

1 **A new shoot and stem disease of *Eucalyptus* species caused by *Erwinia psidii***

2
3 T. A. Coutinho^{A,E}, C. L. Brady^A, M. van der Vaart^A, S. N. Venter^A, N. Telechea^B, M.

4 Rolfo^{C†}, C. Perez^D and M. J. Wingfield^A

5
6 ^ADepartment of Microbiology and Plant Pathology, University of Pretoria, Pretoria 0002,
7 South Africa

8 ^BIngeniero Agronomo, Department of Pests and Diseases, Forestry Division, 21 de
9 setiembre 2391, Montevideo, Uruguay

10 ^CMinistry of Agriculture and Laboratory of Soil Microbiology, Montevideo, Uruguay.

11 ^DDepartamento de Protección Vegetal, FEMAC, Facultad de Agronomía, Universidad de la
12 República, Ruta 3 km 363, Paysandú, Uruguay

13 ^ECorresponding author: Email: teresa.coutinho@fabi.up.ac.za; Tel. no. +27-12-4203934,
14 Fax no. +27-12-4203960

15
16 †deceased

17
18 *Abstract.* A serious disease of green, actively expanding stems of young *Eucalyptus*
19 *grandis*, *E. dunnii*, *E. globulus* and *E. maidenii* has been observed in plantations in
20 Uruguay and Argentina during the course of the past ten years. The symptoms of the
21 disease are unlike those previously observed on any species of *Eucalyptus*. In this study,
22 we describe the symptoms of this new disease and determine its cause. A diagnostic
23 feature of the disease is a red discolouration of the young host tissue and blistering of the
24 young bark leading to rapid shoot death. A bacterium was consistently isolated from the
25 stem blisters on to nutrient agar, purified and a selection of six strains were subjected to

1 standard phenotypic tests and 16S rRNA-, *gyrB*- and *rpoB*-gene sequencing. The ability of
2 these strains to induce a hypersensitive reaction (HR) was tested on tobacco and a
3 pathogenicity tests were undertaken on a *E. grandis* clone. The bacterium was found to be
4 identical to *Erwinia psidii*. Strains inoculated into tobacco produced a HR within 36 hours
5 and discolouration of internal shoot tissue was observed in the inoculated *E. grandis* clone.
6 *E. psidii* is known to cause die-back of guava (*Psidium guavaja*) which is closely related to
7 *Eucalyptus*, also belonging to the Myrtaceae. Results of this study suggest that *E. psidii*
8 has undergone a host shift to become an important pathogen of *Eucalyptus* spp. that are
9 widely planted in South America to sustain important paper and pulp industries.

10

11 *Additional keywords:* *Erwinia psidii*, *Eucalyptus grandis*, *Eucalyptus dunnii*, *Eucalyptus*
12 *maidenii*, *Eucalyptus globulus*, blister bark disease, guava

13

14 **Introduction**

15

16 *Eucalyptus* spp. are extensively propagated in the tropics and southern Hemisphere
17 sustaining important timber and pulp industries with an estimated 18 million hectares
18 planted in 80 countries (FAO 2000). In most of these countries, *Eucalyptus* spp. are non-
19 native and they have consequently been separated from most of their natural enemies
20 (Wingfield *et al.* 2008). However, there are numerous fungal pathogens that have emerged
21 to cause considerable damage to *Eucalyptus* established in plantations. Some of these
22 include *Puccinia psidii* that causes Eucalyptus rust (Coutinho *et al.* 1998; Glen *et al.* 2007)
23 and *Teratosphaeria nubilosa* (Perez *et al.*, 2009a; Hunter *et al.* 2009) that causes a serious
24 leaf blotch disease. There are also a number of bacterial pathogens of eucalypts including
25 *Xanthomonas campestris* pv. *eucalypti* (Truman 1974), *Pantoea ananatis* (Coutinho *et al.*

1 2002) and *X. axonopodis* (Gonçalves *et al.* 2008) that cause leaf blight and die-back as well
2 as *Ralstonia solanacearum* (Dianese *et al.* 1990) that causes bacterial wilt in many tropical
3 countries.

