_0266

FILE NAME: 6a\_T7\_A02\_0266.ab1

GGCGGCCGCGGGATTCGATTCATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCGCATGCCA GCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCCCTCTGACGTCCATCGTCTCTGCGGTGGTTGGCATT CTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGAA CCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAAGCTGGTGGAGCAATCACTAGTG AATTCGCGGCCGCCTGCAGGTCGACCATATGGGAGAACCGGAGCTGCAACGCGTTGGATGCATAGCT TGAGTATTCTATAGTGTCACCTAAATAGCTTGGCGTAATCATGGTCATAGCTGTTTCCTGTGTG AAATTGTTATCCGCTCACAATTCCACACAACATACGAGCCGGAAGCATAAAGTGTAAAGCCTG GGGTGCCTAATGAGTGAGCTAACTCACATTAATTGCGTTGCGCTCACTGCCGCGCTTTCCAGTCG GGAAACCTGTCGTGCCAGCTGCATTAATGAATCGGCCAACGCGGGGGAGAGGCGGTTTGCG TATTGGGCGCTCTTCCGCTTCCTCGCTCACTGACTCGCTGCGCTCGGTCGTTCGGCTGCGGCGA GCGGTATCAGCTCACTCAAAGGCCGTAATACGGTTATCCACAGAATCAGGGGATAACGCAGG AAAGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAGGCCAGGAANCCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCT GGC

EXON 15-18

FOLDER NAME: 2010-02-01\_ABI55\_0266

FILE NAME: 7a\_T7\_B02\_0266.ab1

AGAACATGTGAGCAAAAGGCCAGCAAAAGGCCAGGAACCGTAAAAAGGCCGCGTTGCTGGC GTTTTTCCATAGGCTCCGCCCCCTGACGAGCATCACAAAAATCGACGCTCAA

EXONS 15-18

FOLDER NAME: 2010-01-29\_ABI53\_0265

FILE NAME: 1\_t7\_E11\_0265.ab1

EXONS 12-15

FOLDER NAME: 2010-01-29\_ABI53\_0265

FILE NAME: 4\_t7\_H11\_0265.ab1

CATGGCGGCCGCGGGATTCGATTGGGGGGATGTGTTTTCCCTCAACACTTTGATGGCCACTGGA ATTTTCACATTCTCCCCATCAGGGATCCAGATGCCCTTGTAGACTGTGCCAAAAGCGCCAGATC

EXONS 15-19

FOLDER NAME: 2010-01-29\_ABI53\_0265

FILE NAME: 5\_t7\_A12\_0265.ab1

EXONS 15-19

FOLDER NAME: 2010-01-29\_ABI53\_0265

FILE NAME: 7\_t7\_C12\_0265.ab1

EXONS 15-18

FOLDER NAME: 2010-01-29\_ABI53\_0265

FILE NAME: 8\_t7\_D12\_0265.ab1

EXONS 15-18

FOLDER NAME: 2009-12-14\_abi54\_0258

FILE NAME: 4\_C07\_0258.ab1

GAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCGCATGCCAGCC TTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACAAGGGCTGCCCCGCCGAGCA GAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCGTCTCTGCGGTGGTTGGCATTCTGCTGGTCGTGGTCTT GGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGATCCGGAAGTACACGATGC GGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCCGCTGACACCTAACGGAGCGATGCCCAA CCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAGCTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCT GGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAGGGCATCTGGATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCC AGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACACATCCCCCAATCGAATTCCCGCGGCCGCCATGN CGGNCGGGAGCATGCGACGT

EXONS 15-18

FOLDER NAME: 2009-12-14\_abi54\_0258

FILE NAME: 5\_D07\_0258.ab1

ATTCTCCCCATCAGGGATCCAGATGCCCTTCCTCAGCTCCGTCTCTTTCAGGATCCGCATCTGC GCCTGGTTGGGCATCGCTCCGCTAGGTGTCAGCGGCTCCACCAGCTCCGTTTCCTGCAGCAGT CTCCGCATCGTGTACTTCCGGATCTTCTGCTGCCGTCGCTTGATGAGGATCCCAAAGACCACCC CCAAGACCACGACCAGCAGAATGCCAACCACCGCAGAGACGATGGACGTCAGAGGGCTGGC TCTCTGCTCGGCGGGGCAGCCCTTGTCATCCAGGTCCACACAGGAGTGGGTGCAGTTGATGG GGCAAGGCTGGCATGCGCCCTCCTCATCTGGAAACTTCCAGATGGGCATGTAGGAGAGGTCA GGTTTCACACCGCTAATCGAATTCCCGCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCGACGTCGG GCCCAATTCGCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACAATTCACTGGCCGTCGTTTTACAACGTCGTGAC TGGGAAAACCCTGGCGTTACCCAACTTAATCGCCTTGCA

EXON 15-19

FOLDER NAME: 2009-12-14\_abi54\_0258

FILE NAME: 7\_F07\_0258.ab1

TCCTGCAGCAGTCTCCGCATCGTGTACTTCCGGATCTTCTGCTGCCGTCGCTTGATGAGGATCC CAAAGACCACCCCCAAGACCACGACCAGCAGAATGCCAACCACCGCAGAGATGATGGACGTC AGAGGGGAGTGGGTGCAGTTGATGGGGGCAAGGCTGGCATGCGCCCTCCTCATCTGGAAACTT CCAGATGGGCATGAATCCCGCGGCCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCGACGTCGGGCCCAATTC GCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACAATTCACTGGCCGTCGTTTTACAACGTCGTGACTGGGAAAA CCCTGGCGTTACCCAACTTAATCGCCTTGCAGCACATCCCCCTTTCGCCAGCTGGCGTAATAGC GAAGAGGCCCGCACCGATCGCCCTTCCCAACAGTTGCGCAGCCTGAATGGCGAATGGACGCG CCCTGTAGCGGCGCATTAAGCGCGGCGGGGTGTGGTGGTTACGCGCAGCGTGACCGCTACACT TG

EXON 15-18

GTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGATCCGGAA GTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAG GCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGAGCTGAGGAAGGTGAAGG TGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAG

FOLDER NAME: 2009-12-14\_abi54\_0258

FILE NAME: 8\_G07\_0258.ab1

CAGAGGGCTGGCTCTCTGCTCGGCGGGGGCAGCCCTTGTCATCCAGGTCCACACAGGAGTGGG TGCAGTTGATGGGGCAAGGCTGGCATAATCCCGCGGCCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCGACG TCGGGCCCAATTCGCCCTATAGTGAGTCGTATTACAATTCACTGGCCGTCGTTTTACAACGTCG TGACTGGGAAAACCCTGGCGTTACCCAACTTAATCGCCTTGCAGCACATCCCCCTTTCGCCAGC TGGCGTAATAGCGAAGAGGCCCGCACCGATCGCCCTTCCCAACAGTTGCGCAGCCTGAATGG CGAATGGACGCGCCCTGTAGCGGCGCATTAAGCGCGGCGGGTGTGGTGGTTACGCGCAGCG TGACCGCTACACTTGCCAGCGCCCTA

## EXON 15-18

CCGCGGCCGCCATGGCGGCCGGGAGCATGCGACGTCGGGCCCAATTCGCCCTATAGTGAGTC GTATTACAATTCACTGGCCGTCGTTTTACAACGTCGTGACTGGGAAAACCCTGGCGTTACCCA ACTTAATCGCCTTGCAGCACATCCCCCTTTCGCCAGCTGGCGTAATAGCGAAGAGGCCCGCAC CGATCGCCCTTCCCAACAGTTGCGCAGCCTGAATGGCGAATGGACGCGCCCTGTAGCGGCGC ATTAAGCGCGGGGGGTGTGGTGGTGGTTACGCGCAGCGTGACCGCTACACTTGCCAGCGCCCTAG CGCCCGCTCCTTTCGCTTTCTCCCTTCCTTTCTCGCCACGTTCGCCGGCTTTCCCCGTCAAGCTC TAAATCGGGGGGCTCCCTTTAGGGTTCCGATTTAGTGCTTTACGGCACCTCGACCCCAAAAAACT TGATTAGGGTGATGGTTCACGTAGTGGGCCATCGCCCTGATAGACGGTTTTCGCCCTTTGAC GTTGGAGTCCACGTTCTTTAATAGTGGACTCTTGTTCCAAACTGGAACAACACTCAACCCTATC TCGGTCTATTCTTTTGATTTATAAGGGATTTTGCCGATTTCGGCCTATTGGTTAAAAAATGAGC TGA

## Secondary structure of HER2 protein











Figure A 9: PSIPRED output showing the secondary structure of the HER2 protein aligned with the amino acid sequence.  $\alpha$ -helices are represented by pink cylinders,  $\beta$ -strands are represented by yellow arrows, and coils are represented by black lines. The predicted structures are also indicated by lettering; H – alpha helix, E -Beta sheet and C -coils.

