Chapter 9

Photography: Using Instagram in participant-led field studies

Harriet Shortt and Samantha Warren

Abstract

In this chapter we discuss how Instagram can be employed as a tool through which researchers may gather visual data during participant-led field studies, as well as how the images available from such platforms usefully shed light on the everydayness and materiality of working life. Indeed, social media is now an established, growing and ever-advancing technological revolution and one, therefore, visual researchers need to keep pace with. Here, we consider the pragmatic elements of using Instagram in a research study, including data collection, the complexities of participants' attitudes to social media and how these might impact researchers' work. We also suggest analytical techniques to make sense of Instagram posts as visual data and consider the ethical issues and challenges of this emerging kind of research. In order to illustrate these elements, we draw on our own research practice - a field study, exploring the post-occupancy evaluation of a UK Business School building. The use of Instagram was part of the research design in this study and we hope our reflections and guidance in this chapter will enable readers to make practical and well-informed methodological choices when considering the use of social media for their own research studies.

Introduction - advances in visual methods

The ideas in this chapter have their heritage in the tradition of 'visual organization studies', a field we have been involved in developing over the past fifteen years. In particular we have been working with participantled photography as a way of gathering data in numerous participatory field studies located in a variety of organisational contexts, including hairdressing (Shortt 2010, 2015; Shortt and Warren, 2012), office work (Warren 2002, 2008, 2014; Shortt, 2018), hospitals, university buildings (Shortt, 2019), and accountancy (Warren and Parker, 2009; Parker and Warren, 2017). We understand the term participant-led photographic field studies to describe a methodology where research participants generate image-based data that connect with an empirical investigation of some aspect of their lives (e.g., see Vince and Warren, 2012; Shortt and Warren, 2019). These images might be part of a participants 'pre-existing' personal collection or have been made expressly for the needs of the study, but a central feature of the approach is that the photographer should be the participant. As well as producing images, the participant is also asked to attribute meaning and/or an explanation of their image to the researcher either during a research interview, or by supplying a captioning sentence.

This approach to generating data is rooted in visual sociology and social anthropology (Bateson and Mead, 1942; Harper, 1998; Collier and Collier, 1986; Knowles and Sweetman, 2004), and is where the use of photography in social research has brought a different perspective to the texture of people's lives, homes, communities and working practices. The past twenty years have seen significant contributions in this field and a growth in the debates in favour of visual representation rather than a reliance on purely textual accounts (Banks, 2001; Pink, 2001), as well as arguments for the value of more participatory methods. Placing the camera in the hands of the participants, the 'researched', allows for 'native image making' (Wagner, 1979) and raises the voices of those that traditionally may not get heard and addresses the power balance between the researcher and the researched (Warren, 2005). These advances in visual studies have also been propelled by the visual literacy present in our contemporary culture (Knowles and Sweetman, 2004) where both visual representations of everyday and organisational life have become prevalent, as well as how we practically connect with, capture and share visual data through devices and online platforms.

Indeed, over this time, we have seen a fundamental shift in the way qualitative researchers have approached the use of visual methods, with technological advances being a major driving force for innovation. At the beginning of the 21st century, visual methods in business and management research were really just emerging as technology with cultural shifts enabling their spread and development. Over the last decade or so we have witnessed a growing interest among more mainstream management communities in harnessing the power of the visual to better understand organizational contexts (e.g., Meyer et al. 2013). In 2007 the ESRC funded International Network for Visual Studies in Organization (inVisio) was founded (www.invisio.org), at the same time as the European Institute for Advanced Studies in Management series of conferences on Imagining Business' (2008, 2011), followed by the 2010 Standing Conference on Organizational Symbolism themed 'Vision'. Management journals have published special issues on the visual including, the Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal (2009), Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management (2012) and Culture and Organization (2012), and two edited collections have been commissioned by the international publisher Routledge; Quattrone et al's (2011) Imagining Organziations, and Bell et al.'s (2013) handbook, The Routledge Companion to Visual Organization. Recognising this groundswell of interest, the ESRC further funded *in*Visio through a researcher development initiative (2010 - 2012) tasked with building capacity in visual methodologies among business and management researchers.

Certainly, the popularity of visual methodologies is likely to grow in the future, particularly since the visual culture we live and work within has seen such exponential growth over the same period with the digital revolution, most notably the emergence and rapid rise of social media. 71% of North Americans have a social media profile and the worldwide number of social media users is expected to reach 3.02 billion by 2021, a threefold rise in just 10 years (Statista, 2019a). The global number of Instagram users is now 1 billion (Statista, 2019b). These statistics demonstrate how widespread these new communication tools are

in the lives of global populations. Importantly for this chapter, we are also seeing a shift towards circulating and sharing images as well as text-based content (such as status updates and 'tweets') - 3.8 trillion photographs are estimated to have been taken from the invention of the camera until 2011, yet 1 trillion were taken in 2015 alone (Kane and Pear, 2016). This image-explosion is in large part due to advances in Internet bandwidth, mobile data networks, smart phone storage and cloud computing. However, as we have argued at length elsewhere, (e.g., see contributors to Bell et al. 2013; Warren, 2009, 2018), the visual has been a powerful communicative medium taking up a variety of technological forms throughout its history (Manghani, Piper and Simons, 2006) and so these developments should be seen in that light.

Our specific interest here is the role visual social media now plays in everyday life offering a wealth of opportunities to researchers who wish to explore the activities, behaviours, views and experiences of their participants. Specifically, our aim in this chapter is to contribute to a 'new wave' of visual studies in a pragmatic and useful way, as well as a step-by-step guide on 'how to...' set up, design and manage a research project that incorporates such platforms. We aim to help researchers make well-informed decisions when considering how visual social media might be used in organisational field studies. This is important for the development of visual organization studies as a methodological field, – not least to assist with gaining ethical approval from institutional committees and review boards.

Why use social media/ Instagram in organisational field studies?

The past five years has seen a growing body of research examining social media and its opportunities, challenges and risks for researchers. There are now a considerable number of studies of social media in relation to a range of organization and management research questions. From questions of 'free labour' in the production of marketing content for brands on Facebook (Beverengen, Land and Böhm, 2015), to analyses of individuals' visual identity in Facebook (Uimonen, 2013), through to the use of 'selfies' in constructing consumer identities (Iqani and Schroeder, 2015; Kedzior et al. 2016), including how brands are destabilized by user generated content (Rokka and Canniford, 2016). Of the top 100 brands, 90% have an Instagram account, and a reported 60% of users have found new products through Instagram (Brandwatch, 2019) which shows the potential Instagram may have for this kind of study. If we widen the scope of social media to include company websites, there is a broad range of research taking into account how organizations portray, construct and seek to control their images in a digital age. Interesting examples include BP's 'greenwashing' through images on its website (Kassinis and Panayiotou, 2017), the marketing strategy for MBA's delivered by leading business schools (Elliot and Robinson, 2012), and analyses of anticapitalist 'viral' videos (Bell and McArthur, 2014).

