ROYAL SOCIETY OPEN SCIENCE

rsos.royalsocietypublishing.org

Research





Cite this article: Thorpe CJ, Lewis TR, Fisher MC, Wierzbicki CJ, Kulkarni S, Pryce D, Davies L, Watve A, Knight ME. 2018 Climate structuring of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* infection in the threatened amphibians of the northern Western Ghats, India. *R. Soc. open sci.* **5**: 180211. http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rsos.180211

Received: 7 February 2018 Accepted: 4 May 2018

Subject Category:

Biology (whole organism)

Subject Areas:

ecology/biogeography/environmental science

Keywords:

Western Ghats, chytrid, amphibians, caecilians, plateaus

Authors for correspondence:

Christopher J. Thorpe
e-mail: christopher.thorpe@plymouth.ac.uk
Todd R. Lewis
e-mail: ecolewis@gmail.com

Electronic supplementary material is available online at https://dx.doi.org/10.6084/m9. figshare.c.4114046.

THE ROYAL SOCIETY PUBLISHING

Climate structuring of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis infection in the threatened amphibians of the northern Western Ghats, India

Christopher J. Thorpe¹, Todd R. Lewis², Matthew C. Fisher³, Claudia J. Wierzbicki³, Siddharth Kulkarni⁴, David Pryce¹, Lewis Davies¹, Aparna Watve⁵ and Mairi E. Knight¹

CJT, 0000-0002-1045-8408; TRL, 0000-0001-5433-8777; MCF, 0000-0002-1862-6402

Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) is a pathogen killing amphibians worldwide. Its impact across much of Asia is poorly characterized. This study systematically surveyed amphibians for Bd across rocky plateaus in the northern section of the Western Ghats biodiversity hotspot, India, including the first surveys of the plateaus in the coastal region. These ecosystems offer an epidemiological model system since they are characterized by differing levels of connectivity, edaphic and climatic conditions, and anthropogenic stressors. One hundred and eighteen individuals of 21 species of Anura and Apoda on 13 plateaus ranging from 67 to 1179 m above sea level and 15.89 to 17.92° North latitude were sampled. Using qPCR protocols, 79% of species and 27% of individuals tested were positive for Bd. This is the first record of Bd in caecilians in India, the Critically Endangered Xanthophryne tigerina and Endangered Fejervarya cf. sahyadris. Mean site prevalence was 28.15%. Prevalence below the escarpment was

© 2018 The Authors. Published by the Royal Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/, which permits unrestricted use, provided the original author and source are credited.

¹Ecology, Behaviour and Evolution Research Group, School of Biological and Marine Sciences, University of Plymouth, Drake Circus, Plymouth, Devon PL4 8AA, UK

²Westfield, 4 Worgret Road, Wareham, Dorset BH20 4PJ, UK

³Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology, Imperial College London, London W2 1PG, UK

⁴Department of Biological Sciences, George Washington University, 2121 I St NW, Washington, DC 20052, USA

⁵Tata Institute of Social Sciences, Apsinga Road, PO Box No. 09, Tuljapur 413 601, District-Osmanabad, Maharashtra, India

31.2% and 25.4% above. The intensity of infection (GE) showed the reverse pattern. Infection may be related to elevational temperature changes, thermal exclusion, inter-site connectivity and anthropogenic disturbance. Coastal plateaus may be thermal refuges from Bd. Infected amphibians represented a wide range of ecological traits posing interesting questions about transmission routes.

1. Introduction

Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) [1] is an aggressive species of chytrid fungus that can cause the lethal amphibian infection chytridiomycosis [2]. Following the emergence of a hypervirulent lineage of Bd, the global panzootic lineage (BdGPL) [3], in the early twentieth century, the pathogen has been responsible for the loss of entire species [4] and is considered a significant threat wherever it is found [5]. The presence of Bd in the Western Ghats (WG) biodiversity hotspot [6] has been known since 2011 [7], with its known range in the WG extended in 2015 [8], and chytridiomycosis was reported from the northern WG in 2013 [9]. It was identified as an endemic Asian strain in 2013 [9]. It remains unclear what factors regulate the distribution of Bd in the WG and its transmission, and what the reasons are for its current generally sub-lethal state in the region. In a peculiar twist of fate, regions that are home to the world's greatest amphibian diversity are also most suitable for Bd [10]. In a global model, Olson et al. [2] found the entire WG to be suitable habitat for the pathogen [2].

The WG in southwest India occupy just 5% of the country's land mass and yet are home to some 42% of its amphibian species (approx. 161 species) [11,12]. Not only are the WG highly specious but many of its amphibian species are rare, with 87% being WG endemics [12]. The amphibians that are endemic to the rocky plateaus (plateaus) face both proximate and ultimate threats including climate change [13], and regional stressors (population growth [14]), along with rapid habitat loss through mining, tourism and wind turbine installations [15–18]. They also face challenges from pathogens such as the fungus Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis (Bd) [7-9]. Systematic studies on Bd in Asia are under-represented in the literature [19], and this study aims to help to address that shortfall.

The three studies that have been published so far examining Bd infection in the Western Ghats biodiversity hotspot cover almost the entire length of the WG and report widely differing levels of infection ranging from 0.6% [7] to 25% [9]. The most geographically extensive study, covering the northern, central and southern WG, reported an infection rate of 1.6% [8]. All three studies excluded the low-lying sites between the coast and the hills. Molur et al. [8] published a predictive model showing higher risk of infection south of approximately 14.5°N in the central section of the WG.

This present study adds considerably to the previous WG publications by surveying low elevation sites for the first time. In addition, this is the first study in the WG to offer data on habitat specific infection rates, and infection patterns across a wide range of elevations. Such data are highly important as the high-level plateaus are becoming recognized as centres of endemism for a number of taxonomic groups and data on all threats are urgently needed for their effective management [17-20].

2. Methods

2.1. Study area

The WG are a chain of hills some 1500 km in length running parallel and slightly inland from the south west coast of India from the Maharashtra/Gujarat state border to the country's southern tip (figure 1). They are part of the Western Ghats-Sri Lanka biodiversity hotspot [6] and the eighth 'hottest' hotspot on the planet [21]. Unlike the granitic central and southern sections, the northern section in western Maharashtra, known as the Deccan Traps (DT), is formed from basalt. The plateaus are ferricretes of laterite forming hilltop carapaces above the escarpment on the western edge of the WG rising to 1200 m above sea level (m), with extensive low-lying plateaus below in an area known as the Konkan [22]. Temperatures range from 15°-40°C in the Konkan and 4°-42°C above the escarpment [23]. The higher elevation amphibian populations are exposed to lower temperatures that may be more conducive to Bd infection [24].

