# The k-Tuple Domination Number Revisited

#### Vadim Zverovich

Faculty of Computing, Engineering and Mathematical Sciences
University of the West of England
Bristol, BS16 1QY

UK

vadim.zverovich@uwe.ac.uk

#### Abstract

The following fundamental result for the domination number  $\gamma(G)$  of a graph G was proved by Alon and Spencer, Arnautov, Lovász and Payan:

$$\gamma(G) \le \frac{\ln(\delta+1)+1}{\delta+1}n,$$

where n is the order and  $\delta$  is the minimum degree of vertices of G. A similar upper bound for the double domination number was found by Harant and Henning [On double domination in graphs. Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 25 (2005) 29–34], and for the triple domination number by Rautenbach and Volkmann [New bounds on the k-domination number and the k-tuple domination number. Applied Math. Letters 20 (2007) 98–102], who also posed the interesting conjecture on the k-tuple domination number: for any graph G with  $\delta \geq k-1$ ,

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \leq \frac{\ln(\delta-k+2) + \ln(\widehat{d}_{k-1} + \widehat{d}_{k-2}) + 1}{\delta-k+2} n,$$

where  $\widehat{d}_m = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{m}/n$  is the *m*-degree of *G*. This conjecture, if true, would generalise all the mentioned upper bounds and improve an upper bound proved in [A. Gagarin and V. Zverovich, A generalised upper bound for the *k*-tuple domination number. *Discrete Math.* (to appear)].

In this paper, we prove Rautenbach–Volkmann's conjecture.

Keywords: graphs, domination number, double domination, triple domination, k-tuple domination.

## 1 Notation

All graphs will be finite and undirected without loops and multiple edges. If G is a graph of order n, then  $V(G) = \{v_1, v_2, ..., v_n\}$  is the set of vertices in G,  $d_i$  denotes the degree of  $v_i$  and  $d = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i/n$  is the average degree of G. Let N(x) denote the neighbourhood of a vertex x. Also let  $N(X) = \bigcup_{x \in X} N(x)$  and  $N[X] = N(X) \cup X$ . Denote by  $\delta(G)$  and  $\Delta(G)$  the minimum and maximum degrees of vertices of G, respectively. Put  $\delta = \delta(G)$  and  $\Delta = \Delta(G)$ . A set X is called a dominating set if every vertex not in X is adjacent to a vertex in X. The minimum cardinality of a dominating set of G is the domination number  $\gamma(G)$ . A set X is called a k-tuple dominating set of G is the k-tuple domination number  $\gamma(G)$ . The k-tuple domination number is only defined for graphs with  $\delta \geq k-1$ . It is easy to see that  $\gamma(G) = \gamma_{\times 1}(G)$  and  $\gamma_{\times k}(G) \leq \gamma_{\times k'}(G)$  for  $k \leq k'$ . The 2-tuple domination number  $\gamma_{\times 2}(G)$  is called the double domination number and the 3-tuple domination number  $\gamma_{\times 3}(G)$  is called the triple domination number. A number of interesting results on the k-tuple domination number can be found in [3]–[8] and [11].

#### 2 Introduction

The following fundamental result was proved by many authors:

**Theorem 1** ([1, 2, 9, 10]) For any graph G,

$$\gamma(G) \le \frac{\ln(\delta+1)+1}{\delta+1}n.$$

A similar upper bound for the double domination number was found by Harant and Henning [4]:

**Theorem 2** ([4]) For any graph G with  $\delta \geq 1$ ,

$$\gamma_{\times 2}(G) \le \frac{\ln \delta + \ln(d+1) + 1}{\delta} n.$$

Rautenbach and Volkmann posed the following interesting conjecture for the k-tuple domination number:

Conjecture 1 ([11]) For any graph G with  $\delta \geq k-1$ ,

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \le \frac{\ln(\delta - k + 2) + \ln\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{d_i + 1}{k - 1}\right) - \ln(n) + 1}{\delta - k + 2} n.$$

For  $m \leq \delta$ , let us define the m-degree  $\hat{d}_m$  of a graph G as follows:

$$\widehat{d}_m = \widehat{d}_m(G) = \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{m} / n.$$

Note that  $\hat{d}_1$  is the average degree d of a graph and  $\hat{d}_0 = 1$ . Also, we put  $\hat{d}_{-1} = 0$ .