However, there is very little methodological commentary on the potential of user-generated visual social media content in organizational research. This is perhaps surprising given the everyday character of social media image-sharing and the extent to which users routinely use the app to communicate the goings-on of their day to one another. 60% of Instagram users log in daily, putting it second only to Facebook in terms of user engagement (Brandwatch, 2019), and in 2016, users posted some 3.5 billion photos every *day* (ibid.).

Methodological advice is now beginning to appear in relation to using social media in social research (e.g., Sloan and Quan-Haase, 2017). Instructional texts on how to conduct ethnographic research using the internet are also now quite mature, with some entering their 2nd editions, e.g., Kozinets (2009) landmark text on 'netnography' which is now titled Netnography: Redefined, (Kozinets, 2015) such is the speed at which social media and Internet technologies are changing. But save for Laestadius's (2017) chapter on 'Instagram' and Hand's (2017) chapter on 'Researching Social Media Images' in the Sage Handbook of Social Media Research (Sloan and Quan-Haase, 2017) there is scant advice for a researcher who wishes to exploit these technologies for their field-study research, and understandably, few examples of researchers doing so. One exception to this is Sergi and Bonneau's (2017) work-in-progress, mining the hashtags that people give to their Instagram images of work. Although at an early stage of analysis, their findings show how assembling image-sets based on hashtags such as #officelife, #bankinglife, #workingonasunday, etc. reveal intimate, mundane, and often backstage dimensions to everyday working lives that are not usually accessible to anyone but the participant. And in addition, McKeowan and Miller's recent article, #tableforone: exploring representations of dining out alone on Instagram (2019 forthcoming), which also uses the visual data created by the image-set based on the hashtag #tableforone to explore individual's experiences of dining out alone and what more this can tell us about individual leisure practices in public spaces. Although such studies are vital in advancing our understanding of using Instagram as a resource in visual research, they nonetheless only focus on visual data *already produced* by participants, and not on images explicitly produced as part of a research project.

So, in line with our description of participant-led field studies above, we believe there are two main ways in which we see Instagram as a useful data generation tool for participant-led field studies. Firstly, the images on participants' existing Instagram accounts can be seen a personal archive that can be analysed in order to generate data about their lifeworlds and habits. The two studies we mention above (Sergi and Bonneau, 2017; McKeowan and Miller, 2019) are most closely associated with this approach, their strength being that the participant's time commitment is minimised (as the images already exist). Indeed, it may not be necessary for the participant to physically meet the researcher at all, because providing the Instagram feed is set to 'public' or access is granted to the researcher, the images will be accessible on any internet-enabled device capable of displaying graphics. Furthermore, since the images were generated from a 'natural' setting this might appeal to researchers striving to minimise their influence on the data collection. There is also an inherently historical and/or longitudinal aspect to Instagram feeds which could prove very useful to researchers interested in research questions with a temporal character, for example socialisation into a new profession, experiences of pregnancy or serious illness at work, or management of home-work identities. Particularly relevant to the last of these examples is the additional affordance of Instagram in that you can only upload photographs on a mobile device, meaning that images are emplaced (Laestadius, 2017). Gomez Cruz (2016: 337) suggests this offers exciting possibilities for ethnographers to capture participants 'trajectories' for example by providing an emotional and sensorial way of virtually retracing steps. During

research interviews, these images could act as powerful aide memoires for past happenings, given the iconic nature of photographs and their intimate fluting with memory and emotion (see Edwards and Hart, 2004). Finally, for certain populations, the fact that Instagram may already be embedded in their visual/ socio-cultural practices offers the opportunity to probe those practices in ways that are already familiar to the participant, for example, asking why did you take that photo, like that, or use *that* filter and so on.

The second way we see potential in Instagram for participant-led field studies forms the rest of this chapter, through our worked example below. In short, this positions Instagram as a convenient repository and sharing tool for photographs made by participants expressly for the needs of the study, as a kind of diarying or storying technique.

The case of #myUWEBBSview: a participant-led, visually led study of a Business School

In order to better explain the key things to do and consider when using Instagram as tool to generate and share data for the needs of a project, we present a case study drawn from our own research, called 'My UWE BSS View' – a visually led post-occupancy evaluation of a new Business School building at a UK University. At the time of writing this chapter, the project is ongoing, with a year long period of data generation phase having just drawn to a close (December 2018).

The Business School: a visual approach to post-occupancy evaluation

In April 2017 the Faculty of Business and Law moved into a new £55 million building on the Frenchay Campus of the University of the West of England, in Bristol in the UK. Bristol Business School, as the building is named, is now home to over 300 staff and 6,000 students, and the building represents part of the wider University's 'Masterplan' project (UWE, 2019) that aims to see the university develop one, consolidated campus and to create buildings and facilities that provide the latest facilities and learning environments for all users.

The architects of Bristol Business School, Stride Treglown, and the construction company, ISG Construction, approached Harriet and other members of the research team to suggest a collaborative research project examining the post-occupancy experiences of users in the new building could provide a unique and timely opportunity to investigate everyday life in this new space. Specifically, our industry funders were keen to design a post-occupancy evaluation (POE) that extends beyond current approaches to POE that are predominantly quantitative in nature and often provide only statistical information that focuses on the mechanics and practical functioning of a new building (see for example Capita, 2017; HEFCE, 2006; Williams, 2001). In addition, Stride Treglown and ISG, who frequently specialise in designing and building Higher Education spaces, wanted this research to provide them with in-depth, rich data with which they may translate into further learnings for future buildings.

With this brief in mind, we decided to experiment with using Instagram to gather data about the building users 'on the spot', everyday encounters with their surroundings. Our rationale for doing so was that the

majority of our potential research participants would be in possession of a smart phone with camera, enabling instant upload of images (and captions) throughout the course of their normal day; the Instagram app is free to download and use and is widely known; it has the capacity to include hashtag identifiers¹ that would make identification of the project data straightforward; and it includes functionality to caption images at the time of upload, something of importance to the method as we discuss further below. What we had not anticipated was the resistance to using Instagram that we would encounter on account of its deeply embedded social function as an identity-work device! But we will return to those issues later in the chapter.