2.2. Amphibian sampling

Amphibians were sampled from 13 representative plateaus situated in the northern WG both above and below the North-South escarpment in western Maharashtra during the early monsoon in 2013 and 2014




Figure 1. Map of study area, with study area location within India (inset). Nearest large towns are shown for reference points. Blue circles denote plateaus in the High Region and green triangles those in the Low Region. Mine sites are indicated to reflect one of the risks to these sites. The biodiversity hotspot (BDH) [6] outline was created in ArcGIS based on data downloaded from ESRI (Environmental Systems Research Institute, Redlands, California, USA).

(late July-early August; figure 1, electronic supplementary material, table S3). Plateaus were selected to represent the latitudinal and elevation extent of laterite in western Maharashtra together with the range of land uses in each region (electronic supplementary material, table S3). Visual encounter surveys with supplementary refugia searching were performed along four 6 m by 100 m transects on each plateau in each year [25,26]. Anthropogenic disturbance factors assessed at each site were: removal of loose rocks, surfaced road, unsurfaced road, built structures on the plateau, domesticated animal grazing, surfaced road within 200 m of plateau, tourism, part conversion to plantation, adjacent built structures

and importation of topsoil. Sites with 0-3 factors were considered to have low levels of disturbance, those with 4-7 medium disturbance and with 8+ high disturbance (electronic supplementary material, table S3).

Sampled amphibians were identified by morphological comparison with the best literature available (including [27-45]). Many WG amphibians are taxonomically cryptic or unstable [43-45]; in cases where species-level identification may be in doubt we use 'cf.'.

2.3. Field sampling and laboratory techniques

Amphibians were all hand-captured, individually bagged and transported to shelter where skin swabs were taken from ventral surfaces. Swabbing was performed by a pair of surveyors using sterile cotton tip swabs (T/S16-B; Technical Service Consultants Ltd) [46,47]. The ventrum, drink patch, thighs and toe-webbing of each adult anuran and metamorph were swabbed multiple times following published standardized protocols [48]. For caecilians a simpler approach of multiple swab strokes along the whole of the body was used. Swabs were broken off into sterile vials of 99% ethanol. Disposable equipment (latex gloves and polythene bags) were replenished between specimens and sites. Other equipment was sterilized using VirkonSTM solution or dried to minimize cross-contamination.

DNA extraction from the swabs followed the protocol described by Boyle et al. [46,49]. A quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qPCR) diagnostic assay was used with Bd specific probes for the ribosomal gene region ITS-1/5.8S [49]. The qPCR was run on an Applied Biosystems QuantStudio 7 Flex Real-Time PCR System with an additional 10 cycles being added to the Boyle protocol (60 cycles total). Standards of known concentration of Bd DNA (100, 10, 1, 0.1 Bd GE (zoospore genomic equivalents)) were used as positive controls and standards together with no template control (NTC) of molecular grade water as a negative control. The samples were run in duplicate with single positives repeated. A positive result was a sample with a GE greater than 0.1 in both samples in a qPCR pair, a single was a sample for which only one of each qPCR pair had a GE greater than 0.1 and a weak signal was where only one out of four qPCR scores was greater than 0.1.

2.4. Environmental correlates

Bd is sensitive to a range of changes in the abiotic environment. Plateau soils are acidic, ranging as low as pH 4.9 which is below the Bd optimum of pH 6-8 [16,23,50]. In addition, Bd is temperaturesensitive, growing best between 17 and 25°C with an optimum of 23°C [50,51]. Temperature may also regulate the pathogenicity of Bd, with frogs exposed between 17 and 23°C more likely to die than those exposed at 27°C [52]. The fungus is reported to die when the temperature exceeds 30°C, a level exceeded at times on all plateaus [10,16]. Lower seasonal temperatures, such as those during the monsoon at higher elevations in the WG, are known to favour the pathogen [50]. The plateaus are mosaics of microhabitats set in a heterogeneous landscape with unknown degrees of connectivity for Bd [15,16,23,53]. Microhabitats include expanses of exposed rock often with associated loose rocks. Exposed rock absorbs solar radiation giving it a surface temperature higher than the air, perhaps creating microclimates that may reduce the intensity and presence of the pathogen [54]. Other microhabitats include loose rocks and fissures offering refugia from dry areas and excessive temperatures [55-57]. Optimum rainfall for Bd is reported to be between 1500 and 2500 mm a year. Only the low lying plateaus fall within this range, the plateaus along the top of the escarpment receiving between 4000 and 9000 mm, with the exception of Masai which is east of the ridge and may be drier [10,55].

Macro-environment and physiochemical data were recorded for each site: air, soil and water temperature (°C) and pool pH using a calibrated electrical probe (Hanna InstrumentsTM HI 9064); elevation (m), latitude and longitude for the start and end of each transect using a hand held GPS (GarminTM 60csx GPS).

Permission for fieldwork

Permission for accessing biodiversity in India including the fieldwork was granted by the National Biodiversity Authority, India, to C. J. Thorpe, permit number MC200621. The permit authorizes some other authors to assist with sampling.

4. Data analysis

To help make analytical comparisons at a range of spatial scales, the study area was divided into two regions: above the Western Ghats escarpment (High Region), and below it (Low Region). The dividing line was set at 700 m above sea level. Each region was arbitrarily sub-divided into three latitudinal groups North, Central and South (electronic supplementary material, table S3). Correlations between site elevation, temperature and pH were explored through Pearson product moment correlation for parametric data and Spearman rank correlation for non-parametric data. To assess the impact of the spatial arrangement and disturbance on *Bd* GE values, general linear model (GLM) and one-way ANOVA analyses were performed to investigate elevation, latitude, region, disturbance type and disturbance intensity. Results are reported with a confidence level (CL) of 95% together with upper and lower binomial confidence bounds (CB), which are the outer values of the confidence interval that are expressed as percentages [58,59].

5. Results

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 14 July 2021

5.1. Overview of *Bd* infection in the study area

A total of 118 sample swabs were taken from individuals belonging to 2 orders, 6 families, 14 genera and 19 taxa (table 1; electronic supplementary material, tables S4 and S5). Seventy-nine per cent of the taxa tested had individuals positive for *Bd* (table 1; electronic supplementary material, tables S4 and S5). The study does not provide an inventory of infection for the area as it only covered one ecosystem and complete detection of both species and infection is problematic [60]. Total prevalence in the sample was 11% (95% CL; CB 7–19), 22% (95% CL; CB 15–31) if single positives were included and 27% (95% CL; CB 19–36) including weak positives. As in other WG studies, all GE values recovered were low and all those with a single GE value greater than 0.1 were included in the analysis (table 1; electronic supplementary material, tables S4 and S5 [7–9,61]).

