

**PERFORMANCE ENHANCEMENT BY EXPLOITING GEOMETRICAL** 

\* Corresponding author

# **1. Introduction**

 Vibration isolators are in great demand for efficient suppression of low-frequency vibration transmission from operating machines to foundation structures in automobile and marine engineering [Qiu *et al.*, 2022]. For example, excessive vibrations induced by the hosts and auxiliary machineries in underwater vehicles can be transmitted via the mounting structure to the hull resulting in structure-borne noise [Chen *et al.*, 2021]. Effective isolations and absorptions of low-frequency vibration are needed to reduce vibration transmission and noise radiation [Yang *et al.*, 2021; Zhang *et al.*, 2021]. For a conventional spring-mass-47 damper isolator having natural frequency of  $\omega_n$ , effective isolation is achieved when the excitation frequency  $\omega > \sqrt{2\omega_n}$  [Ye and Ji, 2022]. Therefore, a compromise has to be made between having a low stiffness for low-frequency isolation and having a high stiffness for good load-carrying capacity [Niu and Chen, 2022].

 To achieve better performance than that of linear isolators, geometric nonlinearities have been exploited in the designs of passive vibration isolators for superior performance. For instance, quasi-zero-stiffness (QZS) structures containing lateral linear springs [Zhao *et al.*, 2020] and linkage mechanisms comprising rigid rods and springs were proposed [Wang *et. al*., 2020; Dai *et al.*, 2021]. Geometrically nonlinear structures can be used to provide negative dynamic stiffness so that a low linearized natural frequency [Yan *et al.*, 2022] is obtained. Recent studies have shown that compared to the linear and single-stage nonlinear isolation systems, a nonlinear two-stage vibration isolation system (TS-VIS) can have performance benefits by providing a wider effective isolation frequency band [Li and Xu, 2018]. Lu *et al.*, [2016] proposed a nonlinear QZS-based TS-VIS and found the isolation frequency band is enlarged. Wang and Li *et al.*, [2017] compared the effectiveness of the single-stage and two-stage QZS nonlinear isolation systems and showed that the latter can exhibit a larger unity isolation frequency band. Moreover, a TS-VIS with mechanical mechanisms [Wang and Zhou *et al.*, 2017], one for gravity wave detectors [Matichard *et al.*, 2015] and active/hybrid ones [Xie *et al.*, 2019] have been studied. Floating raft vibration isolation structures have also been developed based on TS-VIS for reducing radiated noise of submarines [Lei *et al.*, 2018].

 To further enhance the isolation performance, a recently developed passive device, the inerter, can be used [Smith, 2002]. The inerters have been realized in various ways using rack-pinion mechanisms, hydraulic fluid through a helical channel, or ball-screw mechanisms [Alujević *et al.*, 2018]. It was found that the addition of inerter can effectively improve the performance of isolators [He *et al.*, 2021; Morales, 2022; Wang *et. al*., 2022; Dong *et al.,* 2021] or absorbers [Zhao *et al.*, 2021; Zhang *et al.*, 2020]. Wang *et. al.,* [2018] proposed eight different configurations of single-stage inerter-based linear isolators and assessed their isolation effectiveness by using response displacement and force transmissibility. The optimal parameters of structural design are obtained. Single-stage vibration isolators and tuned-mass-damper-inerter (TMDI) with geometrically nonlinear inerters have also been developed, demonstrating possible further enhancement on the vibration suppression compared to the structures with linear inerter, especially for the low-frequency vibration [Dai *et al.*, 2022a; Shi *et al.,* 2022; Liu *et al.,* 2022]. However, there

 are very few investigations on adding the inerter to TS-VIS [Yang *et al.*, 2019]. The use of geometrically nonlinear inerters in a TS-VIS has not been explored for enhancing vibration isolation performance [Yang *et al.*, 2017], despite being potentially applicable to typical engineering systems such as floating raft isolation structure.

 In this research, a nonlinear inerter-based TS-VIS is proposed. After obtaining the responses of the TS-VIS, the transmissibility and power flow indicators are used for performance assessment and to reveal the vibration transmission mechanisms [Wang *et al.*, 2019; Zhao *et al.,* 2018]. The vibration power flow takes both force and velocity into account and makes a good performance index to quantify the vibration transmission [Goyder and White, 1980]. This method has been developed and used as an effective analysis tool in the investigation of linear systems [Renno *et al.,* 2019; Zhu *et al.,* 2021] and nonlinear systems such as vibration absorbers [Shi *et al.*, 2022], floating raft vibration isolation system and ship propulsion shafting system [Dai *et al.*, 2022a]. Recently, the power flow indices have been employed as fault diagnosis indicators in rotating machinery. Different severity of rub-impact faults can be detected by analysing the instantaneous or time-averaged energy transmission between the rotor and stator [Zhang *et al.,* 2023].

 For the rest of the paper, a TS-VIS with nonlinear inerters is firstly presented in Section 2. In Section 3, the solution process of system responses is illustrated and the measures of evaluating the performance of the nonlinear isolator are defined. The effectiveness of the nonlinear TS-VIS is examined and discussed in Section 4, followed by conclusions.

# **2. Modelling of the Nonlinear Inerter-based TS-VIS**

 The TS-VIS with geometrically nonlinear inerters is shown in Figure 1(a). The upper stage comprises a harmonically excited machine of mass  $m_1$ , a vertical spring  $k_1$ , a vertical damper  $c_1$ , a vertical inerter of inertance  $b_1$  and two identical lateral inerters  $b_2$ . The lower stage has a mass  $m_2$ , a vertical spring  $k_2$ , a vertical damper  $c_2$ , a vertical inerter  $b_3$  and two identical lateral inerters  $b_4$ . The frequency and amplitude of the excitation force applied to  $m_1$  are  $f_0$  and  $\omega$ , respectively. In the equilibrium state, the lateral inerters  $b_2$  and  $b_4$  are horizontal. The distance of two terminals of the lateral inerters when orientated horizontal is *l* .

Figure 1(b) shows the system with masses  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  having displacements  $x_1$  and  $x<sub>2</sub>$ , respectively. Fig. 2(c) and (d) plots force directions of two pairs of lateral inerters, 112 respectively. The terminals  $O_1$  and  $O_2$  are attached to  $m_1$  and  $m_2$ , respectively,  $\theta_1$  and 113  $\theta_2$  are the angles between AO<sub>1</sub> and AB and between CO<sub>2</sub> and CD with  $\sin \theta_1 = (x_1 - x_2) / \sqrt{l^2 + (x_1 - x_2)^2}$  and  $\sin \theta_2 = x_2 / \sqrt{l^2 + x_2^2}$ . 