Research design

Using social media, promotional postcards² (see figures 9.1 and 9.2 below), a project website (see figure 9.3 below) and other modes of communication, we recruited participants by asking them to take pictures of their spatial experiences in the building that addressed two simple questions that directed them to their sensory and 'emplaced' (Pink, 2009) experiences:

- 1) How do you feel about the building?
- 2) How are you using the building?



Figure 9.1: One of the promotional postcards used for the research project (with further information about the project detailed on the reverse side – this is pictured in figure 9.2 below)

We also asked participants to add a short caption explaining their reasons for taking the photograph, then post their images to Instagram using a dedicated project hashtag: #myuwebbsview. The importance of captions in participant-generated visual research will be

discussed in more depth below, but briefly here, it is needed to enable appropriate analysis, ensuring the image can be coded according to the meaning it has for the participant (see also Shortt and Warren, 2019: 543)

There was a great deal of discussion among the project team and the industry funders around what would be a suitable hashtag, given it has to be simple and memorable but equally not something so common that it could be used by another group, thereby contaminating our feed with pictures from other online

¹ A hashtag is an identifying label that a social media user can append to their post in order to associate it with a particular topic, concept or social movement. It is then possible for other users (and curious researchers!) to call up all the posts that have been labelled with a particular hashtag in order to see them as a collection (see Laestadius, 2017)

 $^{^{\}rm 2}$ To encourage people to pick them up and engage, the postcards were designed to be coloured in, as shown in Figure 9.1

discussions, debates and groups (Laestidius, 2017: 576), and given #myuwe and #myuwebristol were hashtags already in use through the UWE Marketing team and followed and used by students, it made sense that our own project hashtag included this. In addition, the abbreviation 'BBS' for 'Bristol Business School' was part of a common language internally and externally.

Overwhelmingly, one of the most complex parts of this project was seeking ethical approval, particularly given the use of Instagram and the public nature of the platform, as well as the potential for such a large sample size – all users of the building were being invited to take part and this included all visitors, staff, students and services. It is fair to say that ethical practices, guidelines and decisions made by university ethics committees are not keeping pace with the changing nature of visual methods especially with regards to social media and 'best practices', since these guidelines themselves are still in their infancy. In our own practice, we have used guidelines set out by, for example, the International Visual Sociological Association (Papademas and IVSA, 2009) in order to ensure all project stakeholders were treated with appropriate respect and protection, particularly with regards to participant-led photography (Warren and Vince, 2012). The main concerns with such a project is how to maintain anonymity and privacy, and we worked closely with the university ethics committee to develop the final guidance. For example, we gave advice on how to blur faces in images that contained people, if prior permission to capture that person had not been granted, or if it was not possible to seek that permission. So, we included the following statement in our participant information online:

Taking care taking pictures:

If possible, ask people for permission if they are the subjects of your photographs. Do not take photographs of confidential material and take care not to photograph anything which invades another person's privacy or contravenes your organizations' confidentiality policy (for example, visible contents of documents or computer screens). We will ensure all information is confidential and privacy remains protected. Any private information about the individual will not be made public with personal identifiers. All sources will remain anonymous and we will ensure any public use of visual data will adhere to consent agreements made with participants. During the lifetime of the project – January 2018 to December 2018 – a selection of images posted on Instagram will be re-published on the pages of our dedicated website. These images will be selected and moderated and agreed by all Parties (<u>UWE</u>, <u>ISG</u> and <u>Stride Treglown</u>) before publishing, including anonymising any obviously identifiable individuals.

Please capture your photographs responsibly – e.g. if your image contains people, ask their permission before posting. If you inadvertently take pictures with people, for example, in the background of your photo, or you are not able to ask their permission to post, please blur out any faces using a related Instagram tool e.g. https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=HnYkHDxGJxc. Do not take photographs of confidential material and take care not to photograph anything which invades another person's privacy or contravenes your organizations' confidentiality policy (for example, visible contents of documents or computer screens). We also gave guidance reminding participants to adhere to the social media terms of use for both the organisations (UWE) and Instagram itself, and the issues surrounding anonymity. A such, we also included the following paragraphs in our participant information online:

You may only take part if you are over 18.

By posting your images and comments on Instagram or by emailing your images and comments to the address above, you are consenting to your images and comments being used in research and industry publications. You will remain anonymous i.e. your Instagram username/ email/ name/ personal details will not be identified. You may be referred to as 'student' or 'staff', for example. See below for more information on confidentiality. If you have any questions about the project, please email us on myUWEBBSview@uwe.ac.uk or get in touch with one of the research team.

If you would like help setting up an Instagram account in order to get involved, please email us on <u>myUWEBBSview@uwe.ac.uk</u> or get in touch with one of the <u>research team</u> and we will happily help you!

The Instagram feed:

<u>#myUWEBBSview</u> will be viewed publicly and will be used as a tool for discussion in a number of research-based focus groups and interviews with members of e.g. the student body, academic staff, alumni, the Executive team, and external business partners.

Please adhere to <u>UWE Social Media regulations</u> and take particular care not to capture personal identity information in your photographs

Please adhere to Instagram Terms of Use.

You are free to withdraw from the study without needing to justify your decision and without prejudice. The fixed and final date for withdrawal is the 31st December 2018. Should you wish to withdraw for any reason, any data you have contributed will be excluded from the research.

In addition and given that our participant group was potentially so large, we had to consider how best to communicate this participant information and our ethical guidelines and permissions. We used the promotional postcards (see figures 9.1 and 9.2) and the project website (see figure 9.3) to do this and gave detailed notes to this effect in our ethics application.

Bristol Business School Bristol Law School Tell us your view of the new Bristol Business School and Bristol Tell us your view of the new Bristol Business School and Bristol Law School building Take a picture and show us how you are using the building and how you feel about it. Then post it on using the building and how you feel about it. Using the building and now you feel about it. Then post it on Instagram using struyUWEBBSview and tell us what it means To find out more about this project and details about taking 10 mm our more about this project and details about raking part, please visit www.myUWEBSview.co.uk.if you have part, please visit www.myuWEBSSNew.co.uk.If.you have any questions about the project or you would rather enable www.eheeneenee.co.docementer.elevee.net.in.route any questions about the project or you would father email US your photographs and comments, please get in touch to you. myUWEBBSview@uwe.ac.uk.

Figure 9.2: Participant information on the back of our promotional postcards



Figure 9.3: A snapshot from the ethics pages of our project website

Encouraging participation: the social character of Instagram

Participant take-up and use of Instagram to contribute to the project was initially encouraging and overall, the project did generate some 267 useable posts for analysis (although this is in addition to over 500 images received via the private project email account!). However, it soon became noticeable that *student* users of the building were reluctant to engage with Instagram (but they *were* sending images and comments to the project email account). We also found staff and visitors to the building seemed more willing to send their

images and accompanying captions/ commentaries to the dedicated email address than they did to post to Instagram. Whilst this was also part of a wider issue of student non-engagement with the project more broadly³, investigation revealed some observations that are pertinent to our discussion of visual social media research here. Some of these we did anticipate, but others were quite unexpected, quite possibly overlooked in our enthusiasm for this experiment.