Since

$$\begin{pmatrix} d_i+1\\k-1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} d_i\\k-1 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} d_i\\k-2 \end{pmatrix},$$

we see that the above conjecture can be re-formulated as follows:

Conjecture 1' For any graph G with  $\delta \geq k-1$ ,

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \le \frac{\ln(\delta - k + 2) + \ln(\hat{d}_{k-1} + \hat{d}_{k-2}) + 1}{\delta - k + 2}n.$$

It may be pointed out that this conjecture, if true, would generalise Theorem 2 and also Theorem 1 taking into account that  $\hat{d}_{-1} = 0$ . Rautenbach and Volkmann proved the above conjecture for the triple domination number:

**Theorem 3 ([11])** For any graph G with  $\delta \geq 2$ ,

$$\gamma_{\times 3}(G) \le \frac{\ln(\delta - 1) + \ln(\widehat{d}_2 + d) + 1}{\delta - 1} n.$$

The next result generalises all the above theorems, but it is still far from Conjecture 1'.

**Theorem 4 ([3])** For any graph G with  $\delta \geq k-1$ ,

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \le \frac{\ln(\delta - k + 2) + \ln\left(\sum_{m=1}^{k-1} (k - m)\widehat{d}_m + \epsilon\right) + 1}{\delta - k + 2}n,$$

where  $\epsilon = 1$  if k = 1 or 2, and  $\epsilon = -d$  if  $k \geq 3$ .

## 3 Proof of the Conjecture

The following theorem proves Rautenbach–Volkmann's conjecture.

**Theorem 5** For any graph G with  $\delta \geq k-1$ ,

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \le \frac{\ln(\delta - k + 2) + \ln(\hat{d}_{k-1} + \hat{d}_{k-2}) + 1}{\delta - k + 2}n.$$

**Proof:** Let A be a set formed by an independent choice of vertices of G, where each vertex is selected with the probability  $p, 0 \le p \le 1$ . For m = 0, 1, ..., k - 1, let us denote

$$B_m = \{v_i \in V(G) - A : |N(v_i) \cap A| = m\}.$$

Also, for m = 0, 1, ..., k - 2, we denote

$$A_m = \{ v_i \in A : |N(v_i) \cap A| = m \}.$$

For each set  $A_m$ , we form a set  $A'_m$  in the following way. For every vertex in the set  $A_m$ , we take k-m-1 neighbours not in A and add them to  $A'_m$ . Such neighbours always exist because  $\delta \geq k-1$ . It is obvious that  $|A'_m| \leq (k-m-1)|A_m|$ . For each set  $B_m$ , we form a set  $B'_m$  by taking k-m-1 neighbours not in A for every vertex in  $B_m$ . We have  $|B'_m| \leq (k-m-1)|B_m|$ .

We construct the set D as follows:

$$D = A \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=0}^{k-2} A'_m\right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{m=0}^{k-1} B_m \cup B'_m\right).$$

The set D is a k-tuple dominating set. Indeed, if there is a vertex v which is not k-tuple dominated by D, then v is not k-tuple dominated by A. Therefore, v would belong to  $A_m$  or  $B_m$  for some m, but all such vertices are k-tuple dominated by the set D by construction.