115



116 **Figure 1.** Schematics of (a) the proposed nonlinear TS-VIS at equilibrium state, (b) the proposed TS-VIS under 117 deformation, (c) force analysis in the upper stage and (d) force analysis in the lower stage. deformation, (c) force analysis in the upper stage and (d) force analysis in the lower stage.

118 The governing equations of the nonlinear inerter-based TS-VIS are:

119 
$$
m_1\ddot{x}_1 + b_1\ddot{x}_r + c_1\dot{x}_r + k_1x_r + f_{s1}(x_r, \dot{x}_r, \ddot{x}_r) = f_0 e^{i\omega t},
$$
 (1)

120 
$$
(m_2 + b_3)\ddot{x}_2 - b_1\ddot{x}_r - c_1\dot{x}_r - k_1x_r - f_{s1}(x_r, \dot{x}_r, \ddot{x}_r) + f_{s2}(x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2) + c_2\dot{x}_2 + k_2x_2 = 0, (2)
$$

where  $x_r = x_1 - x_2$ ,  $\dot{x}_r = \dot{x}_1 - \dot{x}_2$ ,  $\ddot{x}_r = \ddot{x}_1 - \ddot{x}_2$  and  $f_{s1}(x_r, \dot{x}_r, \ddot{x}_r)$  and  $f_{s2}(x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2)$  are 121 122 the nonlinear forces generated by the lateral inerters in the two stages. From Fig. 1(c) and (d), the relative velocity between two terminals of the lateral inerter  $b_2$  and  $b_4$  can be 123 expressed as  $v_1 = \dot{x}_1 \sin \theta_1$  and  $v_2 = \dot{x}_2 \sin \theta_2$ , respectively. Then the inerter forces  $f_{\text{sv1}}$  and 124  $f_{\text{sv2}}$  of inerters  $b_2$  and  $b_4$  can be determined as  $f_{\text{sv1}} = b_2 (\text{d}v_1/\text{d}t)$  and  $f_{\text{sv2}} = b_4 (\text{d}v_2/\text{d}t)$ , 125 126 respectively. Considering the symmetry of the structure, the total forces applied on two 127 masses will be vertical and expressed as [Yang *et al.*, 2019]:

127 masses will be vertical and expressed as [Yang *et al.*, 2019]:  
\n128 
$$
f_{s1}(x_r, \dot{x}_r, \ddot{x}_r) = 2f_{syl} \sin \theta_1 = 2b_2 \left( \frac{x_r^2 \ddot{x}_r}{l^2 + x_r^2} + \frac{l^2 x_r \dot{x}_r^2}{(l^2 + x_r^2)^2} \right),
$$
\n(3)

129 
$$
f_{s2}(x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2) = 2f_{s_2} \sin \theta_2 = 2b_4 \left( \frac{x_2^2 \ddot{x}_2}{l^2 + x_2^2} + \frac{l^2 x_2 \dot{x}_2^2}{(l^2 + x_2^2)^2} \right).
$$
 (4)

130 The Eqs. (1) and (2) can be organized as:

131  
\n
$$
\begin{bmatrix}\nm_1 + b_1 & -b_1 \\
-b_1 & m_2 + b_3 + b_1\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\ddot{x}_1 \\
\ddot{x}_2\n\end{bmatrix} +\n\begin{bmatrix}\nk_1 & -k_1 \\
-k_1 & k_2 + k_1\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nx_1 \\
x_2\n\end{bmatrix} +\n\begin{bmatrix}\nc_1 & -c_1 \\
-c_1 & c_2 + c_1\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\n\dot{x}_1 \\
\dot{x}_2\n\end{bmatrix} +\n\begin{bmatrix}\nf_1 \\
-f_{s1}(\ddot{x}_r, \dot{x}_r, x_r) + f_{s2}(x_2, \dot{x}_2, \ddot{x}_2)\n\end{bmatrix} =\n\begin{bmatrix}\nf_0 e^{i\omega t} \\
0\n\end{bmatrix}
$$
\n(5)

132 here parameters are defined as:

133 
$$
\omega_1 = \sqrt{\frac{k_1}{m_1}}, \quad \omega_2 = \sqrt{\frac{k_2}{m_2}}, \quad \mu = \frac{m_2}{m_1}, \quad \gamma = \frac{\omega_2}{\omega_1}, \quad \zeta_1 = \frac{c_1}{2m_1\omega_1}, \quad \zeta_2 = \frac{c_2}{2m_2\omega_2}, \quad F_0 = \frac{f_0}{lk_1},
$$

134 
$$
\Omega = \frac{\omega}{\omega_1}
$$
,  $\lambda_1 = \frac{b_1}{m_1}$ ,  $\lambda_2 = \frac{b_2}{m_1}$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \frac{b_3}{m_1}$ ,  $\lambda_4 = \frac{b_4}{m_1}$ ,  $X_1 = \frac{x_1}{l}$ ,  $X_2 = \frac{x_2}{l}$ ,  $X_r = \frac{x_r}{l}$ ,

$$
\tau = \omega_l t \,, \tag{6}
$$

136 where  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  represent linearized resonant frequencies of subsystems in the upper and 137 lower stages without inerters, respectively,  $\mu$  and  $\gamma$  are the mass and natural frequency 138 ratios, respectively,  $\zeta_1$  and  $\zeta_2$  are the damping coefficients,  $F_0$  is the dimensionless 139 excitation amplitude,  $\Omega$  is dimensionless excitation frequency,  $\lambda_1$ ,  $\lambda_2$ ,  $\lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_4$  are 140 the inertance ratios of the corresponding inerters,  $X_1$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_r$  are the dimensionless 141 displacements and the relative displacement of the masses, and  $\tau$  is the dimensionless 142 time. The governing equation is then transformed to a dimensionless form:

$$
\begin{bmatrix}\n1+\lambda_1 & -\lambda_1 \\
-\lambda_1 & \mu+\lambda_3+\lambda_1\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nX_1^{\top} \\
X_2^{\top}\n\end{bmatrix} +\n\begin{bmatrix}\n1 & -1 \\
-1 & \mu\gamma^2+1\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nX_1 \\
X_2\n\end{bmatrix} +\n\begin{bmatrix}\n2\zeta_1 & -2\zeta_1 \\
-2\zeta_1 & 2\mu\gamma\zeta_2+2\zeta_1\n\end{bmatrix}\n\begin{bmatrix}\nX_1 \\
X_2\n\end{bmatrix} +\n\begin{bmatrix}\nF_{s1}(X_r, X_r^{\top}, X_r^{\top}) \\
-F_{s1}(X_r, X_r^{\top}, X_r^{\top})+F_{s2}(X_2, X_2^{\top}, X_2^{\top})\n\end{bmatrix} =\n\begin{bmatrix}\nF_0 e^{i\Omega r} \\
0\n\end{bmatrix},
$$
\n(7)