All four species of caecilian found in the study were infected (table 1; electronic supplementary material, tables S4 and S5). The critically endangered Amboli toad, *Xanthophryne tigerina*, was infected with low prevalence (6.7%; 95% CL; CB 1–32) as were 33% of the endangered frog *Fejervarya* cf. *sahyadris* in the sample (95% CL; CB 13–65) [51]. One site, Amboli High, returned no positives out of six *Xanthophryne tigerina* samples. Amboli High was the only site without any positive results. No amphibians were detected with external signs of chytridiomycosis.

5.2. Spatial distribution of *Bd* infection

Site prevalence varied between 0 and 50% and species infection rate was 0–60% (table 1; electronic supplementary material, table S4). Macroscale variations in GE values were found with the regions exhibiting a significant 10-fold difference in mean GE (ANOVA, $F_{1,2}$ = 3.99, p = 0.06) (electronic supplementary material, table S2; figure 2a,b). The Low Region had more infected individuals (56%; 95% CL; CB 26–62) than the High Region (44%; 95% CL; CB 37–56). Individual GE value increased with elevation (ANOVA, $F_{11,20}$ = 4.85, p < 0.01).

5.3. Environmental relationships with Bd infection

As both water temperature and pH covaried with elevation, and pH with water temperature, elevation alone was used to explore spatial relationships (electronic supplementary material, table S1). Temperature in the Low Region had a notable maximum of 36.4°C (mean 30.9°C), much higher than above the escarpment at 28.3°C (mean 22.5°C; minimum 19.3°C) (table 2). Both regions had minimum pH values below the *Bd* optimum although mean values were within the pathogen's tolerance range (table 2).

GLM ANOVA analysis was used to assess the factors regulating the distribution of infection intensity (figure 3a–e). Plateau elevation had the greatest impact showing an upward trend with elevation (figure 3b). Land-use was the second most crucial factor: agriculture had a negative impact on sites below the escarpment, and above the escarpment the type of land-use did not have a clear impact (figure 3c). The intensity of disturbance was related to an increasing trend in infection intensity (figure 3d). Latitude, which includes Low and High Region sites in each class, suggests a limited decreasing trend with increasing latitude (figure 3e).




Figure 2. (a) The proportional difference in regional values of mean site GE values. (b) The individual site mean GE.

Table 1. Taxonomy, risk and infection as prevalence. Where nomenclature is uncertain the rules of the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) have been followed. Where identification is hampered by cryptic species a most likely identity is shown with the prefix 'cf'. IUCN threat status and known habitat associations accessed 10/02/2017 [51]. NA, not assessed; DD, Data Deficient; LC, Least Concern; EN, Endangered; CR, Critically Endangered. Prevalence is the percentage of the sample tested positive for Bd.

AnuraBufonidaeDuttaphrynus melanostictusLC74310–82AnuraBufonidaeXanthophryne tigerinaCR156.71–32AnuraDicroglossidaeEuphlyctis cf. cyanophlyctisLC200AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. brevipalmataDD9110.003–0.48AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. caperataDD47519–99AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. caperataDD47519–99AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. sahyadrisEN143613–65AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya sp.10337–65AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621–86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC95621–86AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC100AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79<		6 11		III.GNI	.,	. (0/)	CD (0/)
AnuraBufonidaeXanthophryne tigerinaCR156.71-32AnuraDicroglossidaeEuphlyctis cf. cyanophlyctisLC200AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. brevipalmataDD9110.003-0.48AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. caperataDD47519-99AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. cepfiNA7140.01-58AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. sahyadrisEN143613-65AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya sp.10337-65AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621-86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC95621-86AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331-91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015-95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201-72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414-79 <th>order</th> <th>family</th> <th>taxa</th> <th>IUCN</th> <th>N</th> <th>prevalence (%)</th> <th>CB (%)</th>	order	family	taxa	IUCN	N	prevalence (%)	CB (%)
AnuraDicroglossidaeEuphlyctis cf. cyanophlyctisLC200AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. brevipalmataDD9110.003-0.48AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. caperataDD47519-99AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. cepfiNA7140.01-58AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. sahyadrisEN143613-65AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya sp.10337-65AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621-86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC5201-72AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331-91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015-95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201-72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414-79	Anura	Bufonidae	Duttaphrynus melanostictus	LC	7	43	10-82
AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. brevipalmataDD9110.003-0.48AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. caperataDD47519-99AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. cepfiNA7140.01-58AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. sahyadrisEN143613-65AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya sp.10337-65AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621-86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC5201-72AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331-91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015-95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201-72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414-79	Anura	Bufonidae	Xanthophryne tigerina	CR	15	6.7	1–32
AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. caperataDD47519–99AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. cepfiNA7140.01–58AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. sahyadrisEN143613–65AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya sp.10337–65AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621–86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC5201–72AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331–91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Dicroglossidae	Euphlyctis cf. cyanophlyctis	LC	2	0	0
AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. cepfiNA7140.01–58AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. sahyadrisEN143613–65AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya sp.10337–65AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621–86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC5201–72AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331–91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya cf. brevipalmata	DD	9	11	0.003-0.48
AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya cf. sahyadrisEN143613–65AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya sp.10337–65AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621–86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC5201–72AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331–91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya cf. caperata	DD	4	75	19–99
AnuraDicroglossidaeFejervarya sp.10337-65AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621-86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC5201-72AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331-91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015-95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201-72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414-79	Anura	Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya cf. cepfi	NA	7	14	0.01–58
AnuraDicroglossidaeHoplobatrachus tigerinusLC95621–86AnuraDicroglossidaeSphaerotheca dobsoniiLC5201–72AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331–91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya cf. sahyadris	EN	14	36	13–65
Anura Dicroglossidae Sphaerotheca dobsonii LC 5 20 1–72 Anura Micorhylidae Microhyla ornata LC 1 0 0 Anura Micorhylidae Uperodon globulosus LC 1 0 0 Anura Ranixalidae Indirana cf. chiravesi LC 3 33 1–91 Anura Rhacophoridae Pseudophilautus sp. 1 0 0 Anura Rhacophoridae Raorchestes ghatei NA 5 60 15–95 Gymnophiona Indotyphlidae Gegeneophis cf. ramaswamii LC 5 20 1–72 Gymnophiona Indotyphlidae Gegeneophis seshachari DD 9 44 14–79	Anura	Dicroglossidae	Fejervarya sp.		10	33	7–65
AnuraMicorhylidaeMicrohyla ornataLC100AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331–91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Dicroglossidae	Hoplobatrachus tigerinus	LC	9	56	21–86
AnuraMicorhylidaeUperodon globulosusLC100AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331–91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Dicroglossidae	Sphaerotheca dobsonii	LC	5	20	1–72
AnuraRanixalidaeIndirana cf. chiravesiLC3331–91AnuraRhacophoridaePseudophilautus sp.100AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Micorhylidae	Microhyla ornata	LC	1	0	0
Anura Rhacophoridae <i>Pseudophilautus</i> sp. 1 0 0 Anura Rhacophoridae <i>Raorchestes ghatei</i> NA 5 60 15–95 Gymnophiona Indotyphlidae <i>Gegeneophis cf. ramaswamii</i> LC 5 20 1–72 Gymnophiona Indotyphlidae <i>Gegeneophis seshachari</i> DD 9 44 14–79	Anura	Micorhylidae	Uperodon globulosus	LC	1	0	0
AnuraRhacophoridaeRaorchestes ghateiNA56015–95GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Ranixalidae	Indirana cf. chiravesi	LC	3	33	1–91
GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis cf. ramaswamiiLC5201–72GymnophionaIndotyphlidaeGegeneophis seshachariDD94414–79	Anura	Rhacophoridae	Pseudophilautus sp.		1	0	0
Gymnophiona Indotyphlidae <i>Gegeneophis seshachari</i> DD 9 44 14—79	Anura	Rhacophoridae	Raorchestes ghatei	NA	5	60	15–95
	Gymnophiona	Indotyphlidae	Gegeneophis cf. ramaswamii	LC	5	20	1–72
	Gymnophiona	Indotyphlidae	Gegeneophis seshachari	DD	9	44	14–79
Gymnopniona indotypniidae <i>indotyphius</i> ct. <i>battersbyi</i> טט 2 50 1–99	Gymnophiona	Indotyphlidae	Indotyphlus cf. battersbyi	DD	2	50	1–99
Gymnophiona Indotyphlidae <i>Indotyphlus maharashtraensis</i> DD 3 33 1–91	Gymnophiona	Indotyphlidae	Indotyphlus maharashtraensis	DD	3	33	1–91