When we spoke to students about why they were not using Instagram to share their experiences and feelings towards the building (and seemingly preferring to do this privately through email), they said the following:

"... but Instagram is for the presentation of the best self"

"Why would we contaminate our feeds with pictures of work?"

"...students now are too cool for school. They aren't going to do anything if no one else is doing it. It's like, why would we do something out of the ordinary or different to what everyone else is doing"

"Well it would be weird – you are creating this online identity and then, well, I wouldn't post a random picture of some chairs or something..."

Instagram, therefore, is a site for the construction of a very particular type of identity. It is seen by these students as a place for presenting an aspirational and/ or polished identity and the work that is put into this – through considered images, staged and posed posts, filters and so on creates a particular narrative. The notion that one would post something 'alien' and misplaced in relation to this narrative – like pictures of a building and their place of work/ study – was defined as 'contaminating' ones carefully constructed social identity. Having to explain 'odd' posts to family, friends and followers was not something that was welcomed by these students.

We find these comments and insights from student fascinating. So, rather than see the reluctance from our 'millennial generation' participants to engage with using Instagram as a problem for visual social media research of the future, we prefer to think how we can turn it to our advantage as researchers. In the #myUWEBBSview study we are discussing in this chapter, we saw Instagram very much as a data '*collection*' tool, albeit one that seemed particularly suited to generating data about people's sensory experiences in space and time, and therefore fitting for a project evaluating experiences of a building. However, when seen from the position of the *social* role Instagram already plays in young people's lives beyond its technological capacities as a tool for generating, recording, storing and sharing visual data, we can see Instagram offering very important possibilities for projects where self and identity are of particular interest. Asking people to

³ The detail of this is largely beyond the scope of this chapter, but to summarise here there was an element of 'survey fatigue' apparent – staff in particular had been repeatedly canvassed on their views of the space and were tired of providing more thoughts and feelings. Secondly, organizational politics were at play in people's (mistaken!) assumptions that they could only post positive views of the space, and so they appeared to post nothing at all.

create Instagram posts potentially surfaces the very processes you are investigating and is ripe for future development as a method.

Managing data during the project

There are several practical steps to consider in the management of project data posted to Instagram for a research project, not least in this case because the study had the potential to generate a large data set on account of considerable numbers of posts to the hashtag. We have already discussed decisions around appropriate hashtags, and subsequent steps included:

• Curating the feed to the project website

Information and interaction with participants were managed through a central project website <u>www.myuwebbsview.co.uk</u> which housed information, as we note above, about the project rationale, data use, confidentiality and anonymity, and consent. Here it is useful to note that having one focal point for the project simplified recruitment literature since we were able to keep project advertisements relatively uncluttered, instead directing participants to in-depth information elsewhere.

The architects, Stride Treglown, wanted to work with us on developing this site and suggested that we link the frontpage of the website to Instagram in order that we create a 'live feed' directly from Instagram to the website for the project (see figure 9.4). However, following discussions with our university ethics committee, it was felt great care had to be taken if we were to 're-post' Instagram data onto our university and industry endorsed website. This showed us that the live Instagram feed is not something a researcher can have direct control over – the content posted, is, by the very fact that it is a public platform, not controllable! But we were able to ensure the posts on our website were deemed appropriate and acceptable by all project stakeholders, as well as adhere to UWE social media terms of use. This did not mean we couldn't have anything 'negative' or 'bad' posted about the building, simply that we needed to ensure we were not seen to be endorsing any content that represented any illegal, explicit or inappropriate. We therefore installed a filter on the website in order that members of the research team could then log on and 'approve' (or not) the images that were posted using the hashtag. During the twelve months of the project data collection, this approval activity was done every 1 - 2 weeks.

€ myUWE BBSview

> We want your feedback on the new Bristol Business School and Bristol Law School building. Show us your view by posting a photo to Instagram with **#myUWEBBSview** and in the caption tell us how you are using the building, what it means to you, or why you took the picture.

Research Team

#myUWEBBSview

About

A L B

Disclaime

See the instagram feed below for recent photos



Figure 9.4: The project website with the approved and filtered Instagram feed

• Data cleansing

Before we could begin to analyse the posts to the Instagram feed, we had to make decisions about what would count as appropriate data. One of the downsides to having a public Instagram feed, advertised extensively around a university campus, was the number of images that were hashtagged to the project without really being connected to the project's aims, or following the instructions we have given. Firstly, we excluded all images posted without captions since there was no way we could undertake the first stage of analysis without some attribution of meaning by the photographer.

Secondly, the hashtag was taken up by the university marketing and PR departments, who used it indiscriminately to tag generic images used to communicate general Faculty announcements and events, and so all those posts were disregarded. Thirdly, we decided not to use images which, although posts from building users, were not actually about the building. Examples of this category included photographs of a social gathering for International Women's Day where the photographer was only referring to a feeling of pride in the delegates. This last 'cleansing' decision was taken on account of the clear brief we were working to: to gather users' felt, and emplaced experiences of using the building in their day to day working and studying lives.

• A mechanism for converting the Instagram posts into an offline form amenable to analysis

Instagram feeds and images are non-downloadable from the website, and any apps or software that claims to be able to download Instagram images is not permitted by Instagram's terms and conditions of use.

Similarly, the only sharing of images from Instagram that is possible is using the platform's own sharing tool. To circumvent this issue, we displayed each image and its attendant comments full screen on a laptop and created a screenshot of each. We then printed these in full colour, on A4 sheets to facilitate the manual coding and Grounded Visual Pattern Analysis (GVPA) image-sets that we describe in more depth below (Shortt and Warren, 2019).

Analysis and making sense of Instagram posts

Throughout photographic studies, how researchers have made sense of their data has varied. For example, content analysis lays down a set of guidelines on how to categorise and draw inferences from the detail of people and objects depicted in the image (Rose, 2007). Semiotics and iconography (including social semiotics) equip researchers with a framework to 'read' the grammar of images by interpreting the content of the image as signs which signify and produce social meanings (van Leeuwen and Jewitt, 2001). Finally, critical visual analysis subjects the image to an interrogation of its composition, but also takes account of the context of its production and the effects it produces as it is circulated and 'consumed' (Schroeder, 2002). Other analyses have interpreted visual materials in a more holistic manner, regarding the 'aesthetic' produced by images as irreducible to its constituent parts (Hancock, 2005). Most of these studies privilege the researcher's reading of the images rather than the audience for which the image was intended, although see Cho et al's (2009) study of viewer responses to online corporate social responsibility disclosures for a notable exception. In the #myUWEBBSview project we are describing here, we needed an analytical method that would allow us to take into account the intentions of the photographers as well consider the subject and style of the photographs themselves.