144 where

145 
$$
F_{\rm sl}\left(X_{\rm r}, X_{\rm r}, X_{\rm r}^*\right) = 2\lambda_2 \left(\frac{X_{\rm r}^2 X_{\rm r}^*}{1+X_{\rm r}^2} + \frac{X_{\rm r} X_{\rm r}^{\prime 2}}{\left(1+X_{\rm r}^2\right)^2}\right),\tag{8}
$$

146 
$$
F_{s2}\left(X_2, X_2, X_2\right) = 2\lambda_4 \left(\frac{X_2^2 X_2^*}{1 + X_2^2} + \frac{X_2 X_2^2}{\left(1 + X_2^2\right)^2}\right).
$$
 (9)

147

# 148 **3. Dynamic Responses and Performance Indices**

#### 149 **3.1.** *Response analysis*

150 To solve the governing equation (7), the analytical and alternating-frequency-time (AFT) 151 harmonic balance methods (HBM) are applied. Moreover, a numerical Runge-Kutta (RK) 152 Dormand-Prince method with variable step size is also applied for validation. When using

153 analytical HBM, the relative responses  $X_r$ ,  $X_r$  and  $X_r$  of two masses as well as the 154 responses  $X_2$ ,  $X_2$  and  $X_2$  of the mass in the lower stage are approximated by

155 
$$
X_r = H_1 \cos(\Omega \tau + \alpha), X_2 = H_2 \cos(\Omega \tau + \beta), \tag{10}
$$

156 
$$
X_{r} = -H_{1}\Omega \sin(\Omega \tau + \alpha), X_{2} = -H_{2}\Omega \sin(\Omega \tau + \beta) , \qquad (11)
$$

157 
$$
X_{\rm r} = -H_1 \Omega^2 \cos(\Omega \tau + \alpha), X_{\rm 2} = -H_2 \Omega^2 \cos(\Omega \tau + \beta) , \qquad (12)
$$

158 respectively, where  $H_1$  and  $H_2$  are the response amplitudes while  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are the phase angles. By substituting Eqs. (10-12) into Eqs. (8) and (9), the nonlinear forces  $F_{s1}$  and  $F_{s2}$ 159 160 can be approximated by Taylor expansion:

161 
$$
F_{\rm sl}\left(X_{\rm r}, X_{\rm r}^{\prime}, X_{\rm r}^{\prime}\right) \approx -\frac{\lambda_2 \Omega^2 H_1^3 \left(H_1^2 + 2\right)}{2} \cos\left(\Omega \tau + \alpha\right),\tag{13}
$$

162 
$$
F_{s2}\left(X_2,X_2,X_2\right) \approx -\frac{\lambda_4 \Omega^2 H_2^3 \left(H_2^2+2\right)}{2} \cos\left(\Omega \tau + \beta\right). \tag{14}
$$

163 By substituting Eqs. (10-14) into Eq. (7), we have the following algebraic equations by<br>164 using HBM:<br> $-2H.\Omega\zeta \sin\alpha + H.\left\{1-2\lambda \frac{\Omega^2H_1^2}{H_1^2+2}\right\}\cos\alpha + \Omega^2\lambda H_2\cos\beta -$ 164 using HBM:  $^{2}H^{2}$ 

164 using HBM:  
\n
$$
-2H_1\Omega\zeta_1\sin\alpha + H_1\left\{1 - 2\lambda_2\frac{\Omega^2H_1^2}{4}\left(H_1^2 + 2\right)\right\}\cos\alpha + \Omega^2\lambda_1H_2\cos\beta - \frac{\Omega^2\left(1 + \lambda_1\right)\left(H_1\cos\alpha + H_2\cos\beta\right)}{H_1^2}\sin\alpha
$$
\n(15a)

166 
$$
H_1\left\{2\lambda_2 \frac{\Omega^2 H_1^2}{4} \left(H_1^2 + 2\right) - 1\right\} \sin \alpha - 2\Omega \zeta_1 H_1 \cos \alpha + \Omega^2 (1 + \lambda_1) \left(H_1 \sin \alpha + H_2 \sin \beta\right) - \Omega^2 \lambda_1 H_2 \sin \beta = 0
$$

167 (15b)

$$
2\Omega \zeta_1 H_1 \sin \alpha - 2H_2 \Omega \mu \gamma \zeta_2 \sin \beta + \mu \gamma^2 H_2 \cos \beta +
$$
  
\n
$$
H_1 \left\{ 2\lambda_2 \frac{\Omega^2 H_1^2}{4} \left( H_1^2 + 2 \right) + \lambda_1 \Omega^2 - 1 \right\} \cos \alpha - \Omega^2 H_2 \left\{ 2\lambda_4 \frac{H_2^2}{4} \left( H_2^2 + 2 \right) - \mu - \lambda_3 \right\} \cos \beta = 0
$$
  
\n169 (15c)

170  
\n
$$
\left\{\Omega^2(\mu+\lambda_3) - \mu\gamma^2 + 2\lambda_4 \frac{\Omega^2 H_2^2}{4} (H_2^2 + 2) \right\} H_2 \sin \beta = 0
$$
\n
$$
\left\{\Omega^2(\mu+\lambda_3) - \mu\gamma^2 + 2\lambda_4 \frac{\Omega^2 H_2^2}{4} (H_2^2 + 2) \right\} H_2 \sin \beta = 0
$$
\n(15d)

171 By using the Newton-Raphson method to solve Eq. (15), we can determine solutions of 172 *H*<sub>1</sub>, *H*<sub>2</sub>,  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  for further performance evaluation of the nonlinear isolator.