Figure 3. Log₁₀ transformed GE data for individuals. Quartile 2 and 3 are shaded with the dividing line as the median. The whiskers indicate quartiles 1 and 4. Outliers are indicated by asterisks. (*a*) Regions (above, High, and below, Low, the Western Ghats escarpment). GLM ANOVA results for the other classes: (*b*) elevation groups, F = 12.77, d.f._{factor} = 1, d.f._{error} = 24, p < 0.01; (*c*) land-use, F = 10.88, d.f._{factor} = 1, d.f._{error} = 24, p < 0.05; (*e*) latitudinal groups, F = 3.33, d.f._{factor} = 1, d.f._{error} = 24, p = 0.08.

Table 2. Physio-chemical parameters described for the survey area as a whole and the two regions. Temperature = water temperature in $^{\circ}$ C.

variable	Region	mean	minimum	maximum
temperature	Low	30.9	26.2	36.4
temperature	High	22.5	19.3	28.3
temperature	all	24.8	19.3	36.4
рН	Low	6.7	5.0	9.6
рН	High	7.6	5.3	12.2
рН	all	7.3	5.0	12.2

6. Discussion

There was widespread but low intensity infection of *Bd* on almost all the plateaus sampled except for one, Amboli High, and in 79% of the amphibian species examined on the rocky plateaus in the northern WG

(table 1; electronic supplementary material, tables S3 and S4). These are the first records of infection in the critically endangered Amboli toad, Xanthophryne tigerina; the endangered frog Fejervarya cf. sahyadris; and four species of caecilian, Gegeneophis cf. ramaswamii, Gegeneophis seshachari, Indotyphlus cf. battersbyi and Indotyphlus maharashtraensis [62]. Three of the caecilian species are described by the IUCN as Data Deficient (table 1). Infection was detected in two species yet to be assessed by the IUCN, Fejervarya cf. cepfi and Raorchestes cf. ghatei; and two other Data Deficient species, Fejervarya cf. brevipalmata and Fejervarya cf. caperata (table 1). This is the first study to investigate Bd infection in low lying coastal sites, where there was higher prevalence but lower intensity infection than on sites above the WG escarpment (electronic supplementary material, tables S2 and S3; figure 3a). Site elevation, with its covariables, and disturbance intensity were the most significant explanatory factors in the pattern of Bd distribution (electronic supplementary material, tables S2 and S3; figure 3b,c). However, another explanation, not explored here, for the observed pattern in Bd distribution is that the amphibian populations are relics of ancient dispersals isolated from the pathogen's transmission vectors [63].

6.1. Low elevation plateaus are less conducive to Bd but with greater connectivity

Puschendorf et al. [54] suggest that disease-free amphibian refuges are created in drier areas with temperatures above those tolerated by Bd [54]. We suggest our findings, with lower infection intensities on the Konkan plateaus, support them as a possible refugia for some amphibian species from Bd. However, the higher prevalence on plateaus below the escarpment is more difficult to explain. The plateaus' specific environment derived from their open habitat may have created thermal refugia from Bd in rock pools and surrounding habitats where temperatures exceed the pathogen's upper thermal tolerance, but it should also restrict transmission. The pools are scattered across plateaus with surface temperatures, especially on the exposed rock, greatly more than the pathogen's thermal maximum, which should restrict the pathogen's persistence and transmission [23,64].

Low Region water temperatures were higher than those above the escarpment, on average 30.9°C, with a maximum of 36.4°C, a figure well above published critical zoospore thermal thresholds of 23-28°C (tables 1 and 2; figure 3a; electronic supplementary material, table S2 and S3) [2,10,50,65]. Even more lethal are the rock surface temperatures which can exceed 50°C [23]. The region is also drier, with less rain and fewer wet days than above the escarpment. The annual rainfall falls within the pathogen's preferred rainfall range of 1500-2500 mm but it only rains for five months a year, with the remaining seven months being almost completely dry with very low relative humidity [10,23,65,66]. Infection intensity decreased slightly with increasing latitude possibly reflecting the latitudinal decline in the number of wet days (figure 3e) [56,57,66].

Regional differences in habitat and micro-habitat availability may also help explain the pattern in Bd distribution, through behavioural mitigation where amphibians move to, or persist on, plateaus with micro-habitats that are refugia from Bd [55,57,67]. Conversely, stream micro-habitats offer one possible transmission route in the Low Region, where streams are more frequent [55]. Sub-tropical stream-breeders are more susceptible to Bd and may be disease vectors with the pathogen spreading from streams into the terrestrial realm [67,68]. Increased landscape connectivity in the Low Region, resulting from lower inter-site variation in elevation (Low Region 103 m, High Region 370 m), may enable greater inter-site transmission through amphibians dispersing between plateaus, explaining the higher prevalence and supporting the findings of Heard et al. [69] (electronic supplementary material, table S2 and S3) [53,70]. The picture is complex though as some refugia such as woody plants and large loose rocks may enable behavioural avoidance of excessive temperatures for both amphibians and Bd [51,69,71]. This idea possibly supported by the results for the four species of caecilian in the study which have similar prevalence to non-fossorial taxa (table 1; electronic supplementary material, tables S4 and S5). They are frequently found under loose rocks where temperatures are tolerable for Bd and are close to streams which may be used by stream-breeding anuran vectors [55,67].