With this in mind, we used an analytical framework that we have recently developed called Grounded Visual Pattern Analysis (GVPA - Shortt and Warren, 2019). This approach was created as part of our ongoing search for a robust and systematic analytical method for photographs generated during field study research. Grounded visual pattern analysis (GVPA) provides visual researchers with a means of analysis that mines both the attributed meanings given by the participants and the visual content of photographs themselves. This is a difficult tension in this kind of visual research since it is important to retain as much information about why the photographer took the pictures (since that is the point of asking the participants to take the photos in the first place) - what Meyer et al. (2013: 513) call 'dialogical' visual research, whilst recognising that there may be additional interesting information to be gleaned from studying the content of the photo independently - what Meyer et al. (2013) call the 'archaeological' approach. This is because there are always cultural precedents and aesthetic considerations that come into play when we take a photograph, and often we are not aware of their operation. For example, why do we centre our subjects when we take pictures? Or indeed, to off centre them is seen as 'arty'. However - and herein lies the rub - these cultural traces and subconscious image practices only make sense when viewed as part of the context within which they were produced. We explain further through a walkthrough of our process in the #myuwebbsview project. Although much of what follows is not unique to research using Instagram and/or other visual social media,

this section is an important 'how to' commentary on an aspect of visual research which is often overlooked. For that reason, we have dwelled at some length on how to analyse participant led visual field study data as a practical complement to our introductory paper on GVPA that appears in the journal *Organizational Research Methods* (Shortt and Warren, 2019).

Stage 1: dialogic analysis

Working with the 'cleansed' data set printed from the main Instagram feed, the first stage of GVPA is to allocate a code that sums up the meaning the image seemed to have for the participant – hence the 'grounded' element of the approach. The aim of dialogic analysis (Meyer et al. 2013) is to identify a set of themes that describe why people took their photographs as they recounted them to us through the caption they had added to accompany their image. Without the captions to 'ground' the images their value as signifiers about the participant's lifeworld - in this case their experiences of being in a building - would be lost.

We discussed and coded each image in turn by adding a post-it note to each print out and collating on a summary spreadsheet. Figure 9.5 opposite is an example of an Instagram post to the project #myuwebbsview from @curiousview. This process proved harder than anticipated, because we are both



Figure 9.5: Example of Instagram post with caption/ attributed meaning

used to interviewing people at length about the photographs they produce for our projects (e.g., see Warren, 2008; Shortt and Warren, 2012). Consequently, we kept finding ourselves longing for more context, or explanation, and generally felt uncomfortable that we may have been misconstruing people's intentions. This was something we had not anticipated and is a feature of any social media format where lengthy commentaries on images is difficult to add to the platform.

However – and this is something that we have yet to mention – working with a large data set always necessitates a trade-off between richness (small n studies) and breadth (larger n studies) (Pritchard, 2019) and visual research is no different. Therefore, we accepted that our data could never be as detailed as that

produced from smaller samples, but we were realising a different set of benefits. These included generating *a lot* of pictures, over the course of a year, that were 'snapped' in situ by people whom we didn't need to meet and who only needed to take a few moments out of their day to take part in the research (as opposed to a formal interview).

Working together helped our coding decisions significantly since we were able to sense check each other and discuss the various merits of focusing on different interpretations of what the participants had said in their captions. We tried to be as literal as possible as we show through the example of the sofa image – figure 9.6 shows how we coded each image with its caption.



Figure 9.6: Coding each image with its caption

As we progressed through the images, we accumulated piles for each code. In line with traditional qualitative thematic and/or coding methods such as template analysis (King and Brooks, 2017), codes that were too niche or similar to one another were clustered together, and more densely populated codes were expanded into more fine grained classifications (King and Brooks, 2018: 225; Saldaña, 2009). Finally, after every image had been coded (a total of 746 images across both Instagram posts and emailed images and captions – see figure 9.7), we took the 9 most significant themes that resulted (e.g., quite literally, the biggest piles!) to take forward to Stage 2 of GVPA, pattern analysis.



Figure 9.7: Coding all images and captions and creating themes

Stage 2: visual pattern analysis

In Stage 1, the 'grounded' part of GVPA takes place through dialogical analysis. Once the most noteworthy themes are identified, as we have explained above, we can then turn attention to the images themselves – e.g., what they are 'of' and how they have been 'taken' – in order to see if field-level visual patterns can be recognised across the themes. This proved to be a more exciting and energising process than Stage 1, as it is here that the analytical skill of the *visual* researcher really comes into play. The first step is to lay the images out next to one another so that all the photographs in each theme can be seen as an 'image-set' (Shortt and Warren, 2019). The example we are using from the #myUWEBBSview project is the theme of 'visibility' and the image-set can be seen in Figure 9.8 below.



Figure 9.8: Image-set for the theme of 'visibility'

A large flat space is required because it is the collective impression of the set as a whole that is the level of analysis here (Collier and Collier, 2002; Shortt and Warren, 2019). It is also important to ensure your imageset is logically cohesive, for example we made sure that all the photographs by students were grouped together, as were those taken by staff since we considered these were significant grounded details for this project (part of our brief was to explicitly investigate these two building user groups). However, we still retained both groups in the same image-set since all are building users and interesting patterns or divergences between the two might be missed if they were separated into two distinct sets.

As with Stage 1, recording of the impressions and patterns was joint, between the two of us in conversation. It involved getting into a 'zone' where we tried to suspend our habitual desire to look 'through' the images as representative scenes. Instead we tried to look at what was 'actually there'⁴ using techniques like turning images upside down, reordering them, looking at the set from different sides of the table and in a large mirror, and even asking Harriet's 4-year-old daughter what patterns she saw!