 When the displacements of the masses become large, the inertial nonlinearity becomes stronger and the use of analytical HBM of relative low orders may lead to reduced accuracy of the results. To improve the approximation accuracy of the system response, a higher order of harmonic terms is needed. However, due to the complexity of function of nonlinear force, it is challenging to obtain the high order terms of nonlinear forces analytically. Therefore, in determination of the approximated nonlinear force expression, a specific form of HBM, namely HBM-AFT (alternating frequency time) scheme is used here to obtain the Fourier coefficients of nonlinear forces numerically. In practice, assuming that the responses of two masses can be expressed by *Q* -order Fourier series:

182 
$$
X_1 = \sum_{q=0}^{Q} \tilde{J}_{(1,q)} \exp(iq\Omega \tau),
$$
  $X_2 = \sum_{q=0}^{Q} \tilde{J}_{(2,q)} \exp(iq\Omega \tau),$  (16a, 16b)

where q is an integer within the range of  $[0, Q]$ ,  $\tilde{J}_{(1,q)}$  and  $\tilde{J}_{(2,q)}$  are the approximated q 183 -th order complex Fourier coefficients of the responses. The nonlinear forces  $F_{s1}$  and  $F_{s2}$ 184 185 of the inerter-configuration can be also approximated as

186 
$$
F_{\rm sl}(X_{\rm r}, X_{\rm r}^{\prime}, X_{\rm r}^{\prime}) = \sum_{q=0}^{Q} \tilde{S}_{(1,q)} \exp(iq\Omega\tau), \qquad (17a)
$$

187 
$$
F_{s2}\left(X_2, X_2, X_2\right) = \sum_{q=0}^{Q} \tilde{S}_{(2,q)} \exp(iq\Omega \tau), \qquad (17b)
$$

where  $\tilde{S}_{(1,q)}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{(2,q)}$  are the approximated q -th order complex Fourier coefficients. 188 Here the AFT technique is implemented to obtain the coefficients  $\tilde{S}_{(1,q)}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{(2,q)}$  of the 189 190 nonlinear forces. The approximated displacement responses in Eq. (16), and velocity 191 responses and acceleration responses obtained by the differentiation of Eq. (16), are 192 substituted into Eq. (17), to obtain the time histories of the nonlinear forces. Then the time-193 domain expression of nonlinear forces can be Fourier transformed into frequency domain and the coefficients  $\tilde{S}_{(1,q)}$  and  $\tilde{S}_{(2,q)}$  can be determined. 194

195 The approximated responses and nonlinear forces in Eqs. (16) and (17) can be 196 substituted into the governing equation (7). Based on HBM, the terms with the same order 197 are balanced. For the *q* -th order harmonic balance, we have [Dai et al., 2022b]

198  
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\left(-(q\Omega)^2 \begin{bmatrix} 1+\lambda_1 & -\lambda_1 \\ -\lambda_1 & \mu+\lambda_3+\lambda_1 \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ -1 & \mu\gamma^2+1 \end{bmatrix} + i(q\Omega) \begin{bmatrix} 2\zeta_1 & -2\zeta_1 \\ -2\zeta_1 & 2\mu\gamma\zeta_2+2\zeta_1 \end{bmatrix}\right) \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{J}_{(1,q)} \\ \tilde{J}_{(2,q)} \end{bmatrix} \\
&= \begin{Bmatrix} F_0 - \tilde{S}_{(1,q)} \\ \tilde{S}_{(1,q)} - \tilde{S}_{(2,q)} \end{Bmatrix}\n\end{aligned}
$$

 $199$  (18)

200 Therefore,  $2(2Q+1)$  algebraic equations are obtained. Here the Newton-Rapson method is used for solving those equations. In order to track the possible branches of solution, the numerical continuation method is applied to calculate the solutions [Colaïtis and Batailly, 2021; Dai *et al.*, 2020].

204

## 205 **3.2.** *Isolation performance indicators*

 To assess the performance of TS-VIS, the force transmissibility is used as an index to evaluate the level of vibration transmission. The force transmissibility is defined as the maximum magnitude of the transmitted forces to that of the input force. The force transmissibilities to the lower stage and to the ground are defined as

210 
$$
TR_i = \frac{|F_{ti}|_{\text{max}}}{F_0} , \qquad TR_f = \frac{|F_{tt}|_{\text{max}}}{F_0} , \qquad (19)
$$

211 respectively, where  $F_{\text{t}}$  and  $F_{\text{t}}$  are the corresponding transmitted forces. In this paper, the vibration generated by the machine  $m_1$  is transmitted via inerters  $b_1$ ,  $b_2$ , spring  $k_1$  and 212 213 damper  $c_1$  to the lower stage  $m_2$ . The vibration of lower stage is transmitted via inerters  $b_3$ ,  $b_4$ , spring  $k_2$  and damper  $c_2$  to the ground. Therefore, the total transmitted force to 214 215 the lower stage and to the ground can be obtained as the total vertical transmitted force 216 between  $m_1$  and  $m_2$  and between  $m_2$  and ground, respectively, which can be derived as

$$
P_{\rm ii} = F_{\rm sl} \left( X_{\rm r}, X_{\rm r}^{\dagger}, X_{\rm r}^{\dagger} \right) + X_{\rm r} + 2 \zeta_{1} X_{\rm r}^{\dagger} + \lambda_{1} X_{\rm r}^{\dagger} = 2 \lambda_{2} \left( \frac{X_{\rm r}^{2} X_{\rm r}^{\dagger}}{1 + X_{\rm r}^{2}} + \frac{X_{\rm r} X_{\rm r}^{2}}{\left(1 + X_{\rm r}^{2}\right)^{2}} \right) + X_{\rm r}, \quad (20)
$$
\n
$$
+ 2 \zeta_{1} X_{\rm r}^{\dagger} + \lambda_{1} X_{\rm r}^{\dagger}
$$

218  
\n
$$
F_{\text{tf}} = F_{s2} \left( X_2, X_2, X_2 \right) + \mu \gamma^2 X_2 + 2 \mu \gamma \zeta_2 X_2 + \lambda_3 X_2 = 2 \lambda_4 \left( \frac{X_2^2 X_2^2}{1 + X_2^2} + \frac{X_2 X_2^2}{\left( 1 + X_2^2 \right)^2} \right), \tag{21}
$$
\n
$$
+ \mu \gamma^2 X_2 + 2 \mu \gamma \zeta_2 X_2 + \lambda_3 X_2^2
$$