6.2. *Bd* in the High Region

Despite the High Region offering a more equitable temperature range for Bd with a mean of 22.5°C, within the pathogen's in vitro optimum of 17-25°C, prevalence was less than below the escarpment where the pathogen's upper limit was often exceeded. This suggests the High Region's greater topographical heterogeneity produces barriers to transmission which may explain the lower number of infected individuals (tables 1 and 2; electronic supplementary material, tables S2–S4; [10,50,51]).

Individual GE loadings were greater above the escarpment reflecting the High Region's optimal temperature (table 2 and electronic supplementary material, table S2; [10,51]). While excessive temperatures below the escarpment may regulate the pathogen through mortality the High Region's lower temperature regime may encourage Bd, even when the temperature falls below the organism's lower optimum value (17°C). A temperature of 4°C was used by Voyles et al. [57] as their minimum in a study assessing the impact of temperature regimes on Bd life history; the same minimum temperature was also recorded by Watve [23] on High Region plateaus. Their lower temperature regime resulted in extended zoospore longevity meaning zoospore numbers in water bodies could be expected to be greater than in warmer pools. The trait may lead to greater encounter rates and thus infection prevalence contrary to our findings [57]. However, they also found their low temperature regime increased zoospore production, which offers a plausible explanation for the elevated site GE values in the High Region.

6.3. The impact of anthropogenic disturbance on *Bd* distribution

Elevated prevalence close to human settlement is to be expected but with unknown causes [72]. The study found that Low Region plateaus, which are less isolated from human settlement, had higher prevalence than their more isolated High Region counterparts [72].

In addition to proximity of human habitation, changes in land-use influences amphibian distribution, and possibly their susceptibility and exposure to disease [55,73,74]. Sites near human habitation are likely to have anthropogenic land-uses. We found land-uses differed either side of the escarpment. Land-use had an impact on mean individual infection intensity with Low Region agricultural sites having higher infection intensity than the nearest sites with limited disturbance (figure 3c). Land-use in the High Region had a negligible impact on mean individual infection levels (figure 3c). Sites with little disturbance, on our arbitrary scale, had lower mean individual GE compared to plateaus with higher disturbance (figure 3d). The actual mechanisms remain unclear, but we can support anthropogenic disturbance as a negative factor in Bd infection. The sites disturbed by tourism had amphibian assemblages dominated by generalist species, but this had no impact on mean individual infection intensity suggesting mobile species may not be pathogen vectors [55].

The impact of disturbance on Bd infection intensity was less than that of elevation (figure 3b-d). Site prevalence did not reflect land-use (electronic supplementary material, table S3; figure 3b-e). As all the sites with tourism were above the escarpment and elevation had the greater explanatory power, we believe spatially driven climate has more effect than land-use. There is a clearer indication that agriculture negatively impacts infection intensity as seen in our Low Region sites, where there is little inter-site variation in elevation but a significant difference in infection intensity (figure 3c).

7. Conclusion

It is clear that the Bd pathogen is very widely distributed in this area and anthropogenic land-use increases the infection risk. The infected plateau amphibians include several threatened and poorly understood species whose infection we record for the first time. None of the individuals that tested positive for Bd showed any external signs of chytridiomycosis. The disease has been reported in Nyctibatrachus humayuni from sites close to the northern edge of this survey [9]. The infection level reported here is well below the mortality threshold of 1-10000 zoospores [75] and is more indicative of an historical infection, or species that are carriers that do not go on to develop chytridiomycosis [76-78]. The triggers for this low intensity infection to develop into a lethal outbreak of chytridiomycosis are unknown.

Transmission vectors are poorly understood globally as well as in the WG, but we would support the possible explanation of water birds as vectors with lapwing species (Vanellus indicus) being frequently observed on all the plateaus [79]. Proximity to human habitation is a risk factor but the mechanisms of transmission are unknown.

Until there is a better understanding of the mechanisms triggering benign Bd infection to become lethal chytridiomycosis, its presence should be considered in all future conservation policy decisions. Preservation of dispersed populations on sites with refugial properties, good connectivity and preservation of refugia on individual plateaus is essential in offering the best prospect of long-term species persistence [69,80]. The need for further work on modelling infection on a wider scale, especially in the low lying coastal areas, characterized here for the first time for Bd, is a priority. A study into the evolutionary history of Bd in the entire WG area would also help with its management. There is an urgency to determine the routes of transmission and triggers for the pathogen to become lethal.

That urgency is illustrated by the 2015 publication of the addition of *Duttaphrynus melanostictus* to *Hoplobatrachus tigerinus* as invasive species in another biodiversity hotspot, Madagascar, [81]. Both of these species had high *Bd* prevalence in this study, 43% and 56% respectively. Resolution of these questions may be helped by a better understanding of the historical lineage of the *Bd* strain in the WG.

Ethics. Advice was sought from the University of Plymouth Animal Welfare and Ethics Committee representative who advised that no formal consent was required since the swabs were non-invasive, collected from external swabbing only. Further they advised following strict international handling protocols and these are described under Methods. Sampling was undertaken by kind permission of the National Biodiversity Authority, Chennai, India under permit number: Maharashtra 2014 MC200621.

Data accessibility. The raw data of infection intensity with species and site localities are available in the electronic supplementary material.

Authors' contributions. C.J.T. designed and coordinated the study, obtained permits, collected field data, processed samples in the laboratory, executed the statistical analysis and drafted and submitted the manuscript. T.R.L. assisted by L.D. and D.P. collected field data, T.R.L. helped edit the manuscript. M.C.F. and C.J.W. carried out the molecular analysis and refined the manuscript. S.K. participated in the data collection and fieldwork logistics. A.W. helped with the permit and logistics and assisted with fieldwork. M.E.K. supervised the project, assisted in some of the fieldwork, and helped to refine the manuscript. All authors gave final approval for publication.

Competing interests. We have no competing interests.

Funding. C.J.T.: The Royal Geographical Society with IBG through Geographical Fieldwork Grants in 2013 and 2014 and the Monica Cole Award 2012. C.J.T.: The Erasmus Darwin Barlow Expedition Fund, Zoological Society of London grant in 2014. T.R.L.: Percy Sladen Memorial Trust award in 2014. M.C.F.: The Leverhulme Trust grant no. RPG-2014-273.