#### pGEM-T Easy Vector Map

The pGEM-T Easy is a high copy number vector for cloning PCR products. It contains both T7 and SP6 RNA polymerase promoters flanking a multiple cloning region within the  $\alpha$ -peptide coding region of the enzyme  $\beta$ -galactosidase to enable blue/white screening. It has multiple restriction enzyme sites and an ampicillin resistance gene to facilitate bacterial selection.



Figure A 10: pGEM-T Easy Vector map

## **APPENDIX B:**

# **CLUSTAL OMEGA ALIGNMENTS OF HER2 mRNA TRANSCRIPT**

# VARIANTS OBTAINED FROM THE NCBI DATABASE:

NR_110535	
NM_004448	
NM_001289937	
NM 001289936	AAGTTCCTGTGTTCTTTATTCTACTCTCCGCTGAAGTCCACACAGTTTAAATTAAAGTTC
NM_001005862	AAGTTCCTGTGTTCTTTATTCTACTCTCCGCTGAAGTCCACACAGTTTAAATTAAAGTTC
NM_001289938	AAGTTCCTGTGTTCTTTATTCTACTCTCCGCTGAAGTCCACACAGTTTAAATTAAAGTTC

NR_110535	
NM 004448	
NM_001289937	
NM_001289936	CCGGATTTTTGTGGGCGCCTGCCCCGCCCCTCGTCCCCTGCTGTGTCCATATATCGAGG
NM 001005862	CCGGATTTTTGTGGGCGCCTGCCCCGCCCCTCGTCCCCTGCTGTGTCCATATATCGAGG
NM 001289938	CCGGATTTTTGTGGGCGCCTGCCCCGCCCCTCGTCCCCTGCTGTGTCCATATATCGAGG

NR_110535	
NM 004448	
NM 001289937	
NM 001289936	CGATAGGGTTAAGGGAAGGCGGACGCCTGATGGGTTAATGAGCAAACTGAAGTGTTTTCC
NM_001005862	CGATAGGGTTAAGGGAAGGCGGACGCCTGATGGGTTAATGAGCAAACTGAAGTGTTTTCC
NM_001289938	CGATAGGGTTAAGGGAAGGCGGACGCCTGATGGGTTAATGAGCAAACTGAAGTGTTTTCC

NR	110535	
NM	004448	
NM	001289937	
NM	001289936	${\tt ATGATCTTTTTTGAGTCGCAATTGAAGTACCACCTCCCGAGGGTGATTGCTTCCCCATGC}$
NM	001005862	${\tt ATGATCTTTTTTGAGTCGCAATTGAAGTACCACCTCCCGAGGGTGATTGCTTCCCCATGC}$
NM	_001289938	${\tt ATGATCTTTTTTGAGTCGCAATTGAAGTACCACCTCCCGAGGGTGATTGCTTCCCCATGC}$

NR	110535	GCTTGCT
NM	004448	GCTTGCT
NM	001289937	GCTTGCT
NM	001289936	GGGGTAGAACCTTTGCTGTCCTGTTCACCACTCTACCTCCAGCACAGAATTTGGCTTATG
NM	001005862	GGGGTAGAACCTTTGCTGTCCTGTTCACCACTCTACCTCCAGCACAGAATTTGGCTTATG
NM	001289938	GGGGTAGAACCTTTGCTGTCCTGTTCACCACTCTACCTCCAGCACAGAATTTGGCTTATG
-	_	****.

NM 004448 CCCAATCACAGGAGAAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGA	GAATG
NM_00128993 CCCAATCACAGGAGAAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGGAGCTGCTTGAGGAAGTATAA	GAATG
NM 001289936 CCTACTCAAT-GTGAAGATGATGAGGATGAAAACC	
NM 001005862 CCTACTCAAT-GTGAAGATGATGAGGATGAAAACC	
NM 001289938 CCTACTCAAT-GTGAAGATGATGAGGATGAAAACC	

NR_110535	AAGTTGTGAAGCTGAGATTCCCCTCCATTGGGACCGGAGAAAC
NM_004448	AAGTTGTGAAGCTGAGATTCCCCTCCATTGGGACCGGAGAAAC
NM_001289937	AAGTTGTGAAGCTGAGATTCCCCTCCATTGGGACCGGAGAAAC
NM 001289936	TTTGTGATGATCCACTTCCACTTAATGAATGGTGGCAAAGCAAA
NM_001005862	TTTGTGATGATCCACTTCCACTTAATGAATGGTGGCAAAGCAAA
NM 001289938	TTTGTGATGATCCACTTCCACTTAATGAATGGTGGCAAAGCAAA
_	***************************************

NR_110535	CAGGGGAGCCCCCGGGCAGCCGCGCGCCCCTTCCCACGGGGCCCTT
NM_004448	CAGGGGAGCCCCCGGGCAGCCGCGCCCCTTCCCACGGGGCCCTT
NM_001289937 NM_001289936	CAGGGGAGCCCCCCGGGCAGCCGCGCCCCC-TTCCCCACGGGG-CCC-TT GACCACATGCAAAGCTACTCCCTGAGCAAAGAGTCACAGATAAAACGGGGGGCACCAG
NM_00120020	
NM_001289938	GACCACATGCAAAGCTACTCCCTGAGCAAAGAGTCACAGATAAAACGGGGGGCACCAG
	······································
NR 110535	TACTGCGCCGCGCCCGGCCCCCACCCCCGCGCACCCCGCGCCCCCC
NM 004448	
NM 001289937	
NM 001289936	
NM 001005862	TAGAATGGCCAGGACAAACGCAGTGCAGCACAGAGACTCAGACCCTGGCAG
NM 001289938	TAGAATGGCCAGGACAAACGCAGTGCAGCACAGAGACTCAGACCCTGGCAG
	** * **.* .*. ** * . ***** .*.* * . **** ***
NR 110535	CCGGGTCCAGCCGGAGCCATGGGGCCGGAGCCGCAGTGAGCACCATGGA-GCTGGCGGCC
NM 004448	CCGGGTCCAGCCGGAGCCATGGGGCCGGAGCCGCAGTGAGCACCATGGA-GCTGGCGGCC
NM 001289937	CCGGGTCCAGCCGGAGCCATGGGGCCGGAGCCGCAGTGAGCACCATGGA-GCTGGCGGCC
NM 001289936	CCATGCCTGCGCAGGCAGTGATGAGAGTGACATGTACTGTTGTGGAC
NM 001005862	CCATGCCTGCGCAGGCAGTGATGAGAGTGACATGTACTGTTGTGGAC
NM_001289938	CCATGCCTGCGCAGGCAGTGATGAGAGTGACATGTACTGTTGTGGAC
_	**. *** *.**. ** *.:*:******* * * *
NR_110535	TTGTGCCGCTGGGGGGCTCCTCCTCGCCCTCTTGCCCCCCGGAGCCGCGAGCA
NM_004448	TTGTGCCGCTGGGGGGCTCCTCCTCGCCCTCTTGCCCCCCGGAGCCGCGAGCA
NM_001289937	TTGTGCCGCTGGGGGGCTCCTCCTCGCCCTCTTGCCCCCCGGAGCCGCGAGCA
NM_001289936	ATGCACAAAAGTGAGATACTTCAAAGATTCCAGAAGATATGCCCCGGGGGTCCTGGAAGC
NM_001005862	ATGCACAAAAGTGA
NM_001289938	ATGCACAAAAGTGA
	:** .*:* *.
NR_110535	CCCAAGTGTGCACCGGCACAGACATGAAGCTGCGGCTCCCTGCCAGTCCCGAGACCCACC
NM_004448	CCCAAGTGTGCACCGGCACAGACATGAAGCTGCGGCTCCCTGCCAGTCCCGAGACCCACC
NM_001289937	CCCAAGTGTGCACCGGCACAGACATGAAGCTGCGGCTCCCTGCCAGTCCCGAGACCCACC
NM_001289936	CACAAGTGTGCACCGGCACAGACATGAAGCTGCGGCTCCCTGCCAGTCCCGAGACCCACC
NM_001005862	GTGTGCACCGGCACAGACATGAAGCTGCGGCTCCCTGCCAGTCCCGAGACCCACC
NM_001289938	GTGTGCACCGGCACAGACATGAAGCTGCGGCTCCCTGCCAGTCCCGAGACCCACC
	***************************************
ND 110505	
NR_110535	TGGACATGCTCCGCCACCTCTACCAGGGCTGCCAGGTGGTGCAGGGAAACCTGGAACTCA
NM_004448	
NM_001289937	
NM_001289936	
NM_001005862	
NM_001289938	TGGACATGUTUUGUUAUUTUTAUUAGGGUTGUUAGGTGUAGGGAAAUUTGGAAUTUA
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
ND 110525	
NK_110333	
NM 001280037	
NM 001209937	
NM_001209930	
NM 001289938	
MH_001205550	*****
NR 110535	CCAGGCCCTGCCTCCAGCTGGGCCTGAGCCCTCTGTTTACAGGATATCCAGGACCTCCAG
NM 004448	CATATCCACCACCTCCACC
NM 001289937	GATATCCAGGAGGTGCAGG
NM 001289936	GATATCCAGGAGGTGCAGG
NM 001005862	GATATCCAGGAGGTGCAGG
NM 001289938	GATATCCAGGAGGTGCAGG
_	***************************************
NR 110535	GCTACGTGCTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACTGCAGAGGCTGCGGATTG
NM_004448	GCTACGTGCTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACTGCAGAGGCTGCGGATTG
NM_001289937	GCTACGTGCTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACTGCAGAGGCTGCGGATTG
NM_001289936	${\tt GCTACGTGCTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACTGCAGAGGCTGCGGATTG}$

NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCTACGTGCTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACTGCAGAGGCTGCGGATTG GCTACGTGCTCATCGCTCACAACCAAGTGAGGCAGGTCCCACTGCAGAGGCTGCGGATTG *********************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TGCGAGGCACCCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGCTAGACAATGGAGACC TGCGAGGCACCCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGCTAGACAATGGAGACC TGCGAGGCACCCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGCTAGACAATGGAGACC TGCGAGGCACCCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGCTAGACAATGGAGACC TGCGAGGCACCCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGCTAGACAATGGAGACC TGCGAGGCACCCAGCTCTTTGAGGACAACTATGCCCTGGCCGTGCTAGACAATGGAGACC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CGCTGAACAATACCACCCCTGTCACAGGGGCCTCCCCAGGAGGCCTGCGGGAGCTGCAGC CGCTGAACAATACCACCCCTGTCACAGGGGCCTCCCCAGGAGGCCTGCGGGAGCTGCAGC CGCTGAACAATACCACCCCTGTCACAGGGGCCTCCCCAGGAGGCCTGCGGGAGCTGCAGC CGCTGAACAATACCACCCCTGTCACAGGGGCCTCCCCAGGAGGCCTGCGGGAGCTGCAGC CGCTGAACAATACCACCCCTGTCACAGGGGCCTCCCCAGGAGGCCTGCGGGAGCTGCAGC CGCTGAACAATACCACCCCTGTCACAGGGGCCTCCCCAGGAGGCCTGCGGGAGCTGCAGC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TTCGAAGCCTCACAGAGATCTTGAAAGGAGGGGTCTTGATCCAGCGGAACCCCCAGCTCT TTCGAAGCCTCACAGAGATCTTGAAAGGAGGGGGTCTTGATCCAGCGGAACCCCCAGCTCT TTCGAAGCCTCACAGAGATCTTGAAAGGAGGGGGTCTTGATCCAGCGGAACCCCCAGCTCT TTCGAAGCCTCACAGAGATCTTGAAAGGAGGGGGTCTTGATCCAGCGGAACCCCCAGCTCT TTCGAAGCCTCACAGAGATCTTGAAAGGAGGGGGTCTTGATCCAGCGGAACCCCCAGCTCT TTCGAAGCCTCACAGAGATCTTGAAAGGAGGGGGTCTTGATCCAGCGGAACCCCCAGCTCT **********************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCTACCAGGACACGATTTTGTGGAAGGACATCTTCCACAAGAACAACCAGCTGGCTCTCA GCTACCAGGACACGATTTTGTGGAAGGACATCTTCCACAAGAACAACCAGCTGGCTCTCA GCTACCAGGACACGATTTTGTGGAAGGACATCTTCCACAAGAACAACCAGCTGGCTCTCA GCTACCAGGACACGATTTTGTGGAAGGACATCTTCCACAAGAACAACCAGCTGGCTCTCA GCTACCAGGACACGATTTTGTGGAAGGACATCTTCCACAAGAACAACCAGCTGGCTCTCA ACTACCAGGACACGATTTTGTGGAAGGACATCTTCCACAAGAACAACCAGCTGGCTCTCA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CACTGATAGACACCAACCGCTCTCGGGCCTGCCACCCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCT CACTGATAGACACCAACCGCTCTCGGGCCTGCCACCCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCT CACTGATAGACACCAACCGCTCTCGGGCCTGCCACCCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCT CACTGATAGACACCAACCGCTCTCGGGCCTGCCACCCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCT CACTGATAGACACCAACCGCTCTCGGGCCTGCCACCCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCT CACTGATAGACACCAACCGCTCTCGGGCCTGCCACCCCTGTTCTCCGATGTGTAAGGGCT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CCCGCTGCTGGGGAGAGAGTTCTGAGGATTGTCAGAGCCTGACGCGCACTGTCTGT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GTGGCTGTGCCCGCTGCAAGGGGCCACTGCCCACTGACTG
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CCGGCTGCACGGGCCCCAAGCACTCTGACTGCCTGGCCTGCCT

NR 110535	GCATCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACAACACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCA
NM 004448	GCATCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACAACACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCA
NM 001289937	GCATCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACAACACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCA
NM 001289936	GCATCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACAACACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCA
NM 001005862	GCATCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACAACACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCA
NM 001289938	GCATCTGTGAGCTGCACTGCCCAGCCCTGGTCACCTACAACACAGACACGTTTGAGTCCA
—	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
NR 110535	TGCCCAATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCTACA
NM 004448	TGCCCAATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCTACA
NM 001289937	TGCCCAATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCTACA
NM 001289936	TGCCCAATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCTACA
NM 001005862	TGCCCAATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCTACA
NM 001289938	TGCCCAATCCCGAGGGCCGGTATACATTCGGCGCCAGCTGTGTGACTGCCTGTCCCTACA
—	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
NR 110535	ACTACCTTTCTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGG
NM 004448	ACTACCTTTCTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGG
NM 001289937	ACTACCTTTCTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGG
NM 001289936	ACTACCTTTCTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGG
NM 001005862	ACTACCTTTCTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGG
NM 001289938	ACTACCTTTCTACGGACGTGGGATCCTGCACCCTCGTCTGCCCCCTGCACAACCAAGAGG
—	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *
NR 110535	TGACAGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGTGT
NM 004448	TGACAGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGTGT
NM 001289937	TGACAGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGTGT
NM 001289936	TGACAGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGTGT
NM_001005862	TGACAGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGTGT
NM 001289938	TGACAGCAGAGGATGGAACACAGCGGTGTGAGAAGTGCAGCAAGCCCTGTGCCCGAGTGT
-	*********************

NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCTATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAGGGCAGTTACCAGTGCCAATATCC GCTATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAGGGCAGTTACCAGTGCCAATATCC GCTATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAGGGCAGTTACCAGTGCCAATATCC GCTATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAGGGCAGTTACCAGTGCCAATATCC GCTATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAGGGCAGTTACCAGTGCCAATATCC GCTATGGTCTGGGCATGGAGCACTTGCGAGAGGTGAGGGCAGTTACCAGTGCCAATATCC ******************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	AGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTG AGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTG AGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTG AGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTG AGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTG AGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTG AGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTG AGGAGTTTGCTGGCTGCAAGAAGATCTTTGGGAGCCTGGCATTTCTGCCGGAGAGCTTTG
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	ATGGGGACCCAGCCTCCAACACTGCCCCGCTCCAGCCAGAGCAGCTCCAAGTGTTTGAGA ATGGGGACCCAGCCTCCAACACTGCCCCGCTCCAGCCAGAGCAGCTCCAAGTGTTTGAGA ATGGGGACCCAGCCTCCAACACTGCCCCGCTCCAGCCAGAGCAGCTCCAAGTGTTTGAGA ATGGGGACCCAGCCTCCAACACTGCCCCGCTCCAGCCAGAGCAGCTCCAAGTGTTTGAGA ATGGGGACCCAGCCTCCAACACTGCCCCGCTCCAGCCAGAGCAGCTCCAAGTGTTTGAGA ATGGGGACCCAGCCTCCAACACTGCCCCGCTCCAGCCAGAGCAGCTCCAAGTGTTTGAGA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CTCTGGAAGAGATCACAGGTTACCTATACATCTCAGCATGGCCGGACAGCCTGCCT
NR_110535	TCAGCGTCTTCCAGAACCTGCAAGTAATCCGGGGGACGAATTCTGCACAATGGCGCCTACT

NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TCAGCGTCTTCCAGAACCTGCAAGTAATCCGGGGACGAATTCTGCACAATGGCGCCTACT TCAGCGTCTTCCAGAACCTGCAAGTAATCCGGGGACGAATTCTGCACAATGGCGCCTACT TCAGCGTCTTCCAGAACCTGCAAGTAATCCGGGGACGAATTCTGCACAATGGCGCCTACT TCAGCGTCTTCCAGAACCTGCAAGTAATCCGGGGACGAATTCTGCACAATGGCGCCTACT TCAGCGTCTTCCAGAACCTGCAAGTAATCCGGGGACGAATTCTGCACAATGGCGCCTACT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CGCTGACCCTGCAAGGGCTGGGCATCAGCTGGCTGGGGCTGCGCTCACTGAGGGAACTGG CGCTGACCCTGCAAGGGCTGGGCATCAGCTGGCTGGGGCTGCGCTCACTGAGGGAACTGG CGCTGACCCTGCAAGGGCTGGGCATCAGCTGGCTGGGGCTGCGCTCACTGAGGGAACTGG CGCTGACCCTGCAAGGGCTGGGCATCAGCTGGCTGGGGCTGCGCTCACTGAGGGAACTGG CGCTGACCCTGCAAGGGCTGGGCATCAGCTGGCTGGGGCTGCGCTCACTGAGGGAACTGG CGCTGACCCTGCAAGGGCTGGGCATCAGCTGGCTGGGCTGCGCTCACTGAGGGAACTGG ***********************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCAGTGGACTGGCCCTCATCCACCATAACACCCACCTCTGCTTCGTGCACACGGTGCCCT GCAGTGGACTGGCCCTCATCCACCATAACACCCACCTCTGCTTCGTGCACACGGTGCCCT GCAGTGGACTGGCCCTCATCCACCATAACACCCACCTCTGCTTCGTGCACACGGTGCCCT GCAGTGGACTGGCCCTCATCCACCATAACACCCACCTCTGCTTCGTGCACACGGTGCCCT GCAGTGGACTGGCCCTCATCCACCATAACACCCACCTCTGCTTCGTGCACACGGTGCCCT ******************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAACCGGCCAGAGG GGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAACCGGCCAGAGG GGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAACCGGCCAGAGG GGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAACCGGCCAGAGG GGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAACCGGCCAGAGG GGGACCAGCTCTTTCGGAACCCGCACCAAGCTCTGCTCCACACTGCCAACCGGCCAGAGG ***********************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	ACGAGTGTGTGGGCGAGGGCCTGGCCTGCCACCAGCTGTGCGCCCGAGGGCACTGCTGGG ACGAGTGTGTGGGCGAGGGCCTGGCCT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GTCCAGGGCCCACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCCTTCGGGGCCAGGAGTGCGTGG GTCCAGGGCCCACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCCTTCGGGGCCAGGAGTGCGTGG GTCCAGGGCCCACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCCTTCGGGGCCAGGAGTGCGTGG GTCCAGGGCCCACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCCTTCGGGGCCAGGAGTGCGTGG GTCCAGGGCCCACCCAGTGTGTCAACTGCAGCCAGTTCCTTCGGGGCCAGGAGTGCGTGG *******************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	AGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGC AGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGC AGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGC AGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGC AGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGC AGGAATGCCGAGTACTGCAGGGGCTCCCCAGGGAGTATGTGAATGCCAGGCACTGTTTGC *******************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGGACCGGAGGCTG CGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGGACCGGAGGCTG CGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGGACCGGAGGCTG CGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGGACCGGAGGCTG CGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGGACCGGAGGCTG CGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGGACCGGAGGCTG CGTGCCACCCTGAGTGTCAGCCCCAGAATGGCTCAGTGACCTGTTTTGGACCGGAGGCTG
NR_110535	ACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCTTCTGCGTGGCCCGCTGCCCCA

	ACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCTTCTGCGTGGCCCGCTGCCCCA
NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	ACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCTTCTGCGTGGCCCGCTGCCCCA ACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCTTCTGCGTGGCCCGCTGCCCCA ACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCTTCTGCGTGGCCCGCTGCCCCA ACCAGTGTGTGGCCTGTGCCCACTATAAGGACCCTCCCTTCTGCGTGGCCCGCTGCCCCA *********************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCG GCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCG GCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCG GCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCG GCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCG CCGGTGTGAAACCTGACCTCTCCTACATGCCCATCTGGAAGTTTCCAGATGAGGAGGGCG *****************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACAAGGGCT CATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACAAGGGCT CATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACAAGGGCT CATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACAAGGGCT CATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACAAGGGCT CATGCCAGCCTTGCCCCATCAACTGCACCCACTCCTGTGTGGACCTGGATGACAAGGGCT **********************************
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTTGGCATTC GCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTTGGCATTC GCCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTTGGCATTC GCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTTGGCATTC GCCCGCCGAGCAGAGAGCCAGCCCTCTGACGTCCATCATCTCTGCGGTGGTTGGCATTC TTTCTGCAGAAAGGAGCCTTCCCTTTCAGGGGTCTTTC * **.****** : ****:*** *: *:***
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGA TGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGA TGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGA TGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGGTGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGA TGCTGGTCGTGGTCTTGGGGGGGGGTCTTTGGGATCCTCATCAAGCGACGGCAGCAGAAGA TGGGGCTCTTACTATAAAAGGGGACCAACTCTCCCTTTGTCATATCTTGT ** * ** *. *.*: ::::***.:**:. * : * : *
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAACTGGTGGAGCC   TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCC   TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCC   TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCC   TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCC   TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCC   TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCGGAGACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCC   TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCTGCAGCAACTGCTGCAGGAAACGGAGCTGGTGGAGCC   TCCGGAAGTACACGATGCTGTTAAAATTGTAAAATTAAAACATGAA   * * ** * :****.:** .*.* ** .*.* *:
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGA GCTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGA GCTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGAGACGGA GCTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGA GCTGACACCTAGCGGAGCGATGCCCAACCAGGCGCAGATGCGGATCCTGAAAGAGACGGA ATATAAATTAATGCCCTAGCAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAGGGCATCTG GCTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAGGGCATCTG GCTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAGGGCATCTG GCTGAGGAAGGTGAAGGTGCTTGGATCTGGCGCTTTTGGCACAGTCTACAAGGGCATCTG AAAAAAA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862	GATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACAC GATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACAC GATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACAC GATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACAC GATCCCTGATGGGGAGAATGTGAAAATTCCAGTGGCCATCAAAGTGTTGAGGGAAAACAC