219 respectively. By the substitution of Eqs. (10-14) into Eq. (20) and (21), the analytically 220 approximated transmitted forces  $F_{\text{ti}}$  and  $F_{\text{tf}}$  are

221 
$$
F_{ii} \approx H_1 \left( -\frac{\lambda_2 \Omega^2 H_1^2 \left( H_1^2 + 2 \right)}{2} - \lambda_1 \Omega^2 + 1 \right) \cos \left( \Omega \tau + \alpha \right) - 2 \Omega \zeta_1 H_1 \sin \left( \Omega \tau + \alpha \right), \quad (22)
$$

222 
$$
F_{\rm tf} \approx H_2 \left(\mu \gamma^2 - \frac{\lambda_4 \Omega^2 H_2^2 \left(H_2^2 + 2\right)}{2} - \lambda_3 \Omega^2\right) \cos\left(\Omega \tau + \beta\right) - 2\Omega \mu \gamma \zeta_2 H_2 \sin\left(\Omega \tau + \beta\right).
$$

 $223$  (23)

224 Therefore, the corresponding force transmisabilities are approximated as  
\n
$$
TR_{i} = \frac{|F_{i}|_{max}}{F_{0}} \approx \frac{H_{1}\sqrt{\left(-\frac{\lambda_{2}\Omega^{2}H_{1}^{2}(H_{1}^{2}+2)}{2}-\lambda_{i}\Omega^{2}+1\right)^{2}+(2\zeta_{i}\Omega)^{2}}}{F_{0}},
$$
\n(24)

226 
$$
TR_{\rm f} = \frac{|F_{\rm tf}|_{\rm max}}{F_{\rm 0}} \approx \frac{H_2 \sqrt{\left(-\frac{\lambda_4 \Omega^2 H_2^2 \left(H_2^2 + 2\right)}{2} - \lambda_3 \Omega^2 + \mu \gamma^2\right)^2 + \left(2\mu \gamma \zeta_2 \Omega\right)^2}}{F_{\rm 0}} \qquad (25)
$$

227 Equations (24) and (25) show that for the linear undamped isolation system with  $228$   $\lambda_2 = \lambda_4 = \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = 0$ , the force transmissibilities TR<sub>i</sub> and TR<sub>i</sub> are zero, at the excitation 229 frequencies of

230 
$$
\Omega = \Omega_1 = \sqrt{1/\lambda_1} \qquad \Omega = \Omega_2 = \sqrt{\mu \gamma^2 / \lambda_3} \qquad (26a, 26b)
$$

231 respectively. For the current nonlinear TS-VIS with weak damping,  $TR_i$  and  $TR_f$  will take 232 quasi-zero values at  $\Omega_1$  or  $\Omega_2$ . It is noted that the values of  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  for ultra-low 233 force transmission can be tuned by changing the inertance ratios  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_3$ . This 234 characteristic demonstrates that by designing inertances of vertical and lateral inerters, the 235 force transmission can be significantly suppressed.

The instantaneous input vibrational power is defined as [Dai *et al.*, 2022c]:  
\n227 
$$
P = P\left(F^{-10t} \mid P\left(Y\right) - P\left(F^{-10t}\right) - P\left(F^{-10t}\right) - P\left(F^{-10t}\right)\right)
$$

237 
$$
P_{\text{in}} = \mathsf{R} \left\{ F_0 e^{i\Omega \tau} \right\} \mathsf{R} \left\{ X_1 \right\} = \mathsf{R} \left\{ F_0 e^{i\Omega \tau} \right\} \mathsf{R} \left\{ \sum_{q=0}^{\infty} iq\Omega \tilde{J}_{(1,q)} \exp(iq\Omega \tau) \right\},
$$
 (27)

238 where R {} represents real part of the variable. The corresponding time-averaged input 239 vibrational power is

240 
$$
\overline{P}_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{p}}} \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau_{0} + \tau_{\text{p}}} P_{\text{in}} d\tau = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{p}}} R \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau_{0} + \tau_{\text{p}}} \left\{ F_{0} e^{i\Omega \tau} \right\} R \left\{ X_{1} \right\} d\tau = \frac{1}{2} F_{0} R \left\{ \left( i\Omega \tilde{J}_{(1,1)} \right)^{*} \right\}, \quad (28)
$$

where  $\tau_p$  is defined as an excitation cycle in the steady state, i.e.,  $2\pi/\Omega$ , and ()\* denotes 241 242 the complex conjugate of the variable. Using approximate expressions of the velocity 243 shown by Eq. (11), we have the approximated time-averaged input vibrational power

244 
$$
\overline{P}_{\text{in}} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\text{p}}} \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau_{0} + \tau_{\text{p}}} \left( X_{1} F_{0} \cos \Omega \tau \right) d\tau \approx -\frac{F_{0} \Omega}{2} \left( H_{1} \cos \alpha + H_{2} \cos \beta \right). \tag{29}
$$

The power transmission to the lower stage is dissipated by  $c_2$ , therefore, we can define 245 the instantaneous power transmission  $P_t$  and time-averaged power transmission  $P_t$ 246 247 expressed as

247 expressed as  
\n
$$
P_t = 2\zeta_2 \mu \gamma (\mathbf{R} \{X_2\})^2 = 2\zeta_2 \mu \gamma (\mathbf{R} \{\sum_{q=0}^{\infty} i q \Omega \tilde{J}_{(2,q)} \exp(i q \Omega \tau)\})^2, \qquad (30)
$$

$$
\overline{P}_{t} = \frac{1}{\tau_{p}} \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau_{0} + \tau_{p}} 2\zeta_{2} \mu \gamma (\mathbf{R} \{ X_{2}^{\dagger} \})^{2} d\tau = \frac{1}{\tau_{p}} \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau_{0} + \tau_{p}} 2\zeta_{2} \mu \gamma (\mathbf{R} \{ \sum_{q=0}^{Q} iq\Omega \tilde{J}_{(2,q)} \exp(iq\Omega \tau) \})^{2} d\tau
$$
\n
$$
= \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{R} \left\{ \left( \sum_{q=0}^{Q} iq\Omega \tilde{J}_{(2,q)} \right)^{*} \left( 2\zeta_{2} \mu \gamma \sum_{q=0}^{Q} iq\Omega \tilde{J}_{(2,q)} \right) \right\} = \zeta_{2} \mu \gamma \left| \sum_{q=0}^{Q} iq\Omega \tilde{J}_{(2,q)} \right|^{2}
$$
\n
$$
= 250
$$
\n(31)

