

## Contents

Abstract	i
Acknowledgements	ii

## Chapter 1 – Introduction to the Thesis

1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Rationale for the Research	1
1.2 Theoretical Framework and Methodological Approach	2
1.3 Setting	3
1.4 Participants	3
1.5 Research Questions	3
1.6 Teacher Education in the last fifty years	4
1.6.1 The Robbins Report to CATE (1963-1984)	5
1.6.2 Circular 9/92 and Ofsted	6
1.6.3 The Teacher Training Agency (TTA)	6
1.6.4 Literacy, numeracy and ICT tests in the PGCE	6
1.7 Policy Contextualisation	7
1.7.1 The Bologna Declaration and Masters level credits in the PGCE	7
1.7.2 Modernizing public sector services	8
1.7.3 Modernizing the teaching profession	9
1.7.4 Funding for PGCE Trainees	11
1.7.5 Is teaching a craft or a profession?	11
1.8 Summary of the key drivers/themes in teacher education policy	12
1.9 Changes in education terminology	12
1.9.1 Trainee teacher versus student teacher	13
1.9.2 Initial Teacher Training (ITT) versus Initial Teacher Education (ITE)	13
1.9.3 Teaching Practice versus Teaching Placement	14
1.9.4 Government ITE/ITT Agencies: Teacher Training Agency (TTA), Training and Development Agency for Schools (TDA), Teaching Agency (TA) and the National College for Teaching and Leadership (NCTL)	14
1.10 Thesis Structure	14

## Chapter 2 – Literature Review

2.0 Introduction	16
2.1 What is professionalism, professionalism and professionalization?	16
2.2 Is teaching a profession?	17
2.3 What does professionalism look like in teaching?	18
2.4 What are the changes in the professional landscape regarding teaching?	22
2.5 Masters level credits in the PGCE	23
2.6 Review of literature on professionalism	24
2.7 What is identity?	25
2.8 How do teachers develop their identity?	26
2.9 What are multiple identities?	27
2.10 How do identities shift?	28

2.11 Is identity linked to Communities of Practice?	28
2.12 How can identity be categorised?	29
2.13 Review of literature on identity	30
2.14 Scope of literature review	31
2.15 Summary	33

## **Chapter 3 - Methodology and Methods**

3.0 Introduction	34
3.1 The Researchers role, experience and research journey	34
3.2 Research methodology	35
3.2.1 Interpretivism	36
3.2.2 Grounded theory	36
3.2.2 (i) Advantages and disadvantages of using a grounded theory approach	37
3.2.3 Alternative approaches	37
3.2.4 Narrative approach	38
3.2.4 (i) Definition and purpose	38
3.2.4 (ii) Advantages and issues	39
3.3 Validity	40
3.4 Case Study	40
3.4.1 Why case study?	41
3.4.1 (i) Issues with case study research	42
3.4.1 (ii) What the case study involved	42
3.4.2 Sample	44
3.4.2 (i) Trainee sample	46
3.4.2 (ii) Teacher educator sample	46
3.4.2 (iii) SPT and Head Teacher sample	46
3.5 Data Collection	46
3.5.1 Key data from trainee teachers	47
3.5.1 (i) Questionnaire 1	47
3.5.1 (ii) Interview 1	48
3.5.1 (iii) Interview 2	50
3.5.1 (iv) Questionnaire 2	51
3.5.2 Questionnaires with Teacher Educators	51
3.5.3 Interview with MTL leader	52
3.5.4 Interview with Senior Professional Tutors	52
3.5.5 Interviews with Head Teachers	52
3.6 Insider/outsider Research	53
3.6.1 Benefits of insider research	55
3.6.2 Disadvantages of insider research	57
3.6.3 Summary of insider/outsider research	57
3.7 Ethical Issues	58
3.7.1 Research Relationships	58
3.7.2 BERA Ethical Guidelines	58
3.8 Analysis of Data	59
3.8.1 Trainees	59
3.8.2 Framing the data	62

3.8.3 How does Shain and Gleeson (1999) compare to the categories used in this research?	64
3.8.4 Teacher Educators	65
3.8.5 MTL Leader	65
3.8.6 SPTs	65
3.8.7 Head Teachers	66
3.9 Summary	66