4
5 Many *Eucalyptus* pathogens have apparently been introduced into countries where these
6 trees are being grown, together with seeds or other forms of planting stock (Wingfield *et al.*
7 2008). There are also growing numbers of examples of fungal pathogens that have
8 undergone host shifts from native plants to *Eucalyptus* in areas where they are planted
9 together (Slippers *et al.* 2005). For example, the *Eucalyptus* rust pathogen *P. psidii*, which
10 affects native Myrtaceae in South America, has become an important pathogen of
11 *Eucalyptus* species on this continent (Coutinho *et al.* 1998; Glen *et al.* 2007). Likewise,
12 numerous members of the Cryphonectriaceae, native on the Melastomataceae in South and
13 Central America have undergone host shifts to cause serious stem canker diseases on
14 *Eucalyptus* (Wingfield 2003; Gryzenhout *et al.* 2006; Gryzenhout *et al.* 2009).

15
16 Bacterial plant pathogens typically have a broad host range and in this regard, host shifts
17 are often less obvious than they might be in the case of fungal pathogens. For example, *P.*
18 *ananatis* not only causes disease in a number of plant species, including *Eucalyptus*, but it
19 has also been recorded as a human pathogen (Coutinho and Venter 2009). In this regard,
20 various bacterial diseases of *Eucalyptus* have emerged in the recent past (Truman 1974;
21 Coutinho *et al.* 2002; Gonçalves *et al.* 2008) and most appear to be native to the countries
22 in which they occur. Although there are no obvious examples of bacterial pathogens
23 moving to *Eucalyptus* from closely related hosts, it is possible that host shifts could occur
24 in the same way that has been true for fungal pathogens.

25

1 During the course of *Eucalyptus* disease surveys undertaken in Argentina and Uruguay
2 during the past ten years, a disease previously unknown on *Eucalyptus* was observed on
3 young *E. grandis*, *E. dunnii*, *E. globulus* and *E. maidenii* trees. The aim of this study was
4 to describe the disease and to identify its causal agent.

5

6 **Materials and methods**

7

8 *Symptoms*

9

10 The earliest symptoms of the disease on young (six months to two-year old) *Eucalyptus*
11 trees are necrotic lesions on newly formed leaves that also often have a halo of bacterial
12 residue around them. The most obvious symptom of the disease is shoot and branch die-
13 back (Fig. 1A). Small stem cankers are present and the wood below these cankers has a
14 light brown discoloration. These symptoms are also associated with blisters below the
15 young actively growing green bark (Fig. 1B) that also often assumes a red colour (Fig. 1C).
16 When punctured, the bark blisters exude copious bacteria. As the disease progresses,
17 cankers develop on the branches and growing shoots (Fig. 1C-F) and these apparently
18 result from the development of opportunistic secondary infections. Isolations from the
19 cankers result in cultures of a *Botryosphaeria* sp. (authors, unpublished) and these fungi are
20 known to be opportunistic pathogens on *Eucalyptus* spp. in Uruguay (Perez *et al.*, 2009b).

21

22 *Isolation from infected tissue*

23

24 Blisters observed on *E. grandis* and *E. dunnii* stems were carefully punctured with a sterile
25 needle and the exuding bacteria were transferred with a needle to nutrient agar (15g

1 nutrient broth, 15g agar) in Petri dishes. Petri dishes were incubated for 48 h at 30 °C.
2 Bacterial colonies were then purified and six strains (BCC 1322, 1325, 1327, 1331, 1334
3 and 1336) were selected for further study. All strains are maintained in the Bacterial
4 Culture Collection (BCC) of the Forestry and Agricultural Biotechnology Institute (FABI),
5 University of Pretoria, Pretoria, South Africa.