251 respectively. By a substitution of Eqs. (10)-(12) to Eq. (31), the analytically approximated 252 transmitted power to the lower stage is expressed as

253 
$$
\overline{P}_{\rm t} = \frac{1}{\tau_{\rm p}} \int_{\tau_{0}}^{\tau_{0} + \tau_{\rm p}} 2 \zeta_{2} \mu \gamma \Omega^{2} H_{2}^{2} \sin^{2} (\Omega \tau + \beta) d\tau \approx \zeta_{2} \mu \gamma \Omega^{2} H_{2}^{2}.
$$
 (32)

254 The power transmission ratio is therefore obtained using Eqs. (28) and (31):

$$
R_{\rm t} = \frac{\overline{P}_{\rm t}}{\overline{P}_{\rm in}} = \frac{F_0 \mathsf{R} \left\{ \left( \mathbf{i} \Omega \tilde{J}_{\left(1,1\right)} \right)^* \right\}}{2 \zeta_2 \mu \gamma \left| \sum_{q=0}^{Q} \mathbf{i} q \Omega \tilde{J}_{\left(2,q\right)} \right|^2} , \qquad (33)
$$

256 and the corresponding approximated power transmission ratio is

$$
R_{\rm t} = \frac{\overline{P}_{\rm t}}{\overline{P}_{\rm in}} \approx \frac{2\zeta_2 \mu \gamma \Omega H_2^2}{-F_0 \left(H_1 \cos \alpha + H_2 \cos \beta\right)}\tag{34}
$$

258

#### 259 **4. Results and Discussion**

 This section presents the performance assessment on the TS-VIS. In Fig. 2, the response amplitudes of two masses obtained from analytical HBM, HBM-AFT with harmonic order  $Q = 4$  and numerical integration RK methods are compared. The inertance ratios of the 263 inerters are set as  $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 10$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 1$  and  $\lambda_4 = 10$ , respectively. A linear system

 without using inerters is also considered with the results presented. The other parameters are fixed at  $F_0 = 0.004, \mu = \lambda = \gamma = 1, \zeta_1 = \zeta_2 = 0.01$  throughout the paper. 



**Figure 2.** Comparison of the response amplitudes of the masses (a)  $m_1$  and (b)  $m_2$ , obtained by different methods. The dashed line denotes the linear case without inerters. Solid line: analytical HBM. Dash-dotted line: HBM-AFT. Stars: RK results.

 Figure 2 shows that in each response curve, there are two resonant peaks, with both bending left for the nonlinear cases. This behaviour of the proposed isolator is caused by the geometric inertial nonlinearity of the inerters in the upper and lower stages. The figure shows that the analytical HBM and HBM-AFT results agree well. Near the first peak of 274 the curves  $(\Omega \approx 0.5)$ , discrepancies between the results from different methods become noticeable. A comparison of the results obtained from the RK method shown in the 276 enlarged plot in Fig. 2(b) shows that the HBM-AFT with harmonic order of  $Q = 4$  can provide a good approximation of the responses even when the nonlinear isolator undergoes 278 large deflection. Noting that choosing a higher order of  $Q$  may further increase the accuracy of response approximation, but the computation cost will also increase. Therefore, 280 by a balanced consideration of efficiency and accuracy, the value of  $Q$  is set as 4 281 throughout the paper. In view of this, the results obtained from HBM-AFT and RK methods are used and shown in the rest of this paper for the performance evaluations of the proposed isolator.

 In Figs. 3 and 4, the influence of the inerters in the upper stage of the TS-VIS on the effectiveness of the isolator is examined. In Fig. 3, four inertance ratios of the vertical 286 inerter with  $\lambda_1$  being 0.5, 0.8, 1 and 1.2 are considered while the lateral inerters  $\lambda_2$  is fixed 287 at 20. In Fig. 4, the inertance ratio  $\lambda_2$  varies from 5 to 20 while  $\lambda_1$  is set as 1. The inertance ratios in the lower stage are fixed at 0.



289

**Figure 3.** Variations of the (a) transmissibility and (b) input power under the influence of the inertance ratio  $\lambda_1$ 291 in the upper stage. The black dotted line denotes the non-inerter case result. Different lines are for the cases with 292  $\lambda_1 = 0.5, 0.8, 1$  and 1.2. The symbols denote RK results.

293 Figure 3(a) shows the inerters in upper stage can lead to left bending of the first peak 294 and introduce an anti-resonance peak in force transmissibility curve. The anti-peak 295 frequency can be approximated by using the analytical expressions of force 296 transmissibilities shown by Eqs. (24) and (25). With the inertance  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  at specific 297 values, the force transmissibility to the lower stage or to the ground can be tuned and 298 effectively suppressed at designed frequency  $\Omega$ . In particular, for undamped system with  $\lambda_2 = 0$ , the analytical predicted anti-peak frequency  $\Omega_{\text{anti}} = \sqrt{1/\lambda_1}$  being 0.913, 1.118 and 299 300 1.414 when  $\lambda_1 = 1.2$ , 0.8 and 0.5, respectively, agreeing well with the marked anti-peak 301 frequencies 0.907, 1.126 and 1.424 in Fig. 3(a) determined by using HBM-AFT. This 302 characteristic enables the placement of a notch in the force transmissibility curve at 303 particular frequencies by setting a specific value of  $\lambda_1$ , desirable for low-frequency 304 isolation of unbalanced machines operating at a low rotational speed.

305 Figure 3(a) also shows increasing the inertance  $\lambda_1$  can shift resonance peaks to the left. 306 It is shown that the second peak is decreased significantly by using inerters. Only one peak 307 is noticeable in the dash-dotted line with  $\lambda_1 = 1$ , showing a broad frequency band of 308 effective isolation with  $TR_f$  being lower than unity. The reason is that the vertical inerter 309 can generate an anti-resonance peak (i.e., a notch) in force transmissibility curve. The 310 second peak of the corresponding TS-VIS without using inerters can be suppressed by 311 introducing inerters and then setting the anti-peak frequency at the original second peak 312 frequency. An increase in  $\lambda_1$  can move both peaks of  $P_{in}$  to the left with lower peak heights, as shown in Fig. 3(b). Moreover, only one peak is found in the curve with  $\lambda_1 = 1$ . 313 314 It demonstrates that vibrational energy input can be reduced significantly by designing the 315 value of the vertical inertance  $\lambda_1$  in the upper stage.