## **Chapter 4 – Data Analysis and Interpretation**

4.0 Introduction	68
4.0.1 What were the features of the typologies used to categorise trainees?	71
4.0.1 (i) Engaged Academics	71
4.0.1 (ii) Willing/Strategic Compliers	71
4.0.1 (iii) Reluctant Compliers	71
4.0.1 (iv) Contextual Complier	72
4.0.1 (v) Summary of the trainees' typologies	72
4.1 What were the career goals of the trainees?	72
4.1.1 Engaged Academics	73
4.1.2 Willing/Strategic Compliers	74
4.1.3 Reluctant Compliers	76
4.1.4 Contextual Complier	78
4.1.5 Summary	79
4.2 What were the trainees' attitude to study?	80
4.2.1 Engaged Academics	80
4.2.2 Willing/Strategic Compliers	83
4.2.3 Reluctant Compliers	84
4.2.4 Contextual Complier	87
4.2.5 Summary	88
4.3 What were the trainees' views on studying at Masters level during the PGCE?	88
4.3.1 Engaged Academics	89
4.3.2 Willing/Strategic Compliers	91
4.3.3 Reluctant Compliers	93
4.3.4 Contextual Complier	95
4.3.5 Summary	96
4.4 How did the trainees view continuing to study for a full Masters?	97
4.4.1 Engaged Academics	97
4.4.2 Willing/Strategic Compliers	98
4.4.3 Reluctant Compliers	99
4.4.4 Contextual Complier	101
4.4.5 Summary	101
4.5 How did the trainees' teaching practices compare to their academic work?	101
4.6 What was the comparison of Masters level assignment outcomes between the trainees?	104

4.7 What were the trainees' conceptions of an ideal teacher?	105
4.7.1 Engaged Academics	106
4.7.2 Willing/Strategic Compliers	106
4.7.3 Reluctant Compliers	106
4.7.4 Contextual Complier	107
4.7.5 Trainees and Hay McBer (2000)	107
4.8 Who were the other key participants in this study?	112
4.9 Teacher Educators	112
4.9.1 How did Masters level credits in the PGCE compare to other HEIs?	112
4.9.2 What were the differences in trainees?	112
4.9.3 What were the pass rates of the Masters level assignment?	113
4.9.4 What levels of support were provided to the trainees?	113
4.9.5 What were the teacher educators' views on Masters level in the PGCE?	114
4.9.6 How far did teacher educators consider employment prospects are enhanced by Masters level credits?	114
4.10 MTL Leader	114
4.10.1 When is it best to start Masters study?	115
4.10.2 What are the benefits of studying at Masters level?	115
4.10.3 What was the impact in schools?	115
4.10.4 What skills do trainees need to develop during their PGCE?	116
4.10.5 What is the impact of Government policy regarding Masters level qualifications for teachers?	116
4.11 Senior Professional Tutors (SPTs)	117
4.11.1 How aware of Masters level credits in the PGCE were the SPTs?	117
4.11.2 Does Masters level credits in the PGCE affect the recruitment of of NQTs?	117
4.11.3 Are there differences between trainees who achieve Masters level and those that do not?	117
4.11.4 What benefits are there in career progression prospects for those trainees with Masters level credits?	118
4.11.5 When is an appropriate time for new teachers to start Masters study?	119
4.12 Head Teachers	119
4.12.1 What qualities are key in NQTs?	119
4.12.2 Which qualifications are significant in NQTs?	119
4.12.3 What are the differences between routes into teaching?	120
4.12.4 Are the two levels of PGCE significant?	120
4.12.5 What is the preferred method of training teachers?	120
4.12.6 What Continuing Professional Development is offered?	121
4.12.7 Is teaching a craft or a profession?	121
4.13 Summary	122

## Chapter 5 – Conclusions

5.0 Introduction	125
5.1 Research Outcome One	126

5.1.1 Introduction of Masters level credits in the PGCE	126
5.1.2 Policy to make teaching a higher qualified profession	127
5.1.3 Improving the quality of teachers	128
5.1.4 Is teaching a craft or a profession and where should teacher Training take place?	129
5.2 Research Outcome Two	130
5.3 Research Outcome Three	132
5.3.1 Employment Prospects	132
5.3.2 Benefits to Classroom Practice	134
5.4 Contribution to new knowledge	135
5.5 Limitations of the study	136
5.6 Reflections on the professional doctorate	137
5.7 Future Research	139
5.8 Summary	140

<b>References</b>	141
-------------------	-----

## **Appendices**

Appendix A – Background information to the research	158
Appendix B – Consent form	159
Appendix C – Questions for interview	160
Appendix D – Trainee narrative summaries	
Alfie	162
Chloe	167
Daniel	174
Emily	178
Evie	182
Joshua	186
Lily	192
Mia	198
Ruby	201
Sophie	205
Appendix E – Data from professionalism criteria – Whitty	210
Appendix F – Questionnaire one to trainees	211
Appendix G – Final questionnaire to trainees	213
Appendix H – Questionnaire for teacher educators	217
Appendix I – MCC Assignment criteria	220
Appendix J – Summary of Summary of the Person Specifications for Assistant Head / Deputy Head vacancies appearing in the Times Educational Supplement during the week ending 13/5/13	222
Appendix K – Questions for Head Teachers	223