6

7 *Bacterial characterization*

8

9 All six purified strains were subjected to Gram staining and the Hugh-Leifson test using
10 Oxidative Fermentative media (Biolab). Genomic DNA was extracted from all strains
11 using the DNeasy™ Blood and Tissue Kit (Qiagen). Almost complete 16S rRNA gene
12 sequences were determined for the six strains using the primers and conditions described
13 by Coenye *et al* (1999). The resulting sequences were compared with those in GenBank
14 using BLAST. In addition, *gyrB* and *rpoB* gene sequences were determined using the
15 primers and conditions described by Brady *et al.* (2008). Consensus sequences from the
16 strains were manually assembled using BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor v 7.0.9.1 (Hall
17 1999). Overhangs in the consensus sequences were trimmed after each gene was aligned
18 with the ClustalW multiple alignment tool in BioEdit Sequence Alignment Editor v 7.0.9.1.
19 The best-fit evolutionary model was determined for the 16S rRNA data and for the
20 concatenated data for the *gyrB*- and *rpoB*-genes in Modeltest 3.7 (Posada and Crandall
21 1998). Maximum likelihood trees were constructed in Phym1 (Guidon and Gascual 2003)
22 and bootstrap analysis with 1 000 replicates was performed. *Enterobacter cloacae* and
23 *Pectobacterium carotovorum* ssp. *carotovorum* were selected as outgroups for the 16S
24 rRNA gene- and concatenated phylogenetic trees, respectively.

25

1 *Pathogenicity tests*

2

3 Inoculum was prepared by growing each isolate in 50 ml of Nutrient Broth. The flasks
4 were incubated overnight at 28 °C and the resulting bacteria re-suspended in sterile distilled
5 water. The concentration of the bacterial cells was then adjusted to approximately 10⁸
6 CFU/ml.

7

8 In order to determine whether or not the isolates were pathogenic, the appearance of the
9 hypersensitivity reaction (HR) in tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* cv samsun) was recorded.

10 The bacterial inoculum was injected into the leaves of the tobacco plants using a 1 ml
11 insulin syringe. The needle was inserted into the main vein and the leaf panels were
12 flooded with the bacterial suspension. A negative control containing only sterile water and
13 a positive control containing the bacterial blight and die-back pathogen, *Pantoea ananatis*
14 (LMG 20103), were also included. Tobacco plants were kept in a greenhouse at
15 approximately 26 °C with natural day and night light cycles. The plants were assessed after
16 24, 48 and 36 hours for the development of a HR. A positive HR response was recorded
17 when a complete and rapid collapse of the inoculated leaf tissue or light brown necrosis of
18 the water soaked tissue occurred within 36 hours of inoculation.

19

20 Inoculum was prepared in the same manner as for the HR tests and used to inoculate
21 actively growing green stems of a 10 two-year old *E. grandis* clone. A 1 ml insulin syringe
22 needle was carefully inserted beneath the bark and approximately 0.1 ml of either the
23 inoculum or sterile water was injected into the tissue. Inoculated plants were covered with
24 plastic bags in order to maintain high humidity. Bags were removed after seven days and
25 the inoculated stems were assessed for disease development every 24 hours for a further

1 period of 7 days. Plants were kept at 26 °C with natural day/night light cycles. Isolations
2 on Nutrient Agar were made from lesions that developed on the inoculated stems. In order
3 to confirm the identity of the re-isolated bacteria, 16S rRNA gene sequences were
4 generated for them and these were compared with those of the inoculated bacteria.

5

6 **Results**

7

8 *Bacterial characterization*

9

10 All six strains used in this study had rod-shaped and Gram negative cells. They were also
11 able to utilize glucose both fermentatively and oxidatively. These results suggested that the
12 strains belonged to the family *Enterobacteriaceae*. The 16S rRNA gene sequences of all
13 the strains isolated from blisters on the *Eucalyptus* stems had 100% homology to the
14 sequences for *Erwinia psidii*. These strains also clustered with reference strains of *E. psidii*
15 in the phylogenetic trees based on the 16S rRNA (figure not shown) and concatenated
16 sequences for the *gyrB*- and *rpoB*-genes (Fig. 2). These clusters were supported by
17 bootstrap values of 100 %, confirming the identity of the strains. The GenBank numbers
18 for the *gyrB*- and *rpoB*- genes are as follows: GU991637, GU991643 (BCC 1322),
19 GU991638, GU991644 (BCC 1325), GU991639, GU99165 (BCC 1327), GU991640, GU
20 99166 (BCC 1331), GU991641, GU99167 (BCC 1334) and GU991642, GU99168 (BCC
21 1336).

22

23 *Pathogenicity tests*

24

25 *Pantoea ananatis* and the six strains isolated from the blisters occurring on *E. grandis* and
26 representing *E. psidii* produced a hypersensitive reaction on tobacco leaves 24 hours after

1 inoculation. In contrast, the leaves treated with sterile distilled water showed no
2 symptoms.