NM_001289938	
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	ATCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGACGAAGCATACGTGATGGCTGGTGTGGGGCTC ATCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGACGAAGCATACGTGATGGCTGGTGTGGGGCTC ATCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGACGAAGCATACGTGATGGCTGGTGTGGGGCTC ATCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGACGAAGCATACGTGATGGCTGGTGTGGGGCTC ATCCCCCCAAAGCCAACAAAGAAATCTTAGACGAAGCATACGTGATGGCTGGTGTGGGCTC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CCCATATGTCTCCCGCCTTCTGGGCATCTGCCTGACATCCACGGTGCAGCTGGTGACACA CCCATATGTCTCCCGCCTTCTGGGCATCTGCCTGACATCCACGGTGCAGCTGGTGACACA CCCATATGTCTCCCGCCTTCTGGGCATCTGCCTGACATCCACGGTGCAGCTGGTGACACA CCCATATGTCTCCCGCCTTCTGGGCATCTGCCTGACATCCACGGTGCAGCTGGTGACACA CCCATATGTCTCCCGCCTTCTGGGCATCTGCCTGACATCCACGGTGCAGCTGGTGACACA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCTTATGCCCTATGGCTGCCTCTTAGACCATGTCCGGGAAAACCGCGGACGCCTGGGCTC GCTTATGCCCTATGGCTGCCTCTTAGACCATGTCCGGGAAAACCGCGGACGCCTGGGCTC GCTTATGCCCTATGGCTGCCTCTTAGACCATGTCCGGGAAAACCGCGGACGCCTGGGCTC GCTTATGCCCTATGGCTGCCTCTTAGACCATGTCCGGGAAAACCGCGGACGCCTGGGCTC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CCAGGACCTGCTGAACTGGTGTATGCAGATTGCCAAGGGGATGAGCTACCTGGAGGATGT CCAGGACCTGCTGAACTGGTGTATGCAGATTGCCAAGGGGATGAGCTACCTGGAGGATGT CCAGGACCTGCTGAACTGGTGTATGCAGATTGCCAAGGGGATGAGCTACCTGGAGGATGT CCAGGACCTGCTGAACTGGTGTATGCAGATTGCCAAGGGGATGAGCTACCTGGAGGATGT CCAGGACCTGCTGAACTGGTGTATGCAGATTGCCAAGGGGATGAGCTACCTGGAGGATGT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCGGCTCGTACACAGGGACTTGGCCGCTCGGAACGTGCTGGTCAAGAGTCCCAACCATGT GCGGCTCGTACACAGGGACTTGGCCGCTCGGAACGTGCTGGTCAAGAGTCCCAACCATGT GCGGCTCGTACACAGGGACTTGGCCGCTCGGAACGTGCTGGTCAAGAGTCCCAACCATGT GCGGCTCGTACACAGGGACTTGGCCGCTCGGAACGTGCTGGTCAAGAGTCCCAACCATGT GCGGCTCGTACACAGGGACTTGGCCGCTCGGAACGTGCTGGTCAAGAGTCCCAACCATGT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CAAAATTACAGACTTCGGGCTGGCTCGGCTGCTGGACATTGACGAGACAGAGTACCATGC CAAAATTACAGACTTCGGGCTGGCTCGGCTGCTGGACATTGACGAGACAGAGTACCATGC CAAAATTACAGACTTCGGGCTGGCTCGGCTGCTGGACATTGACGAGACAGAGTACCATGC CAAAATTACAGACTTCGGGCTGGCTCGGCTGCTGGACATTGACGAGACAGAGTACCATGC CAAAATTACAGACTTCGGGCTGGCTCGGCTGCTGGACATTGACGAGACAGAGTACCATGC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	AGATGGGGGCAAGGTGCCCATCAAGTGGATGGCGCTGGAGTCCATTCTCCGCCGGCGGTT AGATGGGGGCAAGGTGCCCATCAAGTGGATGGCGCTGGAGTCCATTCTCCGCCGGCGGTT AGATGGGGGCAAGGTGCCCATCAAGTGGATGGCGCTGGAGTCCATTCTCCGCCGGCGGTT AGATGGGGGGCAAGGTGCCCATCAAGTGGATGGCGCTGGAGTCCATTCTCCCGCCGGCGTT AGATGGGGGGCAAGGTGCCCATCAAGTGGATGGCGCTGGAGTCCATTCTCCCGCCGGCGGTT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CACCCACCAGAGTGATGTGTGGAGTTATGGTGTGACTGTGTGGGAGCTGATGACTTTTGG CACCCACCAGAGTGATGTGTGGAGTTATGGTGTGACTGTGTGGGAGCTGATGACTTTTGG CACCCACCAGAGTGATGTGTGGAGTTATGGTGTGACTGTGTGGGAGCTGATGACTTTTGG CACCCACCAGAGTGATGTGTGGAGTTATGGTGTGACTGTGTGGGAGCTGATGACTTTTGG CACCCACCAGAGTGATGTGTGGAGTTATGGTGTGACTGTGTGGGAGCTGATGACTTTTGG
NR_110535	GGCCAAACCTTACGATGGGATCCCAGCCCGGGAGATCCCTGACCTGCTGGAAAAGGGGGGA

NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GGCCAAACCTTACGATGGGATCCCAGCCCGGGAGATCCCTGACCTGCTGGAAAAGGGGGA GGCCAAACCTTACGATGGGATCCCAGCCCGGGAGATCCCTGACCTGCTGGAAAAGGGGGA GGCCAAACCTTACGATGGGATCCCAGCCCGGGAGATCCCTGACCTGCTGGAAAAGGGGGA GGCCAAACCTTACGATGGGATCCCAGCCCGGGAGATCCCTGACCTGCTGGAAAAGGGGGA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCGGCTGCCCCAGCCCCCATCTGCACCATTGATGTCTACATGATCATGGTCAAATGTTG GCGGCTGCCCCAGCCCCCCATCTGCACCATTGATGTCTACATGATCATGGTCAAATGTTG GCGGCTGCCCCAGCCCCCCATCTGCACCATTGATGTCTACATGATCATGGTCAAATGTTG GCGGCTGCCCCAGCCCCCCATCTGCACCATTGATGTCTACATGATCATGGTCAAATGTTG GCGGCTGCCCCAGCCCCCCATCTGCACCATTGATGTCTACATGATCATGGTCAAATGTTG
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GATGATTGACTCTGAATGTCGGCCAAGATTCCGGGAGTTGGTGTCTGAATTCTCCCGCAT GATGATTGACTCTGAATGTCGGCCAAGATTCCGGGAGTTGGTGTCTGAATTCTCCCCGCAT GATGATTGACTCTGAATGTCGGCCAAGATTCCGGGAGTTGGTGTCTGAATTCTCCCCGCAT GATGATTGACTCTGAATGTCGGCCAAGATTCCGGGAGTTGGTGTCTGAATTCTCCCCGCAT GATGATTGACTCTGAATGTCGGCCAAGATTCCGGGAGTTGGTGTCTGAATTCTCCCCGCAT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GGCCAGGGACCCCCAGCGCTTTGTGGTCATCCAGAATGAGGACTTGGGCCCAGCCAG
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CTTGGACAGCACCTTCTACCGCTCACTGCTGGAGGACGATGACATGGGGGGACCTGGTGGA CTTGGACAGCACCTTCTACCGCTCACTGCTGGAGGACGATGACATGGGGGGACCTGGTGGA CTTGGACAGCACCTTCTACCGCTCACTGCTGGAGGACGATGACATGGGGGGACCTGGTGGA CTTGGACAGCACCTTCTACCGCTCACTGCTGGAGGACGATGACATGGGGGGACCTGGTGGA CTTGGACAGCACCTTCTACCGCTCACTGCTGGAGGACGATGACATGGGGGGACCTGGTGGA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TGCTGAGGAGTATCTGGTACCCCAGCAGGGCTTCTTCTGTCCAGACCCTGCCCCGGGCGC TGCTGAGGAGTATCTGGTACCCCAGCAGGGCTTCTTCTGTCCAGACCCTGCCCCGGGCGC TGCTGAGGAGTATCTGGTACCCCAGCAGGGCTTCTTCTGTCCAGACCCTGCCCCGGGCGC TGCTGAGGAGTATCTGGTACCCCAGCAGGGCTTCTTCTGTCCAGACCCTGCCCCGGGCGC TGCTGAGGAGTATCTGGTACCCCAGCAGGGCTTCTTCTGTCCAGACCCTGCCCCGGGCGC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TGGGGGCATGGTCCACCACAGGCACCGCAGCTCATCTACCAGGAGTGGCGGTGGGGACCT TGGGGGCATGGTCCACCACAGGCACCGCAGCTCATCTACCAGGAGTGGCGGTGGGGACCT TGGGGGCATGGTCCACCACAGGCACCGCAGCTCATCTACCAGGA TGGGGGCATGGTCCACCACAGGCACCGCAGCTCATCTACCAGGAGTGGCGGTGGGGGACCT TGGGGGCATGGTCCACCACAGGCACCGCAGCTCATCTACCAGGAGTGGCGGTGGGGGACCT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GACACTAGGGCTGGAGCCCTCTGAAGAGGAGGCCCCCAGGTCTCCACTGGCACCCTCCGA GACACTAGGGCTGGAGCCCTCTGAAGAGGAGGCCCCCAGGTCTCCACTGGCACCCTCCGA GACACTAGGGCTGGAGCCCTCTGAAGAGGAGGCCCCCAGGTCTCCACTGGCACCCTCCGA GACACTAGGGCTGGAGCCCTCTGAAGAGGAGGCCCCCAGGTCTCCACTGGCACCCTCCGA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937	AGGGGCTGGCTCCGATGTATTTGATGGTGACCTGGGAATGGGGGCAGCCAAGGGGCTGCA AGGGGCTGGCTCCGATGTATTTGATGGTGACCTGGGAATGGGGGCAGCCAAGGGGCTGCA
NM_001289936 NM_001005862	AGGGGCTGGCTCCGATGTATTTGATGGTGACCTGGGAATGGGGGCAGCCAAGGGGCTGCA AGGGGCTGGCTCCGATGTATTTGATGGTGACCTGGGAATGGGGGGCAGCCAAGGGGGCTGCA
NM_001289938	
--	--
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937	AAGCCTCCCCACACATGACCCCAGCCCTCTACAGCGGTACAGTGAGGACCCCACAGTACC AAGCCTCCCCACACATGACCCCAGCCCTCTACAGCGGTACAGTGAGGACCCCACAGTACC
NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	AAGCCTCCCCACACATGACCCCAGCCCTCTACAGCGGTACAGTGAGGACCCCACAGTACC AAGCCTCCCCACACATGACCCCAGCCCTCTACAGCGGTACAGTGAGGACCCCACAGTACC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CCTGCCCTCTGAGACTGATGGCTACGTTGCCCCCCTGACCTGCAGCCCCAGCCTGAATA CCTGCCCTCTGAGACTGATGGCTACGTTGCCCCCCTGACCTGCAGCCCCAGCCTGAATA 
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TGTGAACCAGCCAGATGTTCGGCCCCAGCCCCTTCGCCCCGAGAGGGGCCCTCTGCCTGC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TGCCCGACCTGCTGGTGCCACTCTGGAAAGGCCCAAGACTCTCTCCCCAGGGAAGAATGG TGCCCGACCTGCTGGTGCCACTCTGGAAAGGCCCAAGACTCTCTCCCCAGGGAAGAATGG TGCCCGACCTGCTGGTGCCACTCTGGAAAGGCCCAAGACTCTCTCCCCAGGGAAGAATGG TGCCCGACCTGCTGGTGCCACTCTGGAAAGGCCCAAGACTCTCTCCCCAGGGAAGAATGG TGCCCGACCTGCTGGTGCCACTCTGGAAAGGCCCAAGACTCTCTCCCCAGGGAAGAATGG
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GGTCGTCAAAGACGTTTTTGCCTTTGGGGGGTGCCGTGGAGAACCCCGAGTACTTGACACC GGTCGTCAAAGACGTTTTTGCCTTTGGGGGGTGCCGTGGAGAACCCCGAGTACTTGACACC GGTCGTCAAAGACGTTTTTGCCTTTGGGGGTGCCGTGGAGAACCCCGAGTACTTGACACC GGTCGTCAAAGACGTTTTTGCCTTTGGGGGTGCCGTGGAGAACCCCGAGTACTTGACACC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CCAGGGAGGAGCTGCCCCTCAGCCCCACCCTCCTCCTGCCTTCAGCCCAGCCTTCGACAA CCAGGGAGGAGCTGCCCCTCAGCCCCACCCTCCTGCCTTCAGCCCAGCCTTCGACAA CCAGGGAGGAGCTGCCCCTCAGCCCCACCCTCCTGCCTTCAGCCCAGCCTTCGACAA CCAGGGAGGAGCTGCCCCTCAGCCCCACCCTCCTGCCTTCAGCCCAGCCTTCGACAA CCAGGGAGGAGCTGCCCCTCAGCCCCACCCTCCTGCCTTCAGCCCAGCCTTCGACAA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	CCTCTATTACTGGGACCAGGACCCACCAGAGCGGGGGGGCTCCACCCAGCACCTTCAAAGG CCTCTATTACTGGGACCAGGACCCACCAGAGCGGGGGGGCTCCACCCAGCACCTTCAAAGG CCTCTATTACTGGGACCAGGACCCACCAGAGCGGGGGGGCTCCACCCAGCACCTTCAAAGG CCTCTATTACTGGGACCAGGACCCACCAGAGCGGGGGGGCTCCACCCAGCACCTTCAAAGG CCTCTATTACTGGGACCAGGACCCACCAGAGCGGGGGGCTCCACCCAGCACCTTCAAAGG
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GACACCTACGGCAGAGAACCCAGAGTACCTGGGTCTGGACGTGCCAGTGTGAACCAGAAG GACACCTACGGCAGAGAACCCAGAGTACCTGGGTCTGGACGTGCCAGTGTGAACCAGAAG GACACCTACGGCAGAGAACCCAGAGTACCTGGGTCTGGACGTGCCAGTGTGAACCAGAAG GACACCTACGGCAGAGAACCCAGAGTACCTGGGTCTGGACGTGCCAGTGTGAACCAGAAG GACACCTACGGCAGAGAACCCAGAGTACCTGGGTCTGGACGTGCCAGTGTGAACCAGAAG
NR_110535	GCCAAGTCCGCAGAAGCCCTGATGTGTCCTCAGGGAGCAGGGAAGGCCTGACTTCTGCTG

NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCCAAGTCCGCAGAAGCCCTGATGTGTCCTCAGGGAGCAGGGAAGGCCTGACTTCTGCTG GCCAAGTCCGCAGAAGCCCTGATGTGTCCTCAGGGAGCAGGGAAGGCCTGACTTCTGCTG GCCAAGTCCGCAGAAGCCCTGATGTGTCCTCAGGGAGCAGGGAAGGCCTGACTTCTGCTG GCCAAGTCCGCAGAAGCCCTGATGTGTCCTCAGGGAGCAGGGAAGGCCTGACTTCTGCTG
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GCATCAAGAGGTGGGAGGGCCCTCCGACCACTTCCAGGGGAACCTGCCATGCCAGGAACC GCATCAAGAGGTGGGAGGGCCCTCCGACCACTTCCAGGGGAACCTGCCATGCCAGGAACC GCATCAAGAGGTGGGAGGGCCCTCCGACCACTTCCAGGGGAACCTGCCATGCCAGGAACC GCATCAAGAGGTGGGAGGGCCCTCCGACCACTTCCAGGGGAACCTGCCATGCCAGGAACC GCATCAAGAGGTGGGAGGGCCCTCCGACCACTTCCAGGGGAACCTGCCATGCCAGGAACC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TGTCCTAAGGAACCTTCCTTCCTGCTTGAGTTCCCAGATGGCTGGAAGGGGTCCAGCCTC TGTCCTAAGGAACCTTCCTTCCTGCTTGAGTTCCCAGATGGCTGGAAGGGGTCCAGCCTC TGTCCTAAGGAACCTTCCTTCCTGCTTGAGTTCCCAGATGGCTGGAAGGGGTCCAGCCTC TGTCCTAAGGAACCTTCCTTCCTGCTTGAGTTCCCAGATGGCTGGAAGGGGTCCAGCCTC TGTCCTAAGGAACCTTCCTTCCTGCTTGAGTTCCCAGATGGCTGGAAGGGGTCCAGCCTC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GTTGGAAGAGGAACAGCACTGGGGAGTCTTTGTGGATTCTGAGGCCCTGCCCAATGAGAC GTTGGAAGAGGAACAGCACTGGGGAGTCTTTGTGGATTCTGAGGCCCTGCCCAATGAGAC GTTGGAAGAGGAACAGCACTGGGGAGTCTTTGTGGATTCTGAGGCCCTGCCCAATGAGAC GTTGGAAGAGGAACAGCACTGGGGAGTCTTTGTGGATTCTGAGGCCCTGCCCAATGAGAC GTTGGAAGAGGAACAGCACTGGGGAGTCTTTGTGGATTCTGAGGCCCTGCCCAATGAGAC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	TCTAGGGTCCAGTGGATGCCACAGCCCAGCTTGGCCCTTTCCTTCC
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GAAAGCCTTAGGGAAGCTGGCCTGAGAGGGGAAGCGGCCCTAAGGGAGTGTCTAAGAACA GAAAGCCTTAGGGAAGCTGGCCTGAGAGGGGGAAGCGGCCCTAAGGGAGTGTCTAAGAACA GAAAGCCTTAGGGAAGCTGGCCTGAGAGGGGGAAGCGGCCCTAAGGGAGTGTCTAAGAACA GAAAGCCTTAGGGAAGCTGGCCTGAGAGGGGGAAGCGGCCCTAAGGGAGTGTCTAAGAACA GAAAGCCTTAGGGAAGCTGGCCTGAGAGGGGGAAGCGGCCCTAAGGGAGTGTCTAAGAACA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	AAAGCGACCCATTCAGAGACTGTCCCTGAAACCTAGTACTGCCCCCCATGAGGAAGGA
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	AGCAATGGTGTCAGTATCCAGGCTTTGTACAGAGTGCTTTTCTGTTTAGTTTTTACTTTT AGCAATGGTGTCAGTATCCAGGCTTTGTACAGAGTGCTTTTCTGTTTAGTTTTTACTTTT AGCAATGGTGTCAGTATCCAGGCTTTGTACAGAGTGCTTTTCTGTTTAGTTTTTACTTTT AGCAATGGTGTCAGTATCCAGGCTTTGTACAGAGTGCCTTTTCTGTTTAGTTTTTACTTTT AGCAATGGTGTCAGTATCCAGGCTTTGTACAGAGTGCCTTTTCTGTTTAGTTTTTACTTTT
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862	TTTGTTTTGTTTTTTTAAAGATGAAATAAAGACCCAGGGGGAGAATGGGTGTTGTATGGG TTTGTTTTGT

NM_001289938	
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	GAGGCAAGTGTGGGGGGTCCTTCTCCACACCCACTTTGTCCATTTGCAAATATATTTTGG GAGGCAAGTGTGGGGGGGTCCTTCTCCACACCCACTTTGTCCATTTGCAAATATATTTTGG GAGGCAAGTGTGGGGGGGTCCTTCTCCACACCCACTTTGTCCATTTGCAAATATATTTTGG GAGGCAAGTGTGGGGGGGTCCTTCTCCACACCCACTTTGTCCATTTGCAAATATATTTTGG GAGGCAAGTGTGGGGGGGTCCTTCTCCACACCCACTTTGTCCATTTGCAAATATATTTTGG
NR_110535 NM_004448 NM_001289937 NM_001289936 NM_001005862 NM_001289938	ААААСАGСТАААААААААААААААА ААААСАGСТАААААААААА