## **Figures**

Figure 1	Hay McBer (2000) Professional characteristics	20
Figure 2	Hay McBer (2000) Teaching skills	20
Figure 3	Teacher identity and multiple interactions	30

Figure 4	The participants in the research	43
Figure 5	Sample funnelling outwards from trainees	45
Figure 6	Nested nature of trainees, HEI and school placement	45
Figure 7	Informal/formal, insider/outsider, low/high stakes continuum	54
Figure 8	From raw data to thematic organisation	63

## Tables

Table 1	Key points in ITT and the wider context of teaching	5
Table 2	Funding for PGCE 2013/14	11
Table 3	Name changes in the Department for Education since 1964	13
Table 4	Government teaching agencies since 1984	14
Table 5	Who was involved, how data was gathered and when this took place	44
Table 6	Basic information on selected sub group of trainees	48
Table 7	Comparison of typologies between Shain and Gleeson (1999) and my study	66
Table 8	Cross reference of research questions to topic sub-headings	68
Table 9	Typology of each trainee	72
Table 10	Joshua on career aspirations	73
Table 11	Mia on career aspirations	73
Table 12	Daniel on career aspirations	74
Table 13	Lily on career aspirations	75
Table 14	Ruby on career aspirations	75
Table 15	Alfie on career aspirations	76
Table 16	Chloe on career aspirations	76
Table 17	Evie on career aspirations	77
Table 18	Sophie on career aspirations	77
Table 19	Emily on career aspirations – interview 1	78
Table 20	Emily on career aspirations – interview 2	78
Table 21	Draper et al (1998) Typology	79
Table 22	Draper et al (1998) typology applied to trainee teacher respondents	79
Table 23	Joshua's early education and decision to go to university	80
Table 24	Daniel's early education and decision to go to university	81
Table 25	Mia's early education and decision to go to university	81
Table 26	Joshua's undergraduate and postgraduate study	82
Table 27	Daniel's undergraduate study	82
Table 28	Mia's undergraduate and postgraduate study	82
Table 29	Lily's early education and decision to go to university	83
Table 30	Ruby's early education and decision to go to university	83
Table 31	Lily's undergraduate study	83
Table 32	Ruby's undergraduate and postgraduate study	84
Table 33	Alfie's early education and decision to go to university	84
Table 34	Chloe's early education and decision to go to university	85
Table 35	Evie's early education and decision to go to university	85
Table 36	Sophie's early education and decision to go to university	86

Table 37	Alfie's undergraduate study	86
Table 38	Chloe's undergraduate study	86
Table 39	Evie's undergraduate study	87
Table 40	Sophie's undergraduate study	87
Table 41	Emily's early education and decision to go to university	88
Table 42	Emily's undergraduate study	88
Table 43	Joshua on his approach to studying at Masters level	89
Table 44	Joshua's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	89
Table 45	Daniel on his approach to studying at Masters level	90
Table 46	Daniel's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	90
Table 47	Mia on her approach to studying at Masters level	90
Table 48	Mia's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	91
Table 49	Lily on her approach to studying at Masters level	91
Table 50	Lily's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	92
Table 51	Ruby on her approach to studying at Masters level	92
Table 52	Ruby's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	92
Table 53	Alfie on his approach to studying at Masters level	93
Table 54	Alfie's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	93
Table 55	Chloe on her approach to studying at Masters level	94
Table 56	Chloe's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	94
Table 57	Evie on her approach to studying at Masters level	94
Table 58	Evie's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	94
Table 59	Sophie on her approach to studying at Masters level	95
Table 60	Sophie's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	95
Table 61	Emily on her approach to studying at Masters level	96
Table 62	Emily's view on the purpose and benefit of Masters level study	96
Table 63	Joshua on further study at Masters level	97
Table 64	Daniel on further study at Masters level	97
Table 65	Mia on further study at Masters level	98
Table 66	Lily on further study at Masters level	98
Table 67	Ruby on further study at Masters level	99
Table 68	Alfie on further study at Masters level	99
Table 69	Chloe on further study at Masters level	100
Table 70	Evie on further study at Masters level	100
Table 71	Sophie on further study at Masters level	100
Table 72	Emily on further study at Masters level	101
Table 73	Teaching practice compared to academic work	102
Table 74	Masters level assignment results	104
Table 75	Summary of ideal teacher characteristics identified by the trainees	108
Table 76	Summary of rankings	109
Table 77	Top three responses	109
Table 78	Bottom three responses	110
Table 79	Respondents as Engaged Academic, Willing/Strategic Complier or Reluctant Complier	123
Table 80	Respondents awareness of Government policy regarding Masters level study	124