



Data Research Access and Governance Network

November 2022

Frameworks, principles, and accreditation

Making data governance work

Felix Ritchie

Data Research Access and Governance Network University of the West of England, Bristol



15 minutes of fun

- The Five Safes in data governance and access
 - History
 - Why it helps
 - Why it's not enough
- Attitudes and principles
 - 1. Effective goal-setting: Principles-based planning
 - 2. Personal/institutional approaches: "EDRU"





The Five Safes: a useful structural framework





= safe use

'Five safes' approach

- **The Five Safes** Five components: Is this an appropriate use of the data? safe projects Ο • How trustworthy are the users? safe people safe settings Does the environment prevent misuse? safe data Is the data detail appropriate? \bigcirc safe outputs • Is there a residual risk in published outputs?
- Consider jointly and severally





'Five safes' approach



Consider jointly and severally





= safe use

'Five safes' approach

The Five Safes Five components: safe projects Is this an appropriate use of the data? safe people \circ How Secure use files safe settings Does ?נ ('TRE', 'SDE', 'safe haven', RDC) Is the \bigcirc safe outputs Is there a residual risk in published outputs? Ο

Consider jointly and severally





= safe use

'Five safes' approach



Consider jointly and severally





History

- January 2003 'VML Security Model', 4 safes
- 2007 5 safes, generalised language
- 2012-ish 'Five Safes'







Use

• UK

- o UKRI
- Much of central govt
- Devolved Govts
- UK Data Archive, HDR, Health Foundation, Cancer Research UK...
- Internationally
 - Widespread in NZ, Canada, Australia
 - Increasing in Europe: France, Germany, Norway, Eurostat...
 - Important in non-Census Bureau US: ICPSR, NORC, HIPAA...
 - Growing in LMICs





Why?

- Simple
- Comprehensive
- Flexible
- Easy to explain
- Increasingly common shared language





Problem: over-interpretation

Framework, not an instruction manual
 What is 'five safes compliant'?





Problem: flexibility

- Where are the guidelines to design an efficient, effective data access regime?
- Solutions:
 - Existing standards (ISO9000)
 - $_{\odot}\,$ Lots of evidence of good practice
 - CADRE guidelines etc
- Still missing: *how* you make decisions





Towards practical data management (1)

Principles-based thinking





Regulation regimes

Rules-based

• eg European financial regulation; UK Statistics of Trade Act

- Principles-based
 - eg Anglo-Saxon financial regulation; GDPR; UK DEA; Aus.
 DATA
- Increasingly, PB seen as better practice in data flexibility >> formal clarity





Principles-based governance

- What are you trying to achieve?
 - Outcomes
 - Operational goals
- What is important?
- Requires effort
- + More efficient / more sustainable solutions

Still not enough





Towards practical data management (2)

EDRU: changing personal and institutional attitudes





Managing data

• Traditionally:

- be very careful
- Do nothing unless it's proven correct
- Plan for worst cases
- It's all about protecting data
- More effective: EDRU
 - Evidence-based
 - Default open
 - Risk-managed
 - User-centred





Data access 2.0 (E)DRU

Evidence based

"What if...?"

"The evidence shows..."

- We know lots
 - people are human
 - De-identification reduces risk considerably
 - research outputs have very little disclosure risk

and so on...

DATA RESEARCH ACCESS & GOVERNANCE NE



Data access 2.0 E(D)RU

Default open

"Can we/should we ...?"

"How do we do ... best?"

- **Use** of the data is the objective
 - Maintaining confidentiality is a **constraint**





Data access 2.0 ED(R)U

Risk managed

"We must minimise the risk ... "

"We'll review risks and benefits to ensure the residual risk is acceptable to achieve these gains..."

- Benefits as well as risks exist
 - benefits are more important **the objective**
 - risks **constrain** your actions

• The world is **uncertain** and **subjective**, not risky



Data access 2.0 EDR(U)

• User centred

RCH ACCESS & GOVERNANCE NETWORI

"How do we protect this data?"

"What do users need, and what will they tolerate to get it?"

- User interests determine benefit
- User tolerances determine success of process

tolerances can be changed

eg principles-based output SDC



Bringing this together





1. How are you going to tackle governance?





- 1. How are you going to tackle governance?
- 2. What are you trying to achieve?

Principles





- 1. How are you going to tackle governance?
- 2. What are you trying to achieve?
- 3. Which are the things you can/want to control?

Five safes

Principles





- 1. How are you going to tackle governance?
- 2. What are you trying to achieve?
- 3. Which are the things you can/want to control?
- 4. What is good practice in each area?

Standards

Five safes

Principles

Data Research Access & Governance Network



- 1. How are you going to tackle governance?
- 2. What are you trying to achieve?
- 3. Which are the things you can/want to control?
- 4. What is good practice in each area?
- 5. What is my solution?







- Sort out your goals
 - $_{\odot}\,$ assume that everything is possible
- focus on objectives rather than constraints
- focus on principles not historical precedent
- Use structures to frame thinking, not replace it





Further reading:

Ritchie, F. & Green E. (2020) Frameworks, principles and accreditation in modern

data management . Working Papers in Economics. https://uwe-

repository.worktribe.com/output/6790882

Ritchie, F. (2021). Microdata access and privacy: What have we learned over twenty years?. Journal of Privacy and Confidentiality, 11(1), 1-8.

https://doi.org/10.29012/jpc.766

felix.ritchie@uwe.ac.uk

dragon@uwe.ac.uk