We recorded the patterns in two columns – one for symbolism (the objects, subjects, places, events depicted) and one for composition (camera angle, lighting, aesthetic effects, point-of-view and so on.) We used a list of prompts to ensure we were thorough and systematic. It is important to bear in mind the technical affordances of the photographic medium and/or social media platform used in the project when considering aspects of composition, lighting, and effects. For instance, Instagram includes a range of

⁴ Here we are not suggesting there is a truth or 'reality' to images independent of the viewer, but merely that we try to 'unsee' as much of the aesthetic convention of our visual culture as possible – surely an impossible task but one akin to the psychodynamic technique of 'association' rather than sense-making (Warren, 2012).

automatic filter templates that can be quickly applied to an image to change its look and feel, and it is also possible to manipulate the image further by changing pre-sets such as brightness, saturation, sharpness, contrast, blurring and so on. However, these tools are not available to the user when using Facebook or Twitter. Furthermore, the cameras on smartphones can vary considerably, along with the skill and technical acuity (and desire!) of the user, so when recording compositional observations about your image-set, it is the general impressions and larger scale impacts that are most useful since these are most likely to show field-level patterns across the sample. Table 9.1 sets out our Stage 2 observations for the image-set from the theme of 'visibility'. The columns are mutually exclusive lists in the sense that the items in the same row do not necessarily bear any relationship to one another. Our *actual* work-in-progress notes were written on flip-chart paper and a photograph of this can be seen in Figure 9.9.

Theme: Visibility		
Symbolic viewing	Compositional viewing	
People sitting People sitting in groups Casual-looking meetings Almost all are communal spaces Walkways Glass/ reflections Windows, but all internal ones Linearity - lots of bisecting lines Monochrome/ muted – only colour is from the furniture Bland, empty walls All doors depicted are shut No faces (not even blurred) Computers/ laptops Pigeons are the only organic/ natural thing depicted	No highly staged photos, everyday settings Instagram photos (n=6) <i>are</i> more composed and considered though All long shots (no close ups) Point and shoot style, no obvious filters/ effects or cropping Photographer(s) are high up, at a distance Photographers(s) are standing on walkways, positioned as spectators General aesthetic dark, muted, shady. Nothing bright/ welcoming – no sun. Very internal focused	

Table 9.1: Stage 2 symbolic and compositional viewing record

(eq inst no laste cets the automing at strans 8 p

Figure 9.9: An example of our work-in-progress notes, written on flip-chart paper

Stage 3: theorising

As we noted down our symbolic and compositional observations, we added a third column to our record sheet to aid Stage 3 'theorising' (see Table 9.2). In this space we wrote our analytical reflections on what the observed patterns might mean in terms of answering our research questions – but also beyond these to allow us to develop bottom-up theory about space, behaviour and identity in a business education setting. Thus Stage 3 ran (in part) concurrently with Stage 2, with interpretive notes and links to research questions and wider theory recorded alongside the patterns

The unique contribution of GVPA is that theory is built from more than what research participants *say* about their experiences. It is also developed from what Meyer et al. (2013) called 'sedimented social meaning' apparent in the photographs. Rather like archaeologists developing theories about long-dead civilizations from the fragments of pottery and buried architectural traces, looking for visual patterns in image-sets involves interpreting multi-sensory, emplaced, spatial aesthetics as clues about how our research participants are working and studying in their space. At times this feels like 'clutching at straws' but this is a normal part of sense-making and we quickly learned to trust our impressions and think analytically about how and why our observations were significant.

Table 9.2: Visibility theme with Stage 3 column added

Theme: Visibility			
Symbolic viewing	Compositional viewing	Stage 3: Theorising - reflections for theory building	
People sitting People sitting in groups Casual-looking meetings Almost all are communal spaces Walkways Glass/ reflections Windows, but all internal ones Linearity - lots of bisecting lines Monochrome/ muted – only colour is from the furniture Bland, empty walls All doors depicted are shut No faces (not even blurred) Computers/ laptops Pigeons are the only organic/ natural thing depicted	No highly staged photos, everyday settings Instagram photos (n=6) <i>are</i> more composed and considered though All long shots (no close ups) Point and shoot style, no obvious filters/ effects or cropping Photographer(s) are high up, at a distance Photographers(s) are standing on walkways, positioned as spectators e.g. looking into internal offices/ teaching rooms General aesthetic dark, muted, shady. Nothing bright/ welcoming – no sun. Very internal focused	Considering the theme of visibility, we can see traces of the voyeur/ flaneur here The distant/ long-shot aesthetic connects to issues of panopticon, power, 'overviews', 'helicopter views' of high strategy (also masculine) The theme is visibility but what has been chosen to represent what is seen is dehumanised, empty, sterile, anonymous The formality of the setting is in contrast to the casualness of the social activity we can see The 'snapshot' style of the images position us a glancing/ sneaking a look, and the location on gantries and walkways gives the feel of going about everyday business The hard, straight lines and lack of colour and softness in the image-set connote masculinity – the feminine is absent	

Our analysis is still nascent at the time of writing, but some of our early thoughts about what the GVPA means for the theme 'visibility' are noted below (we have highlighted elements from our symbolic and compositional viewing in bold):

- The visual patterns in Stage 2 show a dark, muted and bland aesthetic across the image-set, with an obvious lack of people captured in the images. This poses an interesting juxtaposition when we consider the ethos of the building design a flagship space to attract international and home students, facilitate links with businesses, and provide collaborative spaces for everyone to work together. There is a sort of 'mis-match' here between the planned social identity of the building one that encompasses community, versus the lived aesthetic identity of the building one that, as the image-set suggests, lacks people and a sense of vibrancy.
- In relation to the point above, the image-set also depicts materials and spaces that connote 'visibility' glass, windows, walkways, communal spaces. Our participants (users of the

building) have therefore captured the materials used for transparency and visibility to capture their feelings associated with this very experience. So, we could argue that the design of the building has achieved its aim – to be *as transparent as possible, where activities are made visible and the blurring of boundaries between staff, students and visitors is created.* However, from these data, we see people's experiences of this are ambiguous, and at times, paradoxical; for example, spaces are used for both casual social activity (**people sitting in groups/ casual-looking meetings**), yet at the same time we see patterns across the images that suggest the building is bland and anonymous (**no faces, muted colours, empty walls**). Thus, our experiences of visibility and transparency are complex and not as homogenous as building designers and planners may think.

The visual patterns in Stage 2 also show long shots, no close ups, and images where photographers are at a distance from the subject and/ or are standing on walkways, positioned as spectators. There is a sense that people are broadly surveying their internal landscape. There is a sense of surveillance, watching, and having an 'overview' of the goings-on in the building. For us, this raises questions about 'seeing' and 'being seen'/ the 'observers' and the 'observed'. Another paradox can be noted here as we see spectators and voyeurs watching daily activities of others (standing on walkways as spectators, looking into internal offices/ teaching rooms), yet at the same time we see some of those behind the glass or in offices (often captured as the subject of the voyeurs' image), seeking privacy and concealment (doors are shut, and paper is cello taped to glass windows from the inside). This encourages us to question the tensions that exist between almost exhibiting and showcasing work as a 'performance' to be seen by multiple audiences (working in a glass walled teaching room), whilst at the same time understanding the professional work that is done in a business school – teaching, learning, writing, deep thinking, reading, marking, and whether or not it is always appropriate for such activities to have an audience. This in turn, raises further questions about how we signal 'do not disturb'? Being visible to others suggests we are therefore available to others, and if we are made available through the use of the fixed and permanent materials used in a building, then how do we temporarily change them when moments of solitude are needed or chosen?