316

317 **Figure 4.** Variations of transmissibility (a) to lower stage and (b) to foundation under the influence of the inertance 318 ratio  $\lambda_2$  in the upper stage. The black dotted line denotes the non-inerter case result. Different lines denote the 319 cases with  $\lambda_2 = 5$ , 10 and 20. The symbols denote RK results.

320 Figure 4 depicts the variations of  $TR_i$  and  $TR_f$  under different lateral inertance  $\lambda_2$ . 321 Comparing to the linear non-inerter TS-VIS case containing two well-separated peaks in 322 the transmissibility curve, the force transmissibility of the proposed TS-VIS to the lower 323 stage and that to the ground is lower in a wide frequency band, demonstrating a much better 324 isolation performance. By changing  $\lambda_2$  from 5 to 20, the first peak in  $TR_f$  curve extends 325 further towards left with lower height. The results show that a larger  $\lambda_2$  can enhance the 326 low-frequency isolation.

327 In Figs. 5 and 6, the effects of the inerters in the lower stage on the performance of TS-328 VIS are investigated. The inertance ratios in the upper stage are set as 0. In Fig. 5, the 329 inertance ratio  $\lambda_3$  is varied from 0.2 to 1.2 while that of the lateral inerters  $\lambda_4$  is fixed at 5. Fig. 5(a) shows that peaks in transmissibility  $TR_f$  curve shift towards left as  $\lambda_3$ 330 331 increases. An anti-resonance peak is found and the corresponding frequency depends on 332 the values of  $\lambda_3$  and  $\lambda_4$ , as predicted previously from the analytical derivation of force transmissibility in Eq. (25). For the undamped system with  $\lambda_4 = 0$ , the anti-peak frequency 333 334 is  $\Omega_{\text{anti}} = \sqrt{\mu \gamma^2 / \lambda_3}$ . With  $\lambda_3$  being 1.2 and 0.8, the analytically predicted anti-peak 335 frequencies are 0.913 and 1.118, respectively, which are close to 0.910 and 1.120, 336 determined by the HB-AFT and marked in Fig. 5(a). The results show that the anti-peak 337 frequency of force transmissibility can be designed by adjusting inertance  $\lambda_3$  based on the 338 dominant low-frequency line spectrum of the working machinery to provide an ultra-low 339 force transmission. Moreover, both peaks of force transmissibility are shifted further to the left when the inertance  $\lambda_3$  increases. The height of the second peak is reduced when  $\lambda_3$ 340 341 changes from 0.2 to 0.5 but it increases when  $\lambda_3$  changes from 0.5 to 1.2. At  $\lambda_3 = 0.5$ , 342 only one noticeable peak is found in  $TR_f$  curve, yielding a much broader frequency band 343 of unity force transmissibility compared to that of the linear non-inerter system. This 344 characteristic can be also combined with the effect of the vertical inerter  $\lambda_1$  in the upper

345 stage of the system to provide enhanced isolation performance. Fig. 5(b) presents the power 346 transmission ratio  $R_t$  to the lower stage of TS-VIS. As inertance  $\lambda_3$  varies from 0.5 to 1.2, 347  $R_t$  starts to reduce when  $\Omega > 0.75$ .



348

**Figure 5.** Changes of (a)  $TR_f$  and (b)  $R_i$  by varying the inertance ratio  $\lambda_3$  of the lower stage. The black line 350 denotes the non-inerter case result. The black dotted line denotes the non-inerter case result. Different lines are 351 for  $\lambda_3 = 0.2, 0.5, 0.8$  and 1.2 cases. The symbols denote RK results.



352

353 **Figure 6.** Variations of the (a) transmissibility and (b) energy transmission ratio under changes of the inertance 354 ratio  $\lambda_4$  in the lower stage. The black dotted line denotes the non-inerter case result. Different lines denote the 355 cases with  $\lambda_4 = 5$ , 10 and 20. The symbols denote RK results.

356 In Fig. 6, the inertance ratio  $\lambda_4$  of lateral inerters in the lower stage is changed from 5 357 to 10, and then to 20 while  $\lambda_3$  of the vertical inerter is set as 0.5. Fig. 6(a) shows that a 358 larger  $\lambda_4$  will bend the first peak of  $TR_f$  to left. Fig. 6(b) shows that the lateral inertance 359  $\lambda_4$  has little influence on the  $R_t$  when  $\Omega$  is not in the first resonance region, shown by

360 the merged curves of power transmission ratio in  $\lambda_4 = 5$ , 10 and 20 cases. The reason is that the system exhibits significant geometric nonlinearity when the nonlinear isolator undergoes large deflection. From the enlarged plot in Fig. 6(b), the increase of the inertance  $\lambda_4$  can lead to a higher power transmission ratio. Therefore, the value of  $\lambda_4$  should be better controlled in a relatively low value to restrain the amount of the energy transferred to the lower base.

 Here, the use of inerters in both stages is considered to seek further improvement on the performance. In Figs. 7 and 8, vibration transmission indicators of four different configurations of the inerter-based TS-VIS are compared. In configurations C1 and C2, the inerters are applied in the upper stage only and in the lower stage only, respectively. The parameters are selected as  $\lambda_1 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 5$ ,  $\lambda_3 = \lambda_4 = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 = 0$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 0.5$ ,  $\lambda_4 = 5$ , represented by the solid(red) and dashed(blue) lines, respectively. In configurations C3 and C4, the inerters are used in both stages. The parameters are set as  $\lambda_1 = 0.5$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 5$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_4 = 5$  and  $\lambda_1 = 2$ ,  $\lambda_2 = 5$ ,  $\lambda_3 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_4 = 5$ , denoted by the dash-dotted(green) and dash- dot-dot(pink) lines, respectively. The results for the corresponding linear TS-VIS without inerters (i.e., non-inerter case) are also added as black dotted line.