# **CLUSTAL OMEGA ALIGNMENTS OF HER2 ISOFORMS OBTAINED**

# FROM THE NCBI DATABASE:

P04626 P04626-1	MELAALCRWGLLLALLPPGAASTQVCTGTDMKLRLPASPETHLDMLRHLYQGCQVVQGNL
P04626-3 P04626-4	MPRGSWKPQVCTGTDMKLRLPASPETHLDMLRHLYQGCQVVQGNL
P04626 P04626-1	ELTYLPTNASLSFLQDIQEVQGYVLIAHNQVRQVPLQRLRIVRGTQLFEDNYALAVLDNG
P04626-3 P04626-4	ELTYLPTNASLSFLQDIQEVQGYVLIAHNQVRQVPLQRLRIVRGTQLFEDNYALAVLDNG
P04626 P04626-1	DPLNNTTPVTGASPGGLRELQLRSLTEILKGGVLIQRNPQLCYQDTILWKDIFHKNNQLA
P04626-3 P04626-4	DPLNNTTPVTGASPGGLRELQLRSLTEILKGGVLIQRNPQLCYQDTILWKDIFHKNNQLA
P04626 P04626-1	LTLIDTNRSRACHPCSPMCKGSRCWGESSEDCQSLTRTVCAGGCARCKGPLPTDCCHEQC
P04626-3 P04626-4	LTLIDTNRSRACHPCSPMCKGSRCWGESSEDCQSLTRTVCAGGCARCKGPLPTDCCHEQC
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3	AAGCTGPKHSDCLACLHFNHSGICELHCPALVTYNTDTFESMPNPEGRYTFGASCVTACP
P04626-4	AAGCTGPKHSDCLACLHFNHSGICELHCPALVTYNTDTFESMPNPEGRYTFGASCVTACP
P04626 P04626-1	YNYLSTDVGSCTLVCPLHNQEVTAEDGTQRCEKCSKPCARVCYGLGMEHLREVRAVTSAN
P04626-3 P04626-4	YNYLSTDVGSCTLVCPLHNQEVTAEDGTQRCEKCSKPCARVCYGLGMEHLREVRAVTSAN
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3	IQEFAGCKKIFGSLAFLPESFDGDPASNTAPLQPEQLQVFETLEEITGYLYISAWPDSLP
P04626-4	IQEFAGCKKIFGSLAFLPESFDGDPASNTAPLQPEQLQVFETLEEITGYLYISAWPDSLP
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3	
P04626-4	DLSVFQNLQVIRGRILHNGAYSLTLQGLGISWLGLRSLRELGSGLALIHHNTHLCFVHTV
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3	
P04626-4	PWDQLFRNPHQALLHTANRPEDECVGEGLACHQLCARGHCWGPGPTQCVNCSQFLRGQEC
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3	
P04626-4	VEECRVLQGLPREYVNARHCLPCHPECQPQNGSVTCFGPEADQCVACAHYKDPPFCVARC
P04626	

P04626-1	MPIWKFPDEEGACQPCPINCTHSCVDLDDKGCPAEQRASPLTSIISAVVG
P04626-3	
P04626-4	PSGVKPDLSYMPIWKFPDEEGACQPCPINCTHSCVDLDDKGCPAEQRASPLTSIISAVVG
P04626	
P04626-1	ILLVVVLGVVFGILIKRRQQKIRKYTMRRLLQETELVEPLTPSGAMPNQAQMRILKETEL
P04626-3	SGAMPNQAQMRILKETEL
P04626-4	ILLVVVLGVVFGILIKRRQQKIRKYTMRRLLQETELVEPLTPSGAMPNQAQMRILKETEL
P04626	
P04626-1	RKVKVLGSGAFGTVYKGIWIPDGENVKIPVAIKVLRENTSPKANKEILDEAYVMAGVGSP
P04626-3	RKVKVLGSGAFGTVYKGIWIPDGENVKIPVAIKVLRENTSPKANKEILDEAYVMAGVGSP
P04626-4	RKVKVLGSGAFGTVYKGIWIPDGENVKIPVAIKVLRENTSPKANKEILDEAYVMAGVGSP
P04626	
P04626-1	YVSRLLGICLTSTVQLVTQLMPYGCLLDHVRENRGRLGSQDLLNWCMQIAKGMSYLEDVR
P04626-3	YVSRLLGICLTSTVQLVTQLMPYGCLLDHVRENRGRLGSQDLLNWCMQIAKGMSYLEDVR
P04626-4	YVSRLLGICLTSTVQLVTQLMPYGCLLDHVRENRGRLGSQDLLNWCMQIAKGMSYLEDVR
P04626	
P04626-1	LVHRDLAARNVLVKSPNHVKITDFGLARLLDIDETEYHADGGKVPIKWMALESILRRRFT
P04626-3	LVHRDLAARNVLVKSPNHVKITDFGLARLLDIDETEYHADGGKVPIKWMALESILRRRFT
P04626-4	LVHRDLAARNVLVKSPNHVKITDFGLARLLDIDETEYHADGGKVPIKWMALESILRRRFT
P04626	
P04626-1	HQSDVWSYGVTVWELMTFGAKPYDGIPAREIPDLLEKGERLPQPPICTIDVYMIMVKCWM
P04626-3	HQSDVWSYGVTVWELMTFGAKPYDGIPAREIPDLLEKGERLPQPPICTIDVYMIMVKCWM
PU4626-4	HQSDVWSYGVTVWELMTFGAKPYDG1PARE1PDLLEKGERLPQPP1CT1DVYMIMVKCWM
P04626	
P04626-1	IDSECRPRFRELVSEFSRMARDPQRFVVIQNEDLGPASPLDSTFYRSLLEDDDMGDLVDA
P04626-3	
204626-4	IDSECKEKEKEIVSEESKMAKDEÕKEAAIÕNEDIGEASEIDSIEIKSITEDDDMGDIADA
P04626	
P04626-1	EEYLVPQQGFFCPDPAPGAGGMVHHRHRSSSTRSGGGDLTLGLEPSEEEAPRSPLAPSEG
P04626-3 P04626-4	EEYLVPQQGFFCPDPAPGAGGMVHHRHRSSSTRSGGGDLTLGLEPSEEEAPRSPLAPSEG EEYLVPQQGFFCPDPAPGAGGMVHHRHRSSSTRSGGGDLTLGLEPSEEEAPRSPLAPSEG
P04626	
P04626-1	${\tt AGSDVFDGDLGMGAAKGLQSLPTHDPSPLQRYSEDPTVPLPSETDGYVAPLTCSPQPEYV}$
P04626-3	AGSDVFDGDLGMGAAKGLQSLPTHDPSPLQRYSEDPTVPLPSETDGYVAPLTCSPQPEYV
P04626-4	AGSDVFDGDLGMGAAKGLQSLPTHDPSPLQRYSEDPTVPLPSETDGYVAPLTCSPQPEYV
P04626	
P04626-1	$\verb"NQPDVRPQPPSPREGPLPAARPAGATLERPKTLSPGKNGVVKDVFAFGGAVENPEYLTPQ"$
P04626-3	NQPDVRPQPPSPREGPLPAARPAGATLERPKTLSPGKNGVVKDVFAFGGAVENPEYLTPQ
P04626-4	NQPDVRPQPPSPREGPLPAARPAGATLERPKTLSPGKNGVVKDVFAFGGAVENPEYLTPQ
P04626	
P04626-1	GGAAPQPHPPPAFSPAFDNLYYWDQDPPERGAPPSTFKGTPTAENPEYLGLDVPV
P04626-3	GGAAPQPHPPPAFSPAFDNLYYWDQDPPERGAPPSTFKGTPTAENPEYLGLDVPV
P04626-4	GGAAPQPHPPPAFSPAFDNLYYWDQDPPERGAPPSTFKGTPTAENPEYLGLDVPVDLSVF
P04626	
P04626-1	
P04626-3	