3

4 Blisters typical of the disease found in the field on young *E. grandis* stems did not form on
5 stems inoculated with strains of *E. psidii*. However, stem tissue at the point of inoculation
6 and below the sites of inoculation was distinctly discoloured similar to that seen in natural
7 infections. After 14 days, the lesions extended at least 1 cm from the point of inoculation.
8 No symptoms developed in the plants inoculated with the sterile distilled water. *E. psidii*,
9 identified using DNA sequence comparisons, was re-isolated from the margins of the
10 lesions on the inoculated plants but not from the controls.

11

12 **Discussion**

13

14 This study describes a previously unknown shoot and stem die-back disease observed on
15 the shoot and branches of young *Eucalyptus* trees in Argentina and Uruguay. Bacterial
16 infections are most closely associated with symptomatic tissue and the isolated bacterium
17 was identified as *Erwinia psidii*. This is the first report of an *Erwinia* species causing
18 disease symptoms in *Eucalyptus* trees. *E. psidii* was first described in 1987 in Brazil where
19 it caused dieback on *Psidium guajava* (guava trees) (Neto *et al.* 1987). On *P. guajava*, the
20 pathogen infects branches and twigs and causes collapse of the vascular tissue and die-
21 back. It is currently one of the most important pathogens affecting guava in central Brazil
22 (Texeira *et al.* 2009) and results of the present study suggest that *E. psidii* has undergone a
23 host shift to infect *Eucalyptus* spp.

24

1 Neto *et al.* (1987) inoculated several members of the Myrtaceae with *E. psidii*, including
2 *Eucalyptus citriodora*. Inoculation was done by pricking the young stems with a dissecting
3 needle immersed in a bacterial suspension. From this host range study, they concluded that
4 only strawberry guava (*Psidium cattleianum*), *Eugenia jambolana* and *Melaleuca* spp. are
5 susceptible hosts. *Eucalyptus* was not considered as a host of this pathogen at that time. But
6 *E. citriodora* tested by Neto *et al.* (1987) is a species very different to those affected by *E.*
7 *psidii* in Uruguay and Argentina and an inoculation to it would not be expected to reflect
8 susceptibility of all *Eucalyptus* spp.

9

10 Pathogenicity tests on *Eucalyptus* undertaken in this study resulted in distinct cambial
11 lesions similar to those found on young *Eucalyptus* stems in the field. Isolation of *E. psidii*
12 from the lesions provided robust evidence that the bacterium is the cause of the disease of
13 *Eucalyptus* discovered in this study. The unusual blisters that are sometimes found on the
14 very young bark of stems and branches did not develop in the pathogenicity tests. This
15 could be due to a number of factors including environmental conditions, genotype of the
16 plants inoculated or the age of the inoculated tissue, which is difficult to simulate in
17 artificial inoculations.

18

19 The fact that *E. psidii* has now been found as a pathogen of *E. grandis* suggests that this
20 bacterium has undergone a host shift to *Eucalyptus* from the related native *P. guajava*.
21 This adds to a number of important and relatively host-specific pathogens that have adapted
22 to infect *Eucalyptus* where these trees are planted as non-natives. Some of the more
23 prominent examples include species of *Chrysosporthe* that have moved from native
24 Myrtaceae in Africa and South America to cause cankers on *Eucalyptus* (Rodas *et al.* 2005)
25 and the *Eucalyptus* rust complex (Coutinho *et al.* 1998; Glen *et al.* 2007). Most of the

1 reported cases have been of fungal pathogens and *E. psidii* represents the first clear
2 example of a host-specific bacterial pathogen undergoing a host shift to *Eucalyptus*.

3
4 The disease of *Eucalyptus* caused by *E. psidii* described in this study appears to be
5 restricted to trees in the first two years of growth and particularly to young, rapidly
6 expanding tissues. Where tops of trees are killed, the disease appears to be exacerbated by
7 secondary infections by *Botryosphaeria* spp. These fungi are well known endophytes on
8 *Eucalyptus* that cause disease problems, typically associated with stress (Slippers and
9 Wingfield 2007). *Botryosphaeria* spp. are also well-known pathogens of *Eucalyptus* in
10 Uruguay (Perez *et al.* 2008; 2010) and they clearly appear to contribute to the damage
11 observed on stems infected by *E. psidii*.