Acknowledgements. We thank Dr Neelesh Dahanukar and an anonymous reviewer for their help in refining the manuscript. Many people have helped to bring the project to fruition: Dr Aparna Watve, Sanjay Thakur and Dr Varad Giri for their tireless support; Dr Hemant Ghate and Dr Anand Padhye helped with laboratory space and resolving identification; David Gower aided caecilian identification; Dr N. Dahanukar for freezer space to preserve the swabs; Nikhil Gaitonde for his field assistance; Dr Ramana Athreya kindly provided bench space to A.W. and C.J.T.; Jennifer Shelton and Pria Ghosh for DNA extraction; and Felicity Wynne for help in interpretation. Dr Robert Puschendorf gave invaluable help in many stages of the study.

References

- Longcore JE, Pessier AP, Nichols DK. 1999
 Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis gen. et sp. nov., a chytrid pathogenic to amphibians. Mycologia 91, 219–227. (doi:10.2307/3761366)
- Olson DH, Aanensen DM, Ronnenberg KL, Powell CI, Walker SF, Bielby J, Garner TWJ, Weaver G, Fisher MC, The Bd Mapping Group. 2013 Mapping the global emergence of *Batrachochytrium* dendrobatidis, the amphibian chytrid fungus. PLoS ONE 8, e56802. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0056802)
- Farrer RA et al. 2011 Multiple emergences of genetically diverse amphibian-infecting chytrids include a globalized hypervirulent recombinant lineage. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 108, 18 732–18 736. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1111915108)
- Fisher MC, Garner TW, Walker SF. 2009 Global emergence of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* and amphibian chytridiomycosis in space, time, and host. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* 63, 291–310. (doi:10.1146/ annurev.micro.091208.073435)
- Rödder D et al. 2009 Global amphibian extinction risk assessment for the panzootic chytrid fungus. Diversity 1, 52–66. (doi:10.3390/d1010052)
- Myers N, Mittermeier RA, Mittermeier CG, da Fonseca GAB, Kent J. 2000 Biodiversity hotspots for conservation priorities. *Nature* 403, 853–858. (doi:10.1038/35002501)
- Nair AS, Daniel O, Gopalan SV, George S, Kumar KS, Merila J, Teacher AGF. 2011 Infectious disease screening of Indirana frogs from the Western Ghats biodiversity hotspot. Herpetol. Rev. 42, 554–557.

- Molur S, Krutha K, Paingankar MS, Dahanukar N. 2015 Asian strain of *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* is widespread in the Western Ghats, India. *Dis.* Aquat. Organ. 112, 251–255. (doi:10.3354/dao02804)
- Dahanukar N, Krutha K, Paingankar MS, Padhye AD, Modak N, Molur S. 2013 Endemic Asian chytrid strain infection in threatened and endemic anurans of the northern Western Ghats, India. PLoS ONE 8, e77528. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0077528)
- Ron SR. 2005 Predicting the distribution of the amphibian pathogen *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis* in the New World. *Biotropica* 37, 209–221. (doi:10.1111/j.1744-7429.2005. 00028.x)
- Aravind NA, Gururaja KV. 2011 Theme paper on the amphibians of the Western Ghats. Report submitted to Western Ghats Ecology Expert Panel. Available at: MoEF Electronic database, see http:// www.westernghatsindiaorg/sites/default/files/ Amphibians
- Giri V. 2016 Diversity and conservation status of the Western Ghats amphibians. In *Threatened* amphibians of the world (eds SN Stuart, M Hoffman, JS Chanson, NA Cox, R Berridge, P Ramani, BE Young), pp. 80–82. Barcelona, Spain: Lynx Ediciones.
- IPCC. 2014 International Panel on Climate Change, Chapter 24: Asia 2014. See http://www.ipcc.ch/pdf/ assessment-report/ar5/wg2/WGIIAR5-Chap24.
- Cincotta RP, Wisnewski J, Engelman R. 2000 Human population in the biodiversity hotspots. *Nature* 404, 990–992. (doi:10.1038/35010105)

- Thorpe C, Watve A. 2016 Lateritic plateaus in the Northern Western Ghats, India; a review of bauxite mining restoration practices. J. Ecol. Soc. 28, 25–44.
- Watve A. 2013 Status review of rocky plateaus in the northern Western Ghats and Konkan region of Maharashtra, India with recommendations for conservation and management. J. Threat. Taxa 5, 3935–3962. (doi:10.11609/IoTT.03372.3935-62)
- Kasturirangan K et al. 2013 Report of the higher level working group on Western Ghats. Ministry of Environment and Forests, Government of India.
- Bharucha EK. 2010 Current ecological status and identification of potential ecologically sensitive areas in the Northern Western Ghats. Pune, Maharashtra: Bharti Vidyapeeth Deemed University, Research IoEEa.
- Whittaker K, Vredenburg V. 2011 An overview of chytridiomycosis. Available from: http://www. amphibiaweb.org/chytrid/chytridiomycosis.html.
- Porembski S, Silveira FAO, Fieldler PL, Watve A, Rabarimanarivo M, Kouame F, Hopper SD. 2016 Worldwide destruction of inselbergs and related rock outcrops threatens a unique ecosystem. *Biodiversity Conserv.* 25, 2827–2830. (doi:10.1007/s10531-016-1171-1)
- Sloan S, Jenkins CN, Joppa LN, Gaveau DLA, Laurance WF. 2014 Remaining natural vegetation in the global biodiversity hotspots. *Biol. Conserv.* 177, 12–24. (doi:10.1016/j.biocon.2014.05.027)
- 22. Widdowson M, Cox K. 1996 Uplift and erosional history of the Deccan Traps, India: evidence from

- laterites and drainage patterns of the Western Ghats and Konkan Coast. Earth Planet. Sci. Lett. 137, 57-69. (doi:10.1016/0012-821X(95)00211-T)
- 23. Watve A. 2010 Rocky plateaus (special focus on the Western Ghats and Konkan). Report to 'Western Ghats Ecology Expert Panel. Pune, India: BIOME Conservation Foundation.
- 24. Laurance WF, McDonald KR, Speare R. 1996 Epidemic disease and the catastrophic decline of Australian rain forest frogs. Conserv. Biol. 10, 406-413. (doi:10.1046/j.1523-1739.1996.1002
- 25. Crump ML, Scott NJ. 1994 Visual encounter surveys. In Measuring and monitoring biological diversity: standard methods for amphibians (eds WR Heyer, MA Donnelly, RW McDiarmid, LC Hayek, MS Foster), pp. 84-92. Washington, DC: Smithsonian
- 26. Vonesh JR, Mitchell JC, Howell K, Crawford AJ. 2010 Rapid assessments of amphibian diversity. In Amphibian ecology and conservation: a handbook of techniques (ed CK Jnr Dodd), pp. 263-280. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- 27. Bhatta G. 1998 A field guide to the caecilians of the Western Ghats, India, J. Biosci. 23, 73-85. (doi:10.1007/BF02728526)
- 28. Dubois A, Ohler A-M, Biju SD. 2001 A new genus and species of Ranidae (Amphibia, Anura) from south-western India. Alytes 19, 53-79.
- 29. Bossuyt F. 2002 A new species of *Philautus* (Anura: Ranidae) from the Western Ghats of India. J. Herpetol. 36, 656-661, (doi:10.1670/0022-1511 (2002)036[0656:ANSOPA]2.0.CO;2)
- 30. Biju SD, Bossuyt F. 2009 Pseudophilautus amboli (Biju & Bossuyt, 2009) IUCN Red List 2009. See http://www.iucnredlist.org/details/58910/0.