The expected value of |D| is

$$E(|D|) \leq E\left(|A| + \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} |A'_m| + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} |B_m| + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} |B'_m|\right)$$

$$\leq E\left(|A| + \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} (k-m-1)|A_m| + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k-m)|B_m|\right)$$

$$= E(|A|) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} (k-m-1)E(|A_m|) + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k-m)E(|B_m|).$$

We have

$$E(|A|) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(v_i \in A) = pn.$$

Also,

$$E(|A_m|) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(v_i \in A_m)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^n p\binom{d_i}{m} p^m (1-p)^{d_i-m}$$

$$\leq p^{m+1} (1-p)^{\delta-m} \sum_{i=1}^n \binom{d_i}{m}$$

$$= p^{m+1} (1-p)^{\delta-m} \hat{d}_m n$$

and

$$E(|B_m|) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} P(v_i \in B_m)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{n} (1-p) \binom{d_i}{m} p^m (1-p)^{d_i-m}$$

$$\leq p^m (1-p)^{\delta-m+1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \binom{d_i}{m}$$

$$= p^m (1-p)^{\delta-m+1} \hat{d}_m n.$$

Taking into account that  $\hat{d}_{-1} = 0$ , we obtain

$$E(|D|) \leq pn + \sum_{m=0}^{k-2} (k-m-1)p^{m+1}(1-p)^{\delta-m} \widehat{d}_m n + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k-m)p^m (1-p)^{\delta-m+1} \widehat{d}_m n$$

$$= pn + \sum_{m=1}^{k-1} (k-m)p^m (1-p)^{\delta-m+1} \widehat{d}_{m-1} n + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k-m)p^m (1-p)^{\delta-m+1} \widehat{d}_m n$$

$$= pn + \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k-m)p^m (1-p)^{\delta-m+1} (\widehat{d}_{m-1} + \widehat{d}_m) n$$

$$= pn + (1-p)^{\delta-k+2} n \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k-m)p^m (1-p)^{k-m-1} (\widehat{d}_{m-1} + \widehat{d}_m).$$

Let us denote

$$\mu = \delta - k + 2$$
.

Using the inequality  $1 - x \le e^{-x}$ , we obtain

$$(1-p)^{\delta-k+2} = (1-p)^{\mu} \le e^{-p\mu}.$$

Thus,

$$E(|D|) \le pn + e^{-p\mu}n\Theta,$$

where

$$\Theta = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k-m)p^m (1-p)^{k-m-1} (\hat{d}_m + \hat{d}_{m-1}).$$
 (1)

We will prove that

$$\Theta \le \widehat{d}_{k-1} + \widehat{d}_{k-2}.$$

We have

$$\Theta = \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (k-m)(\widehat{d}_m + \widehat{d}_{m-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{k-m-1} (-1)^i \binom{k-m-1}{i} p^{m+i}$$

$$= k(\widehat{d}_0 + \widehat{d}_{-1}) \binom{k-1}{0} p^0 - k(\widehat{d}_0 + \widehat{d}_{-1}) \binom{k-1}{1} p^1 + \dots + k(\widehat{d}_0 + \widehat{d}_{-1}) \binom{k-1}{k-1} (-1)^{k-1} p^{k-1}$$

$$+ (k-1)(\widehat{d}_1 + \widehat{d}_0) \binom{k-2}{0} p^1 + \dots + (k-1)(\widehat{d}_1 + \widehat{d}_0) \binom{k-2}{k-2} (-1)^{k-2} p^{k-1}$$

$$\dots$$

••

$$+(1)(\widehat{d}_{k-1} + \widehat{d}_{k-2}) \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} (-1)^0 p^{k-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \Big( \sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} (-1)^i \begin{pmatrix} i+j \\ i \end{pmatrix} (i+j+1) (\widehat{d}_{k-i-j-1} + \widehat{d}_{k-i-j-2}) \Big) p^{k-j-1}$$