Conclusions

In this chapter we have discussed how Instagram can be used as a tool in a visually-based, participant-led field study. We have set out an approach that researchers could use in order to gather visual data generated by participants and how such data sets, be they small or large, can be analysed using Grounded Visual Pattern Analysis (Shortt and Warren, 2019). In particular, we have argued that visually-led tools such as Instagram work well for those research studies that wish to investigate the socio-material, aesthetic, sensory parts of everyday working life and as such, broaden the scope of how and where data may be gathered;

online platforms like this allow us as researchers 'access' to those participant groups we may not otherwise be able to reach.

We have also mapped out some key elements to consider when designing research that uses social media, such as ethical considerations, managing online data, and how to engage participants. We have reflected on some of the challenges we have faced during our own Instagram project and the unexpected reluctance from particular user groups. This exercise has helped us question some of the assumptions we can make about the visual culture and social media practices of those we research.

At this juncture it is worth noting however that this form of research is constantly changing. Social media platforms (and our own devices!) are adapting and their functions, features, and settings are frequently subject to updates and improvements. Even since the design of our own research project discussed here, the use of Instagram Stories has dramatically risen amongst users (since this feature launched in August 2016) and, retrospectively, this could have offered an alternative mode of gathering visual data from our participants, or perhaps enhanced the approach we were already using. Indeed, Instagram are now working on a new 'green screen' filter, which may be called 'Background', that allows users to 'select an image from your camera roll that you can then use as the background for the Stories camera. After you've selected a photo, your actual surroundings are replaced by the image' (Social Media Daily, 2019). Such developments pose new questions, considerations and challenges for researchers wishing to engage with social media platforms in terms of planning and designing research studies as well as viewing, analysing and making sense of their data sets.

We hope this chapter has helped those who wish to use social media as a methodological tool in their visual research, but also those who are already established users of visual methods – we hope our reflections enable you to think about how visual methods, and photographic methods in particular, are expanding, what alternative methods are available, and what exciting new opportunities for methodological advancement are possible.

Thanks and acknowledgments go to the industry funders of the #myUWEBBSview research project; Stride Treglown and ISG, and to the rest of our research team; Svetlana Cicmil, Hugo Gaggiotti, Mubarak Mohammed, Laura Collett, and Marianne Reed and the student ambassadors for the project, Shani Conner and Bartie Pitt-Brown. Thanks also to Bristol Business School, UWE, the Executive Team and of course all our participants and users of the building, for taking part, as well as the contributors to the 'Identity' track at the British Academy of Management, staff of Swansea Business School where earlier versions of this chapter were presented for feedback.

References

Banks, M. (2001) Visual Methods in Social Research, Sage: London.

Bateson, G. & Mead, M., (1942) Balinese Character: A photographic analysis, New York : new York Academy of the Sciences

Bell, E. & McArthur (2014) 'Visual authenticity and organizational sustainability' in E. Bell, S. and J. Schroeder (eds.) The Routledge Companion to Visual Organization, Routledge: London, pp. 265-378

Bell, E., Warren, S, & Schroeder, J. (2014) The Routledge Companion to Visual Organization, Routledge: London

Beverengen, A., Bohm, S. and Land, C. (2015) 'Free Labour, Social Media, Management: Challenging Marxist Organization Studies' Organization Studies, Vol 36, 4: 473-489. doi.org/10.1177/0170840614561568

Brandwatch (2019) '47 Incredible Instagram Statistics' available at <u>https://www.brandwatch.com/blog/instagram-stats/</u> last accessed 26-7-19.

Capita (2017), Post Occupancy Evaluation for the Faculty of Business & Law (FBL) Project, Capita – Real Estate and Infrastructure

Cho, C. H. Phillips, J. R. Hageman, A. M. and Patten, D. M. (2009). Media richness, user trust and perceptions of corporate social responsibility. Accounting, Auditing & Accountability Journal, 22, 6: 933-952.

Collier, J. (2001) 'Approaches to analysis in visual anthropology' in Van Leeuwen, T. and Jewitt, C. (Eds.) Handbook of Visual Analysis, (pp. 35-60), London: Sage.

Collier, J. and Collier, M. (1986), Visual Anthropology: Photography as a Research Method, University of New Mexico Press, Albuquerque, NM

Edwards, E. and Hart, J. (2004) Photographs, Objects, Histories: On the materiality of images, Psychology Press: London

Elliot, C. and Robinson, S. (2011) 'MBA imaginaries: Projections of internationalization' *Management Learning*, 43, 2: 157–181. DOI: 10.1177/1350507611428854.

Gomez-Cruz, E. (2016) 'Trajectories: digital/visual data on the move', *Visual Studies*, Vol 31, 4. 335-343, DOI: 10.1080/1472586X.2016.1243019

Hancock, P. (2005) 'Uncovering the Semiotic in Organizational Aesthetics' Organization Vol 12, 1: 29 – 50.

Hand, M. (2017) Visuality in social media: researching images, circulations and practices

Harper, D. (1998) 'An Argument for Visual Sociology' in J. Prosser (ed.) *Image-based research: A sourcebook for qualitative researchers*, London: Falmer Press, pp. 24-41.

HEFCE (2006), Guide to Post-Occupancy Evaluation. HEFCE, AUDE and the University of Westminster

Iqani, M. & Schroeder, J. (2016) #selfie: digital self-portraits as commodity form and consumption practice, *Consumption, Markets, Culture*, Vol. 19, 5: 405-415

Kane, G and Pear, K.(2016) 'The Rise of Visual Content Online' *MIT Sloan Management Review*, available at <u>https://sloanreview.mit.edu/article/the-rise-of-visual-content-online/</u>, last accessed 22-7-19.

Kassinis, G. and Panayiotou, A. (2017) 'Website stories in times of distress' *Management Learning*, Vol. 48, 4: 397–415. <u>https://doi.org/10.1177/1350507617690684</u>.

Kedzior, R. Allen, D. and Schroeder, J. (2016) 'The selfie phenomenon – consumer identities in the social media marketplace' *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol 50, 9/10 :1767-1772 DOI: 10.1108/EJM-06-2016-0363

King, N. and Brooks, J. (2017) Template Analysis for Business and Management Students. London: Sage

King, N. and Brooks, J. (2018) 'Thematic Analysis in Organisational Research' in C. Cassell, A. Cunliffe, and G. Grandy (eds.) *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Business and Management Research Methods*, London: Sage, pp.219-236.