P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3	
P04626-4 FRNPHQALLHTANRPEDE	CVGEGLACHQLCARGHCWGPGPTQCVNCSQFLRGQECVEECR
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3 P04626-4 VLQGLPREYVNARHCLPC	CHPECQPQNGSVTCFGPEADQCVACAHYKDPPFCVARCPSGVK
P04626	
P04626-1 P04626-3 P04626-4 PDLSYMPIWKFPDEEGAC	CQPCPINCTHSCVDLDDKGCPAEQRASPLTSIISAVVGILLVV
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3	
P04626-4 VLGVVFGILIKRRQQKIF	KYTMRRLLQETELVEPLTPSGAMPNQAQMR1LKETELRKVKV
P04626    P04626-1    P04626-3    P04626-4 LGSGAFGTVYKGIWIPDG	SENVKIPVAIKVLRENTSPKANKEILDEAYVMAGVGSPYVSRL
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3 P04626-4 LGICLTSTVQLVTQLMPY	GCLLDHVRENRGRLGSQDLLNWCMQIAKGMSYLEDVRLVHRD
P04626	
P04626-3P04626-4 LAARNVLVKSPNHVKITE	)FGLARLLDIDETEYHADGGKVPIKWMALESILRRRFTHQSDV
P04626    P04626-1    P04626-3    P04626-4 WSYGVTVWELMTFGAKPY	ZDGIPAREIPDLLEKGERLPQPPICTIDVYMIMVKCWMIDSEC
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3 P04626-4 RPRERELVSEESRMARDE	
P04626 P04626-1 P04626-3 P04626-4 PQQGFFCPDPAPGAGGMV	/HHRHRSSSTRSGGGDLTLGLEPSEEEAPRSPLAPSEGAGSDV
P04626	HDPSPLORYSEDPTVPLPSETDGYVAPLTCSPOPEYVNOPDV

RPQPPSPREGPLPAARPAGATLERPKTLSPGKNGVVKDVFAFGGAVENPEYLTPQGGAAP
QPHPPPAFSPAFDNLYYWDQDPPERGAPPSTFKGTPTAENPEYLGLDVPV

04020 1	
04626-3	
04626-4	QPHPPPAFSPAFDNLYYWDQDPPERGAPPSTFKGTPTAENPEYLGLDVPV

# LIST OF PRESENTATIONS

1.

EVENT TITLE: SAN ANTONIO BREAST CANCER SYMPOSIUM

VENUE: HENRY B. GONZALEZ CONVENTION CENTER, SAN ANTONIO, TEXAS, USA

**DATE:** DECEMBER 6-11, 2011

**AWARD RECEIVED:** AACR scholar-in-training award by Susan G Komen for the Cure.

#### ABSTRACT

#### Background:

The Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) is an oncogene expressed in 25-30% of invasive breast cancers. HER2 shares extensive homology with other members of the HER family (HER1, HER3 and HER4), and is constitutively active as an homo-and heterodimer. The HER2 gene encodes an 185kDa transmembrane protein with tyrosine kinase activity. Gene amplification or protein expression of HER2 is a predictor of poor clinical outcome and decreased survival in women with breast cancer, and also indicates a favourable response to Trastuzumab (Herceptin) therapy, or a combinational therapy comprising Herceptin plus chemotherapy. However, resistance to Trastuzumab remains the case in approximately 50% of HER2 amplified/overexpressing tumours. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of Trastuzumab resistance and identifying more effective therapies, is critical in the treatment of patients whose breast cancers express this aggressive disease phenotype. In this study, it is postulated that the abnormal generation of mRNA splice variants may be responsible for the continued tumour growth and progression.

#### Aims:

The aim of this study is to increase our understanding of the role of HER2 splice variants in the development and progression of breast cancer. This will inform the development of more sophisticated and effective therapies that target specific HER2 isoforms, rather than Herceptin, which targets just the generic wild type HER2 protein. **Materials and Methods:** 

The coding region of HER2 cDNA was PCR-amplified using 12 sets of HER2 specific primers in HER2 positive cell lines (SKOV-3, SKBR-3, MDA-MB-453 and MDA-MB-361). **Results:** 

RT-PCR results showed multiple bands in various regions of the HER2 mRNA. Sequencing of these bands revealed novel alternative splice variants with deletions in exons 13 and 18 of the HER2 gene expressed in addition to the wild-type HER2. Bioinformatics analysis of the deletions revealed a cassette exon in exon 13, and a loss of 42 base pairs in the 3' end of exon 18 compared to the full length HER2. Both the full-length HER2 sequence and the sequence containing the deletions were translated using the ExPASy Translate tool. This revealed an in-frame deletion of 14 amino acids and a novel splice isoform with a deletion in the HER2 protein, which encompasses the entire ATP binding pocket. This was determined by analysis using UniProtKB to identify the composition of amino acids for each domain of HER2.

Our studies have identified novel splice variants in the tyrosine kinas domain of the HER2 gene in HER2-positive cell lines. The loss of an ATP binding site in the HER2 gene may lead to a less active HER2 isoform, which may play a significant role in prognosis. Current work is being carried out to study the regulation of these splice variants and to study the role of splice factor ASF/SF2 and its phosphorylating kinase SRPK1 in the regulation of HER2 splicing, and to elucidate any significant changes in the HER2 signalling pathways. In addition, the expression of these isoforms is currently being investigated in tissues from FFPE and frozen breast tumours.

2.

#### EVENT TITLE: POSTGRADUATE RESEARCH FORUM

VENUE: UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST OF ENGLAND

DATE: APRIL 12, 2011

TITLE: THE FUNCTION AND ROLE OF ALTERNATIVE SPLICE VARIANTS IN INVASIVE

**BREAST CANCER** 

#### ABSTRACT

#### **Background:**

The Human Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor 2 (HER2) is an oncogene expressed in 25-30% of invasive breast cancers. HER2 shares extensive homology with other members of the HER family (HER1, HER3 and HER4), and is constitutively active as an homo-and heterodimer. The HER2 gene encodes an 185kDa transmembrane protein with tyrosine kinase activity. Gene amplification or protein expression of HER2 is a predictor of poor clinical outcome and decreased survival in women with breast cancer, and also indicates a favourable response to Trastuzumab (Herceptin) therapy, or a combinational therapy comprising Herceptin plus chemotherapy. However, resistance to Trastuzumab remains the case in approximately 50% of HER2 amplified/overexpressing tumours. Understanding the molecular mechanisms of Trastuzumab resistance and identifying more effective therapies, is critical in the treatment of patients whose breast cancers express this aggressive disease phenotype. In this study, it is postulated that the abnormal generation of mRNA splice variants may be responsible for the continued tumour growth and progression.

#### Aims:

The aim of this study is to increase our understanding of the role of HER2 splice variants in the development and progression of breast cancer. This will inform the

development of more sophisticated and effective therapies that target specific HER2 isoforms, rather than Herceptin, which targets just the generic wild type HER2 protein. **Materials and Methods:** 

The entire coding region of HER2 cDNA was PCR amplified using 12 sets of HER2 specific primers in HER2 positive cell lines (SKOV-3, SKBR-3, MDA-MB-453 and MDA-MB-361), and HER2 negative cell lines (BT-20, MDA-MB-361 MCF-7). These cell lines were also tested for protein expression of HER2 using Immunohistochemistry. Results:

RT-PCR results suggest that there are alternatively spliced variants of HER2 between exons 12-15, 15-19, and 19-22 in the SKOV-3 and MDA-MB-453cell lines, as well as potential exon deletions in the SKBR-3 cell line.

## **Conclusion:**

In addition to a previously described exon 16 deleted HER2 transcript, our current findings have identified potential novel splice variants in the transmembrane and kinase domains of the HER2 gene in HER2 positive cell lines. Functional studies of the proteins encoded by these variants will be carried in order to elucidate any significant changes in the HER2 signalling pathways. In addition, the expression of these isoforms will be investigated in tissues from formalin fixed, paraffin embedded and frozen breast tumours.

3.

## **EVENT TITLE:** CURRENT ISSUES IN BREAST CANCER CONFERENCE

## VENUE: UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST OF ENGLAND

DATE: JULY 3, 2010

TITLE: BIOMEDICAL RESEARCH IN BREAST CANCER

4.

# EVENT TITLE: CENTRE FOR RESEARCH IN BIOMEDICINE (CRIB) REVIEW DAY

VENUE: UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST OF ENGLAND

**DATE**: JULY 09, 2009

**TITLE OF PRESENTATION:** THE ROLE OF HER2 SPLICE VARIANTS IN INVASIVE BREAST CANCER

5.

EVENT TITLE: CENTRE FOR RESEARCH IN BIOMEDICINE (CRIB) REVIEW DAY

VENUE: UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST OF ENGLAND

**DATE**: MAY 26, 2009

TITLE OF PRESENTATION: THE FUNCTION AND ROLE OF HER2 SPLICE VARIANTS IN INVASIVE BREAST CANCER

6.

EVENT TITLE: CENTRE FOR RESEARCH IN BIOMEDICINE (CRIB) FORUM

**VENUE:** UNIVERSITY OF THE WEST OF ENGLAND

**DATE**: JANUARY 27, 2009

TITLE OF PRESENTATION: THE FUNCTION AND ROLE OF HER2 SPLICE VARIANTS IN

INVASIVE BREAST CANCER