Downloaded from https://royalsocietypublishing.org/ on 14 July 2021

- 31. Biju SD, Bossuyt F. 2009 Systematics and phylogeny of Philautus gistel, 1848 (Anura, Rhacophoridae) in the Western Ghats of India, with descriptions of 12 new species. Zool. J. Linn. Soc. 155, 374-444. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-3642.2008.00466.x)
- 32. Biju SD, Van Bocxlaer I, Giri V, Loader S, Bossuyt F. 2009 Two new endemic genera and a new species of toad (Anura: Bufonidae) from the Western Ghats of India. BMC Res. Notes 2, 241. (doi:10.1186/1756-0500-2-241)
- 33. Daniel J. 2002 The book of Indian reptiles and amphibians. Oxford, UK: Bombay Natural History Society and Oxford University Press.
- 34. Giri V, Wilkinson M, Gower D. 2003 A new species of Gegeneophis Peters (Amphibia: Gymnophiona: Caeciliidae) from the Western Ghats of southern Maharashtra, India, with a key to the species of the genus. Zootaxa 351, 1-10. (doi:10.11646/zootaxa.
- 35. Giri V, Gower DJ, Wilkinson M. 2004 A new species of Indotyphlus Taylor (Amphibia: Gymnophiona: Caeciliidae) from the Western Ghats, India. Zootaxa
- 36. Kuramoto M, Joshy SH. 2003 Two new species of the genus Philautus (Anura: Rhacophoridae) from the Western Ghats, southwestern India. Curr. Herpetol. 22, 51-60. (doi:10.5358/hsj.22.51)
- 37. Kuramoto M, Joshy SH, Kurabayashi A, Sumida M. 2007 The genus Fejervarya (Anura: Ranidae) in central Western Ghats, India, with descriptions of four new cryptic species. Curr. Herpetol. 26, 81-105. (doi:10.3105/1881-1019(2007)26[81:TGFARI]2. 0.(0;2)

- 38. Dinesh K, Radhakrishnan C, Gururaja K, Bhatta G. 2009 An annotated checklist of amphibian of India with some insights into the patterns of species discoveries, distribution and endemism. Records of the Zoological Survey of India, Miscellaneous publication; occasional paper no 302.
- 39. Dinesh K, Radhakrishnan C, Channakeshavamurthy B, Kulkarni NU. 2015 Checklist of amphibians of India. See http://mhadeiresearchcenter.org/ wpcontent/uploads/2014/01/2017_April_Checklistof-Amphibians-of-India.pdf.
- 40. Frost DR. 2017 Amphibian species of the world: an online reference. Version 6 Electronic database. See http://research.amnh.org/vz/herpetology/ amphibia/content/search?taxon&subtree&sub.
- 41. Padhye A, Sayyed A, Jadhav A, Dahanukar N. 2013 Raorchestes ghatei, a new species of shrub frog (Anura: Rhacophoridae) from the Western Ghats of Maharashtra, India. J. Threat. Taxa 5, 4913-4931. (doi:10.11609/JoTT.o3702.4913-31)
- 42. Dinesh KP, Vijaykumar SP, Channakeshavamurthy BH, Torsekar VR, Kulkarni NU, Shanker K. 2015 Systematic status of Fejervarya (Amphibia, Anura, Dicroglossidae) from South and SE Asia with the description of a new species from the Western Ghats of Peninsular India. Zootaxa 3999, 16. (doi:10.11646/zootaxa.3999.1.5)
- 43. Garg S, Biju S. 2017 Description of four new species of burrowing frogs in the Fejervarya rufescens complex (Dicroglossidae) with a notes on morphological affinities of Fejervarya species in Western Ghats. Zootaxa 4277, 451-490. (doi:10.11646/zootaxa.4277.4.1)
- 44. Garg S, Biju SD. 2016 Molecular and morphological study of leaping frogs (Anura, Ranixalidae) with description of two new species. PLoS ONE 11, e0166326. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0166326)
- 45. Dahanukar N. Modak N. Krutha K. Nameer P. Padhye AD, Molur S. 2016 Leaping frogs (Anura: Ranixalidae) of the Western Ghats of India: an integrated taxonomic review. J. Threat. Taxa 8, 9221-9288. (doi:10.11609/jott.2532.8.10. 9221-9288)
- 46. Hyatt AD et al. 2007 Diagnostic assays and sampling protocols for the detection of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 73, 175-192. (doi:10.3354/dao073175)
- 47. Brem F, Mendelson III JR, Lips KR. 2007 Field-sampling protocol for Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis from living amphibians, using alcohol preserved swabs. Arlington, VA: Conservation International. See http://www.amphibians.org.
- 48. Vredenburg V, Briggs C. 2009. Chytrid swabbing protocol. Amphibia Web. See https://amphibiaweb. org/chytrid/swab_protocol.html.
- Boyle AHD, Boyle D, Olsen V, Morgan J, Hyatt A. 2004 Rapid quantitative detection of chytridiomycosis (Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis) in amphibian samples using real-time Taqman PCR assay. Dis. Aquat. Organ. 60, 141-148. (doi:10.3354/ dao060141)
- Piotrowski JS, Annis SL, Longcore JE. 2004 Physiology of Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, a chytrid pathogen of amphibians. Mycologia 96, 9-15. (doi:10.1080/15572536.2005.11832990)
- 51. Pounds AJ et al. 2006 Widespread amphibian extinctions from epidemic disease driven by global warming. Nature 439, 161-167. (doi:10.1038/nature 04246)