12
13 While infections due to *E. psidii* can cause relatively serious damage to young trees,
14 especially through the death of tree tops and the development of double leaders, the trees
15 also appear to recover relatively rapidly. This is probably due to their very rapid growth
16 and the disease has not been seen on older trees, particularly not those that have grown
17 beyond the point where lower branches have been shed and where humidity in the stands is
18 consequently lower. In this respect, the disease does not appear to be a serious threat to
19 *Eucalyptus* spp. although it should be monitored carefully in the future.

20 21 **Acknowledgements**

22
23 We wish to thank the Tree Protection Co-operative Programme (TPCP), National Research
24 Foundation (NRF) and the THRIP initiative of the Department of Trade and Industry for

1 funding. We dedicate this work to Dr. Jose Garcia (deceased), a visionary tree geneticist
2 who first brought this disease to the attention of Michael Wingfield and Nora Telechea.

3

4 **References**

5

6 Brady CL, Cleenwerck I, Venter SN, Vancanneyt M, Swings J, Coutinho TA (2008)
7 Phylogeny and identification of *Pantoea* species associated with plants, humans and the
8 natural environment based on multilocus sequence analysis (MLSA). *Systematic and*
9 *Applied Microbiology* **31**, 447-460.

10

11 Coenye T, Falsen E, Vancanneyt M, Hoste B, Govan JRW, Kersters K, Vandamme P
12 (1999) Classification of *Alcaligenes faecalis*-like isolates from the environment and human
13 clinical samples as *Ralstonia gilardii* sp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic*
14 *Bacteriology* **49**, 405-413.

15

16 Coutinho TA, Venter SN (2009) *Pantoea ananatis*: an unconventional plant pathogen.
17 *Molecular Plant Pathology* **10**, 235-335.

18

19 Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ, Alfenas AC, Crous PW (1998) Eucalyptus rust: A disease
20 with the potential for serious international implications. *Plant Disease* **82**, 819-825.

21

22 Coutinho, TA, Preisig, O, Mergaert, J, Cnockaert, MC, Riedel K-H, Swings J, Wingfield,
23 MJ (2002) Bacterial blight and dieback of *Eucalyptus* species, hybrids, and clones in South
24 Africa. *Plant Disease* **86**, 20-25.

25

1 Dianese JC, Dristig MCG, Cruz AP (1990) Susceptibility to wilt associated with
2 *Pseudomonas solanacearum* among six species of *Eucalyptus* growing in equatorial Brazil.
3 *Australasian Plant Pathology* **9**, 71-76.
4
5 FAO (2000) Global forest resources assessment 2000 - Main report: FAO Forestry paper
6 [http:// www.fao.org/forestry/fo/fra/main/index.jsp](http://www.fao.org/forestry/fo/fra/main/index.jsp).
7
8 Glen M, Alfenas AC, Zauza EAV, Wingfield MJ, Mohammed C (2007) *Puccinia psidii*: a
9 threat to the Australian environment and economy. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **36**, 1-16.
10
11 Gonçalves RC, Lau D, Oliveira JR, Maffia LA, Cascardo JCM, Alfenas AC (2008)
12 Etiology of bacterial leaf blight of eucalyptus in Brazil. *Tropical Plant Pathology* **33**, 180-
13 188.
14
15 Gryzenhout M, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2009) Taxonomy, phylogeny, and ecology
16 of bark-infecting and tree killing fungi in the Cryphonectriaceae. (APS Press:St Paul,
17 USA).
18
19 Gryzenhout M, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2006) New taxonomic concepts for the
20 important forest pathogen *Cryphonectria parasitica* and related fungi. *FEMS Microbiology*
21 *Letters* **258**, 161-172.
22
23 Guindon S, Gascuel O (2003) A simple, fast, and accurate algorithm to estimate large
24 phylogenies by maximum likelihood. *Systematic Biology* **52**, 696-704.
25