Kozinets, R. (2009) Netnography: Doing ethnographic research online, Sage: London.

Kozinets, R. (2015) Netnography: Redefined, Sage: London.

Knowles, C. and Sweetman, P. Eds. (2004) Picturing the social landscape: visual methods and the sociological imagination. London: Routledge

Laestadius, L. (2017) 'Instagram' in L. Sloan & A. Quan-Haase (eds.) *The Sage Handbook of Social Media Research Methods*, Sage: London, pp.573-592.

Manghani, S., Piper, A., and Simons, J. (2006) Images: A Reader, Sage: London.

McKeown, J. and Miller, M. (2019) '#tableforone: exploring representations of dining out alone on instagram' *Annals of Leisure Research*, doi.org/10.1080/11745398.2019.1613245

Meyer, R. E., Höllerer, M. A., Jancsary, D., & Van Leeuwen, T. (2013). The visual dimension in organizing, organization, and organization research: Core ideas, current developments, and promising avenues. The Academy of Management Annals 7, 489-555.

Papademas, D. & the International Visual Sociology Association (2009) IVSA Code of Research Ethics and Guidelines, Visual Studies, 24:3, 250-257

Parker, L. and Warren, S. (2017) "The presentation of self and professional identity: countering the accountant stereotype' *Accounting, Auditing and Accountability Journal*, Vol 30: 8: 1895-1924 doi.org/10.1108/AAAJ-09-2016-2720.

Pink, S. (2013) Doing Visual Ethnography, 3rd edition, Sage: London

Pritchard, K. (2019) Large sample paper - work in progress (ask K how she'd like it referenced?)

Puyou, F., Quattrone, P., McLean, C., and Thrift, N. (2012) Imagining Business: Performative Imagery in Business and Beyond, Abingdon: Taylor and Francis

Rokka, and Canniford, R. (2016) 'Heterotopian selfies: how social media destabilizes brand assemblages', *European Journal of Marketing*, Vol. 50 Iss 9/10 pp. 1789 – 1813. http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EJM-08-2015-0517

Rose, G. (2013) Visual Methodologies: An Introduction to Researching with Visual Materials, Sage: London

Schroeder, J. (2002) Visual Consumption, Routledge: London.

Sergi, V. and Bonneau, C. (2017) 'As I see life at work: Sharing work experiences on social media' Paper presented at *33rd EGOS Colloquium 2017, Sub-theme 61: Viewing the Unseen Organization in Practice.* Copenhagen.

Shortt, H. (2010) The Hair Salon: constructions of space and identity. PhD thesis, University of Bath.

Shortt, H. (2015) 'Liminality, space and the importance of 'transitory dwelling places' at work', Human Relations, 68, 633-658.

Shortt, H. (2018) 'Cake and the open plan office: A foodscape of work through a Lefebvrian lens' in: Kingma, S., Dale, K. and Wasserman, V., eds. (2018) Organizational space and beyond: The significance of Henri Lefebvre for organization studies. London: Routledge.

Shortt, H. (2019) 'Bristol Business School' in The Inhabitant, Issue 2 Stride Treglown, pp. 60 - 73

Shortt, H. & Warren, S. (2012) "Fringe Benefits: Valuing the visual in narratives of hairdressers' identities at work' Visual Studies, Vol 27 (1) pp. 18-34.

Sloan, L. and Quan-Haase, A. (2017) The Sage Handbook of Social Media Research Methods, Sage: London

Shortt, H. and Warren, S. (2019) 'Grounded Visual Pattern Analysis: Photographs in an organizational field study', *Organizational Research Methods*, Vol 22, 2, 539:563. doi.org/10.1177/1094428117742495.

Statista (2019a) 'Number of social media users worldwide from 2010 to 2021 (in billions)' available at https://www.statista.com/statistics/278414/number-of-worldwide-social-network-users/ last accessed 14-3-19

Statista (2019b) 'Most popular social networks worldwide as of January 2019, ranked by number of active users (in millions)' <u>https://www.statista.com/statistics/272014/global-social-networks-ranked-by-number-of-users/</u> last accessed 14-3-19

Symon, G. and Whiting, R. (2017) 'Exposing the hidden spaces and times of work through video research methodology'. Paper presented at *33rd EGOS Colloquium 2017, Sub-theme 61: Viewing the Unseen Organization in Practice.* Copenhagen.

Uimonen, P. (2013) 'Visual Identity in Facebook', Visual Studies, Vol. 28 (2), 122-135.

Van Leeuwen, T. and Jewitt, C. (2001) The Handbook of Visual Analysis, Sage: London.

Vince, R. and Warren, S. (2012) 'Qualitative, Participatory Visual Methods' in C. Cassell & G. Symons (eds) The Practice of Qualitative Organizational Research: Core Methods and Current Challenges, London: Sage, pp. 275 – 295

Wagner, J. (1979) Images of Information, Sage: London

Warren, S. (2002) 'Show me how it feels to work here: Using photography to research organizational aesthetics' ephemera: theory and politics in organizations, 2, 224-245.

Warren, S. (2008) 'Empirical challenges in organizational aesthetics research: towards a sensual methodology' Organization Studies, 19, 559 – 580

Warren (2009) 'Visual Methods in Organizational Research' in A. Bryman and D. Buchanan (eds.) Handbook of Organizational Research Methods (pp. 566 – 582), Sage: London.

Warren, S. (2012) 'Psychoanalysis, collective viewing and the 'Social Photo Matrix' in organizational research.' Qualitative Research in Organizations and Management, Vol 7 (1) pp. 86-104.Warren, S. (2012) 'Collective Viewing and the Social Photo Matrix'

Warren, S. (2014) 'Consuming Work: Aestheticization and the Liquid Employee' in J. Kociatkiewicz and M. Kostera (eds.) *Liquid Organization: Zygmunt Bauman and Organization Theory*, Routledge: London, pp. 70-84

Warren, S. (2018) 'Photography in qualitative organizational research: conceptual, ethical and practical issues in photo- elicitation methods', in Cunliffe, A., Cassell, C. and Grandy, G. (eds.) *The SAGE Handbook of Qualitative Business and Management Research Methods*. pp.

Warren, S. and Parker, L. (2009) 'Beancounters or bright young things? Towards the visual study of identity construction among professional accountants.' Qualitative Research in Accounting and Management, 6, 205 – 223.

Williams, B. (2001), Facilities Economics in the European Union, Published: Building Economics Bureau