$$= \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} s_j p^{k-j-1},$$

where

$$\begin{split} s_j &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} (-1)^i \binom{i+j}{i} (i+j+1) (\widehat{d}_{k-i-j-1} + \widehat{d}_{k-i-j-2}) \\ &\quad \text{(taking into account that } \widehat{d}_{-1} = 0) \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} (-1)^i \binom{i+j}{i} (i+j+1) \widehat{d}_{k-i-j-1} + \sum_{i=0}^{k-j-2} (-1)^i \binom{i+j}{i} (i+j+1) \widehat{d}_{k-i-j-2} \\ &= \binom{j}{0} (j+1) \widehat{d}_{k-j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-j-1} (-1)^i \binom{i+j}{i} (i+j+1) \widehat{d}_{k-i-j-1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i=1}^{k-j-1} (-1)^{i-1} \binom{i+j-1}{i-1} (i+j) \widehat{d}_{k-i-j-1} \\ &= (j+1) \widehat{d}_{k-j-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k-j-1} (-1)^i (j+1) \binom{i+j}{i} \widehat{d}_{k-i-j-1} \\ &= (j+1) \sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} (-1)^i \binom{i+j}{i} \widehat{d}_{k-i-j-1} \\ &= (j+1) \sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} (-1)^i \binom{i+j}{i} \sum_{l=1}^n \binom{d_l}{k-i-j-1} /n \\ &= (j+1) \sum_{l=1}^n \sum_{i=0}^{k-j-1} (-1)^i \binom{i+j}{i} \binom{d_l}{k-i-j-1} /n \\ &= (j+1) \sum_{l=1}^n \binom{d_l-j-1}{k-j-1} /n \end{aligned} \tag{by Lemma 3}$$

Thus, the function  $\Theta(p) = s_0 p^{k-1} + s_1 p^{k-2} + ... + s_{k-1}$  is monotonously increasing in  $0 \le p \le 1$ . Therefore, (1) implies

$$\Theta \le \widehat{d}_{k-1} + \widehat{d}_{k-2}.$$

We obtain

$$E(|D|) \le pn + e^{-p\mu}n\Theta \le pn + e^{-p\mu}n(\hat{d}_{k-1} + \hat{d}_{k-2}).$$

Let us denote

$$f(p) = pn + e^{-p\mu} n(\hat{d}_{k-1} + \hat{d}_{k-2}).$$

For  $p \in [0,1]$ , the function f(p) is minimised at the point min $\{1,z\}$ , where

$$z = \frac{\ln \mu + \ln(\widehat{d}_{k-1} + \widehat{d}_{k-2})}{\mu}.$$

There are two cases to consider.

If  $z \leq 1$ , then

$$E(|D|) \le f(z) = \left(z + \frac{1}{\mu}\right)n = \frac{\ln \mu + \ln(\widehat{d}_{k-1} + \widehat{d}_{k-2}) + 1}{\mu}n.$$

Since the expected value is an average value, there exists a particular k-tuple dominating set of order at most f(z), as required.

Suppose now that z > 1. Taking into account that  $\mu > 0$ , we obtain

$$\gamma_{\times k}(G) \le n < \left(z + \frac{1}{\mu}\right)n = \frac{\ln \mu + \ln(\hat{d}_{k-1} + \hat{d}_{k-2}) + 1}{\mu}n,$$

as required. The proof of Theorem 5 is complete.

For  $s \geq 1$ , let us denote

$$T_t^s = \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} s \\ t-1 \end{pmatrix} + \dots + (-1)^t \begin{pmatrix} s \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 1

$$T_t^s = \begin{pmatrix} s-1 \\ t \end{pmatrix}.$$

**Proof:** Induction on t:

$$T_t^s = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} s \\ t \end{smallmatrix} \right) - T_{t-1}^s = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} s \\ t \end{smallmatrix} \right) - \left( \begin{smallmatrix} s-1 \\ t-1 \end{smallmatrix} \right) = \left( \begin{smallmatrix} s-1 \\ t \end{smallmatrix} \right).$$