1 Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis
2 program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* **41**, 95-98.
3
4 Hunter GC, Crous PW, Carnegie AJ, Wingfield MJ (2009) *Teratosphaeria nubilosa*, a
5 serious leaf disease pathogen of *Eucalyptus* spp. in native and introduced areas. *Molecular*
6 *Plant Pathology* **10**, 1-14.
7
8 Neto JR, Robbs CF, Yamashiro T (1987) A bacterial disease of guava (*Psidium guajava*)
9 caused by *Erwinia psidii* sp. nov. *Fitopatologia Brasiliensis* **12**, 345-350.
10
11 Pavlic D, Slippers B, Coutinho TA, Wingfield MJ (2007) *Botryosphaeriaceae* that infect
12 native and exotic species Myrtaceae in South Africa. *Plant Pathology* **56**, 624-636.
13
14 Pérez CA, Altier N, Simeto S, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Blanchette RA (2008)
15 Botryosphaeriaceae from *Eucalyptus* and native Myrtaceae in Uruguay. *Agrociencia* **12**,
16 19-30.
17
18 Perez G, Hunter GC, Slippers B, Perez C, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2009a)
19 *Teratosphaeria (Mycosphaerella) nubilosa*, the causal agent of Mycosphaerella leaf disease
20 (MLD), recently introduced into Uruguay. *European Journal of Plant Pathology* **125**, 109-
21 118.
22 Pérez CA, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Altier NA, Blanchette RA (2009b) *Neofusicoccum*
23 *eucalyptorum*, a eucalyptus pathogen, on native myrtaceae in Uruguay. *Plant Pathology* **58**,
24 964-970.
25

1 Pérez CA, Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Altier NA, Blanchette RA (2010) Endophytic and
2 canker-associated Botryosphaeriaceae occurring on non-native *Eucalyptus* and native
3 Myrtaceae trees in Uruguay. *Fungal Diversity* DOI: 10.1007/s13225-009-0014-8.
4
5 Posada D, Crandall KA (1998) Modeltest: testing the model of DNA substitution.
6 *Bioinformatics* **14**, 817-818.
7
8 Rodas CA, Gryzenhout M, Myburg H, Wingfield BD, Wingfield MJ (2005) Discovery of
9 the *Eucalyptus* canker pathogen *Chrysosporthe cubensis* on native *Miconia*
10 (Melastomataceae) in Colombia. *Plant Pathology* **54**, 460-470.
11
12 Slippers B, Wingfield MJ (2007) The Botryosphaeriaceae as endophytes and latent
13 pathogens of trees: Identification, ecology and potential impact. *Fungal Biology Reviews*
14 **21**, 90-106.
15
16 Slippers B, Stenlid J, Wingfield MJ (2005) Emerging pathogens: Fungal host jumps
17 following anthropogenic introduction. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution* **20**, 420-421.
18
19 Texeira ACO, Marques ASA & Ferreira MASV (2009) Low genetic diversity among
20 pathogenic strains of *Erwinia psidii* from Brazil. *Brazilian Journal of Microbiology* **40**,
21 678-684.
22
23 Truman R (1974) Die-back of *Eucalyptus citriodora* caused by *Xanthomonas eucalypti* sp.
24 n. *Phytopathology* **64**, 143-144.
25

1 Wingfield MJ (2003) 2003 Daniel McAlpine Memorial Lecture: Increasing threat of
2 diseases to exotic plantation forests in the Southern Hemisphere: lessons from
3 *Chryphonectria* canker. *Australasian Plant Pathology* **32**, 133-139.

4

5 Wingfield MJ, Slippers B, Hurley BP, Coutinho TA, Wingfield BD, Roux J (2008)
6 Eucalypt pests and diseases: growing threats to plantation productivity. *Southern Forests*
7 **70**, 139-144.

8

1

2 **Figure legends**

3

4 Fig. 1 Field symptoms of the disease on *Eucalyptus* caused by *E. psidii*

5 A Shoot tip dieback of a young *E. grandis* clone

6 B Weakened stem due to infection which led to breakage

7 C Blisters on a *E. grandis* stem

8 D Stem canker on young, actively growing *E. grandis* tissue

9 E Advanced stem canker

10 F After removal of the bark, discoloured tissue is evident which is the result of
11 both *E. psidii* and endophytic *Botryosphaeria* infections

12

13 Fig. 2 Maximum likelihood tree based on the concatenated nucleotide sequences of *gyrB*
14 and *rpoB* genes. Bootstrap values after 1000 replicates are expressed as
15 percentages. *Pectobacterium carotovorum* ssp. *carotovorum* was included as an
16 outgroup. The scale bar indicates the fraction of substitutions per site

17

18

19

1

1