- 52. Berger L et al. 2004 Effect of season and temperature on mortality in amphibians due to chytridiomycosis. Aust. Vet. J. 82, 434-439. (doi:10.1111/j.1751-0813.2004.tb11137.x)
- 53. Ghalambor CK, Huey RB, Martin PR, Tewksbury JJ, Wang G. 2006 Are mountain passes higher in the tropics? Janzen's hypothesis revisited. Integr. Comp. Biol. 46, 5-17. (doi:10.1093/icb/icj003)
- 54. Puschendorf R, Hoskin CJ, Cashins SD, McDonald K, Skerratt LF, Vanderwal J, Alford RA. 2011 Environmental refuge from disease-driven amphibian extinction. Conserv. Biol. 25, 956-964. (doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.
- 55. Thorpe CJ, Lewis TR, Kulkarni S, Watve A, Gaitonde N, Pryce D, Davies L, Bilton DT, Knight ME. 2018 Micro-habitat distribution drives patch quality for sub-tropical rocky plateau amphibians in the northern Western Ghats, India. PLoS ONE 13, e0194810. (10.1371/journal.pone.0194810)
- 56. Puschendorf R, Carnaval AC, VanDerWal J, Zumbado-Ulate H, Chaves G, Bolaños F, Alford RA. 2009 Distribution models for the amphibian chytrid Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in Costa Rica: proposing climatic refuges as a conservation tool. Divers. Distrib. 15, 401-408. (doi:10.1111/j.1472-4642. 2008 00548 x)
- 57. Voyles J, Johnson LR, Briggs CJ, Cashins SD, Alford RA, Berger L, Skerratt LF, Speare R, Rosenblum EB. 2012 Temperature alters reproductive life history patterns in Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, a lethal pathogen associated with the global loss of amphibians. Ecol. Evol. 2, 2241-2249. (doi:10.1002/
- 58. Vogt WP, Johnson RB. 2011 Dictionary of statistics & methodology: a nontechnical quide for the social sciences. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- 59. Maestri R, Monteiro LR, Fornel R, de Freitas TRO, Patterson BD. 2018 Geometric morphometrics meets metacommunity ecology: environment and lineage distribution affects spatial variation in shape. Ecography 41, 90-100. (doi:10.1111/ecog.03001)
- 60. Guimarães M, Doherty Jr PF, Munguía-Steyer R. 2014 Strengthening population inference in herpetofaunal studies by addressing detection probability. S. Am. J. Herpetol. 9, 1-8. (doi:10.2994/SAJH-D-13-00020.1)
- 61. Clare F, Daniel O, Garner T, Fisher M. 2016 Assessing the ability of swab data to determine the true burden of infection for the amphibian pathogen Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis. Ecohealth 13, 360-367. (doi:10.1007/s10393-016-1114-z)
- 62. IUCN, 2016 The IUCN Red List of Threatened Species 2016-2. See http://www.iucnredlist.org/search.
- 63. Weinstein SB. 2009 An aquatic disease on a terrestrial salamander: individual and population level effects of the amphibian chytrid fungus, Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis, on Batrachoseps attenuatus (Plethodontidae). Copeia 2009, 653-660. (doi:10.1643/CH-08-180)
- 64. Retallick RW, McCallum H, Speare R. 2004 Endemic infection of the amphibian chytrid fungus in a frog community post-decline. PLoS Biol. 2, e351. (doi:10.1371/journal.pbio.0020351)
- 65. India Go. Indiastat, Meteorogical data, rainfall 2017 See http://www.indiastat.com/ meteorologicaldata/22/rainfall/238/stats.aspx.
- 66. IMD, India Meteorological Department. 2016 Onset and withdrawal of southwest monsoon 2016:

- Ministry of Earth Sciences, Government of India; See http://www.imd.gov.in/pages/monsoon_ main.php.
- Lips KR et al. 2006 Emerging infectious disease and the loss of biodiversity in a Neotropical amphibian community. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 103, 3165–3170. (doi:10.1073/pnas.0506889103)
- Scherer RD, Muths E, Noon BR, Corn PS. 2005 An evaluation of weather and disease as causes of decline in two populations of boreal toads. *Ecol. Appl.* 15, 2150–2160. (doi:10.1890/ 05-0431)
- Heard GW, Thomas CD, Hodgson JA, Scroggie MP, Ramsey DS, Clemann N. 2015 Refugia and connectivity sustain amphibian metapopulations afflicted by disease. *Ecol. Lett.* 18, 853–863. (doi:10.1111/ele.12463)
- Janzen DH. 1967 Why mountain passes are higher in the tropics. Am. Nat. 101, 233–249. (doi:10.1086/ 282487)
- Scheffers BR, Edwards DP, Diesmos A, Williams SE, Evans TA. 2014 Microhabitats reduce animal's exposure to climate extremes. Glob. Change Biol. 20, 495–503. (doi:10.1111/gcb.12439)
- 72. Bosch J, Donaire D, El Mouden EH, Fernández-Beaskoetxea S, Fisher MC, Slimani T.

- 2011 First record of the chytrid fungus

 Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis in North Africa.

 Herpetol. Rev. 42, 71–75.
- Cortés-Gómez AM, Castro-Herrera F, Urbina-Cardona JN. 2013 Small changes in vegetation structure create great changes in amphibian ensembles in the Colombian Pacific rainforest. *Trop. Conserv. Sci.* 6, 749–769. (doi:10.1177/194008291300600604)
- Newbold T et al. 2014 A global model of the response of tropical and sub-tropical forest biodiversity to anthropogenic pressures. Proc. R. Soc. B 281, 20141371. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2014.
- Vredenburg VT, Knapp RA, Tunstall TS, Briggs CJ. 2010 Dynamics of an emerging disease drive large-scale amphibian population extinctions. Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA 107, 9689–9694. (doi:10.1073/ pnas.0914111107)
- Daszak P, Cunningham AA, Hyatt AD. 2003 Infectious disease and amphibian population declines. *Divers. Distrib.* 9, 141–150. (doi:10.1046/ j.1472-4642.2003.00016.x)
- 77. Padgett-Flohr GE, Hopkins II RL. 2009

 Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*, a novel pathogen

- approaching endemism in central California. *Dis. Aquat. Organ.* **83**, 1–9. (doi:10.3354/dao 02003)
- Ouellet M, Mikaelian I, Pauli BD, Rodrigue J, Green DM. 2005 Historical evidence of widespread chytrid infection in North American amphibian populations. *Conserv. Biol.* 19, 1431–1440. (doi:10.1111/j.1523-1739.2005.00108.x)
- Garmyn A, Van Rooij P, Pasmans F, Hellebuyck T, Van Den Broeck W, Haesebrouck F, Martel AN. 2012 Waterfowl: potential environmental reservoirs of the chytrid fungus *Batrachochytrium dendrobatidis*. *PLoS ONE* 7, e35038. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone. 0025039)
- Heard GW, Scroggie MP, Ramsey DSL, Clemann N, Hodgson JA, Thomas CD. 2017 Can habitat management mitigate disease impacts on threatened amphibians? *Conserv. Lett.* 11, e12375. (doi:10.1111/conl.12375)
- Moore M, Fidy JFSN, Edmonds D. 2015 The new toad in town: distribution of the Asian toad, Duttaphrynus melanostictus, in the Toamasina area of eastern Madagascar. Trop. Conserv. Sci. 8, 440–455. (doi:10.1177/194008291500800210)