Lemma 2 For  $j \geq 1$ ,

$$\binom{j-1}{0}+\binom{j}{1}+\ldots+\binom{j+i-1}{i}=\binom{j+i}{i}\,.$$

**Proof:** Induction on i:

$$\binom{j-1}{0}+\binom{j}{1}+\ldots+\binom{j+i-1}{i}=\binom{j+i-1}{i-1}+\binom{j+i-1}{i}=\binom{j+i}{i}.$$

Lemma 3

$$\sum_{i=0}^{l} (-1)^{i} {i+j \choose i} {r \choose l-i} = {r-j-1 \choose l}.$$

**Proof:** Induction on j. If j = 0, then

$$\sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \left( {i+j \atop i} \right) \left( {r \atop l-i} \right) = \sum_{i=0}^l (-1)^i \left( {r \atop l-i} \right) = T_l^r = \left( {r-1 \atop l} \right),$$

as required.

Suppose that  $j \ge 1$  and the equation of Lemma 3 is true for any  $j' \le j - 1$ . Applying Lemmas 1 and 2, we obtain:

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i=0}^{l} (-1)^{i} \begin{pmatrix} i+j \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ l-i \end{pmatrix} &=& \sum_{i=0}^{l} (-1)^{i} \begin{pmatrix} j-1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} + \ldots + \begin{pmatrix} j+i-1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ l-i \end{pmatrix} \\ &=& \begin{pmatrix} j-1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \sum_{i=0}^{l} (-1)^{i} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ l-i \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{l} (-1)^{i} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ l-i \end{pmatrix} + \ldots \\ && + \begin{pmatrix} j+l-1 \\ l \end{pmatrix} \sum_{i=l}^{l} (-1)^{l} \begin{pmatrix} r \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} \\ &=& \begin{pmatrix} j-1 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} T_{l}^{r} - \begin{pmatrix} j \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} T_{l-1}^{r} + \ldots + \begin{pmatrix} j+l-1 \\ l \end{pmatrix} (-1)^{l} T_{0}^{r} \\ &=& \sum_{i=0}^{l} (-1)^{i} \begin{pmatrix} j+i-1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} T_{l-i}^{r} \\ &=& \sum_{i=0}^{l} (-1)^{i} \begin{pmatrix} j+i-1 \\ i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} r-1 \\ l-i \end{pmatrix} \\ &=& \begin{pmatrix} r-j-1 \\ l \end{pmatrix}. \end{split}$$
 (by hypothesis)

#### References

- [1] N. Alon and J.H. Spencer, The Probabilistic Method, John Wiley and Sons, Inc., 1992.
- [2] V.I. Arnautov, Estimation of the exterior stability number of a graph by means of the minimal degree of the vertices. *Prikl. Mat. i Programmirovanie* (11)(1974) 3–8.
- [3] A. Gagarin and V. Zverovich, A generalised upper bound for the k-tuple domination number. Discrete Math. (to appear)
- [4] J. Harant and M.A. Henning, On double domination in graphs. *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory* **25** (2005) 29–34.
- [5] F. Harary and T.W. Haynes, Double domination in graphs. Ars Combin. 55 (2000) 201–213.
- [6] F. Harary and T.W. Haynes, Nordhaus-Gaddum inequalities for domination in graphs. *Discrete Math.* **155** (1996) 99-105.
- [7] T.W. Haynes, S.T. Hedetniemi and P.J. Slater, Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1998.
- [8] R. Klasing and C. Laforest, Hardness results and approximation algorithms of k-tuple domination in graphs. Inform. Processing Letters 89 (2)(2004) 75–83.
- [9] L. Lovász, On the ratio of optimal integral and fractional covers. *Discrete Math.* **13** (1975) 383–390.
- [10] C. Payan, Sur le nombre d'absorption d'un graphe simple. Cahiers Centre Études Recherche Opér. 17 (1975) 307–317.
- [11] D. Rautenbach and L. Volkmann, New bounds on the k-domination number and the k-tuple domination number. Applied Math. Letters **20** (2007) 98–102.