 Figure 7(a) depicts that the curves of transmissibility in configurations C1 and C2 almost coincide with each other. It is shown that the inerters in the upper and lower stages 378 share a similar effect on the force transmission. The values of  $TR_f$  for configurations C1 and C2 are much smaller than those of the corresponding linear non-inerter cases in the 380 mid- and low- frequency ranges. For configurations C3 and C4, the value of  $TR_f$  is further 381 reduced when  $0.5 < \Omega < 1.5$ , compared to the corresponding value associated with 382 configurations C1 and C2. There is a local minimum in the curve of  $TR_f$ . It is also possible to design the corresponding frequency by adjusting the inertance ratios. It is demonstrated that a combined use of the inerters in upper and lower stages can suppress force transmission, especially for the low-frequency vibration isolation.

386 Figure 7(b) shows that the  $P_t$  curve for configuration C1 has a similar trend, compared to that of configuration C4. However, the configuration C4 case demonstrates a better 388 isolation performance than configuration C1 by further reducing the  $\overline{P}_t$  values in a wide frequency band. This beneficial characteristic originates from the combined use of inerters in upper and lower stages. For configuration C2, there is a peak shifting effect caused by the addition of the inerters, while in the configuration C3 case, an anti-peak is generated, which can be applied for achieving exceptional vibration isolation at the prescribed excitation frequency. The results demonstrate that the inertance values of inerters in both stages of the VIS should be designed simultaneously for enhanced vibration isolation performance.



**Figure 7.** Influence of different configurations of the proposed nonlinear isolator on (a) transmissibility and (b) energy transmission. The black line denotes the non-inerter case result. Different lines denote fou 398 energy transmission. The black line denotes the non-inerter case result. Different lines denote four configurations, respectively. The symbols denote RK results. respectively. The symbols denote RK results.

 Figure 8 further compares the time history of instantaneous transmitted force and instantaneous power flow at pre-described frequencies between four configurations of C1, C2, C3 and C4. A linear TS-VIS without using inerters is also shown for reference. Fig. 403 8(a) shows the instantaneous transmitted force to the ground in the steady state at  $\Omega=1.4$ , 404 while Fig. 8(b) shows the instantaneous transmitted force  $F_{\text{tf}}$  to the ground at  $\Omega$ =1.6. It is found that the amplitude of transmitted force to ground is considerably reduced by using inerters. In addition, the value of transmitted force to the ground in configuration C3 is close to zero, suggesting an ultra-low force transmission to the ground. This phenomenon also indicates that the integration of nonlinear inerters in both stages can further enhance the effectiveness of vibration isolation. Fig. 8(c) shows the instantaneous input vibrational 410 power into the system  $P_{\text{in}}$  and Fig. 8(d) depicts the instantaneous power transfer to the 411 ground  $P_t$ . It is found that the use of inerters in two-stage vibration isolation system can largely decrease the amplitude of vibrational energy input and also suppress the amount of vibrational energy transfer to the ground. By a combined analysis of time-averaged power flow and instantaneous power flow indices, it can be summarized that the TS-VIS with nonlinear inerters embedded in two stages can provide a superior vibration isolation performance.



**418 Figure 8.** Time history of instantaneous (a) transmitted force  $F_{\text{tf}}$  to the ground at  $\Omega$ =1.4, (b) transmitted force 419  $F_{\text{if}}$  to the ground at  $\Omega$ =1.6, (c) instantaneous input vibrational power  $P_{\text{in}}$  at  $\Omega$ =1.6 and (d) instantaneous 420 transmitted power  $P_t$  to the ground at Ω=1.6. The black line denotes the non-inerter case result. Different lines denote four configurations, respectively.

### **5. Conclusions**

 This research proposed a vibration isolator with geometric nonlinearity created by inerters and evaluated its performance. The system can be considered as a representative model of isolation system in engineering applications such as typical floating raft platform. The force and energy transmission indices were chosen to assess the isolation performance. It is demonstrated that the geometric nonlinearity of inerters can be exploited to yield a leftwards-bending characteristic in response curves. The inerters in the upper stage or lower stage can widen substantially the effective isolation band by shifting resonance peaks to the lower frequencies. The peak values of the force transmissibility can be suppressed by using the proposed inerter-based two-stage vibration isolator. An anti-resonance peak is

 generated in the force transmission curve and power transmission curve by using inerters such that vibration transmission is significantly attenuated at desired frequency. Moreover, analytical derivations and numerical simulations both demonstrate that the second peak in force transmissibility and energy transmission curves of the corresponding system without inerters can be suppressed by properly selecting the inertance ratios at analytically predicted values. The amount of input energy is reduced and the energy transmission ratio is decreased in a large frequency band. Enhanced performance of vibration isolation can be attained via the combined use and design of inerters in both stages of the isolator.

# **Acknowledgments**

 This work was supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant numbers 12202152, 12172185, 51839005], by the Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China [Grant number LY22A020006] and by the Ningbo Municipal Natural Science Foundation [Grant number 2022J174].

 

# **References**

- Alujević, N., Čakmak, D., Wolf, H. and Jokić, M. [2018] "Passive and active vibration isolation systems using inerter," *Journal of Sound and Vibration* **418**, 163-183.
- Chen, D., Zi, H., Li, Y. and Li, X. [2021] "Low frequency ship vibration isolation using the band gap concept of sandwich plate-type elastic metastructures," *Ocean Engineering* **235**, 109460.
- Colaïtis, Y., & Batailly, A. [2021] "The harmonic balance method with arc-length continuation in blade-tip/casing contact problems" *Journal of Sound and Vibration*  **502**, 116070.
- Dai, W., Yang, J. and Shi, B. [2020] "Vibration transmission and power flow in impact oscillators with linear and nonlinear constraints," *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* **168**, 105234.
- Dai, W. and Yang, J. [2021] "Vibration transmission and energy flow of impact oscillators with nonlinear motion constraints created by diamond-shaped linkage mechanism," *International Journal of Mechanical Sciences* **194**, 106212.
- Dai, W., Shi, B., Yang, J., Zhu, X. and Li, T. [2022a] "Enhanced suppression of longitudinal vibration transmission in propulsion shaft system using nonlinear tuned mass damper inerter," *Journal of Vibration and Control*, 10775463221081183. Epub ahead of print 7 May 2022. DOI: 10.1177/10775463221081183.
- Dai, W., Li, T. and Yang, J. [2022b] "Energy flow and performance of a nonlinear vibration isolator exploiting geometric nonlinearity by embedding springs in linkages," *Acta Mechanica* **233**, 1663-1687.





